FIRST PRINCIPLES



FIFTH IMPRESSION FOFULAR EDITION

WILLIAMS & NORGATE 14 HENRIETTA ST., COVENT GARDEN, LONDON 1915



RAMA VARMA RECEARCH HIGTITUTE, TRICHUR, COCHIN STATE.





A SYSTEM OF

SYNTHETIC PHILOSOPHY

VOL. 1

FIRST, PRINCIPLES

FIRST PRINCIPLES

 $E \, \mathbb{Y}$

HERBERT SPENCER

FIFTH IMPRESSION. POPULAR EDITION

WILLIAMS & NORGATE 14 HENRIETTA ST., COVENT GARDEN, LONDON

1915

The Right of Translation is Reserved

PREFACE TO THE SIXTH EDITION

Is ten days more, forty years will have passed since the first lines of this work were written. Nothing was done to it until 1867, when a further development of its leading conception necessitated re-organization of the second part. In 1875 some changes were made in the Chapters on "The Indestructibility of Matter," " The Continuity of Motion," and "The Persistence of Force," more fully harmonizing the views set forth in them with the conceptions at that time reached. Since then there have been introduced no alterations worth mentioning.

Of course the advances of knowledge in many directions during intervening years, have made needful sundry corrections in the illustrative passages. Criticisms, too, have prompted a few modifications of statement. Add to this that further developments of my own thoughts have suggested certain improvements in the exposition, among which may be included the explanatory "Postscript to Part I." Passing over changes of little moment, I may name as chief amendments those contained in §§71*a*-71*c*, §93, §150, §152, and §§182-S; and as noticeable ones those contained in §§46, 54, 65, 72, 79, 88, 111, 120, 123, 132, 139a, 157, 159, and 164; together with the appendices A and C.

Meanwhile neither the objections made by others nor further considerations of my own, have caused me to recede from the general principles set forth. Contrariwise, while writing the succeeding works on Biology, Psychology, Sociology, and Ethics, the multiplied illustrations of these principles furnished by the facts dealt with, and the guidance afforded by them in seeking interpretations, have tended continually to strengthen the belief that they rightly formulate the facts.

While the changes of substance in this edition constitute improvements of some significance, the changes of form constitute a greater general improvement. Between a too-curt presentation of ideas and a presentation too much amplified, it is difficult to find the judicious mean. Now that, after this long interval, I am able to criticize my expectition as though it had come from another, I discover a good deal of redundance—superfluous words, clauses, sentences, and occasionally paragraphs. The erasure of these, while it has, I believe, conduced to lucidity, has entailed considerable abridgment; so that, notwithstanding many additions, the work is now diminished by fifty pages.

It is a source of much satisfaction to me that the opportunity has arisen for making these final amendments, both of matter and of manner.

H. S.

BRIGHTON, 27th April, 1900.

 v^{\dagger}

PREFACE TO THE FOURTH EDITION

To the first edition of this work there should have been prefixed a definite indication of its origin; and the misupprehensions that have arisen in the absence of such indication, ought before now to have shown me the need for supplying it.

Though reference was made, in a note on the first page of the original preface, to certain Essays entitled "Progress: its Law and Cause," and "Transcendental Physiology," es containing generalizations which were to be elaborated in the "System of Philosophy," there set forth in programme, yet the dates of these Essays were to given: nor was there any indication of their cardinal importance as containing, in a brief form, the general Theory of Evolution. No clear evidence to the contrary standing in the way, there has been very generally uttered and accepted the belief that this work, and the works following it, originated after, and resulted from, the special doctrine contained in Mr. Darwin's Origin of Species.

The Essay on "Progress: its Law and Cause," co-extensive in the theory it contains with Chapters XV., XVI., XVII., and XX. in Part II. of this work, was first published in the Westminuter Review for April, 1857; and the Essay in which was briefly set forth the general truth elaborated in Chapter XIX., originally appeared, under the title of "The Ultimate Laws of Physiology," in the National Review for October, 1857. Further, I may point out that in the first edition of The Principles of Psychology, published in July, 1855, mental phenomena were interpreted entirely from the evolution point of view; and the words used in the titles of

vii.

sundry chapters, imply the presence, at that dute, of ideas more widely applied in the Essays just named. As the first edition of *The Origin of Species* did not make its appearance till October, 1859, it is manifest that the theory set forth in this work and its successors, had an origin independent of, and prior to, that which is commonly assumed to have initiated it.

The distinctness of origin might, indeed, have been inferred from the work itself, which deals with Evolution at large—Inorganic, Organic, and Super-organic—in terms of Matter and Motion; and touches but briefly on those particular processes so luminously exhibited by Mr. Darwin. In § 159 only, when illustrating the law of "The Multiplication of Effects" as universally displayed, have I had occasion to refer to the doctrine set forth in the Origin of Species : pointing out, in a note, that the general cause I had previously assigned for the production of divergent varieties of organisms, would not suffice to account for all the fects without that special cause disclosed by Mr. Darwin. The absence of this note would, of course, leave a serious gap in the general argument; but the remainder of the work would stand exactly as it now does.

I do not make this explanation in the belief that the prevailing misapprehension will thereby soon be cectified; for I am conscious that, once having become current, misapprehensions of this kind long persist—all disproofs notwithstanding. Nevertheless, I yield to the suggestion that unless I state the facts as they stand, I shall continue to countenance the wrong conviction now catertained, and cannot expect it to cease,

With the exception of unimportant changes in one of the notes, and some typographical corrections, the text of this edition is identical with that of the last. I have, however, added an Appendix dealing with certain criticisms that have been passed upon the general formula of Evolution, and upon the philosophical doctrine which precedes it.

May, 1880.

[It is needless here to reproduce the prefaces to the second and third editions. That to the third edition simply notified vertain alterations

PREFACE TO THE FOURTH EDITION

1x

mude in 1875, which have already been referred to in the preface to this edition. And the preface to the second edition, which gave a detailed account of the re-organization made in 1867, drawn up in such way as to show at a glance the various ways in which it deviated from the first edition, has now cound to be of any use. The original preface, however, it is proper to preserve for the reason nomed at its close.]



Turs volume is the first of a series described in a prospectus originally distributed in March, 1860. Of that prospectus, the annexed is a reprint.

A SYSTEM OF PHILOSOPHY

Mu Hennear Selection proposes to issue in periodical parts a connected series of works which he has for several years been preparing. Some conception of the general aim and scope of this series may be gathered from the following Programme.

FIRST PRINCIPLES

PART I. THE UNERNOWARDS.—Corrying a step further the doctrine put into shape by Hamilton and Mansel; pointing out the various directions in which Science leads to the same conclusions; and showing that in this united beilef in an Absolute that transcends not only human knowledge but human conception, lies the only possible reconciliation of Science and Religion.

PART II. LAWS OF THE KNOWARLE,—A statement of the ultimate principles discernible throughout all manifestations of the Absolute those highest generalizations now being disclosed by Science which are severally true not of one class of phenomena but of *all* classes of phenomena; and which are thus the keys to all classes of phenomena.*

"Oue of these generalizations is that correctly known as "the Conservation of Force"; a second may be gathered from a published every on "Progress : its Low and Course"; a third is indirated in a paper on "Transcendental Physiology"; and there are several others.

XI

THE PRINCIPLES OF BIOLOGY

Vot 1

PART J. THE DAYA OF BIOLOGY.-Including those general truths of Physics and Chemistry with which rational Biology must set out.

 H: The INDUCTIONS OF BIOLOOV.—A statement of the leading generalizations which Naturalists, Physiologists, and Comparative Anatomists, have established.

III. Two Evolution of Line, —Concerning the speculation commonly known as "The Development Hypothesis"—its à priori and à posteriori evidences.

Yor. If

IV. MORPHOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT.—Pointing out the relations that are everywhere traceable between organic forms and the average of the various forces to which they are subject; and seeking in the cumulative effects of such forces a theory of the forms.

V. PRESSOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT.—The progressive differentiation of functions similarly traced; and similarly interpreted as consequent upon the explosure of different parts of organisms to different sets of conditions.

VI. The Laws of NULTURICATION.—Generalizations respecting the rates of reproduction of the various classes of plants and snimals; followed by an attempt to show the dependence of these variations upon certain necessary causes.⁵

* The ideas to be developed in the second volume of the Principles of Biology the writer has already briefly expressed in sundry Review-Articles. Part IV. will work out a doctrine suggested in a paper on "The Laws of Organic Form," published in the Medico-Chirargical Review for January, 1859. The germ of Part V, is contained in the easy on "Transcendential Physiology." See Recey, pp. 280-90. And in Part VI, will be unfolded certain views crudely expressed in a "Theory of Population," published in the Westminster Review for April, 1862.

THE PRINCIPLES OF PSYCHOLOGY

Voi I

PART I. THE DATA OF PSYCHOLOGY.—Treating of the general connexions of Mind and Life and their relations to other modes of the Unknowable.

II. THE INDUCTIONS OF PEVCHOLOGY,—A digest of such generalizations respecting mental phenomena as have already been empirically established.

III. GENERAL SYNTHESES.—A republication, with additional chapters, of the same part in the already-published *Principles of Psychology*.

IV. System: Systems: -- A republication, with extensive revisions and additions, of the same part, &c., &c.

V. PRVEICAL SVETHESES.—An attempt to show the manner in which the succession of states of consciousness conforms to a certain fundamental law of nervous action that follows from the First Principles laid down at the outset.

Vol. II

VI. SPECIAL ANALYSIS.—As at present published, but further elaborated by some additional chapters.

VII. GENERAL ANALYSIS.—As at present published, with several explanations and additions.

VIII. Conceannes.—Consisting in part of a number of derivative principles which form a necessary introduction to Sociology.⁴

THE PRINCIPLES OF SOCIOLOGY

Voz. I

PART I. THE DATA OF SOCIOLOGY .- A statement of the several sets of factors entering into social phenomena-human ideas and feelings

* Respecting the several additions to be made to the Principles of Psychology, it seems needful only to say that Part V. is the unwritten division manuel in the preface to that work—a division of which the germ is contained in a note on page 544, and of which the scope has since been more definitely stated in a paper in the Medico-Chiraryical Review for January, 1859.

considered in their necessary order of evolution; surrounding natural conditions; and those ever complicating conditions to which Society itself gives origin.

11. The INDUCTIONS OF Sociology.-General facts, structural and functional, as gathered from a survey of Societies and their changes: in other words, the empirical generalizations that are arrived at by comparing different societies, and successive phases of the same society.

III. Parrieat Onnanization.—The evolution of governments, general and local, as determined by natural causes; their several types and metamorphoses; their increasing complexity and specialization; and the progressive limitation of their functions.

Voi. H

IV. ECCLESIMPTICAL ORDANIZATION.—Tracing the differentiation of religious government from secular; its successive complications and the multiplication of sects; the growth and continued modification of religious ideas, as enused by advancing knowledge and changing moral character; and the gradual reconciliation of these ideas with the truths of abstract science.

V. CREEMONIAL OBDANIZATION.—The natural history of that third kind of government which, having a common root with the others, and slowly becoming separate from and supplementary to them, serves to regulate the minor actions of life.

VI. INDUSTRIAL ORGANIZATION.—The development of productive and distributive agencies, considered, like the foregoing, in its necessary causes: comprehending not only the progressive division of labour, and the increasing complexity of each industrial agency, but also the successive forms of industrial government as passing through like phases with political government.

Vol. III

VII. LINGUAL PROGRESS.—The evolution of Languages regarded as a psychological process determined by social conditions.

VIII. INTELLECTUAL PRODUCTS.—Treated from the same point of view: including the growth of classifications; the evolution of science out of common knowledge; the advance from qualitative to quantitative prevision, from the indefinite to the definite, and from the concrete to the abstract.

IX. *P*EXTINUE PRODUCTS.—The Fine Arts similarly dealt with: tracing their gradual differentiation from primitive institutions and from each other; their increasing varieties of development; and their advance in reality of expression and superiority of sim.

X. MORAL PROPERTS.—Exhibiting the genesis of the slow emotional modifications which human nature undergoes in its adaptation to the social state.

XI. THE CONSENSUS.—Treating of the necessary interdependence of structures and of functions in each type of society, and in the successive phases of social development.*

THE PRINCIPLES OF MORALITY

Von 1

PART I. THE DATA OF MORALTY.—Generalizations furnished by Biology, Psychology, and Sicialogy, which underlie a true theory of right living : in other words, the elements of that equilibrium between constitution and conditions of existence, which is at once the moral ideal and the limit towards which we are progressing.

II. The Inductions of Monality.—These empirically-established rules of human action which are registered as essential laws by all eivilized nations: that is to say—the generalizations of expediency.

III. PERSONAL MORALL—The principles of private conduct physical, intellectual, moral, and religious—that follow from the conditions to complete individual life: or, what is the same thing—those modes of private action which must result from the eventual equilibration of internal desires and external needs.

" Of this trustise on Sociology a few small imgments may be found in already-published easays. Some of the ideas to be developed in Part II, are indicated in an article on "The Social Organiam," contained in the last number of the Westminster Review; those which Part V, will work out, may be gathered from the first half of a paper written some years since on "Manners and Fashion"; 'of Part VIII, the germs are contained in an article on the "Gonesis of Science"; two papers on "The Origin and Function of Music" and "The Philesophy of Style," contain some ideas to be embedied in Part IX, ; and from a criticism of Mr. Bain's work on "The Emotions and the Will," in the last number of the Matico-Chiraryiant Review, the control idea to be developed in Part X, may be inferred.

Vol. II.

IV. JUSTICE.—The mutual limitations of men's actions necessitated by their co-existence as units of a society—limitations, the perfect observance of which constitutes that state of equilibrium forming the goal of political progress.

V. NEGATIVE BENEFICENCE.—Those secondary limitations, similarly necessitated, which, though less important and not cognizable by law, are yet requisite to prevent mutual destruction of happiness in various indirect ways: in other words—those minor self-restraints dictated by what may be called passive sympathy.

VI. Positive BENEFICENCE.—Comprehending all orders of conduct, dictated by active sympathy, which imply pleasure in giving pleasure modes of conduct that social adaptation has induced and must render ever more general; and which, in becoming universal, must fill to the full the possible measure of human happiness.*

In anticipation of the obvious criticism that the scheme here sketched out is too extensive, it may be remarked that an exhaustive treatment of each topic is not intended; but simply the establishment of prisciples, with such illustrations as are needed to make their bearings fully understood. It may also be pointed out that, besides minor frequents, one large division (*The Principles of Psychology*) is already in great part, executed. And a further reply is, that impossible though it may prove to execute the whole, yet nothing can be said against an attempt to set forth the First Principles and to carry their applications as far as circumstances permit.

The price per-Number to be half-a-crown; that is to say, the four Numbers yearly issued to be severally delivered, post free, to all annual subscribers of Ten Shillings.

 Part IV. of the Principles of Morality will be co-extensive (though not identical) with the first half of the writer's Social Statics. FREFACE

[This volume, and many of the successfing volumes, were at first issued to subscribers in instalments of 80 pages each, and at the end of the preface to each volume, it was stated at what dates the successive parts, included between certain pages named, first appeared. In the original preface to this volume there was here given a statement of this kind. Repetition of it would now be misleading, since the pagings have all been changed.]

LONDON, June 5th, 1862.

CONTENTS

PART L-THE UNKNOWABLE

GIT2L						9 h G k
L.	RELIGION AND SCIENCE					\$
71-	ULTEMATE RELIGIOUS MELS		ı.			18
111.	ULTIMATE SCIENTIFIC DELAS	ı.	а		ı.	85
fV.	THE DELADATY OF MA ENGWLEDGE			-		50
Ψ.,	THE RECONCILIATION					78
	POSTSCHIPT TO PART L'.	97	-	-		ys.

PART IL-THE KNOWABLE

ι.	PHIL	deoph?	C DEFU	(CDI IV	0.	1						9 9
\mathbf{n}_{r}	THE	DATA	02 61	позор	н ү	IJ	5	1	а	-		166
	SPAC	e, 112	e, may	TRU, N	AUTION	, AND	Paga	Е				123
ťv.	THE	INDES	recti	REITY	OF M.	TTEL	+					134
۲	THE	CONTE	NUTTY	OF MC	TION		4					140
γţ,	THE	PERSIS	TENCE	OF FO	RCE							149
VO.	THE	PERSIS	TENCE	07 83	LATIO	es abți	owie je	ALC FA	-	a		156
¥JÇE.	THE	TRANS	FORMA	rion a	ND Eq	ureal,s	kinis.	OF FO	RCES			1.59
1X.	THE	DIREC	TION O	P MOT	IC N	-	4			a		182
π_{i}	THE	вну7ъ	IN OF	WOTIO	м .						-	200
¥1.	RECA	PITOL	ជាលេស៊ូ	CHEFT	ібм, Ал хі	ND TES	COMM	SNCEW	ENT	2	•	217

XX		C	ONT	ENT	18					
enap, XII, KV	OLATION an	ab Dissol.0	TRUN					L	,	рлож ФФД
300. BU	SPLE AND C	a guint the	eyestr	THEN	-	ī	F	а		2.90
SIV. TE	E LAW OP	STOLUTION								\$ 46
RV. Ti	E LAW OF	kwarit.tta s	CONT	YNTRO	ε.,				r.	QG4
XVI. TI	E LAW OF	EVOLUTION	CONT	INURD	- (ч			292
XVII. TH	IT LAW OF	DADITION OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	¢∂\$€.	60080	1		ı.			308
XVUL TI	S INTERPRE	TATION OF	EWOL	UT105				4		332
XIX, TH	ช กุษระวงกาน	ITY OF	THE	ELLA, DE	BN EU	1906	EXRM	i an a	NG	
	INSTAULTS	AT LARD	F .		ь					376
XX. TH	E MILTIPLE	ATON DE	EFFEC	TB		-		-		349
X.A.(), 3100	arras v. Lice M			100	1			-		371
XXII. DQI	JUDELATION	10 82	20	9.2	4	2.1				391
XX101. D55	SOLATION		D-off	0-20	. 1			-		415
XXCV. 307	MARY AND	CONCLUSIO	NN.			-				439
	APPENDICES	4 Ter	F	1.0		-	-			449
	INDEX .				,	31				477

PART I

THE UNKNOWABLE

CHAPTER I

RELIGION AND SCIENCE

§ 1. We too often forget that not only is there "a soul of goodness in things evil," but very generally also, a soul of truth in things erroncous. While many admit the abstract probability that a falsity has usually a nucleus of verity, few bear this abstract probability in mind, when passing judgment on the opinious of others. A belief that is proved to be grossly at variance with fact is cast aside with indignation or contempt; and in the heat of antagonism searcely any one inquires what there was in this belief which commended it to men's minds. Yet there must have been something. And there is reason to suspect that this something was its correspondence with certain of their experiences : an extremely limited or vague correspondence perhaps, but still, a correspondence. Even the abandest report may in nearly every instance be traced to an actual occurrence; and had there been no such actual occurrence, this preposterous misropresentation of it would never have existed. Though the distorted or magnified image transmitted to us through the refracting medium of rumour, is utterly unlike the reality; yet. in the absence of the reality there would have been no distorted or magnified image. And thus it is with human beliefs in general. Entirely wrong as they may appear, the implication is that they originally contained, and perhaps still contain, some small amount of truth.

Definite views on this matter would be very useful to us. It is important that we should form something like a general theory of current opinions, so that we may neither over-estimate nor under-estimate their worth. Atriving at correct judgments

on disputed questions, much depends on the mental attitude preserved while listening to, or taking part in, the controversies; and for the preservation of a right attitude, it is needful that we should learn how true, and yet how ontrue, are average human beliefs. On the one hand, we must keep free from that bias in favour of received ideas which expresses itself in such dogmas as "What every one says must be true," or "The voice of the people is the voice of Gud." On the other hand, the fact disclosed by a survey of the past that majorities have usually been wrong, must not blind us to the complementary fact that majorities have usually not been entirely wrong. And the avoidance of these extremes being a pre-requisite to catholic thinking, we shall do well to provide ourselves with a safeguard against them, by making a valuation of opinions in the abstract. To this end we must contemplate, the kind of relation that ordinarily subsists between opinious and facts. Let us do so with one of those beliefs which under various forms has prevailed among all nations in all times.

§ 2. Early traditions represent rulers as gods or demigods. By their subjects, primitive kings were regarded as superhuman in origin and superhuman in power. They possessed divine titles, received obcisances like those made before the altars of deities, and were in some cases actually worshipped. Of course along with the implied beliefs there existed a belief in the unlimited power of the ruler over his subjects, extending even to the taking of their lives at will; as until recently in Fiji, where a victim stood unbound to be killed at the word of his rhief: himself declaring, "whatever the king says must be done."

In other times and among other races, we find these beliefs a little modified. The monarch, instead of being thought god or demigod, is conceived to be a man having divine authority, with perhaps more or less of divine nature. He retains, however, titles expressing his heavenly descent or relationships, and is still saluted in forms and words as humble as those addressed to the Deity. While in some places the lives and properties of his people, if not so completely at his mercy, are still in theory supposed to be his. Later in the progress of civilization, as during the middle ages in Europe, the current opinions respecting the relationship of rulers and ruled are further changed. For the theory of divine origin there is substituted that of divice right. No longer god or demigod, or even god-descended, the king is now regarded simply as God's vicegerent. The obcisances made to him are not so extreme in their humility; and his secred titles lose much of their meaning. Moreover, his authority ceases to be unlimited. Subjects deay his right to dispose at will of their lives and properties, and yield allegiance only in the shape of obedience to his commands.

With advancing political opinion has come still greater restriction of monarchical power. Belief in the supernatural character of the ruler, long ago repudiated by ourselves for example, has left behind it muthing more than the popular tendency to ascribe unusual goodness, wisdom, and beauty to the monarch. Loyalty, which originally meant implicit submission to the king's will, now means a merely nominal profession of subordination, and the fulfilment of certain forms of respect. By deposing some and putting others in their places, we have not only denied the divine rights of certain men to rule, but we have denied that they have any rights beyond those originating in the assent of the nation. Though our forms of speech and our State documents still assert the subjection of the citizens to the ruler, our actual beliefs and our daily proceedings implicitly assert the contrary. We have entirely divested the monarch of legislative power, and should immediately robel against his or her dictation even in matters of small concern.

Nor has the rejection of primitive political beliefs resulted only in transferring the power of an autocrat to a representative body. The views held respecting governments in general, of whatever form, are now widely different from those once held. Whether popular or despotic, governments in ancient times were supposed to have unlimited authority over their subjects. Individuals existed for the benefit of the State; not the State for the benefit of individuals. In our days, however, not only has the national will been in many cases substituted for the will of the king, but the exercise of this national will has been restricted. In England, for instance, though there has been established no definite doctrine respecting the bounds to governmental action, yet, in practice, sundry bounds to it are tacitly recognized by all. There is no organic law declaring that a legislature may not freely dispose of citizens' lives, as kings did of old, but were it possible for our legislature to attempt such a thing, its own destruction would be the consequence, rather than the destruction of citizens. How fully we have established the personal liberties of the subject against the investors of State power, would be quickly shown were it proposed by Act of Paritament to take possession of the nation, or of any class, and turn its services to public ends, as the services of the people were turned by Egyptian kings. Not only in our day have the claims of the citizen to life, liberty, and property been thus made good against the State, but sundry minor claims likewise. Ages ago laws regulating dress and mode of living fell into disuse, and any attempt to revive them would prove that such matters now lie beyond the sphere of legal control. For some centuries we asserted in practice, and have now established in theory, the right of every man to choose his own religious beliefs, instead of receiving State-authorized beliefs. Within the last few generations complete liberty of speech has been gained, in spite of all legislative attempts to suppress or limit it. And still more recently we have obtained, under a few exceptional restrictions, freedom to trade with whomspever we please. Thus our political beliefs are widely different from ancient ones, not only as to the proper depositary of power to be exercised over a notion, but also as to the extent of that power.

Nor even here has the change ended. Besides the average opinions just described as current among ourselves, there exists a less widely-diffused opinion going still further in the same direction. There are to be found men who contend that the sphere of government should be narrowed even more than it is in England. They hold that the freedom of the individual, limited only by the like freedom of other individuals, is sacred. They assert that the sole function of the State is the protection of persons against one another, and against a foreign foe; and they believe that the ultimate political condition must be one in which personal freedom is the greatest possible and governmental power the least possible.

Thus in different times and places we find, concerning the origin, authority, and functions of government, a great variety of opinious. What now must be said about the truth or faisity of these opinions ? Must we say that some one is wholly right and all the rest wholly wrong; or must we say that each of them contains truth more or less disguised by errors? The latter alternative is the one which analysis will force upon us. Every one of these doctrines. has for its vital element the recognition of an unquestionable fact. Directly or by implication, each insists on a certain subordination of individual actions to social dictates. There are differences respecting the power to which this subordination is due; there are differences respecting the motive for this subordiaction; there are differences respecting its extent; but that there quist be some subordination all are agreed. The most submissive and the most recalcitrant alike hold that there are limits which individual actions may not transgress-limits which the one regards as originating in a ruler's will, and which the other regards as deducible from the equal claims of fellow-citizene.

It may doubtless be said that we here each a very unimportant conclusion. The question, however, is not the value or novelty of the particular truth in this case arrived at. My aim has been to exhibit the more general truth, that between the most diverse beliefs there is usually something in common,—something taken for granted in each; and that this something, if not to be set down as an unquestionable verity, may yet be considered to have the highest degree of probability. A postulate which, like the one above instanced, is not consciously asserted but unconsciously invelved, and which is unconsciously involved not by one man or budy of men, but by numerous bodies of ment who diverge in countless ways and degrees in the rest of their beliefs, has a warrant far transcending any that can be usually shown.

Do we not thus arrive at a generalization which may habitually guide us when seeking for the soul of truth in things erroneous i While the foregoing illustration brings home the fact that in opinions seeming to be absolutely wrong something right is yet to be found, it also indicates a way of finding the something right. This way is to compare all opinions of the same genus; to set aside as more or less discrediting one another those special and concrete elements in which such opinious disagree; to observe what remains after these have been eliminated; and to find for the remaining constituent that expression which holds true throughout its various disguises.

§ 3. A consistent adoption of the method indicated will greatly aid as in dealing with chronic antagonisms of belief. By applying it not only to ideas with which we are unconcerned, but also to our own ideas and those of our apponents, we shall be coabled to form more correct judgments. We shall be led to suspect that our convictions are not wholly right, and that the adverse convictions are not wholly wrong. On the one hand, we shall not, in common with the great mass of the unthinking, let our creed be determined by the mere accident of birth in a particular age on a particular part of the Earth's surface; while, on the other hand, we shall be saved from that error of entire and contemptuous negation, fallen into by most who take up an attitude of independent criticism.

Of all antagonisms of helief the oldest, the widest, the most profound, and the most important, is that between Meligion and Science. It commenced when recognition of the commonest uniformities in surrounding things set a limit to all-pervading superstitions. It shows itself everywhere throughout the domain of human knowledge; affecting men's interpretations alike of the simplest mechanical accidents and the most complex events in the histories of nations. It has its roots deep down in the diverse habits of thought of different orders of minds. And the conflicting conceptions of Nature and Life, which these diverse habits of thought severally generate, influence for good or ill the tone of feeling and the daily conduct.

A battle of opinion like this, which has been carried on for ages under the banness of Religion and Science, has generated an animosity fatal to a just estimate of either party by the other. Happily the times display an increasing catholicity of feeling, which we shall do well to carry as far as our natures permit. In proportion as we love truth more and victory less, we shall become asxious to know what it is which leads our opponents to think as they do. We shall begin to suspect that the pertinacity of belief exhibited by them must result from a perception of something we have not perceived. And we shall aim to supplement the portion of truth we have found with the portion found by them. Making a rational estimate of human authority, we shall avoid alike the extremes of undue submission and undue rebellion—shall not regard some men's judgments as wholly good and others as wholly bad; but shall, contrariwise, loss to the more defensible position that none are completely right and none are completely wrong.

Preserving, as far as may be, this impartial attitude, let us then contemplate the two sides of this great controversy. Keeping guard against the bias of education and shutting out the whisperings of secturian feeling, let us consider what are the \dot{a} priori probabilities in favour of each party.

§ 4. The general principle above illustrated must lead us to anticipate that the diverse forms of religious belief, which have existed and which still exist, have all a basis in some ultimate fact. Judging by analogy the implication is, not that any one of them is altogether right, but that in each there is something right more or less disguised by other things wrong. It may be that the soul of truth contained in erroneous creeds is extremely unlike most, if not all, of its several embodiments; and indeed if, as we have good reason to assume, it is much more abstract than any of them, its unlikeness necessarily follows. But some essential verity caust be looked for. To suppose that these multiform conceptions should be one and all *absolutely* groundless, discredits too profoundly that average human intelligence from which all our individual intelligences are inherited.

To the presumption that a number of diverse beliefs of the same class have some common foundation in fact, must in this case be added a further presumption derived from the omnipulsence of the beliefs. Religious ideas of one kind or other are almost universal. Grant that among all men who have passed a certain stage of intellectual development, there are found vague notions concerning the origin and hidden nature of surrounding things. and there arises the inference that such notions are necessary products of progressing intelligence. Their endless variety serves but to strengthen this conclusion: showing as it does a more or less independent genesis-showing how, in different places and times, like conditions have led to similar trains of thought, ending in analogous results. A candid examination of the evidence quite negatives the supposition that creeds are priestly inventions. Even as a mere question of probabilities it cannot rationally be concluded that in every society, savage and civilized, certain men have combined to delude the rest in ways so analogous. Moreover, the hypothesis of artificial origin fails to account for the facts. It does not explain why, under all changes of form, certain elements of religious belief remain constant. It does not show how it happens that while adverse criticism has from age to age gone on destroying particular theological dogmas, it has not destroyed the fundamental conception underlying those dogmas. Thus the universality of religious ideas, their independent evolution againg different primitive races, and their great vitality, units in showing that their source must be deep-scuted. In other words, we are obliged to admit that if not supernaturally derived as the majority contend, they must be derived out of human experiences, slowly accumulated and organized."

Should it be asserted that religious ideas are products of the religious sentiment which, to satisfy itself, prompts imaginations that it afterwards projects into the external world, and by-and-by mistakes for realities, the problem is not solved, but only removed farther back. Whence comes the sentiment? That it is a constituent in man's nature is implied by the hypothesis, and cannot indeed be denied by those who prefer other hypotheses. And if the religious sentiment, displayed constantly by the majority of mankind, and occasionally aroused even in those scentingly devoid of it, must be classed among human emotions, we cannot rationally ignore it. Here is an attribute which has played a conspicuous part throughout the entire past as far back as history records, and is at present the life of numerous institutions, the stimulus to perpetual controversies, and the prompter of countless daily actions. Evidently as a question in philosophy, we are called on to say what this attribute means : and we cannot

decline the task without confessing our philosophy to be incompetent.

Two suppositions only are open to us; the one that the feeling which responds to religious ideas resulted, along with all other human faculties, from an act of special creation ; the other that it, in common with the rest, arose by a process of evolution. If we adopt the first of these alternatives, universally accepted by our ancestors and by the immense majority of our contemporaries, the matter is at once settled; mun is directly endowed with the religious feeling by a creator; and to that creator it designedly responds. If we adopt the second alternative, then we are met by the questions-What are the circumstances to which the genesis of the religious feeling is due? and-What is its office? Considering, as we must on this supposition, all faculties to be results of accumulated modifications caused by the intercourse of the organism with its environment, we are obliged to admit that there exist in the environment certain phenomena or conditions which have determined the growth of the religious feeling ; and so are obliged to admit that it is as nonnal as any other faculty. Add to which that as, on the hypothesis of a development of lower forms into higher, the end towards which the progressive changes tend, must be adaptation to the requirements of life, we are also forced to infer that this feeling is in some way conducive to human Thus both alternatives contain the sume ultimate welfare. implication. We must conclude that the religious sentiment is either directly created or is developed by the slow action of natural causes, and whichever conclusion we adopt requires us to treat the religious sentiment with respect.

One other consideration should not be overlooked—a consideration which students of Science more especially need to have pointed out. Occupied as such are with established traths, and accustomed to regard things not already known as things to be hereafter discovered, they are liable to forget that information, however extensive it may become, can never satisfy inquiry. Positive knowledge does not, and never can, fill the whole region of possible thought. At the uttermost reach of discovery there arises, and must ever arise, the question—What lies beyond? As it is impossible to think of a limit to spare so as to exclude the idea of space lying outside that limit; so we cannot conceive of any explanation profound enough to exclude the question-What. is the explanation of that explanation? Regarding Science as a gradually increasing sphere, we may say that every addition to its. surface does but bring it into wider contact with surrounding nescience. There must ever remain therefore two antithetical modes of mental action. Throughout all future time, as now, the human mind muy occupy itself, not only with ascertained phenomena and their relations, but also with that unascertained something which phenomena and their relations imply. Hence if knowledge cannot monopolize consciousness-if it must always continue possible for the mind to dwell upon that which transcends knowledge, then there can never cease to be a place for something of the nature of Religion; since Religion under all its forms is distinguished from everything else in this, that its subject matter passes the sphere of the intellect.

Thus, however untenable may be the existing religious creeds, however gross the abaurditics associated with them, however irrational the arguments set forth in their defence, we must not ignore the verity which in all likelihood lies hidden within them. The general probability that widely-spread beliefs are not absolutely baseless, is in this case enforced by a further probability due to the omnipresence of the beliefs. In the existence of a religious sentiment, whatever be its origin, we have a second evidence of great significance. And as in that descience which must ever remain the antithesis to science, there is a sphere for the exercise of this sentiment, we find a third general fact of like implication. We may be sure, therefore, that religions, even though no one of them be actually true, are yet all adumbentions of a truth.

§ 5. As, to the religious, it will seem absurd to set forth any justification for Religion, so, to the scientific, it will seem absurd to defend Science. Yet to do the last is certainly as needful as to do the first. If there exist some who, in contempt for its follies and disgust at its corruptions, have contracted towards Religion a repugnance which makes them overlook the fundamental trath contained in it; so, there are others offended to such a

degree by the destructive criticisms men of science make on the religious tenets they hold essential, that they have acquired a strong prejudice against Science at large. They are not prepared with any reasons for their dislike. They have simply a remembrance of the rule shakes which Science has given to many of their cherished convictions, and a suspicion that it may eventually uproot all they regard as sacred; and hence it produces in them an inarticulate dread.

What is Science? To see the absurdity of the prejudice against it, we need only remark that Science is simply a higher development of common knowledge; and that if Science is repudiated, all knowledge must be repudiated along with it. The extremest bigot will not suspect any harm in the observation that the Sun rises earlier and sets later in summer than in winter: but will rather consider such an observation as a useful aid in fulfilling the duties of life. Well, Astronomy is an organized body of kindred observations, made with greater nively, extended to a larger number of objects, and so analyzed as to disclose the real arrangements of the heavens and to dispel our false conceptions of them. That iron will rust in water, that wood will burn, that long kept visuals become putrial, the most timid sectarion will teach without alarm, as things useful to be known. But these are chemical truths: Chemistry is a systematized collection of such facts, ascertained with precision, and so classified and generalized as to enable us to say with certainty, concerning each simple or compound substance, what change will occur in it under given conditions. And thus is it with all the sciences. They severally germinate out of the experiences of daily life; insensibly as they grow they draw in remoter, more numerous, and more complex experiences; and among these, they ascertain laws of dependence like those which make up our knowledge of the most familiar objects. Nowhere is it possible to draw a line and say -here Science begins. And as it is the function of common observation to serve for the guidance of conduct; so, too, is the guidance of conduct the office of the most recondite and abstract results of Science. Through the countless industrial processes and the various modes of locomotion it has given to us, Physics regulates more completely our social life than does his acquaintance

with the properties of surrounding bodies regulate the life of the savage. All Science is prevision; and all prevision ultimately help- us in greater or less degree to achieve the good and avoid the bad. Thus being one in origin and function, the simplest forms of cognition and the most complex must be dealt with alike. We are bound in consistency to receive the widest knowledge our faculties can reach, or to reject along with it that narrow knowledge possessed by all.

To ask the question which more inimediately concerns our argument-whether Science is substantially true-is much like asking whether the Son gives light. And it is because they are conscious how underliably valid are most of its propositions, that the theological party regard Science with so unch secret alarm. They know that during the five thousand years of its growth, some of its larger divisions-mathematics, physics, astronomyhave been subject to the rigorous criticism of successive generations, and have notwithstanding become ever more firmly established, They know that, unlike many of their own doctrines, which were once universally received but have age by age been more widely doubted, the doctaines of Science, at first confined to a lew scattered inquirers, have been slowly growing into general acceptance, and are now in great part admitted as beyond dispute. They know that scientific men throughout the world subject one another's results to searching examination, and that error is mercilessly exposed and rejected as soon as discovered. And, finally, they know that still more conclusive evidence is furnished by the daily verification of scientific predictions, and by the meverceasing triumphs of those arts which Science guides.

To regard with alienation that which has such high credentials is a folly: Though in the tone which many of the scientific adopt towards them, the defenders of Religion may find some excuse for this alienation, yet the excuse is an insufficient one. On the side of Science, as on their own side, they must admit that shortcomings in the advocates do not tell essentially against that which is advocated. Science must be judged by itself; and so judged, only the most perverted intellect can fail to see that it is worthy of all revenence. Be there or be there not any other revelation, we have a veritable revelation in Science—a continuous disclosure of the established order of the Universe. This disclosure it is the duty of every one to verify as far as in him lies; and having verified, to receive with all humility.

§ 6. Thus there must be right an both sides of this great Religion, everywhere present as a warp running contruversy, through the weft of human history, expresses some eternal fact; while Science is an organized body of truths, ever growing, and ever being purified from errors. And if both have bases in the reality of things, then between them there must be a fundamental harmony. It is impossible that there should be two orders of truth in absolute and everlasting opposition. Only in pursuance of some Manichean hypothesis, which among ourselves no one dares openly avow, is such a supposition even conceivable. That Religion is divine and Science diabolical, is a proposition which, though implied in many a clerical declamation, not the most vehencent fanatic can bring himself distinctly to assert. And whoever does not assert this, must admit that under their seeming antagonism lies hidden an entire agreement.

Each side, therefore, has to recognize the claims of the other as representing truths which are not to be ignored. It behaves each to strive to understand the other, with the conviction that the other has something worthy to be understood; and with the conviction that when mutually recognized this something will be the basis of a reconciliation.

How to find this something thus becomes the problem we should perseveringly try to solve. Not to reconcile them in any makeshift way, but to establish a real and permanent peace. The thing we have to seek out is that ultimate truth which both will arow with absolute sincerity—with not the remotest mental reservation. There shall be no concession—no yielding on either side of something that will hy-and-by be reasorted ; but the common ground on which they meet shall be one which each will maintain for itself. We have to discover some fundamental verity which Religion will assert, with all possible emphasis, in the absence of Science ; and which Science, with all possible emphasis, will assert in the absence of Religion. We must look for a conception which combines the conclusions of both—must see how Science and Religion express opposite sides of the same fact : the one its near or visible side, and the other its remote or invisible side.

Already in the foregoing pages the method of seeking such a reconciliation has been vaguely shadowed forth. Before proceeding, however, it will be well to treat the question of method more definitely. To find that truth in which Religion and Science coalesce, we must know in what direction to look for it, and what kind of truth it is likely to be.

§ 7. Only in some highly abstract proposition can Religion and Science find a common ground. Neither such dogmas as those of the trinitarian and unitarian, nor any such idea as that of propitiation, common though it may be to all religions, can serve as the desired basis of agreement; for Science cannot recognize beliefs like these: they lie beyond its sphere. Not only, as we have inferred, is the essential troth contained in Religion that most abstract element pervading all its forms, but, as we here see, this most abstract element is the only one in which Religion is likely to agree with Science.

Similarly if we begin at the other end, and inquire what scientific truth can unite Science with Religion. Religion can take no cognizance of special scientific doctrines, any more than Science can take cognizance of special religious doctrines. The truth which Science asserts and Religion inderses cannot be one furnished by mathematics; nor can it be a physical truth; nor can it be a truth in chemistry. No generalization of the phenomena of space, of time, of matter, or of force, can become a Religious conception. Such a conception, if it anywhere exists in Science, must be more general than any of these—must be one underlying all of them.

Assuming, then, that since these two great realities are constituents of the same mind, and respond to different aspects of the same Universe, there must be a fundamental harmony between them, we see good reason to conclude that the most abstract truth contained in Religion and the most abstract truth contained in Science must be the one in which the two coalesce. The largest fact to be found within our mental range must be the one of which we are in search. Uniting these positive and negative poles of human thought, it must be the ultimate fact in our intelligence.

16

§ 8. Before proceeding let me bespeak a little patience. The next three chapters, setting out from different points and converging to the same conclusion, will be unattractive. Students of philosophy will find in them much that is familiar; and to most of those who are unacquainted with modern metaphysics, their reasonings may prove difficult to follow.

Our argument, however, cannot dispense with these chapters, and the greatness of the question at issue justifies even a heavier tex on the reader's attention. Though it affects us little in a direct way, the view we arrive at must indirectly affect us in all our relations—must determine our conceptions of the Universe, of Life, of Human Nature—must influence our ideas of right and wrong, and therefore modify our conduct. To reach that point of view from which the seeming discordance of Religion and Science disappears, and the two merge into one, must surely be worth an effort.

Here ending preliminaries let us now address ourselves to this all important impuiry.

CHAPTER II

ULTIMATE RELIGIOUS IDEAS

§ 9. WHER, on the sen-shore, we note how the hulls of distant vessels are hidden below the horizon, and how, of still remoter vessels, only the uppermost sails are visible, we may conceive with tolerable clearness the slight curvature of that portion of the sea's surface which lies before us. But when we try to follow out in imagination this curved surface as it actually exists, slowly hending round until all its meridians meet in a point eight thousand miles below our feet, we find ourselves afterly balled. We cannot conceive in its real form and magnitude even that small segment of our globe which extends a hundred miles on every side of us, much less the globe as a whole. The piece of rock on which we stand can be mentally represented with something like completeness; we are able to think of its top, its sides, and its under surface at the same time, or so nearly at the same time that they seem present in consciousness together; and so we can form what we call a conception of the rock. But to do the like with the Earth is impossible. If even to imagine the antipodes as at that distant place in space which it actually occupies is beyond our power; much more beyond our power must it be at the same tiple to imagine all other remote points on the Earth's surface as in their actual places.' Yet we commonly speak as though we had an idea. of the Earth-as though we could think of it in the same way that we think of minor objects.

What conception, then, do we form of it? the reader may ask. That its name calls up in us some state of consciousness is unquestionable; and if this state of consciousness is not a conception, properly so called, what is it? The answer seems to be this:—We have learnt by indirect methods that the Earth is a sphere; we have formed models approximately representing its shape and the distribution of its parts; usually when the Earth is referred to, we either think of an indefinitely extended mass beneath our feet, or else, leaving out the actual Earth, we think of a body like a terrestrial globe; but when we seek to imagine the Earth as it really is, we join these two ideas as well as we can—such perception as our eyes give us of the Earth's surface we couple with the conception of a sphere. And thus we form of the Earth not a conception properly so called, but only a symbolic conception.*

A large proportion of our conceptions, including all those of much generality, are of this order. Great magnitudes, great durations, great numbers, are none of them actually conceived, but are all of them conceived more or less symbolically ; and so, too, are all those classes of objects of which we predicate some common fact. When mention is made of any individual man, a tolerably complete idea of him is formed. If the family he belongs to he spoken of, probably but a part of it will be represented in thought: under the necessity of attending to that which is said about the family, we realize in imagination only its most important or familiar members, and pass over the rest with a nescent consciousnes which we know could, if requisite, be made complete. Should something be remarked of the class, say farmers, to which this family belongs, we neither enumerate in thought all the individuals contained in the class, nor believe that we could do so if required : but we are content with taking some few samples of it, and rementhering that these could be indefinitely multiplied. Supposing the subject of which something is predicated be Englishmen, the answering state of consciousness is a still more inadequate representative. Yet more remote is the likeness of the thought to the thing, if reference be made to Europeans or to human beings. And when we come to propositions concerning the manualit, or concerning the whole of the vertebrata, or concerning animals in general, or concerning all organic beings, the unlikenesses of our conceptions to the realities become extreme. Throughout which series of instances we see that as the number of objects grouped together in

* Those who may have before met with this term will permite that it is here used in utite a different sugar.
thought increases, the concept, formed of a few typical samples joined with the notion of multiplicity, becomes more and more a mere symbol; not only because it gradually ceases to represent the size of the group, but also because, as the group grows more heterogeneous, the typical samples thought of are less like the average objects which the group contains.

This formation of symbolic conceptions, which inevitably arises as we pass from small and concrete objects to large and to discrete ones, is mostly a useful, and indeed necessary, process. When, instead of things whose attributes can be tolerably well united in a single state of consciousness, we have to deal with things whose attributes are too vast or numerous to be so united, we must either drop in thought part of their attributes, or else not think of them at all—either form a more or less symbolic conception, or no conception. We must predicate nothing of objects too great or too multitudinous to be mentally represented, or we must make our predications by the help of extremely inadequate representations of them.

But while by doing this we are enabled to form general propositions, and so to reach general condusions, we are porpetually led into danger, and very often into error. We mistake our symbolic conceptions for real ones; and so are betrayed into countless false inferences. Not only is it that in proportion as the concept we form of any thing, or class of things, misrepresents the reality, we are apt to be wrong in any assertion we make respecting the reality; but it is that we are led to suppose we have truly conceived many things which we have conceived only in this fictitious way; and then to confound with these some things which cannot be conceived in any way. How we fall into this error almost unavoidably it will be needful here to observe.

From objects fully representable, to those of which we cannot form even approximate representations, there is an insensible transition. Between a pebble and the entire Earth a series of magnitudes might be introduced, severally differing from adjacent ones so slightly that it would be impossible to say at what point in the series our conceptions of them because inadequate. Similarly, there is a gradual progression from those groups of a few individuals which we can think of as groups with tolerable completeness,

to those larger and larger groups of which we can form nothing like true ideas. Thus we pass from actual conceptions to symbolic ones by infinitesimal steps. Note next that we are led to deal with our symbolic conceptions as though they were actual ones, not only because we cannot clearly separate the two, but also because. in most cases, the first serve our purposes nearly or quite as well. as the last-are simply the abbreviated signs we substitute for these more elaborate signs which are our equivalents for real objects. Those imperfect representations of ordinary things which we make in thinking, we know can be developed into adequate ones if needful. Those concepts of larger magnitudes and more extensive classes which we cannot make adequate, we still find can be verified. by some indirect process of measurement or enumeration. And even in the case of such an atterly inconceivable abject as the Solar System, we yet, through the the incident of predictions founded. on our symbolic conception of it, gain the conviction that this stands for an actual existence, and, in a sense, truly expresses certain of its constituent relations. So that having learnt by long experience that our symbolic conceptions can, if medfall, he verifical, we are led to accept them without verification. Thus we open the door to some which profess to stand for known things, but which really stand for things that connot be known in any way.

The implication is clear. When our symbolic conceptions are such that no cumulative or indirect processes of thought can enable us to ascertain that there are corresponding actualities, nor any fulfilled predictions be assigned in justification of them, then they are accepther vicious and illusive, and in an way distinguishable from pure fictions.

§ 10. And now to consider the hearings of this general truth on our immediate topic—Ultimate Religious Ideas.

To the primitive man sometimes happen things which are out of the ordinary course—diseases, storms, earthquakes, echoes, eclipses. From dreams arises the idea of a wandering double; whence follows the belief that the double, departing permanently at death, is then a ghost. Ghosts thus become assignable causes for strange occurrences. The greater ghosts are presently supposed to have extended apheres of action. As men grow intelligent, the conceptions of these minor invisible agencies merge into the conception of a universal invisible agency; and there result hypotheses concerning the origin, not of special incidents only, but of things in general.

A critical examination, however, will prove not only that no current hypothesis is tendlic, but also that no tenable hypothesis ran be framed.

§ 11. Respecting the origin of the Universe three verbally intelligible suppositions may be made. We may assert that it is self-existent; or that it is self-created; or that it is created by an external agency. Which of these suppositions is most credible it is not needful here to inquire. The deeper question, into which this finally merges, is, whether any one of them is even conceivable in the true sense of the word. Let us successively test them.

When we speak of a man as self-supporting, of an apparatus as self-acting, or of a tree as self-developed, our expressions, however inexact, stand for things that can be figured in thought with tolerable completeness. Our conception of the self-development. of a tree is doubtless symbolic. But though we cannot really represent in consciousness the entire series of complex changes through which the tree passes, yet we can thus represent the leading traits of the series; and general experience teaches us that by long continued observation we could gain the power of more fully representing it. That is, we know that our symbolic conception of self-development can be expanded into something like a real conception; and that it expresses, however mulely, an actual process. But when we speak of self-existence and, helped by the above analogies, form some vague symbolic conception of it, we delude ourselves in supposing that this symbolic conception is of the same order as the others. On joining the word self to the word axistence, the force of association makes us believe we have a thought like that suggested by the compound word self-acting. An endeavour to expand this symbolic conception, however, will In the first place, it is clear that by selfundeceive us. existence we especially mean an existence independent of any other -not produced by any other: the assertion of self-existence is an indirect denial of creation. In thus excluding the idea of any antecedent cause, we necessarily exclude the idea of a beginning;

for to admit that there was a time when the existence had not commenced, is to admit that its commencement was determined by something, or was caused, which is a contradiction. Self-existence, therefore, necessarily means existence without a beginning; and to form a conception of self-existence is to form a conception of existence without a beginning. Now by no mental effort can we do this. To conceive existence through infinite past-time, implies the conception of infinite past-time, which is an impossibility, this let us add that even were self-existence conceivable, it would not be an explanation of the Universe. No one will say that the existence of an object at the present moment is made easier to understand by the discovery that it existed on hour ago, or a day ago, or a year ago; and if its existence now is not made more comprehensible by knowledge of its existence during some previous littile period, then no knowledge of it during many such finite periods, even could we extend them to an infinite period, would make it more comprehensible. Thus the Atheistic floory is not only absolutely unthinkable, but, even were it thinkable, would not be a solution. The assertion that the Universe is self-existent. does not really carry us a step beyond the cognition of its present. existence: and so leaves us with a more re-statement of the physlery.

The hypothesis of self-creation, which practically amounts to what is called Pantheism, is similarly incapable of being represented in thought. Certain phenomena, such as the precipitation of invisible vapour into cloud, aid us in forming a symbolic conception of a self-evolved Universe : and there are not wanting imbrations in the Heavens, and on the Earth, which help us in giving to this conception some distinctmess. But while the succession of phases through which the visible Universe has passed in reaching its present form may perhaps be comprehended as in a sense self-determined ; yet the impossibility of expanding our symbolic conception of self-mention into a real conception, remains as complate as ever. Really to conceive self-creation, is to conceive potential existence passing into actual existence by some inherent. necessity, which we cannot. We cannot form any idea of a potential existence of the Universe, as distinguished from its actual existence. If represented in thought at all, potential

existence must be represented as *something*, that is, as an actual existence : to suppose that it can be represented as nothing involves. two absurdities—that nothing is more than a negation, and can be positively represented in thought, and that one nothing is distinguished from all other nothings by its power to develop into something. Nor is this all. We have no state of consciousness answering to the words-an inherent necessity by which potential existence became actual existence. To render them into thought, existence, having for an indefinite period remained in one form. must be conceived as passing without any external impulse into another form ; and this involves the idea of a change without a cause—a thing of which no idea is possible. Thus the terms of this hypothesis do not stand for real thoughts, but merely suggest the vaguest symbols not admitting of any interpretation. Mure over, even were potential existence conocivable as a different thing from actual existence, and could the transition from the one to the other be mentally realized as self-determined, we should still be no forwarder: the problem would simply be removed a step back. For whence the potential existence? This would just as much require occounting for as actual existence, and just the same difficulties would meet us. The self-existence of a potential Universe is no more conceivable than the self-existence of the actual Universe. The self-creation of a potential Universe wouldinvolve over again the difficulties just stated-would imply behind this potential universe a more remote potentiality, and so on in an infinite series, leaving us at last no forwarder than at first. While to assign an external agency as its origin, would be to introduce the notion of a potential Universe for no purpose whatever.

There remains the commonly-received or theistic hypothesis creation by external agency. Alike in the rudest creads and in the cosmogony long current among purselves, it is assumed that the Heavens and the Earth were made somewhat after the manner in which a workman makes a piece of furniture. And this is the assumption not only of theologians but of most philosophers. Equally in the writings of Plato and in those of not a few living men of science, we find it assumed that there is an analogy between the process of creation and the process of manufacture. Now not only is this conception one which cannot be any cumulative

process of thought, or the fulfilment of predictions based on it, be shown to answer to anything actual; but it cannot be mentally realized, even when all its assumptions are granted. Though the proceedings of a human artificer may vaguely symbolize a method after which the Universe might be shaped, yet imagination of this method does not help us to solve the ultimate problem; panely, the origin of the materials of which the Universe consists. The artizan does not make the iron, word, or stone, he uses, but merely fashions and combines them. If we suppose suns, and planets, and satellites, and all they contain to have been similarly formed by a "Great Artificer," we suppose merely that certain pro-existing elements were thus put into their present arrangement. But whence the pre-existing elements ? The production of matter out of nothing is the real mystery, which neither this simile nor any other enables us to conceive ; and a simile which does not enable. us to conceive this may as well be dispensed with. 5.11 more manifest becomes the insufficiency of this theory of things, when we turn from material objects to that which contains them when instead of matter we contemplate space. Did there exist nothing but an immeasurable void, explanation would be weeked as much as it is now. There would still arise the question-how came it so? If the theory of creation by external agency were an adequate one, it would supply an answer ; and its answer would be -space was made in the same manmer that matter was made. But the impossibility of conceiving this is so manifest that no one dares to assert it. For if space was created it must have been previously non-existent. The non-existence of space cannot, however, by any mental effort be imagined. And if the non-existence of space is absolutely inconceivable, then, necessarily, its creation is absolutely inconceivable. Lastly, even supposing that the genesis of the Universe could really be represented in thought as due to an external agency, the mystery would be as great as ever; for there would still arise the question-how came there to be an external agency? To account for this only the same three hypotheses are possible-self-existence, self-creation, and creation by external agency. Of these the last is useless: it commits us to an infinite series of such agencies, and even then leaves us where we were. By the second we are led into the same predicament;

since, as already shown, self-creation implies an infinite series of potential existences. We are obliged, therefore, to fall back on the first, which is the one commonly accepted and commonly supposed to be satisfactory. These who cannot conceive a selfexistent Universe, and therefore assume a creator as the source of the Universe, take for granted that they can conceive a self-existent Creator. The mystery which they recognize in this great fact surrounding them on every side, they transfer to an alleged source of this great fact, and then suppose that they have solved the mystery. But they delude themselves. As was proved at the autset of the argument, self-existence is inconceivable; and this holds true whatever he the natore of the object of which it is predicated. Whoever agrees that the atheistic hypothesis is untenable because it involves the impossible idea of self-existence, must perforce admit that the theistic hypothesis is untenable if it contains the same impossible idea.

Thus these three different suppositions, verbally intelligible though they are, and severally seeming to their respective adherents quite rational, turn out, when critically examined, to be literally unthinkable. It is not a question of probability, or credibility, but of conceivability. Experiment proves that the elements of these hypotheses cannot even be put together in consciousness; and we can entertain them only as we entertain: such pseud-ideas as a square fluid and a moral substance—only by abstaining from the endeavour to rember them into actual thoughts. Or, reverting to our original mode of statement, we may say that they severally involve symbolic conceptions of the illegitimate and illusive kind. Differing so widely as they seem to do, the atheistic, the pantheistic, and the theistic hypotheses contain the same ultimate element. It is impossible to avoid making the assumption of self-existence somewhere; and whether that assumption be made makedly or under complicated disguises, it is equally vicious, equally unthinkable. Be it a fragment of matter, or some fancied potential form of matter, or some more remote and still less imaginable mode of being, our conception of its self-existence. can be formed only by joining with it the notion of unlimited duration through past time. And as unimited duration is inconceivable, all three formal ideas into which it enters are inconceivable :

and indeed, if such an expression is allowable, are the more inconecivable in proportion as the other elements of the ideas are indefinite. So that in fact, impossible as it is to think of the actual Universe as self-existing, we do but multiply impossibilities of thought by every attempt we make to explain its existence.

§ 12. If from the origin of the Universe we turn to its nature, the like insurmountable difficulties rise up before us on all sides —or rather, the same difficulties under new aspects. We find ourselves obliged to make certain assumptions; and yet we find these assumptions examptions the represented in thought.

When we inquire what is the meaning of the effects produced on our senses when we ask how there come to be in our consciousness. impressions of sounds, of colours, of tastes, and of those various attributes we ascribe to bodies, we are compelled to regard them as the effects of some cause. We may stop short in the belief that this cause is what we call matter. Or we may conclude, as some do, that matter is only a certain mode of manifestation of spirit, which is therefore the true cause. Or, regarding matter and spirit as proximate agencies, we may ascribe the changes wrought in our consciousness to immediate divine power. But he the cause we assign what it may, we are obliged to suppose some cause. And we are obliged not only to suppose some cause, but also a first cause. The matter, or spirit, or other agent producing these impressions on us, must either be the first cause of them or not. If it is the first cause the conclusion is reached. If it is not the first cause, then by implication there caust be a cause behind it, which thus becomes the real cause of the effort. Manifestly, however complicated the assumptions, the same conclusion must be reached. We esund ask how the changes in our consciousness are caused, without inevitably committing ourselves to the hypothesis of a First Cause.

But now if we ask what is the nature of this First Cause, we are driven by an inexceable logic to certain further conclusions. Is the First Cause finite or infinite? If we say finite we involve corselves in a dilemma. To think of the First Cause as finite, is to think of it as limited. To think of it as limited implies a consciousness of something beyond its limits: it is impossible to conceive a thing as bounded without assuming a region surrounding its boundaries. What now must we say of this region? If the First Cause is limited, and there consequently lies something outside of it, this something must have no First Cause—must be uncaused. But if we admit that there can be something uncaused, there is no reason to assume a cause for anything. If beyond that finite region over which the First Cause extends, there lies a region, which we are compelled to regard as infinite, over which it does not extend if we admit that there is an infinite uncaused surrounding the finite caused : we tacitly abundon the hypothesis of causation altogether. Thus it is impossible to consider the First Cause as finite. But if it cannot be finite it must be infinite.

Another inference concerning the First Cause is equally necessary. It must be independent. If it is dependent it cannot be the First Cause : for that must be the First Cause on which it depends. Εb. is not enough to say that it is partially independent; since this implies some necessity which determines its partial dependence, and this necessity, be it what it may, must be a higher cause, or the tme First Cause, which is a contradiction. But to think of the First Cause as totally independent, is to think of it as that which exists in the absence of all other existence; seeing that if the presence of any other existence is necessary, it must be partially dependent on that other existence, and so cannot be the First Cause. Not only however must the First Cause he a form of being which has no necessary relation to any other form of being, but it can have no necessary relation within itself. There can be nothing in it which determines change, and yet nothing which prevents change. For if it contains something which imposes such necessities or restraints, this something must be a cause higher than the First Cause, which is absurd. Thus the First Cause youst he in every sense perfect, complete, total: including within itself all power and transcending all law. Or to use the established word, it must be Absolute.

Certain conclusions respecting the nature of the Universe, thus seem unavoidable. In our search after causes, we discover no resting place until we arrive at a First Cause; and we have no alternative but to regard this First Cause as Infinite and Absolute, These are inferences forced on us by arguments from which there appears no escape. Nevertheless neither arguments nor inferences have more than nominal values. It might easily be shown that the materials of which the arguments are built, equally with the conclusions based on them, are merely symbolic conceptions of the illegitimate order. Instead, however, of repeating the disproof used above, it will be well to pursue another method; showing the fallacy of these conclusions by disclosing their mutual contradictions.

Here I cannot do better than avail myself of the demonstration which Mr. Mansel, carrying out in detail the doctrine of Sir William Hamilton, has given in his *Limits of Religious Thought*. And I gladly do this, not only because his mode of presentation cannot be improved, but also because, writing as he does in defence of the current Theology, his reasonings will be the more acceptable to the majority of readers.

§ 13. Having given preliminary definitions of the First Cause, of the Infinite, and of the Absolute, Mr. Mansel says —

"But these three conceptions, the Cause, the Absolute, the Infinite, all equally indispensable, do they not imply contradiction to each other, when viewed in conjunction, as attributes of one and the same Heing? A Cause cannot, as such, be absolute: the Absolute cannot, as such, be a cause. The cause, as such, exists only in relation to its effect: the cause is a cause of the effect; the effect is an effect of the cause. On the other hand, the conception of the Absolute implies a possible existence out of all relation. We attempt to escape from this apparent contradiction, by introducing the idea of succession in time. The Absolute exists first by itself, and afterwards becomes a Cause. But here we are elected by the third conception, that of the Infinite. How can the Infinite become that which it was not from the first? If Causation is a possible mode of existence, that which exists without causing is not infinite; that which becomes a cause has passed beyond its former limits.

"Supposing the Absolute to become a cause, it will follow that it operates by means of freewill and consciousness. For a necessary cause cannot be conceived as absolute and infinite. If necessitated by something beyond itself, it is thereby limited by a superior power; and if necessitated by itself, it has in its own nature a necessary relation to its effect. The act of causation must therefore be voluntary; and volition is only possible in a conscious being. But consciousness again is only conceivable as a relation. There must be a conscious subject, and an object of which he is conscious. The subject is a subject to the object; the object is an object to the subject; and neither can exist by itself as the absolute. This difficulty, again, may be for the moment evaded, by distinguishing between the absolute as related to another and the absolute as related to itself. The Absolute, it may be said, may possibly be conscious, provided it is only conscious of itself. But this alternative is, in ultimate analysis, no less self-destructive them the other. For the object of consciousness, whether a mode of the subject's existence or not, is either created in and by the act of consciousness, or has an existence independent of it. In the former case, the object depends upon the subject, and the subject alone is the true absolute. In the latter case, the subject depends upon the object, and the object alone is the true absolute. Or if we attempt a third hypothesis, and maintain that each exists independently of the other, we have no absolute at all, but only a pair of relatives; for coexistence, whether in consciousness or not, is itself a relation.

"The corollary from this reasoning is obvious. Not only is the Absplute, as conceived, incapable of a necessary relation to anything else: but it is also incapable of containing, by the constitution of its own nature, an essential relation within itself; as a whole, for instance, composed of puris, or as a substance consisting of attributes, or as a conscious subject in antithesis to an object. For if there is in the absolute any principle of anity, distinct from the mere accumulation of parts or attributes, this principle alone is the true absolute. If, on the other hand, there is no such principle, then there is no absolute at all, but only a plurality of relatives. The almost unanimous voice of philosophy, in pronouncing that the absolute is both one and simple, must be accepted as the voice of reason also, so far as reason has any voice in the matter. But this absolute unity, as indifferent and containing no attributes, can neither be distinguished from the multiplicity of finite beings by any characteristic feature, nor he identified with them in their multiplicity. Thus we are landed in an inextricable dilemma,

The Absolute cannot be conceived as conscious, neither can it be conceived as unconscious: it cannot be conceived as complex, neither can it be conceived as simple: it cannot be conceived by difference, neither can it be conceived by the absence of difference: it cannot be identified with the universe, neither can it be distinguished from it. The One and the Many, regarded as the beginning of existence, are thus alike incomprehensible.

"The fondamental conceptions of Rational Theology being thus self-destructive, we may naturally expect to find the same antagonism manifested in their special applications. " * * How, for example, can Infinite Power be able to do all things, and yet Infinite Goodness be unable to do evil? How can Infinite Instice exact the utmost penalty for every sin, and yet Infinite Merey pardon the sinner? How can Infinite Wisdom know all that is to come, and yet Infinite Treadom be at liberty to do or to forhear? How is the existence of Evil compartible with that of an infinitely perfect Being; for if he wills it, he is not infinitely good; and if he wills it not, his will is thwarted and his sphere of action limited? " * * *

"Let us, however, suppose for an instant that these difficulties are surmounted, and the existence of the Absolute securely established on the testimony of reason. Still we have not succeeded its reconciling this idea with that of a Cause: we have done nothing towards explaining how the absolute can give rise to the relative, the infinite to the finite. If the condition of causal activity is a higher state than that of quiescence, the Absolute, whether acting voluntarily or involuntarily, has passed from a condition of comparative imperfection to one of comparative perfection; and therefore was not originally perfect. If the state of activity is an inferior state to that of quiescence, the Absolute, in becoming a cause, has lost its original perfection. There remains only the supposition that the two states are usual, and the act of creation one of complete indifference. But this supposition annihilates the unity of the absolute, or it annihilates itself. If the act of creation is real, and yet indifferent, we must admit the possibility of two conceptions of the absolute, the one as productive, the other as non-productive. If the act is not real, the supposition itself vanishes.

"Again, how can the relative be conceived as coming into heing? If it is a distinct reality from the absolute, it must be conceived as passing from non-existence into existence. But to conceive an object as non-existent, is again a self-contradiction; for that which is conceived exists, as an object of thought, in and by that conception. We may abstain from thinking of an object at all; but, if we think of it, we cannot but think of it as existing. It is possible at one time not to think of an object at all, and at another to think of it as already in being; but to think of it in the act of becoming, in the progress from not being into being, is to think that which, in the very thought, annihilates itself.

"To sum up briefly this portion of my argument. The conception of the Absolute and Infinite, from whatever side we view it, appears encompassed with contradictions. There is a contradiction in supposing such an object to exist, whether alone or in conjunction with others; and there is a contradiction in supposing it not to exist. There is a contradiction in conceiving it as one; and there is a contradiction in conceiving it as many. There is a contradiction in conceiving it as many. There is a contradiction in conceiving it as many. There is a contradiction in conceiving it as personal; and there is a contradiction in conceiving it as impresonal. It cannot, without contradiction, be represented as active; nor, without equal contradiction, be represented as active. It cannot be conceived as the sum of all existence; nor yet can it be conceived as a part only of that sum."

§ 14. And now what is the hearing of these results on the question before us? Our examination of Ultimate Religious Ideas has been carried on with the view of making manifest some fundamental verity contained in them. Thus far, however, we have arrived at negative conclusions only. Passing over the consideration of credibility, and confining ourselves to that of conceivability, we have seen that Atheism, Pantheism, and Theism, when rigorously analysed, severally prove to be wholly unthinkable. Instead of disclosing a fundamental verity existing in each, our inquiry seems rather to have shown that there is no fundamental verity contained in any. To carry away this conclusion, however, would be a fatal error, as we shall shorily see.

Leaving out the accompanying code of conduct, which is a

supplementary growth, a religious creed is definable as a theory of original causation. By the lowest savages the genesis of things is not inquired about ; only strange appearances and actions raise the question of agency. But be it in the primitive Ghost theory, which assumes a human personality behind each unusual phenomenon: be it in Polytheism, in which such personalities are partially generalized; be it in Monotheism, in which they are wholly generalized; or be it in Pantheism, in which the generalized personality becomes one with the phenomena; we equally find an hypothesis which is supposed to render the Universe comprehensible. Nay, even that which is regarded as the negation of all Religioneven positive Atheism—comes within the definition; for it, too, in asserting the self-existence of Space, Matter, and Motion, propounds a theory from which it holds the facts to be deducible. Now every theory buritly asserts two things: first, that there is something to be explained; second, that such and such is the explanntion. Hence, however widely different speculators disagree in the solutions they give of the same problem, yet by implication they agree that there is a problem to be solved. Here then is an element which all creeds have in common. Religions diametrically opposed in their overt dogmas, are perfectly at one in the tacit. conviction that the existence of the world with all it contains and all which surrounds it, is a mystery calling for interpretation.

Thus we come within sight of that which we seek. In the last chapter, reasons were given for inferring that human beliefs in general, and especially the perennial ones, contain, under whatever disguises of error, some soul of truth ; and here we have arrived at a truth underlying even the radest beliefs. We saw, further, that this soul of truth is most likely some constituent common to conflicting opinions of the same order ; and here we have a constituent contained by all religions. It was pointed out that this soul of truth would almost certainly be more abstract than any of the creeds involving it ; and the truth above reached is one exceeding in abstractness the most abstract religious doctrines. In every respect, therefore, our conclusion answers to the requirements.

That this is the vital element in all religions is further shown by the fact that it is the element which not only survives every change, but grows more distinct the more highly the religion is developed.

8

Aboriginal creeds, pervaded by thoughts of personal agencies which are usually unseen, conceive these agencies under perfectly concrete and ordinary forms-class them with the visible agencies of men and animals; and so hide a vague perception of mystery in disguises as unmysterious as possible. Polytheistic conceptions in their advanced phases, represent the presiding personalities in idealized shapes, working in subtle ways, and communicating with men by omens or through inspired persons; that is, the ultimate causes of things are regarded as less familiar and comprehensible. The growth of a Monotheistic fuith, accompanied as it is by lapse of those beliefs in which the divine nature is assimilated to the human in all its lower propensities, shows us a further step in the same direction ; and however imperfectly this higher faith is at first held, we yet see in altars "to the unknown and unknowable God," and in the worship of a God who cannot by any searching be found out, that there is a clearer recognition of the inscrutableness of creation. Further developments of theology, ending in such assertions as that "a God understood would be an God at all," and "to think that God is, as we can think him to be, is blasphemy," exhibit this recognition still more distinctly. It pervades all the cultivated theology of the present day. So that while other elements of religious creeds one by one drop away, this remains and grows ever more manifest, and thus is shown to be the essential element.

Here, then, is a truth in which religions in general agree with one another, and with a philosophy antagonistic to their special dogmas. If Religion and Science are to be reconciled, the basis of reconciliation must be this deepest, widest, and most certain of all facts—that the Power which the Universe manifests to us is inscrutable.

CHAPTER III

ULTIMATE SCIENTIFIC IDEAS

§ 15. What are Space and Time? Two hypotheses are current, respecting them : the one that they are objective, the other that they are subjective. Let us see what becomes of these hypotheses under analysis.

To say that Space and Time exist objectively, is to say that they are entities. The assertion that they are non-outifies is self-destructive; non-entities are non-existences; and to alloge that non-existences exist objectively, is a contradiction in terms. Moreover, to deny that Space and Time are things, and so by implication to call them nothings, involves the absurdity that there are two kinds of nothing. Neither can they be regarded Not only is it impossible to as attributes of some colliby. conceive any entity of which they are attributes, but we cannot think of them as disappearing, even if everything else disappeared ; whereas attributes necessarily disappear along with the entities. they belong to. Thus as Space and Time can be neither nonentities nor the attributes of entities, we are compelled to consider them as entities. But while, on the hypothesis of their objectivity, Space and Time must be classed as things, we find that to represent them in thought as things is impossible. To be conceived at all, a thing must be conceived as having attributes. We can distinguish something from nothing, only by the power which the something has to act on our consciousness. The effects it mediately or, immediately produces on our consciousness we attribute to it, and call its attributes ; and the absence of these attributes is the absence of the terms in which the something is conceived,

and involves the absence of a conception. What, now, are the attributes of Space? The only one which it is possible to think of as belonging to it is that of extension, and to credit it with this is to identify object and attribute. For extension and Space are convectible terms: by extension, as we ascribe it to surrounding objects, we mean occupancy of Space; and thus to say that Space is extended, is to say that Space occupies Space. How we are similarly unable to assign any attribute to Time, scarcely needs pointing out. Nor are Time and Space unthinkable as entities only from the absence of attributes. There is another peculiarity, familiar to most people, which equally excludes them from the category. All entities actually known as such, are limited; and even if we suppose ourselves either to know or to be able to conceive some unlimited entity, we necessarily in so classing it separate it from the class of limited entities. But of Space and Time we cannot assert either limitation or the absence of limitation. We first ourselves unable to form any mental image of unbounded Space; and yet, are unable to imagine bounds beyond which there is no Space. Similarly at the other extreme : it is impossible to think of a limit to the divisibility of Space ; yet equally impossible to think of its infinite divisibility. And, without stating them. it will be seen that we labour under like impotences in respect to Thus we cannot conceive Space and Time as entities.-Time. and are equally disabled from conceiving them as either the attributes of entities or as non-entities. We are compelled to think of them as existing, and yet cannot bring them will in those conditions under which existences are represented in thought-

Shall we then take refuge in the Kantian doctrine? shall we say that Space and Time are forms of the intellect, —"*à priori* laws or conditions of the conscious mind "? To do this is to escape from great difficulties by rushing into greater. The proposition with which Kant's philosophy sets out, verbally intelligible though it is, cannot by any effort be rendered into thought—cannot be interpreted into an idea properly so called, but stands merely for a pseud-idea. In the first place, to assert that Space and Time are subjective conditions is, by implication, to assert that they are not objective realities - if the Space and Time present to our minds belong to the ego,

then of necessity they do not belong to the non-ego. Now it is impossible to think this. The very fact on which Kant bases his hypothesis-namely that our consciousness of Space and Time cannot be suppressed-testifies as much : for that consciousness of Space and Time which we emmot rid ourselves of, is the consciousness of them as existing objectively. It is useless to reply that such an inability must inevitably result if they are subjective forms. The question here is-What does consciousness directly testify? And the direct testimony of consciousness is, that Time and Space are not within the mind but without the mind; and so absolutely independent that we cannot conceive them to become non-existent even supposing the mind to become Besides being positively unthinkable in what non-existent. it tacitly denies, the theory of Kant is equally unthinkable in what it openly affirms. It is not simply that we cannot combine the thought of Space with the thought of our own personality, and contemplate the one as a property of the other-though our inability to do this would prove the inconceivableness of the hypothesis-but it is that the hypothesis carries in itself the proof of its own inconceivaldeness. For if Space and Time are forms of intuition, they can never he intuited; since it is impossible for anything to be at once the firm of intuition end the matter of intuition. That Space and Time are objects of consciousness, Kant complatically asserts by saying that it is impossible to suppress the consciousness of them. How then, if they are objects of consciousness, can they at the same time be conditions of consciousness? If Space and Time are the conditions under which we think, then when we think of Space and Time themselves, our thoughts must be uncunditioned; and if there can thus be unconditioned thoughts, what becomes of the theory ?

It results, therefore, that Space and Time are wholly incomprehensible. The immediate knowledge which we seem to have of them proves, when examined, to be total ignorance. While our belief in their objective reality is insumomatoble, we are unable to give any rational account of it. And to posit the alternative helief (possible to state but impossible to realize) is merely to multiply irrationalities.

\$ 16. Were it not for the necessities of the argument, it would be inexcusable to occupy the reader's attention with the threadhare, and yet mended, controversy respecting the divisibility of matter. Matter is either infinitely divisible or it is not : no third possibility can be named. Which of the alternatives shall we accept? If we say that Matter is infinitely divisible, we commit ourselves to a supposition not realizable in thought. We can bisect and re-bisect a body, and continually repeating the act until we reduce its parts to a size no longer physically divisible, may then meatally continue the process. To do this, however, is not really to conceive the infinite divisibility of matter, but to form a symbolic conception not admitting of expansion into a real one, and not admitting of other verification. Really to conceive the lafinite divisibility of matter, is mentally to follow out the divisions to infinity; and to do this would require infinite time. On the other hand, to assert that matter is not infinitely divisible, is to assert that it. is reducible to parts which no power can divide; and this verbal supposition can no more be represented in thought than the other. For each of such ultimate parts, did they exist, must have an under and an upper surface, a right and a left side, like any larger frequent. Now it is impossible to imagine its sides an near that no plane of section can be enaccived between them; and however great be the assumed force of cohesion, it is impossible to shot out the idea of a greater force expande of overcoming it. So that to human intelligence the own hypothesis is no more acceptable than the other; and yet the conclusion that one or other must agree with the fact, seems to human intelligence unavoidable.

Again, let us ask whether substance has anything like that extended solidity which it presents to our consciousness. The portion of space occupied by a piece of metal, seems to eyes and longer: perfectly filled : we perceive a homogeneous, resisting mass, without any breach of continuity. Shall we then say that Matter is actually as solid as it appears? Shall we say that, whether it consists of an infinitely divisible element or of units which cannot be further divided, its parts are everywhere in actual contact? To assert as much entangles us in insuperable difficulties. Were Matter thus absolutely solid it would be—what it is not—absolutely incompressible; since compressibility, implying the nearer approach of constituent parts, is not thinkable unless there is unoccupied space among the parts.

The supposition that Matter is absolutely solid being untenable, there presents itself the Newtonian supposition, that it consists of solid atoms not in contact but acting on one another by attractive and repulsive forces, varying with the distances. To assume this, however, merely shifts the difficulty. For granting that Matter as we perceive it, is made up of dense extended units attracting and repelling, the question still arises— What is the constitution of these units? We must regard each of them as a small piece of matter. Looked at through a meatal mitrascope, each becomes a mass such as we have just been contemplating. Just the same inquiries may be made respecting the parts of which each atom consists; while just the same difficulties stand in the way of every answer. Even were the hypothetical atom assumed to consist of still minuter ones, the difficulty would reappear at the next step; and so on perpetually.

Boscovich's conception yet remains to us. "Seeing that Matter could not, as Leibnitz suggested, be composed of unextended monads (since the juxtaposition of an infinity of points having no extension could not produce that extension which matter possesses), and perceiving objections to the view entertained by Newton, Boscovich proposed an intermediate theory. This theory is that the constituonts of Matter are centres of force—points without dimensions—which altract and revel one another in such wise as to be kept at specific distances apart. And he argues, multernatically, that the forces possessed by such centres might so vary with the distances that, under given conditions, the centres would remain in stable equilibrium with definite interspaces; and yet, under other conditions, would maintain larger or smaller interspaces. This speculation, however, escapes all the inconceivabilities above indicated by merging them in the one inconceivability with which it sets out. A centre of force absolutely without extension is unthinkable. The idea of resistance cannot be separated in thought from the idea of something which offers resistance, and this something must be thought of as occupying space. To suppose that central forces can reside in points having positions. only, with nothing to mark their positions-points in no respect

distinguishable from surrounding points which are not centres of force—is beyond human power.

But though the conception of Matter as consisting of dense indivisible units is symbolic, and cannot by any effort be thought out, it may yet be supposed to find indirect verification in the truths of chemistry. These, it is argued, necessitate the belief that Matter consists of particles of specific weights, and therefore of specific sizes. The law of definite proportions seems impossible on any other condition then the existence of ultimate atoms; and though the combining weights of the respective elements are termed by chemists their "equivalents," for the purpose of avoiding a questionable assumption, we are unable to think of the combination of such definite weights, without supposing it to take place between definite molecules. Thus it would appear that the Nowtonian view is at any rate preferable to that of Boscovich. A disciple of Boscovich, however, may reply that his master's theory is involved in that of Newton, and cannot indeed be escaped. "What-holds together the parts of these ultimate atoms?" he may ask. "A cohesive force," his opponent must answer. "And what," he may continue, " holds together the parts of any fragments into which, by sufficient force, an ultimate atom might be broken?" Again the answer must be-a cohesive force. " And what," he may still ask, " if the ultimate atom were reduced to parts as small in proportion to it, as it is in proportion to a tangible mass of matter-what must give each part the ability to sustain itself?" Still there is no answer but-a cohesive force. Carry on the mental process and we can find up limit until we arrive at the symbolic conception of centres of force without any extension.

Matter then, in its ultimate nature, is as absolutely incomperhensible as Space and Time. Whatever supposition we frame leaves us nothing but a choice between opposite absurdities.*

* To discuss Lord Kelvin's hypothesis of vortex-atoms, from the scientific point of view, is beyond my ability. From the philosophical point of view, however, I may my that since it postulates a homogeneous medium which is strictly continuous (non-molecular), which is incompressible, which is a perfect fluid in the scale of having no viscosity, and which has inertia, it sets out with what appears to me an incomolevability. A fluid which has imprint, implying mass, and which is yet absolutely frictionless, so that its

§ 17. A body impelled by the hand is perceived to move, and to move in a definite direction; doubt about its motion seems impossible. Yet we not only may be, but usually are, quite wrong in both these judgments. Here, for instance, is a ship which we will suppose to be anchored at the equator with her head to the West. When the captain walks from stem to stern, in what direction does he move? East is the obvious answer-an answer which for the moment may pass without criticism. But now the anchor is heaved, and the vessel sails to the West with a velocity equal to that at which the captain walks. In what direction does he now move when he goes from stem to stem? You cannot say East, for the vessel is carrying him as fast towards. the West as he walks to the East; and you cannot say West for the converse reason. In respect to things outside the vessel he is stationary, though to all on board he seems to be moving. But now are we quite sure of this conclusion i-Is he really stationary? On taking into account the Earth's motion round its axis, we find that he is travelling at the rate of 1000 miles per hour to the East; so that neither the perception of one who looks at him, nor the inference of one who allows for the ship's motion, is anything like right. Nor indeed, on further consideration, do we find this revised conclusion to be much better. For we have not allowed for the Earth's motion in its orbit. This being some 68,000 miles per hour, it follows that, assuming the time to be midday, he is moving, not at the rate of 1000 miles per hour to the East, but at the rate of 67,600 miles per hour to the East. Nav. not even now have we discovered the true rate and the true direction of his movement. With the Earth's progress in its orbit, we have to join that of the whole Solar system towards. the constellation Hercules. When we do this, we perceive that he is moving neither East nor West, but in a line inclined to the plane of the Ecliptic, and at a velocity greater or less (according to the time of the year) than that above named. And were the constitution of our Sidereal System fully known, we should probably discover the direction and rate of his actual movement to differ

parts move among one another without any loss of motion, count be truly represented in consciousness. Even were it otherwise, the hypothesis is held by Prof. Clerk Maxwell to be untenable (see art. " Atom," Ency. Brit.). considerably even from these. Thus we are taught that what we are conscious of is not the real motion of any object, either in its rate or direction, but merely its motion as measured from an assigned position-either our own or some other. Yet in this very process of concluding that the motions we perceive are not the real motions, we tacitly assume that there are real motions, We take for granted that there is an absolute course and an absolute velocity, and we find it impossible to rid ourselves of this idea. Nevertheless, absolute motion cannot even be imagined, much less known. Apart from those marks in space which we habitually associate with it, motion is unthinkable. For motion is change of place; but in space without marks, change of place is inconceivable, because place itself is inconceivable. Place can be conceived only by reference to other places; and in the absence of objects dispersed through space, a place could be conceived only in relation to the limits of space; whence it follows that in unlimited space, place cannot be conceived-all places must be equidistant from boundaries which do not exist. Thus while obliged to think that there is an absolute motion, we find absolute motion cannot be represented in thought,

Another insuperable difficulty presents itself when we contemplate the transfer of Motion. Habit blinds us to the marvellousness of this phenomenon. Familiar with the fact from childhood. we see nothing remarkable in the ability of a moving thing to generate movement in a thing that is stationary. It is, however, impossible to understand it. In what respect does a body after impact differ from itself before impact? What is this added to it which does not sensibly affect any of its properties and yet enables it to traverse space? Here is an object at rost and here is the same object moving. In the one state it has no tendency to change its place, but in the other it is obliged at each instant to assume a new position. What is it which will for ever go on producing this effect without being exhausted ? and how does it dwell in the object? The motion you say has been communicated. But how ?-- What has been communicated ? The striking body has not transferred a *thing* to the body struck; and it is equally out of the question to say that it has transferred an attribute. What then has it transferred?

Once more there is the old puzzle concerning the connexion between Motion and Rest. A body travelling at a given velocity cannot be brought to a state of rest, or no velocity, without passing through all intermediate velocities. It is quite possible to think of its motion as diminishing insensibly until it becomes infinitesimal; and many will think equally possible to pass in thought from infinitesimal motion to no motion. But this is an error. Mentally follow out the decreasing velocity as long as you please, and there still remains some velocity; and the smallest movement is separated by an impassable gap from no movement. As something, however minute, is infinitely great in comparison with nothing; so is even the least conceivable motion infinite as gempared with rest.

Thus acither when considered in connexion with Space, nor when considered in connexion with Matter, nor when considered in connexion with Rest, do we find that Motion is truly cognizable. All efforts to understand its essential nature do but bring us to alternative impossibilities of thought.

§ 18. On lifting a chair the force excited we regard as equal to that antagonistic force called the weight of the cluur, and we cannot think of these as equal without thinking of them as like in kind; since equality is conceivable only between things that are connatural. Yet, contrariwise, it is incredible that the force existing in the chair resembles the force present to our minds. It scarcely needs to point out that since the force as known to us is an affection of consciousness, we cannot conceive the force to exist in the chair under the same form without endowing the chair with consciousness. So that it is abaurd to think of Force as in itself like our sensation of it, and yet necessary so to think of it if we represent it in consciousness at all.

How, again, can we understand the connexion between Force and Matter? Matter is known to us only through its manifestations of Force: abstract its resistance mediately or immediately offered and there remains nothing but empty extension. Yet, on the other hand, resistance is equally unthinkable apart from Matter—apart from something extended. Not only are centres of force devoid of extension unimaginable, but we cannot imagine either extended or unextended centres of force to attract and repel other such centres at a distance, without the intermediation of some kind of matter. The hypothesis of Newton, equally with that of Bescovich, is open to the charge that it supposes one thing to act on another through empty space-a supposition which cannot be represented in thought. This charge is indeed met by introducing a hypothetical fluid existing among the atoms or centres. But the problem is not thus solved : it is simply shifted, and reappears when the constitution of this fluid is inquired into. How impossible it is to clude the difficulty is best seen in the case of estronomical forces. The Sun gives us sensations of light and heat; and we have ascertained that between the cause as existing in the Sun, and the effect as experienced on the Earth, a lapse of eight minutes occurs ; whence unavoidably result in us the conceptions of both a force and a motion. So that for assuming a luminiferous ether, there is the defence, not only that the exercise of force through 92,000,000 of miles of absolute vacuum is incopceivable, but also that it is impossible to conceive motion in the absence of something moved. Similarly in the case of gravitation, Newton described himself as unable to think that the attraction of one body for another at a distance, could be exerted in the absence of an intervening medium. But now let us ask how much the forwarder we are if an intervening medium be assumed. This ether whose unduiations according to the received hypothesis constitute heat and light, and which is the vehicle of gravitation -how is it constituted? We must regard it in the way that physicists usually regard it, as composed of atoms or molecules which attract and repel one another : infinitesimal it muy be in comparison with those of ordinary matter, but still atoms or molecules. And remembering that this ether is imponderable- we are obliged to conclude that the ratio between the interspaces of these atoms and the atoms themselves is immense. Hence we have to concrive these infinitesimal molecules acting on one another through relatively vast distances. How is this conception easier than the other ?- We still have mentally to represent a body as acting where it is not, and in the absence of anything by which its action may be transferred; and what matters it whether this takes place on a large or a small scale? Thus we are obliged to conclude

that matter, whether ponderable or imponderable, and whether aggregated or in its hypothetical units, acts on matter through absolutely vacant space; and yet this conclusion is unthinkable.

Another difficulty of conception, converse in nature but equally insurmountable, must be added. If, on the one hand, we cannot in thought see matter acting upon matter through vacant space; on the other hand, it is incomprehensible that the gravitation of one particle of matter towards another, and towards all others, should be the same whether the intervening space is filled with matter or not. I lift from the ground, and continue to hold; a pound weight. Now, into the vacancy between it and the ground, is introduced a mass of matter of any kind whatever, in any state whatever; and the gravitation of the weight is entirely unaffected. Each individual of the infinity of particles composing the Earth acts on the pound in absolutely the same way, whatever intervenes, or if nothing intervenes. Through eight thousand miles of the Earth's substance, each molecule at the antipodes affects each molecule of the weight, in utter indifference to the fulness or emptiness of the space between them. So that each portion of matter in its dealings with remote portions, treats all intervening portions as though they did not exist ; and yet, at the same time, it recognizes their existence with scruptilous exactness in its direct dealings with them.

While then it is impossible to form any idea of Force in itself, it is equally impossible to comprehend its made of exercise.

§ 19. Turning now from the outer to the inner world, let us contemplate, not the agencies to which we ascribe our subjective modifications, but the subjective modifications themselves. These constitute a series. Difficult as we find it distinctly to individualize them, it is nevertheless beyond question that our states of consciousness occur in succession.

Is this chain of states of consciousness infinite or finite? We cannot say infinite; not only because we have indirectly reached the conclusion that there was a period when it commenced, but also because all infinity is inconceivable—an infinite series included. If we say finite we say it inferentially; for we have no direct knowledge of either of its ends. Go back in memory as far as we may, we are wholly unable to identify our first states of consciousness. Similarly at the other extreme. We infer a termination to the series at a feture time, but cannot directly know it; and we cannot really lay hold of that temporary termination reached at the present moment. For the state of consciousness recognized by us as our last, is not truly our last. That any mental affection may be known as one of the series, it must be remembered—*represented* in thought, not *presented*. The truly last state of consciousness is that which is passing in the very act of contemplating a state just past—that in which we are thinking of the one before as the last. So that the province end of the change cludes us, as well as the remote end.

"But," it may be said, "though we cannot directly *know* consciousness to be finite in duration, because neither of its limits evu be actually reached, yet we can very well conceive it to be so." No: not even this is true. We cannot conceive the terminations of that consciousness which alone we really know—our own—any more than we can perceive its terminations. For in truth the two acts are here one. In either case such terminations must be, as above said, not presented in thought, but represented; and they must be represented as in the act of occurring. Now to represent the termination of consciousness as occurring in ourselves, is to think of ourselves is contemplating the assurption of the last state of consciousness; and this implies a supposed continuance of consciousness after its last state, which is aboved.

Hence, while we are unable either to believe or to conceive that the duration of consciousness is infinite, we are equally smable either to know it as finite, or to conceive it as finite: we can only infer from indirect evidence that it is finite.

§ 20. Nor do we meet with any greater success when, instead of the extent of consciousness, we consider its substance. The question—What is this that thinks? admits of no better solution than the question to which we have just found none but inconceivable answers.

The existence of each individual as known to himself, has always been held the most incontrovertible of truths. To say—"I am as sure of it as I am sure that I exist," is, in common speech, the most emphatic expression of certainty. And this fact of personal existence, testitied to by the universal consciousness of men, has been made the basis of more philosophies than one.

Belief in the reality of self cannot, indeed, be escaped while normal consciousness continues. What shall we say of these successive impressions and ideas which constitute consciousness? Are they affections of something called mind, which, as being the subject of them, is the real ego? If we say this we imply that the ego is an entity. Shall we assert that these impressions and ideas are not the mere superficial changes wrought on some thinking substance, but are themselves the very body of this substanceare severally the modified forms which it from moment to moment. assumes? This hypothesis, equally with the foregoing, implies that the conscious self exists as a permanent continuous being: since modifications accessarily include something modified. Shall, we then betake ourselves to the sceptify position, and argue that our impressions and ideas themselves are to us the only existences, and that the personality said to underlie them is a fiction? We do not even thus escape: since this proposition, verbally intelligible but really unthinkable, itself makes the assumption which it professes to repudiate. For how can consciousness he wholly resolved into impressions and ideas, when an impression of necessity implies something impressed? Or again, how can the sceptic, who has decomposed his consciousness into impressions and ideas, explain the fact that he considers them as his impressions and ideas? Or once more, if, as he must, he admits that he has an impression of his personal existence, what warrant can he show for rejecting this impression as unreal while he accepts all his other impressions as real?

But now, unavoidable as is this belief, it is yet a belief admitting of no justification by reason: may, indeed, it is a belief which reason, when pressed for a distinct answer, rejects. One of the most recent writers who has touched on this question— Mr. Mansel—does, indeed, contend that in the consciousness of self we have a piece of real knowledge. His position is that "let system-makers say what they will, the unsophisticated sense of mankind refuses to acknowledge that mind is but a bundle of states of consciousness, as matter is (possibly) a bundle of sensible

qualities." But this position does not seem a consistent one for a Kantist, who pays but small respect to "the unsophisticated sease of mankind" when it testifies to the objectivity of space. Moreover, it may readily be shown that a cognition of self, properly so called, is negatived by those laws of thought which he emphasizes. The fundamental condition to all consciousness, insisted upon by Mr. Mansel in common with Sir William Hamilton and others, is the antithesis of subject and object. On this "primitive dualism of consciousness," "from which the explanations of philosophy must take their start," Mr. Mansel founds his relutation of the German absolutists. But now what is the corollary, as bearing on the consciousness of self? The mental act in which self is known implies, like every other mental act, a perceiving subject and a perceived object. If, then, the object perceived is self, what is the subject that perceives? or if it is the true self which thinks, what other self can it be that is thought of? Clearly, a true cognition of self implies a state in which the knowing and the known are one-in which subject and object are identified; and this Mr. Mausel rightly holds to be the annihilation of both.

So that the personality of which each is conscious, and the existence of which is to each a fact beyond all others the most certain, is yet a thing which cannot be known at all, in the strict sense of the word.

§ 21. Ultimate Scientific Ideas, then, are all representative of realities that cannot be comprehended. After no matter how great a progress in the colligation of facts and the establishment of generalizations ever wider and wider, the fundamental truth remains us much beyond reach as ever. The explanation of that which is explicable, does but bring into greater clearness the inexplicableness of that which remains behind. Alike in the external and the internal worlds, the man of science sees himself in the midst of perpetual changes of which he can discover neither the beginning nor the end. If he allows himself to entertain the hypothesis that the Universe originally existed in a diffused form, he finds it impossible to conceive how this came to be so; and equally, if he speculates on the future, he can assign no limit to the grand succession of phenomena ever unfolding themselves before him. In like manner if he looks inward he perceives that both ends of the thread of consciousness are beyond his grasp. Neither and can be represented in thought. When, again, he turns from the succession of phenomena, external or internal, to their intrinsic nature, he is just as much at fault. Supposing him in every case able to resolve the appearances, properties, and movements of things, into manifestations of Force in Space and Time : he still finds that Force, Space, and Time pass all understanding. Similarly, though analysis of mental actions may finally bring him down to sensations, as the original materials out of which all thought is woven, yet he is little forwarder; for he can give no account either of sensations themselves or of that which is conscious of sensations. Objective and subjective things he thus ascertains to be alike inscrutable in their substance and genesis. In all directions his investigations eventually bring him face to face with an insoluble enigma; and he ever more clearly perceives it to be an insoluble enigma. He learns at once the greatness and the littleness of the human intellect-its power in dealing with all that comes within the range of experience, its impotence in dealing with all that transcends experience. He, more than any other, truly knows that in its ultimate nature nothing can be known,

CHAPTER IV

THE RELATIVITY OF ALL KNOWLEDGE

§ 22. Ten same conclusion is thus arrived at from whichever point we set out. Ultimate religious ideas and ultimate scientific ideas, alike turn out to be merely symbols of the actual, not cognitions of it.

The conviction, so reached, that human intelligence is incapable. of absolute knowledge, is one that has been slowly gaining ground. Each new ontological theory, propounded in lien of previous ones shown to be untenable, has been followed by a new criticism leading to a new scepticism. All possible conceptions have been one by one tried and found wanting; and so the entire field of speculation has been gradually exhausted without positive result : the only result reached being the negative one above stated-that the reality existing behind all appearances is, and must ever be, unknown. To this conclusion almost every thinker of note has subscribed. "With the exception," says Sir William Hamilton, "of a few late Absolutist theorisers in Germany, this is, perhaps, the truth of all others most harmoniously re-echoed by every philosopher of every school." And among these he names-Protagoras, Aristotic, St. Augustin, Boelhins, Averroes, Albertus Magnus, Gerson, Leo Hebraeos, Melanethon, Scaliger, Francis Ficcolomini, Giordano Bruno, Campanella, Bacon, Spinoza, Newton, Kant.

It remains to point out how this belief may be established rationally, as well as empirically. Not only is it that, as in the earlier thinkers above named, a vague perception of the inscrutableness of things in themselves results from discovering the illusiveness of sense-impressions; and not only is it that, as

THE RELATIVITY OF ALL KNOWLEDGE 51

shown in the foregoing chapters, experiments evolve alternative impossibilities of thought out of every fundamental conception; but it is that the relativity of our knowledge may be proved analytically. The induction drawn from general and special experiences, may be confirmed by a deduction from the nature of our intelligence. Two ways of reaching such a deduction exist. Proof that our cognitions are not, and never can be, absolute, is obtainable by analyzing either the product of thought, or the process of thought. Let us analyze each.

§ 23. If, when walking through the fields some day in September, you hear a rustle a few yards in advance, and on observing the ditch-side where it occurs, see the herbage agitated, you will probably turn towards the spot to learn by what this sound and motion are produced. As you approach there futters into the ditch a partridge; on acting which your curiocity is satisfied-you have what you call an explanation of the appearances. The explanation, mark, amounts to this; that whereas throughout life you have had countless experiences of disturbance andong small stationery bodies, accompanying the movement of other bodies among them, and have generalised the relation between such disturbances, and such movements, you consider this particular disturbance explained, on finding it to present an instance of the like relation. Suppose you catch the partridge; and, wishing to ascertain why it did not escape, examine it, and find at one spot a trace of blood on its feathers. You now understand, as you say, what has disabled the partridge It has been wounded by a sportsman-adds another case to flue cases already seen by yon, of birds being killed or injured by the shot discharged at them from fowling-pieces. And in assimilating this case to other such cases, consists your understanding of But now, on consideration, a difficulty suggests itself, it. Only a single shot has struck the partridge, and that not in a vital place: the wings are uninjuzed, as are also those muscles which move them; and the creature proves by its struggles that it has abundant strength. Why then, you inquire of yourself, does it not fly? Occasion favouring, you put the question to an anatomist, who furnishes you with a solution. He points mit

that this solitary shot has passed close to the place at which the nerve supplying the wing-muscles of one side, diverges from the spine; and explains that a slight injury to this nerve, extending even to the rupture of a few fibres, may, by preventing a perfect co-ordination in the actions of the two wings, destroy the power of flight. You are no longer puzzled. But what has happened? —what has changed your state from one of perplexity to one of *comprehension*? Simply the disclosure of a class of previously known cases, along with which you can include this case. The connexion between lesions of the nervous system and paralysis of limbs has been already many times brought under you notice; and you here find a relation of cause and effect that is essentially similar.

Let us suppose you are led to ask the anatomist questions about some organic actions which, remarkable though they are, you had not before cared to understand. How is respiration effected ? you ask-why does air periodically rush into the lungs? The answer is that influx of air is caused by an enlargement of the thoracic cavity, due, partly to depression of the diaphragin, partly to motion But how can these bony hoops move, and how does of the ribs. motion of them enlarge the cavity? In reply the anatomist explains that though attached by their ends the ribs can move a little round their points of attachment; he then shows you that the plane of each pair of ribs makes an acute angle with the spine ; that this angle widens when the sternal ends of the ribs are raised; and he makes you realize the consequent dilatation of the cavity, by pointing out how the area of a parallelogram increases as its angles approach to right angles : you understand this special fact when you see it to be an instance of a general geometrical fact. There still arises, however, the question-why does the air rush into this enlarged cavity ? To which comes the answer that, when the thoracic cavity is enlarged, the contained air, partially relieved from pressure, expands, and so loses some of its resisting power; that hence it opposes to the pressure of the external air a less pressure ; and that as air, like every other fluid, presses equally in all directions, motion must result along any line in which the resistance is less than elsewhere ; whence follows an inward current. And this interpretation you recognize as one, when a few facts of

THE RELATIVITY OF ALL KNOWLEDGE 58

like kind, exhibited more plainly in a visible fluid such as water, Again, after being shown that the are cited in illustration. limbs are compound levers acting in essentially the same way as levers of iron, you would consider yourself as having obtained a partial rationale of animal movements. The contraction of a muscle, seeming before quite unaccountable, would seem less unaccountable were you shown how, by a galvanic current, a series of soft iron magnets could be made to shorten itself through the attraction of each magnet for its neighbours :---an alleged analogy which especially answers the purpose of our argument, since, whether real or functed, it equally illustrates the mental illumination that results on finding a class of cases within which a particular case may perhaps be included. Similarly when you learn that animal heat arises from chemical combination, and so may be classed with heat evolved in other chamical combinations-when you learn that the absorption of nutrient liquids through the coats. of the intestines is an instance of osmotic action-when you learn that the changes undergone by food during digestion, are like changes artificially producible in the laboratory ; you regard yourself as knowing something about the natures of these phenomena.

Observe now what we have been doing. We began with special and concrete facts. In explaining each, and afterwards explaining the general facts of which they are instances, we have got down to certain highly general facts :- to a geometrical principle, to a simple law of mechanical action, to a law of fluid equilibrium-to truths in physics, in chemistry, in thermology. The particular phenotnena with which we set out have been merged in larger and larger groups of phenomena; and as they have been so merged, we have arrived at solutions we consider profound in proportion as this process has been carried far. Still deeper explanations are simply further steps in the same direction. When, for instance, it is asked why the law of action of the lever is what it is, or why finid equilibrium and fluid motion exhibit the relations they do, the answer furnished by mathematicians consists in the disclosure of the principle of virtual velocities-a principle holding true alike in fluids and solids-a principle under which the others are comprehended,

Is this process limited or unlimited ? Can we go on for ever

explaining classes of facts by including them in larger classes; or must we eventually come to a largest class? The supposition that the process is unlimited, were any one absurd enough to espouse it, would still imply that an ultimate explanation could not be reached, since infinite time would be required to reach it. While the unavoidable conclusion that it is limited, equally implies that the deepest fact cound be understood. For if the successively deeper interpretations of Nature which constitute advancing knowledge are merely successive inclusions of special truths in general truths, and of general truths in truths still more general; it follows that the most general truth, not admitting of inclusion in any other, does not admit of interpretation. Of necessity, therefore, explanation must become something other than comprehension, before the ultimate fact can be comprehended.

§ 24. The inference which is thus forced on us when we analyze the product of thought, as exhibited objectively in scientific generalizations, is equally forced on us by an analysis of the process of thought, as exhibited subjectively in consciousness. The demonstration of the relative character of our knowledge, as deduced from the nature of intelligence, has been brought to its most definite shape by Sir William Hamilton. I cannot here do better than extract from his essay on the "Philosophy of the Unconditioned," the passage containing the substance of his doctrine.

"The unconditionally unlimited, or the Lyinite, the unconditionally limited, or the Almahate, cannot positively be construed to the mind; they can be conceived, only by a thinking away from, or abstraction of, those very conditions under which thought itself is realized : consequently, the notion of the Unconditioned is only negative,—negative of the conceivable itself. For example, on the one hand we can positively conceive, neither an absolute whole, that is, a whole so great, that we cannot also conceive it as a relative part of a still greater whole : nor an absolute part, that is, a part so small, that we cannot also conceive it as a relative whole, divisible into smaller parts. On the other hand, we cannot positively represent, or realize, or construct to the mind (as here understanding and imagination coincide), an infinite whole, for this could only be done by the infinite synthesis in thought of finite wholes, which would itself require an infinite time for its accomplishment : nor, for the same reason, can we follow out in thought an infinite divisibility of parts. The result is the same, whether we apply the process to limitation in *space*, in *time*, or in *degree*, * * *

"As the conditionally limited (which we may briefly call the conditioned) is thus the only possible object of knowledge and of positive thought-thought necessarily supposes conditions. To think is to condition ; and conditional limitation is the fundamental law of the possibility of thought. For, as the greehound cannot outstrip his shadow, nor (by a more appropriate simile) the eagle outsoor the atmosphere in which he floats, and by which alone he may be supported; so the mind cannot transcend that sphere of limitation, within and through which exclusively the possibility of thought is realized. " . How, indeed, it could ever be doubted that thought is only of the conditioned, may well be dremed a matter of the profoundest admiration. Thought cannot transcend consciousness; consciousness is only possible under the antithesis of a subject and object of thought, known only in correlation, and mutually limiting each other; while, independently of this, all that we know either of subject or object, either of mind or matter, is only a knowledge in each of the particular, of the plural, of the different, of the modified, of the phanomenal. We admit that the consequence of this doctrine is,-that philosophy, if viewed as more than a science of the conditioned, is impossible. Departing from the particular, we admit, that we can never, in our highest generalizations, rise above the finite; that our knowledge, whether of mind or matter, can be nothing more than a knowledge of the relative manifestations of an existence, which in itself it is our highest wisdom to recognize as heyond the reach of philosophy. * *

"We are thus taught the salutary lesson, that the capacity of thought is not to be constituted into the measure of existence: and are warned from recognizing the domain of our knowledge as necessarily co-extensive with the horizon of our faith. And by a wonderful rerelation, we are thus, in the very consciousness of our inability to conceive aught above the relative and finite, inspired
with a belief in the existence of something unconditioned beyond the sphere of all comprehensible reality."

Clear and conclusive as this statement of the case appears when carefully studied, it is expressed in so abstract a manner as to be not very intelligible to the general reader. A more popular presentation of it, with illustrative applications, as given by Mr. Mansel in his *Limits of Religious Thought*, will make it more fully understood. The following extracts, which I take the liberty of making from his pages, will suffice,

"The very conception of consciousness, in whatever mode it, may be manifested, necessarily implies distinction between one object and another. To be conscious, we must be conscious of something ; and that something can only be known, as that which it is, by being distinguished from that which it is not. But distinction is necessarily limitation; for, if one object is to be distinguished from another, it must possess some form of existence which the other has not, or it must not possess some form which the other has. * * * If all thought is limitation ;- if whatever we conceive is, by the very act of conception, regarded as finite,the infinite, from a human point of view, is merely a name for the absence of those conditions under which thought is possible. To speak of a Conception of the Infinite is, therefore, at once to affirm those conditions and to deny them. The contradiction, which we discover in such a conception, is only that which we have ourselves placed there, by facitly assuming the conceivability of the inconceivable. The condition of consciousness is distinction; and condition of distinction is limitation. We can have no consciousness of Being in general which is not some Being in particular : a thing, in consciousness, is one thing out of many. In assuming the possibility of an infinite object of consciousness, I assume, therefore, that it is at the same time limited and unlimited ;-actually something, without which it could not be an object of consciousness, and actually nothing, without which it could not be infinite.

"A second characteristic of Consciousness is, that it is only possible in the form of a *relation*. There must be a Subject, or person conscious, and an Object, or thing of which he is conscious. There can be no consciousness without the union of these two factors; and, in that union, each exists only as

THE RELATIVITY OF ALL KNOWLEDGE 57

it is related to the other. The subject is a subject, only in so far as it is conscious of an object; the object is an object, only in so far as it is apprehended by a subject : and the destruction of either is the destruction of consciousness itself. It is thus manifest that a consciousness of the Absolute is equally self-contradictory with that of the Infinite. To be conscious of the Absolute as such, we must know that an object, which is given in relation to our consciousness, is identical with one which exists in its own nature, out of all relation to consciousness. But to know this identity, we must be able to compare the two together; and such a comparison is itself a contradiction. We are in fact required to compare that of which we are conscious with that of which we are not conscious; the comparison itself being an act of consciousness, and only possible through the consciousness of both its objects. It is thus manifest that, even if we could be conscious of the absolute, we could not possibly know that it is the absolute: and, as we can be conscious of an object as such, only by knowing it to be what it is, this is equivalent to an admission that we cannot be conscious of the absolute at all, As an object of consciousness, every thing is necessarily relative; and what a thing may be out of consciousness no mode of consciousness can tell us.

"This contradiction, again, admits of the same explanation as the former, " * * Existence, as we conceive it, is but a name for the several ways in which objects are presented to our consciousness, —a general term, embracing a variety of relations. The Absolute, on the other hand, is a term expressing up object of thought, but only a denial of the relation by which thought is constituted."

Here let me point out how the same general inference may be evolved from another fundamental condition to thought, omitted by Sir W. Hamilton and not supplied by Mr. Mansel ;—a condition which, under its obverse aspect, we have already contemplated in the last section. Every complete act of consciousness, besides distinction and relation, also implies likeness. Before it can constitute a piece of knowledge, or even become an idea, a mental state must be known not only as separate in kind or quality from certain foregoing states to which it is known as related by succession, but it must further be known as of the same kind or

quality with certain other foregoing states. That organization of changes which constitutes thinking, involves continuous integration as well as continuous differentiation. Were each new adjuction of the mind perceived simply as an affection in some way contrasted with preceding ones-were there but a chain of impressions, each of which as it arose was merely distinguished from its predecessors; consciousness would be a choos. To produce that orderly consciousness which we call intelligence, there requires the assimilation of each impression to others that occurred earlier in the series. Both the successive mental states, and the successive relations which they bear to one another, must be classified; and classification involves not only a partiag of the unlike, but also a binding together of the like. In brief, a true cognition is possible only through an accompanying recognition. Should it be objected that if so there cannot be a first cognition, and hence there cap he no cognition, the reply is that cognition proper arises gradually -that during the first stage of incipient intelligence, before the feelings produced by intercourse with the outer world have been put into order, there air no cognitions; and that, as every infant. shows us, these slowly emerge out of the confusion of unfolding consciousness as fast as the experiences are arranged into groupsas fast as the most frequently repeated sensations, and their relations. to one another, become familiar enough to admit of their recoguition as such or such, whenever they recur. Should it be further objected that if cognition presupposes recognition, there can be no cognition, even by an infalt, of an object never before seen; there is still the sufficient answer that in so far as it is not assimilated to previously-seen objects, it is not known, and that it is known only in so far as it is assimilated to them. Of this paradox the interpretation is, that an object is classifiable in various ways with various degrees of completeness. An animal hitherto unknown (muck the word), though not referable to any established species or genus, is yet recognized as belonging to one of the larger divisions-monunals, birds, reptiles, or fishes; or should it be so anomalous that its alliance with any of these is not determinable. it may yet be classed as vertebrate or invertebrate; or if it be one of those organisms in which it is doubtful whether the animal or vegetal traits predominate, it is still known as a living body.

THE RELATIVITY OF ALL KNOWLEDGE 59

Even should it be questioned whether it is organic, it 'remains beyond question that it is a material object, and it is cognized by being recognized as such. Whence it is clear that a thing is perfectly known only when it is in all respects like certain things previously observed; that in proportion to the number of respects in which it is unlike them, is the extent to which it is unknown; and that hence when it has absolutely no attribute in common with unything else, it must be absolutely beyond the bound of knowledge.

Observe the corollary which here concerns us. A cognition of the Real, as distinguished from the Phenomenal, must, if it exists, conform to this law of cognition in general. The First Cause, the Infinite, the Absolute, to be known at all, must be classed. To be positively thought of, it must be thought of as such or such-as of this or that kind. Can it be like in hind to paything of which we have experience? Obviously not! Between the creating and the created, there must be a distinction transcending out of the distingtions between different divisions of the created. That which is uncaused cannot be assimilated to that which is caused; the two being, in the very naming, antithetically opposed. The Infinite cannot be grouped along with something finite ; since, in being so grouped, it must be regarded as not-infinite. It is impossible to put. the Absolute in the same category with anything relative, so long as the Absolute is defined as that of which no necessary relation epobe predicated. Is it then that the Actual, though unthinkable by classification with the Apparent, is thinkable by classification with itself? This supposition is equally absurd with the other. It implies the plurality of the First Cause, the Infinite, the Absolute ; and this implication is self-contradictory. There count he more than one First Cause; seeing that the existence of more than one would involve the existence of something necessitating more than one, which something would be the true Pirst Cause. How selfdestructive is the assumption of two or more Infinites, is manifest on remembering that such Infinites, by limiting each other, would become finite. And similarly, an Absolute which cristed not alone but along with other Absolutes, would no longer be an absolute but a relative. The Unconditioned therefore, as classifie neither with any form of the conditioned nor with any other Unconditioned, cannot be classed at all. And to admit that it

cannot be known as of such or such kind, is to admit that it is unknowable.

Thus, from the very neture of thought, the relativity of our knowledge is inferable in three ways. As we find by analyzing it, and as we see it objectively displayed in every proposition, a thought involves relation, difference, likeness. Whatever does not present each of these does not admit of cognition. And hence we may say that the Unconditioned, as presenting none of them, is trebly unthinkable.

§ 25. From yet another point of view we may discern the same great truth. If, instead of examining our intellectual powers directly as displayed in the act of thought, or indirectly as displayed in thought when expressed by words, we look at the connexion between the mind and the world, a like conclusion is forced on us. The very definition of Life, phenomenally considered, when reduced to its most abstract shape, discloses this ultimate implication.

All vital actions, considered not separately but in their ensemble, have for their final purpose the balancing of certain outer processes by certain inner processes. There are external forces having a tendency to bring the matter of which living bodies consist into that stable equilibrium shown by inorganic bodies; there are internal forces by which this Leadency is constantly antagonized; and the unceasing changes which constitute Life, may be regarded as incidental to the maintenance of the antagonism. For instance, to preserve the erect posture certain weights have to be neutralized by certain strains : each limb or other organ, gravitating to the Earth and pulling down the parts to which it is attached, has to be preserved in position by the tension of sundry muscles; or, in other words, the forces which would, if allowed, bring the body to the ground have to be counterbalanced by other forces. Again, to keep up the temperature at a particular point, the external process of radiation and absorption of heat by the surrounding medium, must be met by a corresponding internal process of chemical combination, whereby more heat may be evolved; to which add that if from atmospheric changes the loss becomes greater or less, the production must become greater or less. Similarly throughout the organic actions at large,

THE RELATIVITY OF ALL KNOWLEDGE 61

In the lower kinds of life the adjustments thus maintained are direct and simple; as in a plant, the vitality of which mainly consists in comotic and chemical actions responding to the coexistence of light, heat, water, and carbon-dioxide around it. But in animals, and especially in the higher orders of them, the adjustments become extremely complex. Materials for growth and repair not being, like those which plants require, everywhere present, but being widely dispersed and under special forms, have to be found, to be secured, and to be reduced to a fit state for assimilation. Hence the need for locomotion ; hence the need for the senses; hence the need for prehensile and destructive appliances; hence the need for an elaborate digestive apparatos. Observe, however, that these complications are nothing but aida to the maintenance of the organic balance, in opposition to those physical, chemical, and other agencies which tend to overturn it. And observe, further, that while these complications and this fundamental adaptation of inner to outer actions, they are themselves. nothing but additional adaptations of inner to outer actions. For what are those movements by which a predatory creature pursues its prey, or by which its prey seeks to escape, but certain changes in the organism fitted to meet certain changes in its environment? What is that operation which constitutes the perception of a piece of food, but a particular correlation of pervous modifications, answering to a particular correlation of physical properties? What is that process by which food when swallowed is made fit for assimilation, but a set of mechanical and chemical actions responding to the mechanical and chemical characters of the food i Hence, while Life in its simplest form is the correspondence of certain inner physico-rhemical actions with certain outer physico-chemical actions, each advance to a higher form of Life consists in a better preservation of this primary correspondence by the establishment. of other correspondences,

So that, passing over its normenal nature of which we know nothing, Life is definable as the continuous adjustment of internal relations to external relations. And when we so define it, we discover that the physical and the psychical life are equally comprehended by the definition. This, which we call Intelligence, arises when the external relations to which the internal ones are

adjusted become numerous, complex; and remote in time or space. Every advance in Intelligence essentially consists in the establishment of more varied, more complete, or more involved adjustments. And even the highest generalizations of science consist of mental relations of co-existence and sequence, so co-ordinated as exactly to tally with certain relations of co-existence and sequence that occur externally. A caterpillar, finding its way on to a plant having a certain odour, begins to cat-has inside of it an organic relation between a particular impression and a particular set of actions, answering to the relation outside of it between scent and nutriment. The sparrow, guided by the more complex correlation of impressions which the colour, form, and movements of the caterpillor gave it, and guided by other correlations which measure the position and distance of the caterpillar, adjusts certain correlated muscular movements so as to seize the caterpillar. Through a much greater distance is the bawk, hovering above, affected by the relations of shape and motion which the sparrow presents : and the much more complicated and prolonged series of related nervous and muscular changes, gone through in correspondence with the sparrow's changing relations of position, finally succeed when they are precisely adjusted to these changing relations. In the fowler, experience has established a relation between the appearance and flight of a bawk and the destruction; of other birds, including game. There is also in him an established relation between those visual impressions answering to a certain distance in space, and the range of his gun. And he has learned, too, what relations of position the sights must bear to a point somewhat in advance of the flying hird, before he can fire with success. Similarly if we go back to the manufacture of the gun. By relatious of co-existence between colour, density, and place inthe earth, a particular mineral is known as one which yields iron ; and the obtainment of iron from it, results when certain correlated acts of ours are adjusted to certain correlated affinities displayed by ironstone, coal, and lime, at a high temperature. If we descend yet a step further, and ask a chemist to explain the explosion of grapowder, or apply to a mathematician for a theory of projectiles, we still find that special or general relations of co-existence and sequence among properties, motions, spaces, &c., are all they

THE RELATIVITY OF ALL KNOWLEDGE 65

can teach us. And lastly, let it be noted that what we call truth, guiding us to successful action and consequent maintenance of life, is simply the accurate correspondence of subjective to objective relations; while error, leading to failure and therefore towards death, is the absence of such accurate correspondence.

If, then, Life, as knowable by us, inclusive of Intelligence in its highest forms, consists in the continuous adjustment of internal relations to external relations, the relative character of our knowledge is necessarily implied. The simplest cognition being the establishment of some connexion between subjective states. answering to some connexion between objective agencies; and each successively more complex cognition being the establishment of some more involved connexion of such states, an evening to some more involved connexion of such agencies; it is clear that the process, no matter how for it be carried, yao never bring within the reach of Intelligence, either the states themselves or the agencies themselves. Ascertaining which things occur along with which, and what things follow what, supposing it to be pursued exhaustively, must still have us with co-existences and sequences only. If every act of knowing is the formation of a relation in consciousness answering to a relation in the environment, then the relativity of knowledge is self-evident-becomes indeed a traism. Thinking being relationing, no thought can ever express more than relations.

And here let us note how that to which our intelligence is confined is that with which alone our intelligence is concerned. The knowledge within our reach is the only knowledge that can be of service to us. This maintenance of a correspondence between internal actions and external actions, merely requires that the agencies acting upon as shell be known in their co-existences and sequences, and not that they shall be known in themselves. If x and y are two uniformly connected properties in some outer object, while a and b are the effects they produce in our consciousness, then the sole need is that a and b and the relation between them, shall always answer to x and y and the relation between them. It matters nothing to us if u and b are like x and y or not. Could they be identical with them, we should not be one whit the better off; and their total dissimilarity is no disadvantage. Deep down then in the very nature of Life, the relativity of our knowledge is discernible. The analysis of vital actions in general, leads not only to the conclusion that things in themselves cannot be known to us, but also to the conclusion that knowledge of them, were it possible, would be useless.

§ 26. There remains the final question—What must we say concerning that which transcends knowledge? Are we to rest wholly in the consciousness of phenomena? Is the result of inquiry to exclude utterly from our minds everything but the relative? or must we also believe in something beyond the relative?

The answer of pure logic is held to be that by the limits of our intelligence we are rigorously confined within the relative, and that anything transcending the relative can be thought of only as a pure negation, or as a non-existence. "The absolute is conceived merely by a negation of conceivability," writes Sir William Hamilton. "The Absolute and the Infinite," says Mr. Mansel, " are thus, like the Inconceivable and the Imperceptible, names indicating, not an object of thought or of consciousness at all, but the mere absence of the conditions under which consciousness is possible." So that since reason cannot warrant us in affirming the positive existence of that which is cognizable only as a negation, we cannot rationally affirm the positive existence of anything heyond phenomena.

Univoidable as this conclusion seems, it involves, I think, a grave error. If the premiss be granted the inference must be admitted; but the premiss, in the form presented by Sir William Hamilton and Mr. Mansel, is not strictly true. Though, in the foregoing pages, the arguments used by these writers to show that the Absolute is unknowable, have been approvingly quoted : and though these arguments have been enforced by others equally thoroughgoing; yet there remains to be stated a qualification which saves us from the scepticism otherwise necessitated. It is not to be denied that so long as we confine ourselves to the purely logical aspect of the question, the propositions quoted above must be accepted in their entirety; but when we contemplate its more general, or psychological, aspect, we find that these propositions are imperfect statements of the truth : omitting, or rather excluding, as they do, an all-important fact. To speak specifically :--Besides that *definite* consciousness of which Logic formulates the laws, there is also an *indefinite* consciousness which cannot be formulated. Besides complete thoughts, and besides the thoughts which though incomplete admit of completion, there are thoughts which it is impossible to complete, and yet which are still real, in the sense that they are normal affections of the intellect.

Observe, in the first place, that every one of the arguments by which the relativity of our knowledge is demonstrated, distinctly postulates the positive existence of something beyond the relative, To say that we cannot know the Absolute, is, by implication, to affirm that there is an Absolute. In the very denial of our power to learn what the Absolute is, there lies hidden the assumption that it is; and the making of this assumption proves that the Absolute has been present to the mind, not as a nothing but as a something. Similarly with every step in the reasoning by which this doctrine is upheld. The Noumenon, everywhere named as the antithesis to the Phenomenon; is necessarily thought of as an actuality. It is impossible to conceive that our knowledge is a knowledge of Appearances only, without at the same time assuming a Reality of which they are appearances; for appearance without reality is unthinkable. Strike out from the argument the terms Unconditioned, Infinite, Absolute, and in place of them write, "negation of conceivability," or " absence of the conditions under which consciousness is possible," and the argument becomes To realize in thought any one of the propositions of nonsense. which the argument consists, the Unconditioned must be represented as positive and not negative. How then can it be a legitimate conclusion from the argument, that our consciousness of it is negative? An argument the very construction of which assigns to a certain term a certain meaning, but which ends in showing that this term has no such meaning, is simply an elaborate suicide. Clearly, then, the very demonstration that a definite consciousness of the Absolute is impossible to us, unavoidably presupposes an *indefinite* consciousness of it.

Perhaps the best way of showing that we are obliged to form

5

a positive though vague consciousness of this which transcends distinct consciousness, is to analyze our conception of the antithesis between Relative and Absolute. It is a doctrine called in question by none, that such antinomies of thought as Whole and Part, Equal and Unequal, Singular and Plural, are necessarily conceived as correlatives: the conception of a part is impossible without the conception of a whole; there can be no idea of equality without one of inequality. And it is undeniable that in the same manner, the Relative is itself conceivable as such, only by opposition to the Irrelative or Absolute. Sir William Hamilton, however, in his trenchant (and in most parts unneswerable) criticism on Cousin, contends, in conformity with his position above stated, that one of these correlatives is nothing more than the negation of the other. "Correlatives," he says, "certainly suggest each other, but correlatives may, or may not, be equally real and positive. In thought contradictories necessarily imply each other, for the knowledge of contradictories But the reality of one contradictory, so far from is one. guaranteeing the reality of the other, is nothing else than its negation. Thus every positive notion (the concept of a thing by what it is) suggests a negative notion (the concept of a thing by what it is not); and the highest positive notion, the notion of the conceivable, is not without its corresponding negative in the notion of the inconceivable. But though these mutually suggest each other, the positive alone is real; the negative is only an abstraction of the other, and in the highest generality. even an abstraction of thought itself." Now the agertion that of such contradictories "the negative is only an abstraction of the other "-" is nothing else than its negation,"-is not true. In such correlatives as Equal and Unequal, it is obvious enough that the negative concept contains something besides the negation of the positive one; for the things of which equality is denied are not abolished from consciousness by the denial. And the fact overlooked by Sir William Hamilton is, that the like holds even with those correlatives of which the negative is inconceivable, in the strict sense of the word, 'Take for example the Limited and the Unlimited. Our notion of the Limited is composed, firstly of a consciousness of some kind of being, and secondly of a

THE RELATIVITY OF ALL KNOWLEDGE 67

consciousness of the limits under which it is known. In the antithetical notion of the Unlimited, the consciousness of limits is abolished, but not the consciousness of some kind of being, It is quite true that in the absence of conceived limits, this consciousness ceases to be a concept properly so called; but it is none the less true that it remains as a mode of consciousness. If, in such cases, the negative contradictory were, as alleged, "nothing else" than the negation of the other, and therefore a mere nonentity, then it would follow that negative contradictories could be used interchangeably: the Unlimited might be thought of as antithetical to the Divisible; and the Indivisible as antithetical to the Limited. While the fact that they cannot be so used, proves that in consciousness the Unlimited and the Indivisible. are qualitatively distinct, and therefore positive or real: since distinction cannot exist between nothings. The error, (naturally fallen into by philosophers intent on demonstrating the limits and conditions of consciousness,) consists in assuming that consciousness contains nothing but limits and conditions; to the entire neglect of that which is limited and conditioned. It is forgotten that there is something which alike forms the raw material of definite thought and remains after the definiteness which thinking gave it has been destroyed. Now all this applies by change of terms, to the last and highest of these autinomics-that between the Relative and the Non-relative. We are conscious of the Relative as existence under conditions and limits. It is impossible that these conditions and limits cap be thought of apart from something to which they give the form. The ubstraction of these conditions and limits is, by the hypothesis, the abstraction of them only. Consequently there must be a residuary consciousness of something which filled up their outlines. And this indefinite something constitutes our consciousness of the Non-relative or Absolute. Impossible though it is to give to this consciousness any qualitative or quantitative expression whatever, it is not the less certain that it remains with us as a positive and indestructible element of thought.

More manifest still will this truth become when it is observed that our conception of the Relative itself disappears, if our consciousness of the Absolute is a pure negation. It is admitted, or rather it is contended, by the writers I have quoted above, that contradictories can be known only in relation to each otherthat Equality, for instance, is unthinkable spart from Inequality; and that thus the Relative can itself be conceived only by opposition to the Non-relative. It is also admitted, or rather contended, that the consciousness of a relation implies a consciousness of both the related terms. If we are required to conceive the relation between the Relative and Non-relative without being conscious of both, "we are in fact" (to quote the words of Mr. Maasel differently applied) "required to compare that of which we are conscious with that of which we are not conscious; the comparison itself being an act of consciousness, and only possible through the consciousness of both its objects." What then becomes of the assertion that " the Absolute is conceived merely by a negation of conceivability," or as "the mereabsence of the conditions under which consciousness is possible ?" If the Non-relative or Absolute is present in thought only as a more negation, then the relation between it and the Relative becomes unthinkable, because one of the terms of the relation is absent from consciousness. And if this relation is unthinkable, then is the Relative itself unthinkable, for want of its antithesis: whence results the disappearance of all thought whatever.

Both Sir William Hamilton and Mr. Mansel do, in other places, distinctly imply that our consciousness of the Absolute, indefinite though it is, is positive. The very passage in which Sir William Hamilton asserts that "the absolute is conceived merely by a negation of conceivability," itself ends with the remark that, "by a wonderful revelution we are thus, in the very consciousness of our inability to conceive aught above the relative and finite. inspired with a belief in the existence of something unconditioned beyond the sphere of all comprehensible reality." The last of these assertions practically admits that which the first denies. By the laws of thought as Sir William Hamilton interprets them, he finds himself forced to the conclusion that our consciousness of the Absolute is a pure negation. He nevertheless finds that there does exist in consciousness an irresistible conviction of the real "existence of something unconditioned," And be gets over the inconsistency by speaking of this conviction as "a wonderful revelation,"-" a belief" with which we are "inspired": thus

apparently hinting that it is supernaturally at variance with the laws of thought. Mr. Mansel is betrayed into a like inconsistency. When he says that "we are compelled, by the constitution of our minds, to believe in the existence of an Absolute and Infinite Being, —a belief which appears forced upon us, as the complement of our consciousness of the relative and the finite"; he clearly says by implication that this consciousness is positive, and not negative. He tacitly admits that we are obliged to regard the Absolute as something more than a negation—that our consciousness of it is not "the mere absence of the conditions under which consciousness is possible."

The supreme importance of this question must be my apology for taxing the reader's attention a little further, in the hope of clearing up the remaining difficulties. The necessarily positive character of our consciousness of the Unconditioned, which, as we have seen, follows from an ultimate law of thought, will be better understood on contemplating the process of thought.

One of the arguments used to prove the relativity of our knowledge, is, that we cannot conceive Space or Time as either limited or unlimited. It is pointed out that when we imagine a limit, there simultaneously arises the consciousness of a space or time beyond the limit. This remoter space or time, though not contemplated as definite, is yet contemplated as real. Though we do not form of it a conception proper, since we do not bring it within bounds, there is yet in our minds the unshaped material of a conception. Similarly with our consciousness of Cause. We are no more able to form a circumscribed idea of Cause, than of Space or Time; and we are consequently obliged to think of the Cause which transcends the limits of our thought as positive though indefinity. As on conceiving any bounded space, there arises a nascent consciousness of space outside the bounds; so, when we think of any definite cause, there arises a nascent consciousness of a cause behind it; and in the one case as in the other, this pascent consciousness is in substance like that which suggests it, though without form. The momentum of thought carries us beyond conditioned existence to unconditioned existence; and this ever persists in us as the body of a thought to which we can give no shape.

Hence our firm belief in objective reality. When we are taught

that a piece of matter, regarded by us as existing externally, cannot be really known, but that we can know only certain impressions produced on us, we are yet, by the relativity of thought, compelled to think of these in relation to a cause-the notion of a real, existence which generated these impressions becomes nascent, If it be proved that every notion of a real existence which we can frame, is inconsistent with itself-that matter, however conceived by us, cannot be matter as it actually is, our conception, though transfigured, is not destroyed : there remains the sense of reality, dissociated as far as possible from those special forms under which it was before represented in thought. Though Philosophy condeans successively each attempted conception of the Absolutethough in abedience to it we negative, one after another, each idea as it arises; yet, as we cannot expel the entire contents of consciousness, there ever remains behind an element which passes into new shapes. The continual negation of each particular form and limit, simply results in the more or less complete abstraction of all forms and limits; and so ends in an indefinite consciousness of the unformed and unlimited.

And here we come face to face with the ultimate difficulty-How can there be constituted a consciousness of the unformed and unlimited, when, by its very nature, consciousness is possible only under forms and limits? Though not directly withdrawn by the withdrawal of its conditions, must not the raw material of conaciousness be withdrawn by implication ? Must it not vanish when the conditions of its existence vanish iThat there must be a solution of this difficulty is manifest; since even those who would put it do, as already shown, admit that we have some such consciousness; and the solution appears to be that above shadowed forth. Such consciousness is not, and cannot be, constituted by any single mental act, but is the product of many mental acts. In each concept there is an element which persists. It is impossible for this element to be absent from consciousness, or for it to be present in consciousness alone. Either alternative involves unconsciousness—the one from want of the substance; the other from want of the form. But the persistence of this element under successive conditions, necessitates a sense of it as distinguished from the conditions, and independent of them. The sense of a some-

thing that is conditioned in every thought cannot be got vid of, because the something cannot be got rid of. How then must the sense of this something be constituted? Evidently by combining successive concepts deprived of their limits and conditions. We form this indefinite thought, as we form many of our definite thoughts, by the coalescence of a series of thoughts. Let me A large complex object, having attributes illustrate this. too numerous to be represented at once, is yet tolerably well conceived by the union of several representations, each standing for part of its attributes. On thinking of a piano, there first rises in imagination its outer appearance, to which use instantly added (though by separate mental acts) the ideas of its remote side and of its solid substance. A complete conception, however, involves the strings, the hammers, the dampers, the pedals; and while successively adding these, the attributes first thought of lapse partially or wholly out of consciousness. Nevertheless, the whole group constitutes a representation of the piano. Now as in this case we form a definite concept of a special existence, by imposing limits and conditions in successive acts; so, in the converse case, by taking away limits and conditions in successive acts, we form an indefinite notion of general existence. By fusing a series of states of consciousness, from each of which, as it arises, the limitations and conditions are abolished, there is produced a consciousness of something unconditioned. To speak more rigorously :- this consciousness is not the abstract of any one group of thoughts, ideas, or conceptions: but it is the abstract of all thoughts, ideas, or conceptions. That which is common to them all we predicate by the word existence. Dissociated as this becomes from each of its modes by the perpetual change of those modes, it remains as an indefinite consciousness of something constant under all modes-of being apart from its appearances. The distinction we feel between specialized existences and general existence, is the distinction between that which is changeable in us and that which is unchangeable. The contrast between the Absolute and the Relative in our minds, is really the contrast between that mental element which exists absolutely, and those which exist relatively.

So that this ultimate mental element is at once necessarily

indefinite and necessarily indestructible. Our consciousness of the unconditioned being literally the unconditioned consciousness, or nuw material of thought to which in thinking we give definite forms, it follows that an ever-present sense of real existence is the basis of our intelligence. As we can in successive mental acts get rid of all particular conditions and replace them by others, but cannot get rid of that undifferentiated substance of consciousness which is conditioned anew in every thought, there ever remains with us a sense of that which exists persistently and independently of conditions. While by the laws of thought we are prevented from forming a conception of absolute existence; we are by the laws of thought prevented from excluding the consciousness of absolute existence: this consciousness being, as we here see, the obverse of self-consciousness. And since the measure of relative validity among our beliefs, is the degree of their persistence in opposition to the efforts made to change them, it follows that this which persists at all times, under all circumstances, has the highest validity of any,

The points in this somewhat too elaborate argument are these:— In the very assertion that all knowledge, properly so called, is Relative, there is involved the assertion that there exists a Nonrelative. In each step of the argument by which this doctrine is established, the same assumption is made. From the necessity of thinking in relations, it follows that the Relative is itself inconceivable, except as related to a real Non-relative. Unless a real Non-relative or Absolute be postulated, the Relative itself becomes absolute, and so brings the argument to a contradiction. And on watching our thoughts we have seen how impossible it is to get rid of the consciousness of an Actuality lying behind Appearances; and how, from this impossibility, results our indestructible belief in that Actuality.

CHAFTER V

THE RECONCILIATION

§ 27. Thus do all lines of argument converge to the same conclusion. Those imbecilities of the understanding which disclose themselves when we try to answer the highest questions of objective science, subjective science proves to be necessitated by the laws of that understanding. Finally, we discover that this conclusion which, in its unqualified form, seems opposed to the instinctive convictions of mankind, falls into harmony with them when the missing qualification is supplied.

Here, then, is that basis of agreement we set out to seek. This conclusion which objective science illustrates and subjective science. shows to be unavoidable.—this conclusion which brings the results. of speculation into harmony with those of common sense; is also the conclusion which reconciles Religion with Science. Common Sense asserts the existence of a reality; Objective Science proves that this reality connot be what we think it; Subjective Science shows why we cannot think of it as it is, and yet are compelled to think of it as existing ; and in this assertion of a Reality utterly inscrutable in nature, Religion finds an assertion essentially coinciding with her own. We are obliged to regard every phenomenon as a manifestation of some Power by which we are acted upon; though Omnipresence is unthinkable, yet, as experience discloses no bounds to the diffusion of phenomena, we are unable to think of limits to the presence of this Power; while the criticisms of Science teach us that this Power is Incomprehensible. And this consciousness of an Incomprehensible Power, called Omnipresent from inshility to assign its limits, is just that consciousness on which Religion dwells.

THE UNKNOWABLE

To understand fully how real is the reconciliation thus reached, it will be needful to look at the respective attitudes that Religion and Science have all along maintained towards this conclusion.

§ 28. In its earliest and crudest forms Religion manifested, however vaguely and inconsistently, an intuition forming the germ of this highest belief in which philosophies finally unite. The consciousness of a mystery is traceable in the rudest ghost theory. Each higher creed, rejecting those definite and simple interpretations of Nature previously given, has become more religious by doing this. As the concrete and conceivable agencies assigned as the causes of things, have been replaced by agencies less concrete and conceivable, the element of mystery has necessarily become more predominant. Through all its phases the disappearance of those dogmes by which the mystery was made unmysterious, has formed the essential change delineated in religious history. And so Religion has been approaching towards that complete recognition of this mystery, which is its goal.

For its essentially valid belief Religion has constantly done battle. Gross as were the disguises under which it first espoused this belief, and cherishing this belief, even still, under disfiguring vestments, it has never ceased to inaintain and defend it. Though from age to age Science has continually defeated it wherever they have come in collision, and has obliged it to relinquish one or more of its positions, it has held the remaining ones with undiminished tenacity. After criticism has abolished its arguments, there has still remained with it the indestructible convolusness of a truth which, however faulty the mode in which it had been expressed, is yet a truth beyond cavil.

But while from the beginning, Religion has had the all-essential office of proventing men from being wholly absorbed in the relative or immediate, and of awakening them to a consciousness of something beyond it, this office has been but very imperfectly discharged. In its early stages the consciousness of supernature being simply the consciousness of numerous supernatural persons essentially manlike, was not far removed from the ordinary consciousness. As thus constituted, Religion was and has ever been more or less irreligious; and indeed continues to be largely irreligious even

In the first place (restricting ourselves to Religion now, in its more developed form), it has all along professed to have some knowledge of that which transcends knowledge, and has so contradicted its own teachings. While with one breath it has asserted that the Cause of all things passes understanding, it has, with the next breath, asserted that the Cause of all things possesses such or such attributes—can be in so far understood. In the second place, while in great part sincere in its fealty to the great truth it has had to uphold, it has often been insincere, and consequently irreligious, in maintaining the untenable doctrines by which it has obscured this great truth. Each assertion respecting the nature, acts, or motives of that Fower which the Universe manifests to us, has been repeatedly called in question, and proved to be inconsistent with itself, or with accompanying assertions. Yet each of them has been age after age jusisted on. Just as though unaware that its central position was impregnable, Religion has obstinately held every outpost long after it was obviously in-And this introduces us to the third and most defensible. serious form of irreligion which Religion has displayed; namely, an imperfect belief in that which it especially professes to believe, How truly its central position is impregnable, Religion has never adequately realized. In the devoutest faith as we commonly see it. there lies hidden a core of scepticism; and it is this scepticism which causes that dread of inquiry shown by Religion when face to face with Science. Obliged to abandon one by one the superstitions it once tenaciously held, and daily finding other cherished beliefs more and more shaken, Religion secretly faurs that all things may some day be explained; and thus itself behave a lurking doubt whether that Incomprehensible Cause of which it is conscious, is really incomprehensible.

Of Religion then, we must always remember, that amid its many errors and corruptions it has asserted and diffused a supreme verity. From the first, the recognition of this supreme verity, in however imperfect a manner, has been its vital element; and its chief defects, once extreme but gradually diminishing, have been its failures to recognize in full that which it recognized in part. The truly religious element of Religion has always been good; that which has proved untenable in doctrine and vicious in practice, has been its irreligious element; and from this it has been undergoing purification.

§ 29. And now observe that the agent which has effected the purification has been Science. On both sides this fact is overlooked. Religion ignores its immense debt to Science; and Science is scarcely at all conscious how much Religion owes it. Yet it is demonstrable that every step by which Religion has progressed from its first low conception to the comparatively high one now reached, Science has helped it, or rather forced it, to take; and that even now, Science is urging further steps in the same direction.

When we include under the name Science all definite knowledge of the order existing among phenomena, it becomes manifest that from the outset, the discovery of an established order has modified that conception of disorder, or undetermined order, which underlies every superstition. As fast as experience proves that certain familiar changes always present the same sequences, there begins to fade from the mind the conception of special personalities to whose variable wills they were before ascribed. And when, step by step, accumulating observations do the like with the less familiar changes, a similar modification of belief takes place respecting them.

While this process scenes to those who effect it, and those who undergo it, an anti-religious one, it is really the reverse. Instead of the specific comprehensible agency before assigned, there is substituted a less specific and less comprehensible agency; and though this, standing in opposition to the previous one, cannot at first call forth the same feeling, yet, as being less comprehensible, it must eventually call forth this feeling more fully, Take an instance. Of old the Sun was regarded as the chariot of a god, drawn by horses. How far the idea thus grossly expressed was idealized, we need not inquire. It suffices to remark that this accounting for the apparent motion of the Sun by an agency like certain visible terrestrial agencies, reduced a daily wonder to the level of the commonest intellect. When, many centuries after, Copernicus having enunciated the heliocentric theory of the solar system, Kepler discovered that the orbits of the planets are ellipses,

and that the planets describe equal areas in equal times, he coucluded that in each of them there must exist a spirit to guide its movements. Here we see that with the progress of Science, there had disappeared the idea of a gross mechanical traction, such as was first assigned in the case of the Sun ; but that while for the celestial motions there was substituted a less-easily conceivable force, it was still thought needful to assume personal agents as causes of the regular irregularity of the motions. When, finally, it was proved that these planetary revolutions with all their variations and disturbances, conform to one universal law-when the presiding spirits which Kepler conceived were set aside, and the force of gravitation put in their places ; the change was really the abolition of an imaginable agency, and the substitution of an unimaginable one. For though the law of gravitation is within our mental grasp, it is impossible to realize in thought the force of gravitation. Newton himself confessed the force of gravitation to be incomprehensible without the intermediation of an other; and, as we have already seen, (§ 18,) the assumption of an ether does not help Thus it is with Science in general. Its progress in U9. grouping particular relations of phenomena under laws, and these special laws under laws more and more general, is of necessity a progress to causes more and more abstract. And causes more and more abstract, are of necessity causes less and less conceivable; since the formation of an abstract conception involves the dropping of certain concrete elements of thought. Hence the most abstract conception, to which Science is slowly approaching, is one that merges into the inconceivable or unthinkable, by the dropping of all concrete elements of thought. And so is justified the assertion that the beliefs which Science has forced upon Religion, have been intrinsically more religious than those which they supplimed.

Science, however, like Religion, has but very incompletely fulfilled its office. As Religion has fallen short of its function in so far as it has been irreligious; so has Science fallen short of its function in so far as it has been unscientific. Let us note the several parallelisms. In its earlier stages Science, while it began to teach the constant relations of phenomena, and thus discredited the belief in separate personalities as the causes of

them, itself substituted the belief in causal agencies which, if not personal, were yet concrete. When certain facts were said to show "Nature's abhorrence of a vacuum," when the properties of gold were explained as due to some entity called "nureity," and when the phenomena of life were attributed to "a vital principle": there was set up a mode of interpreting the facts which, while antagonistic to the religious mode, because assigning other agencies, was also unscientific, because it assumed a knowledge of that about which nothing was known. Having abaudoned these metaphysical agencies-having seen that they are not independent existences, but merely special combinations of general causes, Science has more recently ascribed extensive groups of phenomena. to electricity, chemical adjuity, and other like general powers. But in speaking of these as ultimate and independent entities, Science has preserved substantially the same attitude as before. Accounting thus for all phenomena, it has not only maintained its scening antagonism to Religion, by alleging agencies of a radically unlike kind; but, in so far as it has tacitly implied its comprehension of these agencies, it has continued anscientific. At the present time, however, the most advanced men of science are abandoning these later conceptions, as their predecessors abandoned the earlier ones. Magnetism, heat, light, &c., which were early in the century spoken of as so many distinct imponderables, physicists now regard as different modes of manifestation of some one universal force; and in so regarding them are ceasing to think of this force as comprehensible. In each phase of its progress, Science has thus stopped short with superficial solutions-has unscientifically neglected to ask what were the natures of the agents it familiarly invoked. Though in each succeeding phase it has gone a little deeper. and merged its supposed agents in more general and abstract ones, it has still, as before, rested content with these as if they were ascertained reslities. And this, which has all along been an unscientific characteristic of Science, has all along been a part-cause of its conflict with Religion.

§ S0. Thus from the outset the faults of both Religion and Science have been the faults of imperfect development. Originally a more rudiment, each has been growing more complete; the vice of each has in all times been its incompleteness; the disagreements between them have been consequences of their incompleteness; and as they reach their final forms they come into harmony.

The progress of intelligence has throughout been dual, Though it has not seemed so to those who made it, every step in advance. has been a step towards both the natural and the supernatural. The better interpretation of each phenomenon has been, on the one hand, the rejection of a cause that was relatively conceivable in its nature but unknown in the order of its actions, and, on the other hand, the adoption of a cause that was known in the order of its actions but relatively inconceivable in its nature. The first advance involved the conception of agencies less assimilable to the familiar agencies of men and animals, and therefore less understood : while, at the same time, such newly-conceived agencies, in so far as they were distinguished by their uniform effects, were better understood than those they replaced. All subsequent advances display the same double result; and thus the progress has been as much towards the establishment of a positively unknown as towards the establishment of a positively known. Though as knowledge advances, unaccountable and scenningly supernatural facts are brought into the category of facts that are accountable or national; yet, at the same time, all accountable or natural facts are proved to be in their ultimate genesis unaccountable and supernatural. And so there arise two antithetical states of mind, answering to the opposite sides of that existence about which we think. While our consciousness of Nature under the one aspect constitutes Science, our consciousness of it under the other aspect constitutes Religion.

In other words, Religion and Science have been undergoing a slow differentiation, and their conflicts have been due to the imperfect separation of their spheres and functions. Religion has, from the first, struggled to unite more or less science with its nescience: Science has, from the first, kept hold of more or less nescience as though it were a part of science. So long as the process of differentiation is incomplete, more or less of antagonism must continue. Gradually as the limits of possible cognition are established, the causes of conflict will diminish. And a permanent peace will be reached when Science becomes fully convinced that its explenations are proximate and relative, while Religion becomes fully convinced that the mystery it contemplates is ultimate and absolute.

Religion and Science are therefore necessary correlatives. To carry further a metaphor before used,—they are the positive and negative poles of thought; of which neither can gain in intensity without increasing the intensity of the other.

§ 51. Some do indeed allege that though the Ultimate Cause of things cannot really be conceived by us as having specified attributes, it is yet immubent upon us to assert those attributes. Though the forms of our consciousness are such that the Absolute cannot in any manner or degree be brought within them, we are nevertheless told that we must represent the Absolute to ourselves as having certain characters. As writes Mr. Mansel, in the work from which I have already quoted largely—"It is our duty, then, to think of God as personal; and it is our duty to believe that He is infinite."

Now if there be any meaning in the foregoing arguments, duty requires us neither to affirm nor deny personality. Our dety is to submit ourselves to the established limits of our intelligence, and not perversely to rebel against them. Let those who can, believe that there is eternal war set between our intellectual faculties and our moral obligations. I, for one, admit no such radical vice in the constitution of things.

This which to most will seem an essentially irreligious position, is an essentially religious one—nay is the religious one, to which, as already shown, all others are but approximations. In the estimate it implies of the Ultimate Cause, it does not fall short of the alternative position, but exceeds it. Those who espouse this alternative position, assume that the choice is between personality and something lower than personality; whereas the choice is rather between personality and something that may be higher. Is it not possible that there is a mode of being as much transcending Intelligence and Will, as these transcend mechanical motion? Doubtless we are totally unable to imagine any such bigher mode of being. But this is not a reason for questioning its existence; it is rather the reverse. Have we not seen how utterly unable our minds are to form even an approach to a conception of that which

underlies all phenomena? Is it not proved that we fail because of the incompetency of the Conditioned to grasp the Unconditioned? Does it not follow that the Ultimate Cause cannot in any respect be conceived because it is in every respect greater than can be conceived ? And may we not therefore rightly refrain from assigning to it ony attributes whatever, on the ground that such attributes, derived as they must be from our own natures, are not elevations but degradations? Indeed it seems strange that men should suppose the highest worship to lie in assimilating the object of their worship to themselves. Not in asserting a transcendent difference, but in asserting a certain likeness, consists the element of their creed which they think essential. It is true that from the time when the rudest savages imagined the causes of things to be persons like themselves but invisible, down to our own time, the degree of assumed likeness has been diminishing. But though a bodily form and substance similar to that of man, has long since ceased, among cultivated races, to be a literally-conceived attribute of the Ultimate Cause-though the grosser human desires have been also rejected as unfit elements of the conception-though there is some besitation in ascribing even the higher human feelings, save in idealized shapes; yet it is still thought not only proper, but imperative, to ascribe the most abstract qualities of our nature. So think of the Creative Power as in all respects anthropomorphous, is now considered impious by men who yet hold themselves bound to think of the Creative Power as in some respects anthropomorphous; and who do not see that the one proceeding is but an evanescent form of the other. And then, most marvellous of all, this course is persisted in even by those who contend that we are wholly unable to frame any conception whatever of the Creative After it has been shown that every supposition respecting Power. the genesis of the Universe commits us to alternative impossibilities. of thought-after it has been shown why, by the very constitution of our minds, we are debarred from thinking of the Absolute ; it is still asserted that we ought to think of the Absolute thus and thus. Ip all ways we find thrust on us the truth, that we are not permitted to know-nay are not even permitted to conceive-that Reality which is behind the veil of Appearance ; and yet it is said. to be our duty to believe (and in so far to conveive) that this

THE UNKNOWABLE

Reality exists in a certain defined manner. Shall we call this reverence? or shall we call it the reverse?

Volumes might be written upon the implety of the pions. Through the printed and spoken thoughts of religious teachers, may everywhere be traced a professed familiarity with the ultimate mystery of things, which, to say the least of it, is anything but congruous with the accompanying expressions of humility. The attitude thus assumed can be fitly represented only by further developing a simile long current in theological controversies-the simile of the watch. If for a moment we made the grotespie supposition that the tickings and other movements of a watch constituted a kind of consciousness; and that a watch possessed of such a consciousness, insisted on regarding the watchmaker's actions as determined like its own by springs and escapements; we should simply complete a parallel of, which religious teachers think much, And were we to suppose that a watch not only formulated the cause of its existence in these mechanical terms, but held that watches were bound out of reverence so to formulate this cause, and even vituperated, as atheistic watches, any that did not venture so to formulate it; we should merely illustrate the presumption of theologians by carrying their own argument a step A few extracts will bring home to the reader the further. justice of this comparison. We are told, for example, by one of high repute among religious thinkers, that the Universe is "the manifestation and abade of a Free Mind, like our own; embodying His personal thought in its adjustments, realizing His own ideal in its phenomena, just as we express our inner faculty and character through the natural language of an external life, In. this view, we interpret Nature by Humanity; we find the key to her aspects in such purposes and affections as our own consciousness. enables us to conceive; we look everywhere for physical signals of an ever-living Will; and decipher the universe as the autobiography of an Infinite Spirit, repeating itself in miniature within our Finite Spirit." The same writer goes still further. He not only thus parallels the assimilation of the watchmaker to the watch,-he not only thinks the created can "decipher" "the autobiography" of the Creating : but he asserts that the necessary limits to the one are necessary limits to the other. The primary

82

qualities of bodies, he says, "belong eternally to the material datum objective to God" and coatrol his acts ; while the secondary ones are "products of pure Inventive Reason and Determining Will"-constitute "the realm of Divine originality," " * * "While on this Secondary field His Mind and ours are thus contrasted, they meet in resemblance again upon the Primary; for the evolutions of deductive Reason there is but one track possible to all intelligences; no merum arbitrium can interchange the false and true, or make more than one geometry, one scheme of pure Physics, for all worlds; and the Omnipotent Architect Himself, in realizing the Kosmical conception, in slupping the orbits out of immensity and determining seasons out of eternity, could but follow the laws of curvature, measure and proportion." That is to say, the Ultimate Cause is like a human mechanic, not only as "shaping" the "material datum objective to" Him, but also as being obliged to conform to the necessary properties of that datum. Nor is this all. There follows some account of "the Divine psychology," to the extent of saying that "we learn" "the character of God-the order of affections in Him" from "the distribution of authority in the hierarchy of our impulses." In other words, it is alleged that the Ultimate Cause has desires that are to be classed as higher and lower like our own.* Every one has heard of the king who wished he had been present at the creation of the world, that he might have given good advice. He was humble, however, compared with those who profess to understand not only the relation of the Creating to the created, but also how the Creating is constituted. And yet this transcendent audacity, which thinks to penetrate the secrets of the Power manifested through all existence-nay, even to stand behind that Power and note the conditions to its action-this it is which passes current as piety ! May we not affirm that a sincere recognition of the truth that our own and all other existence is a mystery absolutely beyond our comprehension, contains more of true religion than all the dogmatic theology ever written?

Meanwhile let us recognize whatever of permanent good there is in these persistent attempts to frame conceptions of that which

* These extracts are from an acticle entitled "Nature and God," published in the National Keview for October, 1660, by Dr. Martineau.

cannot be conceived. From the beginning it has been only through the successive failures of such conceptions to satisfy the mind, that higher and higher ones have been gradually reached; and doubtless, the conceptions now current are indispensable as transitional modes of thought. Even more than this may be willingly conceded. It is possible, may probable, that under their most abstract forms. ideas of this order will always continue to occupy the background of our consciousness. Very likely there will ever remain a need to give shape to that indefinite sense of an Ultimate Existence, which forms the basis of our intelligence. We shall always be under the necessity of contemplating it as some mode of being; that is-of representing it to ourselves in some form of thought, however vague. And we shall not err in doing this so long as we treat every notion we thus frame as merely a symbol. Perhaps the constant formation of such symbols and constant rejection of them as inadequate, may be bereafter, as it has hitherto been, a means of discipline. Perpetually to construct ideas requiring the atmost stretch of our faculties, and perpetually to find that such ideas must be abandoned as futile imaginations, may realize to us more fully than any other course, the greatness of that which we vainly strive to grasp. By continually seeking to know and being continually thrown back with a decouncil conviction of the impossibility of knowing, we may keep alive the consciousness that it is alike our highest wisdom and our highest duty to regard that through which all things exist as The Unknowable.

§ 32. An immense majority will refuse, with more or less of indignation, a belief seeming to them so shadowy and indefinite, "You offer us," they will say, "an unthinkable abstraction in place of a Being towards whom we may entertain definite feelings. Though we are told that the Absolute is the only reality; yet since we are not allowed to conceive it, it might as well be a pure negation. Instead of a Power which we can regard as having some sympathy with us, you would have us contemplate a Power to which no emotion whatever can be ascribed. And so we are to be deprived of the very substance of our faith."

This kind of protest of necessity accompanies every change from a lower creed to a higher. The belief in a community of nature

between himself and the object of his worship, has always been to Man a satisfactory one; and he has always accepted with reluctance those successively less concrete conceptions which have been forced upon him. Doubtless, in all times and places, it has consoled the barbarian to think of his deities as so like himself in nature, that they might be bribed by offerings of food; and the assurance that deities could not be so propitiated must have been repugnant, because it deprived him of an easy method of gaining supernatura protection. To the Greeks it was manifestly a source of comfort that on occasions of difficulty they could obtain, through oracles, the advice of their gods,-nay, might even get the personal aid of their gods in battle; and it was probably a very genuine anger which they visited upon philosophers who called in question these gross ideas of their mythology. A religion which teaches the Hindoo that it is impossible to purchase eternal happiness by placing binself under the wheel of Juggermant, can scarcely fail to seem a cruel one to him; since it deprives him of the pleasurable consciousness that he can at will exchange miseries for joys. Nor is it less clear that to our Catholic ancestors, the beliefs that crimes could be compounded for by the building of churches, that their own punishments and those of their relatives could be abridged by the saying of masses, and that divine aid or forgiveness might be gained through the intercession of saints, were highly solacing ones; and that Protestantism, in substituting the conception of a God so comparatively unlike themselves as not to be influenced by such methods, must have appeared hard and cold. Naturally, therefore, we must expect a further step in the same direction to meet with a similar resistance from outraged sentiments. No mental revolution can be accomplished without more or less laceration. De it a change of babit or a change of conviction, it must, if the habit or conviction be strong, do violence to some of the feelings; and these must of course oppose it. For longexperienced, and therefore definite, sources of satisfaction, have to be substituted sources of satisfaction that have not been experienced, and are therefore indefinite. That which is relatively well known and real, has to be given up for that which is relatively unknown and ideal. And of course such an exchange cannot be made with-Especially, then, must there out a conflict involving pain,

THE UNKNOWABLE

arise a strong antagonism to any alteration in so deep and vital a conception as that with which we are here dealing. Underlying, as this conception does, all ideas concerning the established order of things, a modification of it threatens to reduce the superstructure to ruins. Or to change the metaphor—being the root with which are connected our ideas of goodness, rectitude, or duty, it appears impossible that it should be transformed without consing these to wither away and die. The whole higher part of the nature takes up arms against a change which seems to eradicate morality.

This is by no means all that has to be said for such protests. There is a deeper meaning in them. They do not simply express the natural repugnance to a revolution of belief, here made specially intense by the vital importance of the belief to be revolutionized; but they also express an instinctive adhesion to a belief that is in one sense the best—the best for those who thus cling to it, though not abstractedly the best. For here it, is to be remarked that what were above spoken of as the imperfections of Religion, at first great but gradually diminishing, have been imperfections as measured by an absolute standard, and not as measured by a relative one. Speaking generally, the religion current in each age and unong each people, has been as near an approximation to the truth as it was then and there, possible for men to receive. The concrete forms in which it has embodied the truth, have been the means of making thinkable what would otherwise have been unthinkable; and so have for the time being served to increase its impressiveness. If we consider the conditions of the case, we shall find this to be an unavoidable conclusion. During each stage of progress men must think in such terms of thought as they possess. While all the conspicuous changes of which they can observe the origins, have men and animals as antecodents, they are unable to think of anteredents in general under any other shapes; and hence creative agencies are almost of necessity conceived by them in these shapes. If, during this phase, these conceptions were taken from them and the attempt made to give them comparatively abstract conceptions, the result would be to leave their minds with none at all; since the substituted ones could, not be mentally represented. Similarly with every successive

stage of religious belief, down to the last. Though, as accumulating experiences slowly modify the earliest ideas of causal personalities, there grow up more general and vague ideas of them; yet these cannot be at once replaced by others still more general and vague. Further experiences must supply the needful further abstractions, before the mental void left by the destruction of such inferior ideas can be filled by ideas of a superior order. And at the present time, the refusal to abandoa a relatively concrete consciousness for a relatively abstract one, implies the inability to frame the relatively abstract one; and so implies that the change would be premature and injurious, Still more clearly shall we see the injuriousness of any such premature change, on observing that the effects of a belief upon conduct. must be diminished in proportion as the vividness with which it is realized becomes less. Evils, and benefits akin to those which the savage has personally felt, or learned from those who have felt them, are the only evils and benefits he can understand ; and these must be looked for as coming in ways like those of which he has had experience. His deities must be imagined to have like motives and passions and methods with the beings around him; for motives and passions and methods of a higher character, being unknown to him, and in great measure unthinkable by him, cannot be so represented in thought as to influence his deeds. During every phase of civilization, the actions of the Unseen Reality, as well as the resulting rewards and punishments, being conceivable only in such forms as experience furnishes, to supplant them by higher ones before wider experiences have made higher ones conceivable, is to set up vague and uninfluential motives for definite and influential ones. Even now, for the great mass of men, unable to trace out with clearness those good and had consequences which conduct brings round through the established order of things, it is well that there should be depicted inture punishments and future joys-pains and pleasures of definite kinds, produced in ways direct and simple enough to be Nay still more must be conceded. Few clearly imagined. are as yet wholly fitted to dispense with such conceptions as are current. The highest abstractions take so great a mental power to realize with any vividness, and are so inoperative on conduct

THE UNKNOWABLE

unless they are vividly realized, that their regulative effects must for a long period to come be appreciable on but a small minority. To see clearly how a right or wrong act generates consequences, internal and external, that go on branching out more widely as years progress, requires a rare power of analysis. And to estimate these consequences in their totality requires a grasp of thought possessed by none. Were it not that throughout the progress of the race, men's experiences of the effects of conduct have been slowly generalized into principles-were it not that these principles have been from generation to generation insisted on by parents, upheld by public opinion, sanctified by religion, and enforced by threats of eternal domnation for disabedience-were it not that under these potent influences habits have been modified, and the feelings proper to them made innate; disastrous results would follow the removal of those strong and distinct motives which the current belief supplies. Even as it is, those who relinquish the faith in which they have been brought up, for this most abstract fuith in which Science and Religion unite, may not uncommonly fail to act up to their convictions. Left to their organic morality, enforced only by general reasonings difficult to keep before the mind, their defects of nature will often come out more strongly than they would have done under their previous creed. The substituted creed can become adequately operative only when it becomes, like the present one, an element in early education, and has the support of a strong social sanction. Nor will men be quite ready for it until, through the continuance of a discipline which has partially moulded them to the conditions of social existence, they are completely moulded to those conditions.

We must therefore recognize the resistance to a change of theological opinion, as in great measure salutary. Forms of religion, like forms of government, must be fit for those who live under them; and in the one case as in the other, the form which is fittest is that for which there is an instinctive preference. As a barbarous race needs a barsh terrestrial rule, and shows attachment to a despotism capable of the necessary rigour; so does such a race need a belief in a celestial rule that is similarly barsh, and shows attachment to such a belief. And as the sudden substitution of free institutions for despotic ones, is sure to be followed by a re-

88

action; so, if a creed full of dreadful ideal penalties is all at once replaced by one presenting ideal penalties that are comparatively gentle, there will inevitably be a return to some modification of the old belief. The parallelism holds yet further. During those early stages in which there is extreme incongruity between the relatively best and the absolutely best, both political and religious changes, when at rare intervals they occur, are violent; and they entail violent retrogressions. But as the incongruity between that which is and that which should be, diminishes, the changes become more moderate, and are succeeded by more moderate counter-movements; until, as these movements and counter-movements decrease in amount and increase in frequency, they merge into an almost continuous growth. This holds true of religious creeds and forms. as of civil ones. And so we learn that theological conservatism. like political conservation, has an important function.

§ 83. That spirit of teleration which is so marked a trait of modern times, has thus a deeper meaning than is supposed. What we commonly regard simply as a due respect for the right of private judgment, is really a necessary condition to the balancing of the progressive and conservative tendencies-is a means of maintaining the adaptation between men's beliefs and their natures. It is therefore a spirit to be fostered; and especially by the extholic thinker, who perceives the functions of these conflicting creads. Doubtless whoever feels the greatness of the error his fellows cling to and the greatness of the truth they reject, will find it hard to show a due patience. It is hard to listen calmly to the futile arguments used in support of irrational doctrines, and to the misrepresentations of antagonist doctrines. It is hard to bear the display of that pride of ignorance which so far exceeds the pride of science. Naturally such a one will he indignant when charged with irreligion because he declines to accept the carpenter theory of creation as the most worthy He may think it needless, as it is difficult, to concerd one. his repugnance to a creed which tacitly ascribes to The Unknowable a love of adulation such as would be despised in a human being. Convinced as he is that pain, as we see it in the order of nature, is an aid to the average welfare, there will perhaps escape from him an angry condemnation of the belief that punishment is a divine vengeance, and that divine vengeance is eternal. Ho may be tempted to show his contempt when he is told that actions instigated by an unselfish sympathy or by a pure love of rectitude, are intrinsically sinful; and that conduct is truly good only when it is due to a faith whose openly-professed motive is other-worldliness. But he must restrain such feelings. Though he may be unable to do this during the excitement of controversy, he must yet qualify his antagonism in calmer moments; so that his mature judgment and resulting conduct may be without bins.

To this end let him bear in mind three cardinal facts-two of them already dwelt on, and one still to be pointed out. The first is that with which we commanced; namely, the existence of a fundamental verity under all forms of religion, however degraded. In each of them there is a soul of truth. The second, set forth at length in the foregoing section, is that while those concrete elements in which each creed embodies this soul of truth are had as measured. by an absolute standard, they are good as measured by a relative standard. The remaining one is that these various beliefs are parts of the constituted order of things, and, if not in their special forms yet in their general forms, necessary parts. Seeing how one or other of them is everywhere present, is of percanial growth, and when cut down redevelops in a form but slightly modified, we castnot avoid the inference that they are needful accompaniments of human life, severally fitted to the societies in which they are indigenous. We must recognize them as elements in that great evolution of which the beginning and end are beyond our knowledge or conception-as modes of manifestation of The Unknowable, and as having this for their warrant.

Our toleration therefore should be the widest possible. In dealing with alien beliefs our endeavour must be, not simply to refrain from injustice of word or deed, but also to do justice by an open recognition of positive worth. We must qualify our disagreement with as much as may be of sympathy.

§ 34. These admissions will perhaps be held to imply that the current theology should be passively accepted, or, at any rate,

should not be actively opposed. "Why," it may be asked, "if creads are severally fit for their times and places, should we not rest content with that to which we are born? If the established belief contains an essential truth—if the forms under which it presents this truth, though intrinsically bad, are extrinsically good if the abolition of these forms would be at present detrimental to the great majority—nay, if there are scarcely any to whom the ultimate and most abstract belief can furnish an adequate rule of life; surely it is wrong, for the present at least, to propagate this ultimate and most abstract belief."

The reply is that though existing religious ideas and institutions have an average adaptation to the characters of the people who live under them, yet, as these characters are ever changing, the adaptation is ever becoming imperfect; and the ideas and institutions need remodelling with a frequency proportionate to the rapidity of the change. Hence, while it is requisite that free play should be given to conservative thought and action, progressive thought and action must also have free play. Without the agency of both there cannot be those continual re-adaptations which orderly progress demands.

Whoever hesitates to utter that which he thinks the highest truth, lest it should be too much in advance of the time, may reasure himself by looking at his acts from an impersonal point of view. Let him remember that opinion is the agency through which character adapts external arrangements to itself, and that his opinion rightly forms part of this agency-is a unit of force constituting, with other such units, the general power which works out social changes; and he will perceive that he may properly give utterance to his innermost conviction: leaving it to produce what effect it may. It is not for nothing that he has in him these sympathics with some principles and repugnance to others. He, with all his capacities, and aspirations, and beliefs, is not an accident but a product of the time. While he is a descendant of the past he is a parent of the future; and his thoughts are as children born to him, which he may not earelessly let die. Like every other man he may properly consider himself as one of the myriad agencies through whom works the Unknown Cause; and when the Unknown Cause produces in him a certain belief, he
THE UNKNOWABLE

is thereby authorized to profess and act out that belief. For, to render in their highest sense the words of the poet—

Not as adventitious therefore will the wise man regard the faith which is in him. The highest truth he sees he will fearlessly utter; knowing that, let what may come of it, he is thus playing his right part in the world—knowing that if he can effect the change he nims at—well; if not—well also; though not so well.



92.

POSTSCRIPT TO PART I

OF multitudinous criticisms made on the preceding five chapters since the publication of *First Principles* in 1862, it is practicable to notice only those of chief importance. Even to do this would be impracticable were it not that most of them are essentially the same and may be met by the same answers.

Several opponents have contended that it is illegitimate to assert of the Ultimate Reality lying behind Appearance, that it is unknown and unknowable. The statement that it is unknowable is said to assume knowledge greater than we can have: alike as putting an arbitrary limit to possible human faculty, and as asserting something concerning that of which we are said to know nothing : a contradiction.

To the first of these objections, that an arbitrary limit is put to possible human faculty, an answer has already been given in § 24, where it has been shown that knowledge involves the three elements, *Relation, Difference, Likeness*; and that unconditioned existence, of which no one of these can be affirmed without contradiction, consequently does not present a subject-matter for knowledge. Further, in the next section it was pointed out that in the process of knowing there is the same implication. Thinking being relationing, no thought can express more than relations. From which truth it is inferable that human faculty must become fundamentally unlike what it is, and knowledge must become something other than what we call knowledge, before anything can be known about the Unconditioned.

The second objection is not thus easily met. It is doubtless true that saying what a thing is not, is, in some measure, saying what it is; since if, of all possible assertions respecting it, one is cancelled, the cancelling, by diminishing the number of possible assertions, implies an incipient definition. A series of statements of what it is not, excluding one possibility after another, becomes eventually a line of exclusions drawn round it—a definition of it. The game of Twenty Questions illustrates this. Hence it cannot be denied that to affirm of the Ultimate Reality that it is unknowable is, in a remote way, to assert some knowledge of it, and therefore involves a contradiction.

This extreme case, however, does but serve to bring out the truth that, limited as our intelligence is to the relative, and obliged as we are to use words which have been moulded to it, we cannot say anything concerning the non-relative without carrying into our propositions meanings connoted by those words-meanings foreign to a subject-matter which transcends relations. Intellect being framed simply by and for converse with phenomena, involves us in nonsense when we try to use it for anything beyond phenomena. This inability of the thinking faculty in presence of the Unconditioned, is shown not only by the self-contradictory nature of its product, but also by the arrest of its process before completion. In attempting to pass the limit it breaks down before it has finished its first step. For since every thought expresses a relation -since thinking is relationing-thinking ceases when one of the two terms of a relation remains blank. As the relation is incomplete there is no thought properly so called: thought fails. Co that we cannot rightly conceive even a connexion between nouraenon and phenomenon. We are unable in any consistent way to assert, a Reality standing in some relation to the Apparent. Such a relation is not truly imaginable.

And yet by the very nature of our intelligence we are compelled continually to ascribe the effects we know to some cause we do not know—to regard the manifestations we are conscious of as implying something manifested. We find it impossible to finink of the world as constituted of appearances, and to exclude all thought of a reality of which they are appearances. The inconsistencies in the views set forth are in fact organic. Intellectual action being a perpetual forming of relations between the states from moment to moment passing, and being incapable of arresting itself, tends irresistibly to form them when it reaches the limit of intelligence. The inevitable effect of our mental constitution

POSTSCRIPT

is that on reaching the limit thought rushes out to form a new relation and cannot form it. A conflict hence arises between an effort to pass into the Unknowable and an inability to pass—a conflict which involves the inconsistency of feeling obliged to think something and being unable to think it.

And here we come as before to the conclusion that while it is impossible for us to have a conception, there yet ever remains a consciousness—a consciousness of which no logical account can be given, but which is the necessary result of our meatal action since the perpetually-foiled endeavour to think the relation between Appearance and Reality, ever leaves behind a feeling that though a second term cannot be framed in thought yet there is a second term. This distinction, here emphasized as it was emphasized in § 26, my critics have ignored. Their arguments are directed against one or other elements in a *conception* which they ascribe to me. forgetting that, equally with them, I deny the possibility of any conceive, there remains the undefinable substance of a conception —a consciousness which cannot be put into any shape.

But now let it be understood that the reader is not called on to judge respecting any of the arguments or conclusions contained in the foregoing five chapters and in the above paragraphs. The subjects on which we are about to enter are independent of the subjects thus far discussed; and he may reject any or all of that which has gone before, while leaving himself free to accept any or all of that which is now to come.

When drawing up the programme of the Synthetic Philosophy, it appeared to me that, in the absence of any statement of theologico-metaphysical beliefs, the general doctrine set forth might be misconstrued; and Part I, "The Unknowable," was written for the purpose of excluding the possible misconstructions. Unfortunately I did not foresee that Part I would be regarded as a basis for Part II; with the result that the acceptance or rejection of the conclusions in Part I, would be supposed to determine acceptance or rejection of those in Part II. Very many have in consequence been prevented from reading beyond this point.

But an account of the Transformation of Things, given in the

THE UNKNOWABLE

pages which follow, is simply an orderly presentation of facts; and the interpretation of the facts is nothing more than a statement of the ultimate uniformities they present—the laws to which they conform. Is the reader an atheist? the exposition of these facts and these laws will neither yield support to his belief nor destroy it. Is he a pantheist? The phenomena and the inferences as now to be set forth will not force on him any incongruous implication. Does he think that God is immanent throughout all things, from concentrating nebulæ to the thoughts of poets? Then the theory to be put before him contains no disproof of that view. Does he believe in a Deity who has given unchanging laws to the Universe? Then he will find nothing at variance with his belief in an exposition of those laws and an account of the results.

March, 1899.

PART II

THE KNOWABLE



CHAPTER 1

PHILOSOPHY DEFINED

§ 35. Arran concluding that we cannot know the altimate nature of that which is manifested to us, there arise the questions—What is it that we know? In what sense do we know it? And in what consists our highest knowledge of it? Having repudiated as impossible the Philosophy which professes to formulate iteing as disinguished from Appearance, it becomes needful to say what Philosophy truly is—not simply to specify its limits, but to specify its character within those limits: Given the sphere to which human intelligence is restricted, and there remains to define that product of human intelligence which may still be called Philosophy.

Here, we may fitly avail omvelves of the method followed at the outset—that of separating from conceptions which are partially or mainly erroneous the element of truth they contain. As in the chapter on "Religion and Science," it was inferred that religious beliefs, wrong as they may severally be, nevertheless probably each contain an essential verity, and that this is most likely common to them ell; so in this place it is to be inferred that past and present beliefs respecting the nature of Philosophy, are none of them wholly faise, and that that in which they are true is that in which they agree. We have here, then, to do what was done there—to compare all opinions of the same genus; to set aside as more or less discrediting one another those elements in which such opinions differ; to observe what remains after the discondant component that expression which holds true throughout its divergent forms.

§ 36. Eaclier speculations being passed over, we see that among the Greeks, before there had arisen any notion of Philosophy in general, those particular forms of it, from which the general notion was to arise, were hypotheses respecting some universal principle which was the essence of all kinds of being. To the question-"What is that invertable existence of which these are variable states ?" there were sundry answers-Water, Air, Fire. A class of suppositions of this all-embracing character having been propounded, it became possible for Pythagoras to conceive of Philosophy in the abstract, as knowledge the most remote from practical. ends; and to define it as "knowledge of immuterial and eternal things": "the cause of the material existence of things" being, in his view, Number. Thereafter, was continued a pursuit of Philosophy assome deepest explanation of the Universe, assumed to be possible, whether actually reached in any case or not. And in the coarse of this pursuit, various such interpretations were given as that "One is the beginning of all things"; that "the One is God"; that "the One is Finite"; that "the One is Infinite"; that "Intelligence is the governing principle of things"; and so 021 From all which it is plain that the knowledge supposed to constitute Philosophy, differed from other knowledge in its exhaus-After the Sceplics and shaken men's faith tive character. in their powers of reaching such transcendent knowledge, there grew up a much-restricted conception of Philosophy. Under. Secrates, and still more under the Stoics, Philosophy because little else than the doctring of right living. Not indeed that the proper ruling of conduct, as conceived by similary of the later Greek thinkers to constitute the subject-matter of Philosophy, meawered to what was popularly understood by the proper ruling of conduct. The injunctions of Zeno were not of the same alass as those which guided men in their daily observances, sacrifices, customs, all having more or less of religious sanction; but they were principles of action enunciated without reference to times, or persons, or special CIL965. What, then, was the constant element in these nalike ideas of Philosophy held by the ancients? Clearly this last idea agrees with the first, in implying that Philosophy seeks for wide and deep truths, as distinguished from the multitudinous detailed truths which the surfaces of things and actions present.

By comparing the conceptions of Philosophy that have been current in modern times, we get a like result. The disciples of

Schelling and Fichte join the Heavilian in ridiculian the so-called Philosophy which has been current in England. Not without reason, they laugh on reading of " Philosophical instruments"; and would deny that any one of the papers in the Philosophical Transactions has the least claim to come under such a title. Retaliating on their critics, the English may, and most of them do, reject as absurd the imagined Philosophy of the German schools. They hold that whether consciousness does or does not. vouch for the existence of something beyond itself, it at any rate cannot comprehend that something ; and that hence, in so far as any Philosophy professes to be an (intology, it is folse. These two views cancel one another over large parts of their areas. The. English criticism on the Germans, cuts off from Philosophy all that is regarded as absolute knowledge. The German criticism on the English breitly implies that if Philosophy is limited to the relative, it is at any rate not concerned with those aspects of the relative which are embedded in mathematical formula, in accounts of physical researches, in chemical analyzes, or, in descriptions of species and reports of physiological experiments. NOW what has the too-wide German convertion in common with the conception current emong English men of science; which, narrow and crude as it is, is not so, narrow and crude as their misuse of the word philosophical indicates? The two have this in common, that neither Germans nor English apply the word to upsystematized knowledge-to knowledge quite un-coordinated with other knowledge. Even the most limited specialist would not describe as philosophical, on easy which, dealing wholly with details, manifested no perception of the bearings of those details. on wider truths.

The vague idea of Philosophy thus raised may be rendered more definite by comparing what has been known in England as Natural Philosophy with that development of it called Positive Philosophy. Though, as M. Comte admits, the two consist of knowledge essentially the same in kind : yet, by having put this kind of knowledge into a more coherent form, he has given it more of that character to which the term philosophical is applied. Without saying anything about the character of his co-ordination, it must be conceded that, by the fact of its co-ordination, the body of knowledge organized by him has a better claim to the title Philosophy, thus has the comparatively-moorganized body of knowledge named Natural Philosophy.

If subdivisions of Philosophy be contrasted with one another, or with the whole, the same implication comes out. Moral Philosophy and Political Philosophy, agree with Philosophy at large in the comprehensiveness of their reasonings and conclusions. Though under the head Moral Philosophy, we treat of human actions as right or wrong, we do not include special directions for behaviour in school, at table, or on the Exchange; and though Political Philosophy has for its topic the conduct of men in their public relations, it does not concern itself with modes of voting or details of administration. Both of these sections of Philosophy contemplate particular instances only as illustrating truths of wide application.

§ 57. Thus every one of these conceptions implies belief in a possible way of knowing things more completely than they are known through simple experiences, mechanically accumulated in memory or heaped up in cyclopædias. Though in the extent of the sphere which they have supposed Philosophy to fill, men have differed and still differ very widely; yet there is a real if unavowed agreement among them in signifying by this title a knowledge which transcends ordinary knowledge. That which remains as the common element in these conceptions of Philosophy, after the elimination of their discontant elements, is-knowledge of the highest degree of generality. We see this tueitly asserted by the simultaneous inclusion of God, Nature, and Man, within its scope; or still more distinctly by the division of Philosophy as a whole into Theological, Physical, Ethical, &c. For that which characterizes the genus of which these are species, must be something more general than that which distinguishes any one speries.

What must be the shape here given to this conception? Though persistently conscious of a Power manifested to us, we have abandoned as futile the attempt to learn anything respecting that Power, and so have shut out Philosophy from much of the domain supposed to belong to it. The domain left is that accupied by Science. Science concerns itself with the co-existences and sequences amony phenomena: grouping these at first into generalizations of a simple or low order, and rising gradually to higher and more extended generalizations. But if so, where remains any subject-matter for Philosophy?

The reply is—Philosophy may still properly be the title retained for knowledge of the highest generality. Science means merely the family of the Sciences—stands for nothing more than the sum of knowledge formed of their contributions; and ignores the knowledge constituted by the *fusion* of these contributions into a whole. As usage has defined it, Science consists of truths existing more or less separated, and does not recognize these truths as entirely integrated. An illustration will make the difference clear.

If we ascribe the flow of a river to the same force which causes the full of a stone, we make a statement that belongs to a certain division of Science. If, to explain lies: gravitation produces this movement in a direction almost horizontal, we gite the law that fluids subject to mechanical forces exert re-active forces which are equal in all directions, we formulate a wider truth, containing the scientific interpretations of many other phenomena: as those presented by the fountain, the hydraulic press, the steam-engine, the And when this proposition, extending only to the sic-pump. dynamics of fauids, is merged in a proposition of general dynamics, comprehending the laws of movement of solids as well as of fluids, there is reached a yet higher truth; but still a truth that comes wholly within the realm of Science, Again, looking around at Birds and Maramels, suppose we say that air-breathing saimals are hot-blooded; and that then, remembering how Reptiles, which also breathe air, are not much warmer than their media, we say, more truly, that animals (bulks being equal) have temperatures proportionate to the quantities of air they breathe ; and that then, calling to mind certain large fish, as the bunny, which maintain a heat considerably above that of the water they swim in, we further correct the generalization by saving that the temperature varies as the rate of oxygenation of the blood; and that then, modifying the statement to meet other criticisms, we finally assert the relation to be between the amount of heat and the amount of molecular change-supposing we do all this, we state scientific truths that

are successively wider and more complete, but truths which, to the last, remain purely scientific. Once more if, guided by mercantile experiences, we reach the conclusions that prices rise when the demand exceeds the supply; that commodifies flow from places where they are abundant to places where they are scarce; that the industries of different localities are determined in their kinds mainly by the facilities which the localities afford for them; and if, studying these generalizations of political economy, we trace them all to the truth that each man seeks satisfaction for his desires in ways costing the smallest efforts—such social phenomena heing resultants of individual actions so guided; we are still dealing with the propositions of Science only.

How, then, is Philosophy constituted? It is constituted by carrying a stage further the process indicated. So long as these truths are known only apart and regarded as independent, even the most general of them cannot without laxity of speech be called philosophical. But when, having been severally reduced to a mechanical axiom, a principle of molecular physics, and a law of social action, they are contemplated together as corollaries of some ultimate truth, then we rise to the kind of knowledge which constitutes Philosophy proper.

The truths of Philosophy thus hear the same relation to the highest scientific truths, that each of these bears to lower scientific truths. As each widest generalization of Science comprehends and consolidates the narrower generalizations of its own division; so the generalizations of Philosophy comprehend and consolidate the widest generalizations of Science. It is therefore a knowledge the extreme opposite in kind to that which experience first accumulates. It is the final product of that process which begins with a mere colligation of crude observations, goes on establishing propositions that are broader and more separated from particular cases, and ends in universal propositions. Or to bring the definition to its simplest and clearest form :—Knowledge of the lowest kind is un-unified knowledge : Science is particully-unified knowledge ; Philosophy is completely-unified knowledge.

§ 38. Such, at least, is the meaning we must here give to the word Philosophy, if we employ it at all. In so defining it, we accept that which is common to the various conceptions of it current among both ancients and moderns—rejecting these elements in which these conceptions disagree. In short, we are simply giving precision to that application of the word which has been gradually establishing itself.

Two forms of Philosophy, as thus understood, may be distinguished and dealt with separately. On the one hand, the things contemplated may be the universal truths: all perticular truths referred to being used simply for proof or elucidation of these universal truths. On the other hand, setting out with the universal truths, the things contemplated may be the particular truths as interpreted by them. In both cases we deal with the universal truths; but in the one case they are passive and in the other case active—in the one case they form the products of exploration and in the other case the instruments of exploration. These divisions we may appropriately call General Philosophy and Special Philosophy respectively.

The remainder of this volume will be devoted to General Philosophy. Special Philosophy, divided into parts determined by the natures of the phenomena treated, will be the subject-matter of subsequent volumes.

CHAPTER U

THE DATA OF PHILOSOPHY

 \S 39. Event thought involves a whole system of thoughts and causes to exist if severed from its various correlatives. A8 WE. samot isolate a single organ of a living body, and deal with it as though it had a life independent of the rest; so, from the organized structure of our cognitions, we cannot cut out one, and proceed as though it had survived the separation. The development of forestess protoplean into an embryo is a specialization of parts, the definiteness of which increases only as fast as their combination increases. Each becomes a distinguishable organ only on condition that it is bound up with others, which have simultaneously become distinguishable organs. Similarly, from the unformed material of consciousness, a developed intelligence can arise only by a process which, in making thoughts defined also makes them mutually dependent—establishes among them certain. vital connexions the destruction of which causes instant death of the thoughts. Overlooking this all-important truth, however, speculators have habitually set out with some professedly-simple datum or data; have supposed themselves to assume nothing beyond this datum or these data; and have thereupon proceeded to prove or disprove propositions which were, by implication, already unconsciously asserted along with that which was consciently reserted.

This reasoning in a circle has resulted from the misuse of words: not that misuse commonly enlarged upon—not the misupplication or change of meaning whence so much error arises; but a more radical and less obvious misuse. Only that thought which is directly indicated by each word has been contemplated; while numerous thoughts indirectly indicated have been left out of consideration. Because a spoken or written word can be detached from all others, it has been inadvertently assumed that the thing signified by a word can be detached from the thisgs signified by all other words. How profoundly this error vitintes the conclusions of one who makes it, we shall quickly see on taking a case. The sceptical metaphysician, wishing his reasonings to be as rigorous as possible, says to himself-"I will take for granted only this one thing." What now are the tacit assumptions insepamble from his avowed assumption? The resolve itself indirectly asserts that there is some other thing, or are some other things, which he might assume ; for it is innossible to think of unity without thinking of a correlative duality or unitiplicity. In the very act, therefore, of restricting biaself, he takes in much that is professelly left out. Again, before proceeding he must give a definition of that which he assumes. Is nothing mexpressed involved in the thought of a thing as defined ? There is the thought of something excluded by the definition-there is, as before, the thought of other existence. But there is much more Defining a thing, or setting a limit to it, implies the thought of a limit; and limit cannot be thought of agart from some notion of quantity-extensive, protensive, or intensive. Further, definition is impossible unless there, enters into it the thought of difference; and difference, besides being unthinkable without having two things that differ, jumplies the existence of other differences than the one recognized; since without them there council have been formed the general conception of difference. Nor is this all. As before pointed out (§ 24) all thought involves the consciousness of likeness: the one thing avovelly postulated eannot be known absolutely as one thing, but out he known only as of such or such kind—only as classed with other things in virtue of some common attribute. Thus, along with the single avowed datum, we have surreptitiously brought in a number of mayoved data—existence other than that alleged, quantity, number, limit, difference, likenese, class, attribute. Now in these unacknowledged postulates, we have the outlines of a general theory; and that theory can be neither proved nor disproved by the metaphysician's argument. Insist that his symbol shall be interpreted at every step into its full meaning, with all the complementary thoughts implied by that meaning, and you find already taken for granted in the premisses that which in the conclusion is asserted or denied.

In what way, then, must Philosophy set out? The developed intelligence is formed upon certain organized and consolidated conceptions of which it cannot divest itself; and which it can no more stir without using than the body can stir without help of its limbs. In what way, then, is it possible for intelligence, striving after Philosophy, to give any account of these conceptions, and to show either their validity or their invalidity? There is but one way. Those of them which are vital, or cannot be severed from the rest without mental dissolution, must be assumed as true pravisionally. The fundamental intuitions that are essential to the process of thinking, must be temporarily accepted as unquestionable : leaving the assumption of their unquestionablement to he justified by the results.

§ 10. How is it to be justified by the results? As any other assemption is justified-by ascertaining that all the conclusions. deducible from it correspond with the facts as directly observedby showing the agreement between the experiences it leads us to anticipate, and the actual experiences. There is no mode of establishing the ralidity of any helief except that of showing its congruity with all other beliefs. If we suppose that a mass which has a certain colour and lustre is the substance called gold, how do we proceed to prove that it is gold? We represent to ourselves certain other impressions which gold produces on us, and then observe whether, under the appropriate conditions, this particular mass produces on us such impressions. We remember that gold has a high specific gravity ; and if, on poising this substance on the finger, we find that its weight is great considering its hulk, we take the correspondence between the represented impression and the presented impression as further evidence that the substance is gold. Knowing that gold, unlike most metals, is insoluble in nitric acid, we imagine to currelves a drop of nitric acid placed on the surface of this yellow, glittering, heavy substance, without causing corrosion : and when, after so placing a drop of nibric acid, no effervescence or

other change follows, we hold this agreement between the anticipation and the experience to be an additional reason for thinking that the substance is gold. And if, similarly, the great mallenbility possessed by gold we find to be paralleled by the great malleability of this substance : if, like gold, it fuses at about 2,000 deg. ; crystallizes in octahedrons; is dissolved by selenic acid; and, under all conditions, does what gold does under such conditions; the conviction that it is gold reaches what we regard as the highest certainty-we know it to be gold in the fullest sense of knowing. For, as we here see, our whole knowledge of gold consists in nothing more than the consciousness of a definite set of impressions, standing in definite relations, disclosed under definite conditions ; and if, in a present experience, the impressions, relations, and conditions, perfectly correspond with those in past experiences, the cognition has all the validity of which it is capable. So that, generalizing the statement, hypotheses, down even to thuse simple ones which we make from moment to moment in our nets of recognition, are verified when entire congruity is found between the states of consciousness constituting them, and certain other states of consciousness given in perception; or reflection, or both; and no other knowledge is possible for us than that which consists of the consciousness of such congruities and their correlative incohermities.

Hence Philosophy, compelied to make those fundamental assumptions without which thought is impossible, has to justify them by showing their congruity with all other dicta of consciousness. Debarred as we are from everything beyond the relative, truth, valued to its highest form, can be for as nothing more than perfect agreement, throughout the whole range of our experience, between those representations of things which we distinguish as ideal and those presentations of things which we distinguish as real. If, by discovering a proposition to be untrue, we mean nothing more than discovering a difference between a thing inferred and a thing perceived; then a body of conclusions in which no such difference anywhere occurs, must be what we mean by an entirely true body of conclusions.

And here, indeed, it becomes also obvious that, setting out with these fundamental intuitions provisionally assumed to be true, the process of proving or disproving their congruity with all other dicta of consciousness becomes the business of Philosophy; and the complete establishment of the congruity becomes the same thing as the complete unification of knowledge in which Philosophy reaches its goal.

§ 41. What is this datum, or rather, what are these data, which Philosophy cannot do without? Clearly one primordial datum is involved in the foregoing statement.' Already by implication we have assumed that congruities and incongruities exist, and are cognizable by us. We cannot avoid accepting as true the verdict of consciousness that some manifestations are like one another and some are unlike one another. Unless consciousness be a competent judge of the likeness and unlikeness of its states, there can never be established that congruity throughout the whole of our cognitions which constitutes Philosophy: nor can there ever be established that incongruity by which only any hypothesis, philosophical or other, can be shown erroneous.

It is useless to say, as Sir W. Hamilton does, that "consciousness is to be presumed trustworthy until proved mendacious." It cannot be proved mendacious in this, its primordial act: since proof involves a repeated acceptance of this primordial act. Nay more, the very thing supposed to be proved cannot be expressed without recognizing this primordial act as valid; since unless we accept the verdict of consciousness that they differ, mendacity and trustworthiness became identical. Process and product of reasoning both disappear in the absence of this assumption.

It may, indeed, be often shown that what, after careless comparison, were supposed to be like states of consciousness, are really unlike; or that what were carelessly supposed to be unlike, are really like. But how is this shown? Simply by a more carefel comparison, mediately or immediately made. And what does acceptance of the revised conclusion imply? Simply that a deliberate verified of consciousness is preferable to a rush one; or, to speak more definitely—that a consciousness of likeness or difference which survives critical examination must be accepted in place of one that does not survive—the very survival being itself the acceptance, And here we get to the bottom of the matter. The permanence of a consciousness of likeness or difference, is our ultimate warrant for asserting the existence of likeness or difference; and, in fact, we mean by the existence of likeness or difference, nothing more than the permanent consciousness of it. To say that a given congruity ar incongruity exists, is simply our way of saying that we invariably have a consciousness of it along with a consciousness of the compared things. We know nothing more of existence than continued manifestation.

§ 42. But Philosophy requires for its datum some substantive proposition. To recognize as unquestionable a certain fundamental process of thought is not enough: we must recognize as unquestionable some fundamental product of thought, wached by this process. If Philosophy is completely-unified knowledge—if the unification of knowledge is to be effected only by showing that some ultimate proposition includes and consultantes all the results of experience; then, clearly, this ultimate proposition which has to be proved congruous with all others, must express a piece of knowledge, and not the validity of an act of knowing. Having assumed the trustworthiness of consciousness, we have also to assume as trustworthy some deliverance of consciousness.

What most this be? Must it not be one affirming the widest and most profound distinction which things present? An ultimate principle that is to unify all experience, must be co-extensive with all experience. That which Philosophy takes as its datum, must be an assertion of some likeness and difference to which all other likenesses and differences are secondary. If knowing is classifying, or grouping the like and separating the unlike: and if the unifeation of knowledge proceeds by arranging the smaller classes of like experiences within the larger, and these within the still larger; then, the proposition by which knowledge is unified must be one specifying the antithesis between two ultimate classes of experiences, in which all others merge.

Let us consider what these classes are. In drawing the distinction between them, we cannot avoid using words which have implications wider than their meanings—we cannot avoid arousing thoughts that imply the very distinction which it is the object of

THE KNOWABLE

the analysis to establish. Keeping this fact in mind, we can do no more than ignore the connotations of the words, and attend only to the things they avoyedly denote.

§ 43. Setting out from the conclusion lately reached, that all things known to us are manifestations of the Unknowable, and suppressing every hypothesis respecting that which underlies one or other order of these manifestations ; we find that the manifestations, considered simply as such, are divisible into two great classes, called by some inquirisions and alras. The implications of these words are apt to vitiate the reasonings of those who use the words; and it is best to avoid the risk of making onacknowledged assumptions. The term availation, too, commonly used as the equivalent of impression, jupplies certain psychological theories-tacitly, if not openly, postulates a sensitive organism and something acting upon it; and can scarcely be employed without bringing these postulates into the thoughts and including them in the inferences. Similarly, the placase state of consciousness, as signifying either on impression or an iden, is objectionable, As we cannot think of a state without thinking of something of which it is a state, and which is capable of different states, there is involved a foregone conclusion-an undeveloped system of metaphysics. Here, accepting the inevitable implication that the manifestations imply something manifested, our aim must be to avoid may further implications. Though we cannot exclude further implications from our thoughts, and cannot carry on our argument without facit recognitions of form, we can at any rate refuse to recognize them in the terms with which we set out. We may do this most effectually by classing the manifestations as vivid and faint respectively. Let us consider what are the several distinctions that exist between these.

And first a few words on this most conspicuous distinction which these names imply. Manifestations that occur muler the conditions called these of perception (which conditions we must separate from all hypotheses, and regard as themselves a certain group of namifestations) are ordinarily far more distinct than those which occur under the conditions known as those of reflection, or memory, or imagination, or idention. These vivid mani-

festations do, indeed, sometimes differ but little from the faint When it is nearly dark we may be unable to decide ODOS. whether a certain manifestation belongs to the vivid order or the faint order-whether, as we say, we really see something or fancy we see it. In like manner, between a very feeble sound and the inagination of a sound, it is occasionally difficult to discriminate. But these exceptional cases are extremely rare in comparison with the enormous mass of cases in which, from instant to instant, the vivid manifestations distinguish themselves consistateably fivoa-Conversely, it now and then happens (though the fuant. under conditions which we distinguish as abaomaal) that manifestations of the faint order become so strong as to be mistaken for those of the vivid order. Ideal sights and sounds are in the insame so much intensified as to be classed with real sights and sounds-ideal and real being here supposed to haply no other contrast than that which we are considering. These cases of illusion, as we call them, bear, however, so small a ratio to the great mass of cases, that we may safely neglect them, and say that the relative functions of manifestations of the second order is so marked, that we are never in doubt as to their distinctness from those of the first order. Or if we perignize the exceptional occurrence of doubt, the recognition serves but to introduce the significant fact that we have other means of deciding to which order a particular manifestation belongs, when the test of comparative vividness fails us.

Manifestations of the vivid order precede, in our experience, those of the faint order. To put the facts in historical sequence —there is first a presented manifestation of the vivid order, and then, afterwards, may come a represented manifestation that is like it except in being much less distinct. After having those vivid manifestations known as particular places and persons and things, we can have those faint manifestations which we call recollections of the places, persons, and things, but cannot have these previously. Before tasting certain substances and smelling certain performes, we are without those faint manifestations called ideas of their tastes and smells; and where certain orders of the vivid manifestations are shut out (as the visible from the blind and the audible from the deaf) the corresponding faint manifestations never come into

THE KNOWABLE

existence. It is true that special faint manifestations precede the vivid. What we call a conception of a machine may presently be followed by a vivid manifestation matching it—a socalled actual machine. But in the first place this occurrence of the vivid manifestation after the faint is not either spontaneous or easy like that of the faint after the vivid. Anal in the second place, though a faint manifestation of this kind may occur before the vivid one answering to it, yet its component parts may not. Without the foregoing vivid manifestations of wheels and bars and cranks, the inventor could have no faint manifestation of his new machine. Thus it counts be deniced that the two orders of manifestations are distinguished from one another as independent and dependent.

Note next that they form concurrent series; or rather let us call them, not series, which implies linear arrangements, but heterogeneous streams or processions. These ran side by side; each now broadening and now narrowing; each now threatening to obliterate its neighbour and now in turn threatened with obliteration, but neither over quite excluding the other from their common channel. Let us watch the mutual actions of the two currents. During what we call states of activity, the vivid manifestations predominate. We simultaneously receive many and varied presentations-a crowd of sights, sounds, resistances, tastes, odours, &c.; some groups of them changing and others temporarily fixed, but altering as we move; and when we compare in its breadth and massiveness this stream of vivid manifestations with the stream of faint ones, these last sink into relative insignificance. They never wholly disappear, however. Always along with the vivid manifestations, even in their greatest obtrusiveness, there goes a thread called thoughts constituted. of the faint manifestations. Or if it be contended that the occurrence of a deafening explosion or an intense pain may for a moment exclude every idea, it must yet be admitted that such breach of continuity can never be immediately known as occurring; since the act of knowing is impossible in the absence of ideas. On the other hand, after certain vivid manifestations which we call the acts of closing the eyes and adjusting ourselves so as to enfeeble the vivid manifestations called

pressures, sounds, &c., the faint manifestations become relatively predominant. The current of them, no longer obscured by the vivid current, grows distinct, and seems abnost to exclude the vivid current. But the vivid manifestations, however small the current of them becomes, still continue: pressure and touch do not wholly disappear. It is only during the state termed sleep, that manifestations of the vivid order corse to be distinguishable. as such, and those of the faint order come to be mistaken for them. And even of this we remain unaware till manifestations of the vivid order recur on awaking. We can never infer that manifestations of the vivid order have been absent, until they are again present; and can therefore never directly know them to be absent. Thus, of the two streams of manifestations, each preserves its continuity. As they flow side by side, either treaches on the other: but at no moment mu it be said that the one has, then and there, broken through the other.

Besides this longitudinal cohesion there is a lateral cohesion, both of the vivid to the vivid and of the faint to the faint. The components of the vivid series are bound together by ties of co-existence as well as by ties of succession; and the components of the faint series are similarly bound together. Between the degrees of union in the two cases there are; however, marked and very significant differences. Let us observe them. Over. a limited area of consciousness, as we name this double stream. lights and shades and colours and outlines constitute a group to which we give a certain name distinguishing it as an object; and while they continue present, these united vivid manifestations remain inseparable. So, too, is it with co-existing groups of manifestations : each persists as a special combination ; and most of them preserve unchanging relations with those around. Such of them as do not-such of them as are capable of what we call independent movements, nevertheless show us a constant connexion between certain of the manifestations they include, along with a variable connexion of others. And though, after certain vivid manifestations known as a change in the conditions of perception, there is a change in the proportions among the vivid manifestations constituting any group, their cohesion con-Turning to the faint manifestations, we see that tinues.

their lateral cohesions are much less extensive, and in most cases hy no means so rigorous. After the group of feelings I call closing my eves, I can represent an object now standing in a certain place, as standing in some other place, or as absent. While I look at a blue vase, I cannot separate the vivid manifestation of blueness from the vivid manifestation of a particular shape; but, in the absence of these vivid manifestations, I can separate the faint manifestation of the shape from the faint manifestation of blueness, and replace the last by a faint manifestation of reduces, and I can also change the shape and the size of the vase to any extent. It is so throughout: the faint manifestations cling together to a certain extent, but most of them may be re-arranged with facility. Indeed none of the individual faint menifestations cohere in the same indisaduble way as do the individual vivid manifestations. Though along with a faint manifestation of pressure there is always some faint manifestation of extension, yet no particular faint manifestation of extension is bound up with a particular faint monifestation of pressure. So that whereas in the vivid order the individual manifestations cohere indissolubly, usually in large groups, in the faint order the individual quanificatedions none of them enters indissolubly, and are most of them loosely aggregated; the only indissoluble cohesions among them being between certain of their generic forms.

While the components of each current roisere strongly with their neighbours of the same current, most of them do not cohere strongly with those of the other current. Or, more correctly, we may say that the vivid current unceasingly flows on quite undisturbed by the faint current; and that the faint current, though often largely determined by the vivid, and always to some extent carried with it, may yet maintain a substantial inflependence, letting the vivid current slide by. We will glance at the interactions of the two. Save in peculiar cases hereafter to be dealt with, the faint manifestations. These vivid manifestations, which I know as components of a landscape, as surgings of the sea, as whistlings of the wind, as movements of vehicles and people, are absolutely uninfluenced by the accompanying faint

manifestations which I know as my ideas. On the other hand, the current of faint manifestations is always perturbed by the vivid. Frequently it consists mainly of faint manifestations which cling to the virid ones, and are carried with them as they pass—memories and suggestions as we call them. At other times when, as we say, absorbed in thought, the disturbance of the faint current is but superficial. The vivid manifestations drag after them such few faint manifestations only as constitute recognitions of them; to each impression adhere certain ideas which make up the interpretation of it as such or such, and sometimes not even this cohesion happens. But there meanwhile hows on a main stream of faint manifestations wholly unrelated to the vivid manifestations-what we call a reverie, perhaps, or it may be a process of reasoning. And necesionally, during the state known as absence of might this gratent of faint manifestations so far predominates that the vivid current scarcely affects Hence, these concurrent series of manifestations. it at all. each cohereut with itself longitudinally and transversely, have but a partial coherence with one another. The vivid series is quite unmoved by its passing neighbour; and though the faint series is always how one extent moved by the adjacent vivid series, and is often carried budily along with the vivid series, it may nevertheless become in great measure segmente.

Yet another all-important difference has to be named. The conditions under which these two orders of manifestations occur. are unlike; and the conditions of occurrence of each order belong to itself. Whenever the immediate antrecounts of vivid manifestations are traceable, they prove to be other vivid manifestations; and through we cannot say that the antecedents of the faint manifestations always lie wholly among themselves, yet the essential ones do. These statements need a good deal of explana-Changes among the motions and sounds and aspects tion. of what we call objects, are either changes that follow certain other motions, sounds, and aspects, or changes of which the autexedents are unapparent. Some of the vivid manifestations, however, occur only under conditions that seem of another order. These known as colours and visible forms presuppose open eves. But what is opening of the eves, translated into the terms we are here using?

Literally it is an occurrence of certain vivid manifestations. The preliminary idea of opening the eyes does, indeed, cousist of faint menifestations, but the act of opening them consists of vivid manifestations. And the like is still more obviously the case with those movements of the eyes and the head which are followed by new groups of vivid manifestations. Similarly with the anteredents to the vivid manifestations which we distinguish as touch and pressure. All the changeable ones have for their conditions of occurrence certain vivid manifestations called sensations of muscular It is true that the conditions to these conditions are tension. manifestations of the faint order-these ideas of muscular actions which precede muscular actions. And here arises a complication, for what is called the body, is present to us as a set of vivid manifestations connected with the faint manifestations in a special way-a way such that in it alone certain vivid manifestations are capable of being produced by faint manifestations. There must be named, too, the kindred exception furnished by the emotions-an exception which, however, serves to enforce the general proposition. For while it is true that the emotions must be classed as vivid manifestations, which admit of being produced by the fight manifestations we call ideas ; it is also true that because the conditions to their occurrence thus exist among the faint numifestations, we regard them as belonging to the same general aggregate as the funt manifestations-do not class them with such other vivid manifestations as colours, sounds, pressures, smells, &c. But omitting these peculiar vivid manifestations which we know as muscular tensions and emotions, we may say of the rest, that their antecedents are manifestations belonging to their own In the parallel current we find a parallel truth. class. Though many manifestations of the faint order are partly caused by manifestations of the vivid order, which call up memories, as we say, and suggest inferences, yet these results mainly depend on certain antecedents belonging to the faint order. A cloud drifts across the Sun, and may or may not change the current of ideas : the inference that it will rain may arise, or the previous train of thought may continue -- a difference determined by conditions among the thoughts. Again, such power as a vivid munifestation has of causing certain faint manifestations depends on the preexistence of appropriate faint manifestations. If I have never heard a curlew, the cry which an unseen one makes, fails to produce an idea of the bird. And on remembering what various trains of thought are aroused by the same sight, we see that the occurrence of each faint manifestation chiefly depends on its relations to other faint manifestations that have gone before or co-exist.

Here we are introduced, lastly, to one of the most important of the differences between those two orders of manifestations. The conditions of occurrence are not distinguished soldy by the fact that each set, when identifiable, belongs to its own order of manifestations. They are further distinguished in a very significant way. Manifestations of the faint order have traceable antecodents; can be made to occur by establishing their conditions. of occurrence; and can be suppressed by establishing other conditions. But manifestations of the vivid order continually occur without previous presentation of their antecedents; and in many cases they persist or cease in such ways as to show that their natocedents are beyond control. The sensation known as a flash of lightning breaks across the current of our thoughts absolutely without notice. The sounds from a band that strikes up in the street or from a crash of china in the next room, are not connected with any previously-present, manifestations, either of the faint after or of the vivid order. Often these vivid manifestations, arising unexpectedly, persist in thrusting themselves across the current of the faint ones, which not only cannot directly affect them, but cannot even indirectly affect them. A wound produced by a blow from behind, is a vivid manifestation the conditions of necurrence of which were neither among the faint nor among the vivid; and the conditions to the persistence of which are bound up with the vivid manifestations in some numanifested way. So that whereas in the faint order, the conditions of occurrence are always among the pre-existing or co-existing manifestations: in the vivid order, the conditions of occurrence are often weither present nor can be made present.

Let me briefly connecute these distinctive characters. Manifestations of the one order are vivid and those of the other are faint. Those of the one order are originals, while those of the other are copies. The first form with one another a heterogeneous

current that is never broken ; and the second also form with one another a boterogeneous current that is never broken : or, to speak strictly, no breakage of either is ever directly known. Those of the first order cohere with one another, not only longitudinally but also transversely; as also do those of the second order with one another. Between manifestations of the first order the cohesions, both longitudinal and transverse, are indissoluble by any direct action of the second order; but between manifestations of the second order, these cohesions are most of them dissoluble with While the members of each current are so caherent with CHSD. one another that it connot be broken, the two currents, running side by side, have but little coherence. The comlitions under which manifestations of either order occur, themselves belong to that order: but whereas in the faint order the conditions are always present, in the vivid order they are often not present, but lie somewhere outside of the series. Seven separate characters, then, mark off these two orders of manifestations from one another.

§ 44. What is the meaning of this? The foregoing analysis was commenced in the belief that the proposition postulated by Philosophy, must affirm some ultimate classes of likenesses and unlikenesses, in which all other classes merge; and here we have found that all manifestations of the Unknowable are divisible info two such classes. What is the division equivalent to ?

Obviously it corresponds to the division between object and subject. This profoundest distinction among manifestations of the Unknowable we recognize by grouping them into self and not-self. These faint manifestations, forming a continuous whole differing from the other in the quantity, quality, cohesion, and conditions of existence of its parts, we call the ego: and these vivid manifestations, bound together in celatively-immense masses, and having independent conditions of existence, we call the non-ego. Or rather, more truly—each order of manifestations carries with it the irresistible implication of some power that manifests itself: and by the words ego and non-ego respectively, we mean the power that manifests itself in the faint forms, and the power that manifests itself in the vivid forms.

This segregation of the manifestations and coalescence of them

into two distinct wholes, is in great part spontaneous, and precedes all deliberate judgments; though it is endorsed by such judgments when they come to be made. For the manifestations of each order have not simply that kizd of union implied by grouping them as helonging to the same class, but they have that much more intimate union implied by cohesion. Their cohesive union exhibits itself before any acts of classing take place. So that, in truth, these two orders of manifestations are substantially selfseparated and self-consolidated. The members of each, by clinging to one another and parting from their opposites, thenselves form the united wholes known as object and subject. It is this selfunion of their members which gives to these wholes formed of them, their individualities as wholes, and that separateness from each other which transcends judgment; and judgment merely aids. by assigning to their respective classes, such manifestations as have not distinctly united themselves with the rest of their kind.

One further perpetually-repeated act of judgment there is, indeed, which strengthens this fundamental antithesis, and gives a vast extension to one term of it. We continually learn that while the conditions of occurrence of faint manifestations are always to be found, the conditions of recorrence of vivid mumbislations are often not to be found. We also continually learn that vivid munifestations which have no perceivable antecedents among the vivid manifications, are like certain preceding ones which had perceivable untecedents among the vivid manifestations. Junction of these two experiences produces the irresistible belief that some vivid manifestations have conditions of occurrence existing out of the current of vivid manifestations—existing as potential vivid manifestations equable of heroming actual. And so we are made conscious of an indefinitely-extended region of power or being, not merely separate from the energy of faint manifestations constituting the phenomenal ego, but lying beyond the current of vivid manifestations constituting the immediately-present pertion of the phonomenal nan-cgu.

§ 45. In a very imperfect way, passing over objections and multilog weather explanations, I have thus indicated the nature and justification of that fundamental helief which Philosophy

requires as a datum. I might, indeed, safely have assumed this altimate truth ; which Common Sense asserts, which every step in Science takes for granted, and which no metaphysician ever for a moment succeeded in expelling from consciousness. But as all that, follows proceeds upon this postulate, it seemed desirable briefly to show its warrant, with the view of shutting out criticisms which It seemed desirable to prove that this might else be made. deepest cognition is neither, as the idealist asserts, an illusion, nor as the sceptic thinks, of doubtful worth, nor as is held by the natural realist, an inexplicable intuition : but that it is a legitimate deliverance of consciousness elaborating its materials after the laws of its normal action. While, in order of time, the establishment of this distinction precedes all reasoning ; and while, running through our mental structure as it does, we are dehared from reasoning about it without taking for granted its existence; analysis nevertheless enables us to justify the assertion of its existence, by showing that it is also the outcome of a primary, classification based on accumulated likenesses and accumulated differences. In other words-Reasoning, which is itself but a formation of collesions among manifestations, here strengthens, by the cohesions it forms, the cohesions which it finds already existing.

Before proceeding a further preliminary is needed. The manifestations of the Unknowable, separated into the two divisions of self and not-self, are re-divisible into certain most general forms, the reality of which Science, as well as Common Sense, from moment to moment assumes. In the chapter on "Ultimate Scientific Ideas," it was shown that we know nothing of these forms, considered in themselves. As, nevertheless, we must continue to use the words signifying them, it is needful to say what interpretations are to be put on these words.

CHAPTER III

SPACE, TIME, MATTER, MOTION, AND FORCE

§ 46. THAT sceptical state of mind which the criticisms of Philosophy usually produce is, in great measure, caused by the misinterpretation of words. These have by association acquired meanings quite different from those given to them in philosophical discussion ; and the ordinary meanings being unavoidably suggested, there results more or less of that dream-like illusion which is so incongruous with our instituctive convictions. The word phenomenon and its equivalent word appearance, are in great part to blame for this. In ordinary speech these always imply visual perceptions. Habit almost, if not quite, disables us from thinking of appearance except as something seen; and though phenomenon has a more generalized meaning, yet we cannot rid it of associations with appearance. When, therefore, Philosophy proves that our knowledge of the external world can be but phenomenal-when it. concludes that the things of which we are conscious are appearances; it inevitably suggests an illusiveness like that to which our visual perceptions are so liable. Good pictures show us that the aspects of things may be very nearly simulated by colours on canvas. The looking-glass distinctly proves how deceptive is sight when unverified by touch; as does also the apparent bend in a straight stick inclined in the water. And the cases in which we think we see something which we do not see further shake our faith in vision. So that the implication of uncertainty has infected the very word appearance. Hence, Philosophy, by giving it an extended meaning, leads us to think of all our senses as deceiving us in the same way that our eyes do; and so makes us feel ourselves in a world of phantasms. Had phenomenon and appearance no

such misleading associations, little, if any, of this mental confusion Or if, when discussing the rodure of our knowledge. would result. we always thought of tactual impressions instead of visual impressions-if instead of the perceptions of objects yielded by our eyes we always insisted upon thinking of the perceptions yielded by our hands, the idea of unreality would in large merisure disappear. Metaphysical criticiam would then have morely the effect of proving to us that feelings of touch and pressure produced by an object give us no knowledge of its nature, at the same time that the criticism would by implication admit that there was a something which produced these feelings. It would prove to us that our knowledge consists simply of the effects wrought on our consciousness, and that the causes of those effects remain unknown ; but it would not in doing this tend in any degree to disprove the existence of such causes : all its arguments tacitly taking them for granted, And when the two were always thought of in this immediate relation, there would be little danger of fulling into the lusanities of idealism.

Such danger as might remain, would disappear on making a further verbal correction. We increase the seeming unreality of that phenomenal existence which we can alone know, by contrasting it with a noumeant existence which we imagine would, if we could know it, be more truly real to us. But we delude ourselves with a verbal fiction. What is the meaning of the word real? In the interpretation given to it, the discussions of philosophy retain one element of the valgar conception of things while they reject the rest, and create confusion by the inconsistency. The peasant, on contemplating an object, does not regard that which he is conscious of as something in himself, but believes it to be the external object itself: to him the appearance and the reality are one and the same thing. The metaphysician, however, while his words imply belief in a reality, sees that consciousness cannot embrace it, but only the appearance of it; and so he transfers the appearance into consciousness and leaves the reality outside. This reality left outside, he continues to think of much in the same way that the pensant thinks of the appearance. The realness ascribed to it is constantly spoken of as though it were known apart from all acts of consciousness. It seems to be forgotten that the idea

1.24

SPACE, TIME, MATTER, MOTION, AND FORGE 125

of reality can be nothing more than some mode of consciousness; and that the question to be considered is—What is the relation between this mode and other modes?

By reality we mean persistence in consciousness; a persistence which is either unconditional, as our consciousness of space, or which is conditional, as our consciousness of a body while grasping it. The real, as we conceive it, is distinguished solely by the test of persistence; for by this test we separate it from what we call the unreal. Between a person standing before us and the idea of such a person, we discriminate by our ability to expel the idea from consciousness and our inability, while looking at him, to expel the person from consciousness. And when in doubt as to the trustworthiness of some impression made on our eyes in the dusk, we settle the matter by observing whether the impression persists on closer inspection; and we predicate reality if the persistence is How trule pensistence, is what we mean by complete. reality, is shown in the fact that, after criticism has proved that the real as presented in perception is not the objectively real, the vague consciousness which we retain of the objectively real, is of something which persists absolutely, under all changes of mode, form, or appearance. And the fact that we cannot form even an indefinite notion of the absolutely real, except as the absolutely persistent, implies that persistence is our ultimate test of the real whether as existing under its unknown form or under the form known to us.

Consequently, the result must be the same to us whether that which we perceive be the Unknowable itself, or an effect invariably wrought on us by the Unknowable. If, under contain conditions furnished by our constitutions, some Power of which the nature is beyond conception, always produces a certain mode of consciousness —if this mode of consciousness is as persistent as would be this Power were it in consciousness; the reality will be to consciousness as complete in the one case as in the other. Were Unconditioned Being itself present in thought, it could but be persistent : and if, instead, there is Being conditioned by the forms of thought, but no less persistent, it must be to us no less real.

Hence there may be drawn these conclusions :---First, that we have an indefinite consciousness of an absolute reality transcending

relations, which is produced by the absolute persistence in us of something which survives all changes of relation. Second, that we have a definite consciousness of relative reality, which uncoasingly persists in us under one or other of its forms, and under each form so long as the conditions of presentation are fulfilled; and that the relative reality, being thus continuously persistent in us, is as real to us as would be the absolute reality could it be immediately known. Third, that thought being possible only under relation, the relative reality can be conceived as such only in connexion with an absolute reality; and the connexion between the two being absolutely persistent in our consciousness, is real in the same sense as the terms it unites are real.

Thus then we may resume, with entire confidence, those realistic conceptions which Philosophy at first sight seems to dissipate. Though reality under the forms of our consciousness is but a conditioned effect of the absolute reality, yet this conditioned effect. standing in indissoluble relation with its unconditioned cause, and being equalic persistent with it so long as the conditions persist, is, to the consciousness supplying those conditions, equally real. Much as our visual perceptions, though merely symbols found to be the equivalents of tactual perceptions, are yet so identified with those tactual perceptions that we appear actually to see the solidity and hardness which we do but infer, and thus conceive as solid objects what are only the signs of solid objects ; so, on a higher stage, do we deal with these relative realities as though they were the actual existences instead of effects of the actual existences. And we may legitimately continue so to deal with them as long as the conclusions to which they help us are understood as relatives and not absolute.

This general conclusion it now remains to interpret specifically, in its application to each of our ultimate scientific ideas.

§ 47.* We think in relations. We have seen (Chap. iii. Part I) that ultimate modes of being cannot be known or conceived as they exist in themselves, that is, out of relation to our conscious-

^{*} For the psychological conclusions briefly set forth in this section and the three sections following it, the justification will be found in the writer's Principles of Psychology.

SPACE, TIME, MATTER, MOTION, AND FORCE 127

ness. We have seen, by analyzing the product of thought, that it always consists of *relations*, and cannot include anything deeper than the most general of these. On analyzing the process of thought, we found that cognition of the Absolute is impossible, because it presents neither *relation* nor its elements—difference and likeness. And lastly, it was shown that though by the relativity of our thought we are eternally debarred from knowing or conceiving Absolute Heing ; yet that this very *relativity* of our thought, necessitates that vague consciousness of Absolute Heing which no mental effort can suppress. That *relation* is the universal form of thought is thus a truth which all kinds of demonstration unite in proving.

By the transcendentalists, certain other elements of consciousness are regarded as forms of thought, or more strictly of intuition, which is the ultimate component of thought. While relation would of necessity be admitted by them to be a universal mental form, they would class with it two others as also universal. Were their doctrine otherwise tenable, however, it must still be rejected if such alleged further forms are interpretable as generated by the primary form. If we think in relations, and if relations have certain universal forms, it is manifest that such universal forms of relations will become universal forms of consciousness. And if these further universal forms are thus explicable, it is superfluous, and therefore unphilosophical, to assign them an inde-Now relations are of two orderspendent origin. relations of sequence, and relations of co-existence; of which the one is original and the other derived. The relation of sequence is given in every change of consciousness. The relation of co-existence, which cannot be originally given in a consciousness of which the states are serial, becomes distinguished only when it. is found that certain relations of sequence have their terms presented in consciousness in either order with equal facility; while the others are presented only in one order. Relations of which the terms are not reversible, become recognized as sequences proper; while relations of which the terms occur indifferently in both directions, become recognized as co-existences. Endless experiences, which from moment to moment present both orders of these relations, render the distinction between them perfectly
definite; and at the same time generate an abstract conception of each. The abstract of all sequences is Time. The abstract of all co-existences is Space. From the fact that in thought, Time is inseparable from sequence, and Space from co-existence, we do not here infer that Time and Space are original forms of conscionsness under which sequences and co-existences are known; but we infer that our conceptions of Time and Space are generated, as other abstracts are generated from other concretes; the only difference being that the organization of experiences has, in these cases, been going on throughout the entire evolution of intelligence.

This synthesis is confirmed by analysis. Our consciousness of Space is a consciousness of co-existent positions. A particular space mu be conceived only by representing its limits as co-existing in certain relative positions; and each of its imagined boundaries, he it line or plane, can be thought of in no other way than as made up of co-existent positions in close proximity. And since a position is not an entity -since the congeries of positions which constitute my conceived portion of space, and mark its bounds, are not sensible existences: it follows that the co-existent positions which make up our consciousness of Space, are not co-existences in the full sense of the word (which implies realities as their terms), but are the blank forms of co-existences, left behind when the realities are absent; that is, are the abstracts of co-The experiences out of which, during the evoluexistences. tion of intelligence, this abstract of all co-existences has been generated, are experiences of individual positions ascertained by touch; and each of such experiences involves the resistance of an object touched, and the muscular tensions which measure this resistance. By countless unlike muscular adjustments, involving unlike muscular tensions, different resisting positions are disclosed; and these, as they can be experienced in one order as readily as another, we regard as co-existing. But since, under other circumstances, the same muscular adjustments do not produce contacts with resisting positions, there result the same states of consciousness minus the resistances --- blank forms of co-existence from which the co-existent objects before experienced are absent. And from a building up of these, too elaborate to be here detailed,

SPACE, TIME, MATTER, MOTION, AND FORCE 129

results that abstract of all relations of co-existence which we call Space. It remains only to point out, as a truth hereafter to be recalled, that the experiences from which the consciousness of Space arises, are experiences of *force*. A plexas of mescular forces we ourselves exercise constitutes the index of each position as originally disclosed to us; and the resistance which makes us aware of something existing in that position, is an equivalent of the pressure we consciously exert. Thus, experiences of forces variously correlated, are those from which our consciousness of Space is abstracted.

Our Space-consciousness being thus shown to be purely relative, what are we to say of that which causes it? Is there an absolute Space which relative Space in some sort represents? Is Space in itself a form or condition of absolute existence, producing in our minds a corresponding form or condition of relative existence? These are unanswerable questions. Our conception of Space is produced by some mode of the Unknowable; and the complete unchangeableness of our conception of it simply implies a complete uniformity in the effects wrought by this mode of the Unknowable upon us. But therefore to call it a necessary mode of the Unknowable is illegitimate. All we can assert is that Space is a relative reality; that our consciousness of this unchanging relative. reality implies an absolute reality equally unchanging in so far as we are concerned; and that the relative reality may be unhesitatingly accepted in thought as a valid basis for our reasonings; which, when rightly carried on, will being us to truths that have a like relative reality-the only truths which concern us or can possibly be known to us.

Concerning Time, relative and absolute, a parallel argument leads to parallel conclusions. These are too obvious to need specifying is detail.

§ 48. Our conception of Matter, reduced to its simplest shape, is that of co-existent positions that offer resistance; as contrasted with our conception of Space, in which the co-existent positions offer no resistance. We think of Body as bounded by surfaces that resist, and as made up throughout of parts that resist. Mentally abstract the co-existent resistances, and the consciousness of Body disuppears, leaving behind it the constiousness of Space. And since the group of co-existing resistant positions gives us impressions of resistance whether we touch its near, its remote, its right, or its left side; it results that as different muscular adjustments indicate different co-existences, we are obliged to conceive every portion of matter as containing more than one resistant. position-that is, as occupying Space. Hence the necessity we are under of representing to ourselves the altimate elements of Matter as being at once extended and resistant: this being the universal form of our sensible experiences of Matter, becomes the form which our conception of it cannot transcend, however minute the feagments which imaginary subdivisions produce. Of these two inseparable elements, the resistance is primary and the extension secondary. Occupied extension, or Body, being distinguished in consciousness from unoccupied extension, or Space, by its resistance, this attribute must clearly have precedence in the genesis of the If, as was argued in the last section, the experiences, idea. mainly ancestral, from which our consciousness of Space is abstracted, can be received only through impressions of resistance mede on the organism; the implication is, that experiences of resistance being those from which the conception of Space is generated, the resistance-attribute of Matter must be regarded as primordial and the space-attribute as derivative. Whence it becomes clear that our experiences of *force*, are those out of which the idea of Matter is built. Matter as opposing our muscular energies, being immediately present to consciousness in terms of force; and its occupancy of Space being known by an abstract of experiences originally given in terms of force; it follows that forces, standing in certain correlations, form the whole content of our idea of Matter,

Such being our cognition of the relative reality, what are we to say of the absolute reality? We can only say that it is some mode of the Unknowable, related to the Matter we know as cause to effect. The relativity of our cognition of Matter is shown alike by the above analysis, and by the contradictions which are evolved when we deal with the cognition as an absolute one (§ 16). But, as we have lately seen, though known to us only under relation, Matter is as real, in the true sense of that word, as it would be

SPACE, TIME, MATTER, MOTION, AND FORCE 181

could we know it out of relation; and further, the relative reality which we know as Matter, is necessarily represented to the mind as standing in a persistent or real relation to the absolute reality, We may therefore deliver ourselves over, without hesitation, to those terms of thought which experience has organized in us. We need not in our physical, chemical, or other researches, refrain from dealing with Matter as made up of extended and resistant atoms; for this conception, necessarily resulting from our experiences of Matter, is not less legitimate than the conception of aggregate masses as extended and resistant. The atomic hypothesis, and the kindred hypothesis of an all-perveding ether consisting of units, are simply developments of those universal forms which the actions of the Unknowable have wrought in us. The conclusions logically worked out by their aid are sure to be in harmony with all others which these same forms involve, and will have a *relative* truth that is equally complete.

§ 49. The conception of Motion, as presented or represented in the developed consciousness, involves the conceptions of Space, of Time, and of Matter. A something perceived ; a series of positions occupied by it in succession; and a group of co-existent positions upited in thought with the successive ones-these are the constiments of the idea. And since, as we have seen, these are severally elaborated from experiences of force as given in certain correlations, it follows that from a further synthesis of such experiences, the idea of Motion is also elaborated. A certain other element, in the idea, which is in truth its fundamental element (namely, the necessity which the moving body is under to go on changing its position), results immediately from the earliest experiences of force. Movements of different parts of the organism in relation to one another, are the first presented in consciousness. These, produced by the actions of the muscles, entail reactions on consciousness in the shape of sensations of muscular tension. Consequently, each stretching-out or drawing-in of a limb, is originally known as a series of muscular tensions, varying as the position of the limb changes. And this rudimentary consciousness of Motion, consisting of serial impressions of force, becomes inseparably united with the consciousnesses of Space and Time as fast as these are abstracted from other impressions of force. Or rather, out of this primitive conception of Motion, the adult conception of it is developed simultaneously with the development of the conceptions of Space and Time : all three being evolved from the more multiplied and varied impressions of muscular tension and objective resistance.

That this relative reality answers to some absolute reality, it is needful only for form's sake to assert. What has been said above, respecting the Unknown Cause which produces in us the effects called Matter, Space, and Time, will apply, on simply changing the terms, to Motion.

§§ 50, 51. We come down, then, finally to Force, as the ultimate of ultimates. Though Space, Time, Matter, and Motion, are apparently all necessary data of intelligence, yet a psychological analysis (here indicated only in rude outline) shows us that these are either built up of, or abstracted from, experiences of Force. Matter and Motion as we know them are concretes built up from the contents of various mental relations; while Space and Time are abstracts of the forms of these various relations. Deeper down than these, however, are the primordial experiences of Force. A single impression of force is manifestly receivable by a sentient. being devoid of mental forms. Grant but sensibility, with no established power of thought, and a force producing some nervous change, will still be presentable at the supposed seat of sensation. Though no single impression of force so received, could itself produce a consciousness (which implies relations between different states), yet a multiplication of such impressions, differing in kind and degree, would give the materials for the establishment of relations, that is, of thought. And if such relations differed in their forms as well as in their contents, the impressions of such forms would be organized simultaneously with the impressions they contained. It needs but to remember that consciousness consists of changes, to see that the ultimate datum of consciousness must be that of which change is the manifestation; and that thus the force by which we ourselves produce changes, and which serves to symbolize the cause of changes in general, is the final disclosure of analysis.

SPACE, TIME, MATTER, MOTION, AND FORCE 138

That this undecomposable mode of consciousness, into which all other modes may be decomposed, cannot be itself the Power manifested to us through phenomena, has been already proved (§ 18). We saw that to assume identity of nature between the cause of changes as it exists absolutely, and that cause of change of which we are conscious in our own muscular efforts, betrays us into alternate impossibilities of thought. Force, as we know it, can be regarded only as a conditioned effect of the Unconditioned Cause —as the relative reality indicating to us an Absolute Reality by which it is immediately produced.



CHAPTER IV

THE INDESTRUCTIBILITY OF MATTER

§ 52. Nor because the truth is unfamiliar, is it needful here to assert the indestructibility of Matter: but partly because the symmetry of our argument demands enunciation of this truth, and partly because the evidence on which it is accepted must be examined. Could it be shown, or could it with reason be supposed, that Matter, either in its aggregates or in its units, ever becomes non-existent, it would be needful either to ascertain under what conditions it becomes non-existent, or else to confess that Science and Philosophy are impossible. For if, instead of having to deal with fixed quantities and weights, we had to deal with quantities and weights which are apt, wholly or in part, to be annihilated, there would be introduced an incalculable element, fatal to all positive conclusions. Clearly, therefore, the proposition that matter is indestructible must be deliberately considered.

So far from being admitted as a self-evident truth, this would, in primitive times, have been rejected as a self-evident, error. There was once universally current a notion that things could vanish into nothing, or arise out of nothing. If men did not believe this in the strict sense of the word (which would imply that the process of creation or annihilation was clearly represented in consciousness), they still believed that they believed it; and how nearly, in their confused thoughts, the one was equivalent to the other, is shown by their conduct. Nor, indeed, have dark ages and inferior minds alone betrayed this belief. In its dogmas respecting the beginning and end of the world, the current theology clearly implies it; and it may be questioned whether Slockespeare, in his poetical anticipation of a time when all things shall

THE INDESTRUCTIBILITY OF MATTER 185

disappear and "leave not a wrack behind," was not under its The accumulation of experiences, however, and influence. still more the organization of experiences, has slowly reversed this conviction. All apparent proofs that something can come out of nothing a wider knowledge has one by one cancelled. The comet which is suddenly discovered and nightly waxes larger is proved not to be a newly-created body, but a body which was until lately beyond the range of vision. The cloud formed a few minutes ago in the sky consists not of substance that has just begun to be, but of substance that previously existed in a transparent form. And similarly with a crystal or a precipitate in relation to the fluid depositing it. Conversely, the seeming aunihilations of matter turn out to be only changes of state. It is found that the evaporated water, though it has become invisible, may be brought by condensation to its original shape. Though from a discharged fowling-piece the gunpowder has disappeared, there have appeared in place of it certain gases which, in assuming a larger volume. have caused the explosion. Not, however, until the rise of quantitative chemistry, could the conclusion suggested by such experiences be harmonized with all the facts. When, having ascertained not only the combinations formed by various substances, but also the proportions in which they combine, chemists were enabled to account for the matter that had made its appeurance or become invisible, scepticism was dissipated. And of the general conclusion thus reached, the exact analyses daily made, by which the same portion of matter is pursued through numerous disguises and finally separated, furnish never-cessing confirmations.

Such has become the effect of this specific evidence, joined to that general evidence which the continued existence of familiar objects gives y_{k} that the Indestructibility of Matter is now held by many to be a truth of which the negation is inconceivable.

§ 58. This last fact raises the question whether we have any higher warrant for this fundamental belief than the warrant of conscious induction. Before showing that we have a higher warrant, some explanations are needful.

The consciousness of logical necessity, is the consciousness that a

certain conclusion is implicitly contained in certain premises explicitly stated. If, contrasting a young child and an adult, we see that this consciousness of logical necessity, absent from the one is present in the other, we are taught that there is a growing up to the recognition of certain necessary truths, merely by the unfolding of the inherited intellectual forms and faculties.

To state the case more specifically :--Before a truth can be known as necessary, two conditions must be fulfilled. There must be a mental structure capable of grasping the terms of the proposition and the relation alleged between them; and there must be such definite and deliberate mental representation of these terms, as makes possible a clear consciousness of this relation. Non-fulfilment of either condition may cause non-recognition of the necessity of the truth. Let us take cases.

The savage who cannot count the fingers on one hand, can frame no definite thought answering to the statement that 7 and 5 are 12; still less can be frame the consciousness that no other total is possible.

The boy adding up figures inattentively, says to himself that 7 and 5 are 11; and may repeatedly bring out a wrong result by repeatedly making this error.

Neither the non-recognition of the truth that 7 and 5 are 12, which in the savage results from undeveloped mental structure, nor the assertion, due to the boy's careless mental action, that they make 11, leads us to doubt the necessity of the relation between these two separately-existing numbers and the sum they make when existing together. Nor does failure from either cross to apprehend the necessity of this relation, make us hesitate to say that when its terms are distinctly represented in thought, its necessity will be seen; and that, apart from multiplied experiences, this necessity becomes cognizable when structures and "functions are so far developed that groups of 7 and 5 and 12 can be mentally grasped.

Manifestly, then, there are recognitions of necessary truths, as such, which accompany mental evolution. And there are ascending gradations in these recognitions. A boy who has intelligence enough to see that things which are equal to the same thing are equal to one another, may be unable to see that ratios which are

THE INDESTRUCTIBILITY OF MATTER 137

severally equal to certain other ratios that are unequal to each other, are themselves unequal; though to a more developed mind this last axiom is no less obviously necessary than the first.

All this which holds of logical and mathematical truths, holds, with change of terms, of physical truths. There are necessary truths in Physics for the apprehension of which, also, a developed and disciplined intelligence is required; and before such intelligence arises, not only may there be failure to apprehend the necessity of them, but there may be vague beliefs in their contractes. Up to comparatively recent times, all mankind were in this state of incapacity respecting physical axioms; and the mass of mankind are so still. Effects are expected without causes of fit kinds; or effects extremely disproportionate to causes are looked for; or causes are supposed to end without effects.* But though many are unable to grasp physical axioms, it no more follows that physical axioms are not knowable *à priori* by a developed intellect, than it follows that logical relations are not necessary, because undeveloped intellects cannot preceive their necessity.

It is thus with the notions which have been current respecting the creation and annihilation of Matter. In the first place, there has been a confounding of two radically-different things—disappearance of Matter from a visible form, say by evaporation, and passage of Matter from existence into non-existence. Until this confusion is avoided, the belief that Matter can be annihilated readily obtains currency. In the second place, the currency of it continues so long as there is not power of introspection enough to make manifest what results from the attempt to annihilate Matter in thought. But when the vague ideas arising in a nervous structure imperfectly organized, are replaced by the clear ideas arising in a definite nervous structure; this definite structure, moulded by experience inte-correspondence with external things, makes necessary

* I know a lady, who contended that a dress folded up tightly weighed more than when locsely folded up ; and who, under this belief, had her trunks made lorge that she might diminish the charge for freight ! Another, whom I know, ascribes the feeling of lightness which accompanies vigour, to actual decrease of weight ; believes that by stepping gently, she can press less upon the ground ; and, when cross-questioned, asserts that, if placed in scales, she can make herself lighter by an act of will ! in thought the relations answering to uniformities in things. Hence, among others, the conception of the Industructibility of Matter.

For self-analysis shows this to be a datum of consciousness. Conceive space to be cleared of all bodies save one. Now imagine the remaining one not to be removed from its place, but to lapse into nothing while standing in that place. You fail. The space which was solid you cannot conceive becoming cupty, save by transfer of that which made it solid. What is termed the ultimate incompressibility of Matter is an admitted law of thought. However small the bulk to which we conceive a piece of matter reduced, it is impossible to conceive it reduced into nothing. While we can represent to ourselves its parts as approximated, we cannot represent to ourselves the quantity of matter as made less. To do this would be to imagine some of the parts compressed into nothing, which is no more possible than to imagine compression of the whole into nothing. Our inability to conceive Matter becoming non-existent, is consequent on the nature of thought. Thought consists in the establishment of relations. There can be no relation established, and therefore no thought framed, when one of the related terms is absont from consciousness. Hence it is impossible to think of something becoming nothing, for the same reason that it is impossible to think of nothing becoming something-the reason, namely, that nothing cannot become an object of consciousness. The annihilation of Matter is unthinkable for the same reason that the creation of Matter is unthinkable.

It must be added that no experimental verification of the truth that Matter is indestructible, is possible without a tacit assumption of it. For all such verification implies weighing, and weighing assumes that the matter forming the weight remain-the same.

§ 54. And here we are introduced to that which it most concerns us to observe—the nature of the perceptions by which the permanence of Matter is perpetually illustrated. These perceptions under all their forms simply reveal this—that the force which a given quantity of matter embodies remains always the same under the same conditions. A toy, which long unseen produces in

THE INDESTRUCTIBILITY OF MATTER 189

us a set of visual and tactual feelings like those produced in childbood, is recognized as the same because it has the *power* of affecting us in the same ways. The downward strain of some sovereigns, which the bank-clerk weighs to save himself the trouble of counting, proves the special amount of a special kind of Matter; and the goldsmith uses the same test when the shape of the Matter has been changed by a works.an. So, too, with special properties. Whether a certain crystal is or is not diamond is decided by its resistance to abrasion and the degree to which it bends light out of its course. And so the chemist when a piece of substance lately visible and tangible has been reduced to an invisible, intangible gas, but has the same weight, or when the quantity of a certain element is inferred from its ability to neutralize a given quantity of some other element, he refers to the amount of *action* which the Matter

Thus, then, by the Indestructibility of Matter, we really mean the indestructibility of the form with which Matter affects us. And this truth is made manifest not only by analysis of the *à posteriori* cognition, but equally so by analysis of the *à priori* one.⁵

* Lest he should not have observed it, the reader must be warned that the terms "*a priori* truth " and " necessary truth," as used in this work, are to be interpreted not in the old lense, as implying cognitions wholly independent of experiences, but as implying cognitions that have been readered organic by immense accumulations of experiences, received parely by the individual, but mainly by all ancesteral individuals whose nervous systems be inherits. On referring to the *Principles of Psychology* (§§ 426-433), it will be seen that the warrant alleged for one of these irrevenible ultimate convictions is that, on the hyperbasis of Evolution, it represents an immessurably-greater accumulation of experiences that can be acquired by any single individual.

CHAPTER V

THE CONTINUITY OF MOTION

§ 55. Like the Indestructibility of Matter, the Continuity of Motion, or, more strictly, of that something which has Motion for one of its sensible forms, is a truth on which depends the possibility of exact Science, and therefore of a Philosophy which unifies the results of exact Science. Motions, visible and invisible, of masses and of molecules, form the larger half of the phenomena to be interpreted; and if such motions might either proceed from nothing or lapse into nothing, there could be no scientific interpretation of them.

This second fundamental truth, like the first, is not self-evident to primitive men nor to the uncultured among ourselves. Contrariwise, to uninstructed minds the opposite seems self-evident. The facts that a stone thrown up soon loses its ascending motion, and that after the blow its fall gives to the Earth, it remains quiescent, apparently prove that the principle of activity * which the stone manifested may disappear absolutely. Accepting the dicta of unaided perception, all men once believed, and most believe still, that motion can pass into nothing, and ordinarily does so But the establishment of certain facts having opposite **DA55.** implications, led to inquiries which have proved these appearances to be illusive. The discovery that the celestial motions do not diminish, raised the suspicion that a moving body, when not interfered with, will go on for ever without change of velocity; and suggested the question whether bodies which lose their motion, do not at the same time communicate as much motion to

* Throughout this chapter I use this phrase, not with any metaphysical meaning, but merely to avoid foregone conclusions.

other bodies. It was a familiar fact that a stone would glide further over a smooth surface, as that of ice, presenting no small objects to which it could part with its motion by collision, than over a surface strewn with such small objects; and that a stick hurled into the air would travel a far greater distance than if hurled into a dense medium like water. Thus the primitive notion that moving bodies have an inherent tendency to stop—a notion which the Greeks did not get rid of, and which lested till the time of Galileo—began to give way. It was further shaken by such experiments as those of Hooke, which proved that a top spins the longer in proportion as it is prevented from communicating motion to surrounding matter.

To explain here all disappearances of visible motions is out of the question. It must suffice to state, generally, that the molar motion which disappears when a bell is struck by its clapper, reappears in the bell's vibrations and in the waves of air they produce; that when a moving mass is stopped by coming against a mass that is immovable, the motion which does not show itself in sound shows itself in molecular motion; and that when hodies rub against one another, the motion lost by friction is gained in the motion of molecules. But one aspect of this general truth, as it is displayed in the motions of masses, we must carefully contemplate; for, otherwise, the doctrine of the Continuity of Motion will be misapprehended.

§ 56. As expressed by Newton, the first law of motion is that "every, body must persevere in its state of rest, or of uniform motion in a straight line, unless it be compelled to change that state by forces impressed upon it."

With this truth may be associated the truth that a body describing a circula; solit round a centre which detains it by a tractive force, moves in that orbit with undiminished velocity.

The first of these abstract truths is never realized in the concrete, and the second of them is but approximately realized. Uniform motion in a straight line implies the absence of a resisting medium; and it further implies the absence of forces, gravitative or other, exercised by neighbouring masses: conditions never fulfilled. So, too, the maintenance of a circular orbit by any celestial body, implies that there are no perturbing bodies, and that there is an exact adjustment between its velocity and the tractive force of its primary: neither requirement ever being conformed to. In actual orbits, sensibly elliptical as they are, the velocity is sensibly variable. And along with great eccentricity there goes great variation.

With the case of those celestial bodies which, moving in occentric orbits, display at one time little motion and at another much motion, may be associated as partially analogous the case of the pendulum. With speed now increasing and now decreasing, the pendulum alternates between extremes at which motion ceases.

How shall we so conceive these allied phenomena as to express rightly the truth common to them? The first haw of motion, nowhere literally fulfilled, is yet, in a sense, implied by these facts which seem at variance with it. Though in a circular orbit the direction of the motion is continually being changed, yet the velocity remains unchanged. Though in an elliptical orbit there is now acceleration and now retardation, yet the average speed is constant through successive revolutions. Though the pendulum comes to a momentary rest at the end of each swing, and then hegins a reverse motion, yet the oscillation, considered as a whole, is continuous: friction and atmospheric resistance being absent, this alternation of states would go on for ever.

What, then, do these cases show us in common? That which vision familiarizes us with in Motion, and that which has thus been made the dominant element in our conception of Motion, is not the element of which we can allege continuity. If we regard Motion simply as change of place, then the pendulum shows us both that the rate of this change may vary from instant to instant, and that, ceasing at intervals, it may be afresh initiated.

But if what we may call the translation-element in Motion is not continuous, what is continuous? If, like Gal? τ , we watch a swinging chandelier, and observe, not the isochronism of its oscillations but the recurring reversal of direction, we are impressed with the fact that though, at the end of each swing, the translation through space ceases, yet there is something which does not cease; for the translation recommences in the opposite direction. And on remembering that when a violent push was, given to the chandelier it described a larger are, and was a longer time before the resistance of the air brought it to rest, we are shown that what continues to exist during its alternating movements is some correlative of the muscular effort which put it in motion. The truth forced on our attention is that translation through space is not itself an *existence*; and that hence the cessation of Motion, considered simply as translation, is not the cessation of an existence, but is the cessation of a certain sign of an existence.

Still there remains a difficulty. If that element in the chandelier's motion of which alone we can allege continuity, is the correlative of the muscular effort which moved the chandelier, what becomes of this element at either extreme of the oscillation? Arrest the chandelier in the middle of its swing, and it gives a blow to the hind—exhibits some principle of activity such as muscular effort can give. But touch it at either turning point and it displays no such principle of activity. This has disappeared just as much as the translation through space has disappeared. How, then; can it be alleged that though the Motion through space is not continuous, the principle of activity implied by the Motion is continuous?

Unquestionably the facts show that the principle of activity continues to exist under some form. When not perceptible it must be latent. How is it latent? A clue to the answer is gained on observing that though the chandelier when seized at the turning point of its swing, gives no impact in the direction of its late movement, it forthwith begins to pull in the opposite direction; and on observing, further, that its pull is great when the swing has been made extensive by a violent push. Hence the loss of visible activity at the highest point of the upward motion, is accompanied by the production of an invisible activity which generates the subsequent motion downwards. To conceive this latent activity gained as an existence equal to the preceptible activity lost is not easy; but we may help ourselves so to conceive it by considering cases of another class.

§ 57. When one who pushes against a door that has stuck fast, produces by great effort no motion, but eventually by a little greater effort bursts the door open, swinging it back and tumbling beadlong into the room, he has evidence that the first muscular

strain which did not produce transfer of matter through space, was yet equivalent to a certain amount of such transfer. Again, when a railway-porter gradually stops a detached carriage by pulling at the buffer, he shows us that (supposing friction, &c., alsent) the slowly-diminished motion of the carriage over a certain space, is the equivalent of the constant backward strain put upon the carriage while it is travelling through that space. Carrying with us the conception thus reached, we will now consider a case which makes it more definite.

When used as a plaything, a ball fastened to the end of an india-rubber string yields a clear idea of the correlation between perceptible activity and latent activity. If, retaining one end of the string, a boy throws the ball from him horizontally, its motionis resisted by the increasing strain on the string; and the string. stretched more and more as the ball recodes, presently brings it to rest. Where now exists the principle of activity which the moving ball displayed? It exists in the strained thread of india-rubber, Under what form of changed molecular state it exists we need not ask. It suffices that the string is the seat of a tension generated by the motion of the ball, and equivalent to it. When the ball has been arrested the stretched string begins to generate in it an opposite motion, and continues to accelerate that motion until the ball comes back to the point at which the stretching of the string commenced-a point at which, but for loss by atmospheric resistance and molecular redistribution, its velocity would be equal to the original velocity. Here the truth that the principle of activity, alternating between visible and invisible modes, does not cease to exist when the translation through space ceases to exist, is readily comprehensible; and it becomes easy to understand the corollary that at each point in the path of the ball, the quantity of its perceptible activity, plus the quantity which is latent in the stretched string, yields a constant sum.

Aided by this illustration, we can vaguely conceive what happens between bodies connected, not by a stretched string, but by a traction exercised by an invisible agency. It matters not to our general conception that the intensity of this traction varies in a different manner: decreasing as the square of the distance increases, but being practically constant for tercestrial distances.

Notwithstanding these differences there is a truth common to the The weight of something held in the hand shows that two cases. between one body in space and another there exists a strain. This downward pull affects the hand as it might be affected by a stretched elastic string. Hence, when a body projected upwards and gradually retarded by gravity, finally stops, we must regard the principle of activity manifested as having become latent in the strain between it and the Earth-a strain of which the quantity is to be conceived as the product of its intensity and the distance through which it acts. Carrying a step further our illustration of the stretched string, will elucidate this. To. simulate the action of gravity at terrestrial distances, let us imagine that when the attached moving body has stretched the elastic string to its limit, say at the distance of ten feet (from which point it is prevented from contracting back), a second like string could instantly be tied to the end of the first and to the body, which continuing its course stretched this second string, and so on with a succession of such strings, till the hody was arrested, Then, obviously, the quantity of the principle of activity which the moving body possessed, but which has now become latent in the stretched strings, is measured by the number of such strings over which the strain extensis. Now though the tractive force of the Earth is not exercised in a like way-though gravity, utterly unknown in its nature, is probably a resultant of actions pervading the ethereal medium; yet the above analogy suggests the belief that the principle of activity exhibited by a stone thrown up and presently arrested, has not censed to exist, but has become so much imperceptible or latent activity, in the medium occupying space; and that when the stone falls, this is retransformed into its equivalent of perceptible activity. If we conceive the process at all, we must conceive it thus : otherwise, we have to concerve that a power has been changed into a spacerelation, and this is inconceivable.

Here, then, is the solution of the difficulty. The space-element of Motion is not in itself a thing. Change of position is not an existence, but the manifestation of an existence. This existence (supposing it not transferred by collision or friction) may cease to display itself as translation; but it can do so only by displaying

145

THE KNOWABLE

itself as strain. And this principle of activity, now shown by translation, now by strain, and often by the two together, is alone that which in Motion we can call continuous.

§ 58. What is this principle of activity? Vision gives us no ides of it. If by a mirror we cast the image of an illuminated object on to a dark wall, and then suddenly changing the attitude of the mirror make the reflected image pass from side to side, no thought arises that there is present in the image a principle of activity. Before we can conceive the presence of this, we must regard the visual impression as symbolizing something tangible. Sight of a moving body suggests a principle of activity which would be appreciable by skin and muscles were the body laid hold of. This principle of activity which Motion shows us is the objective correlate of our subjective sense of effort. By pushing and pulling we get feelings which, generalized and abstracted, yield our ideas of resistance and tension. Now displayed by changing position and now by unchanging strain, this principle of activity is ultimately conceived by us under the single form of its equivalent. muscular effort. So that the continuity of Motion, as well as the indestructibility of Matter, is really known to us in terms of Force. Here, however, the Force is of the kind known as Energya word applied to the force, molar or molecular, possessed by matter in action, as distinguished from the passive force by which matter maintains its shape and occupies space; a force which physicists appear to think needs no name.

§ 59. And now we reach the truth to be here especially noted. All proofs of the Continuity of Motion involve the postulate that the quantity of Energy is constant. Observe what results when we analyze the reasonings by which the Continuity g? Motion is shown.

A particular planet is identified by its constant power to affect our eyes in a special way. Further, such planet has not been seem to move by the astronomer; but its motion is *inferred* from a comparison of its present position with the position it before occupied. This comparison proves to be a comparison between the different impressions produced on him by the different adjustments

of his observing instruments. And the validity of the inferences drawn depends on the truth of the assumption that these masses of matter, celestial and terrestrial, continue to affect his senses in the same ways under the same conditions. On going a step further back, it turns out that difference in the adjustment of his observing instrument, and by implication in the planet's position, is meaningless until shown to correspond with a certain calculated. position which the planet must occupy, supposing that no motion has been lost. And if, finally, we examine the implied calculation, we find that it takes into account those accelerations and retardations which ellipticity of the orbit involves, as well as these variations of motion caused by adjacent planets-we find, that is, that the motion is concluded to be indestructible not from the uniform velocity of the planet, but from the constant quantity of motion exhibited after allowances have been made for the motions. communicated to, or received from, other celestial bodies. And when we ask how this is estimated, we discover that the estimate assumes certain laws of force or energy; which laws, one and all, embody the postulate that energy cannot be destroyed.

Similarly with the *à priori* conclusion that Motion is continuous. That which defies suppression in thought (disciplined thought, of course), is the force which the motion indicates. We can magine reflardation to result from the actions of other bodies. But to imagine this we must imagine loss of some of the energy implied by the motion. We are obliged to conceive this energy as impressed in the shape of reaction on the bodies causing the retardation. And the motion communicated to them, we are compelled to regard as a product of the communicated energy. We can mentally diminish the velocity or space-element of motion, by diffusing the momentum or force-element over a larger mass of matter; but the grantity of this force-element is unchangeable in thought."

* This expedition differs in its point of view from the expeditions ordinarily given ; and some of the words employed, such as strain, have somewhat larger implications. Unable to learn anything about the nature of Force, physicista have, of inte years, formulated ultimate physical truths in such ways as often tacitly to exclude the consciousness of Force; conceiving cause, as Hums proposel, in terms of antecedence and sequence only. "Potential energy," for

example, is defined as constituted by such relations in space as period tensors to generate in one another contain motions, but as being in idself motiling. While this mode of containing the phenomena suffices for physical impuiries, it does not suffice for the purposes of philosophy. In the Principles of Psychology, §§ 347-360, I have shown that our ideas of Body, Space, Motlim, are derived from our ideas of muscellar tension, which are the ultimate symbols into which all our other mental symbols are interpretable. Hence to formulate phenomena in the proximate terms of Body, Space, Motion, while discharging from the concepts the consciousness of Force, is to acknowledge the superstructure while ignoring the togolation.

When, in 1975, I recent the foregoing elepter, and set forth more fully the destrine contained in the answering chapters of preceding editions, I supposed myself to be alone in dissenting from the prevailing destrine. But a year after, in the *Philamphical Magazine* for October, 1576, 1 was glad to see the same view encodested and defended by Dr. Croll, in an easy "On the Translammation of Gravity." I commend his arguments to those who are not convioced by the arguments used above.

Let no add a remark concerning the matare of the question at issue. It is assumed that, as a matter of course, it is a question falling within the sphere of the mathematicians and physicists. I deman to the assumption. It is a question falling within the sphere of the psychologists—a question concerning the right interpretation of our idea.

CHAPTER VI

THE PERSISTENCE OF FORCE *

§ 60. In the foregoing two chapters, manifestations of force of two fundamentally-different classes have been dealt with—the force by which matter demonstrates itself to us as existing, and the force by which it demonstrates itself to us as acting.

Body is distinguishable from space by its power of affecting our senses, and, in the last resort, by its opposition to our efforts. We can conceive of body only by joining in thought extension and resistance: take away resistance, and there remains only space. In what way this force which produces space-occupancy is conditioned we do not know. The mode of force which is revealed to us only by opposition to our own powers, may have for one of its factors the mode of force which reveals itself by the changes initiated in our consciousness. That the space a body occupies is in part determined by the degree of that activity of its molecules known

* Some explanation of this title is needful. In the text itself are given the reasons for using the word "jorns" instead of the word "energy"; and here I must my why I think "persistence" preferable to "conservation." Some two years ago (this was written in 1860) I expressed to my friend Prof. Huxley, my disatisfaction with the (then) current expression—" Conservation of Force"; assigning as a scene first, that the word "conservation" implies a conserver and an act of conserving; and, scened, that it does not imply the existence of the force before the particular manifestation of it which is contemplated. And I may now add, as a further fault, the tacit assumption that, without some act ag conservation, force would disappear. All these implications are at variance with the conception to be conveyed. In place of "conservation" Prof. Huxley suggested persistence. This meets most of the objectious; and though it may be urged that it does not directly imply pre-existence of the force at any time manifested, yet no word loss faulty in this respect can be found. In the absence of a word equal for the purpose, it seems the best; and as such I adopt it.

THE KNOWABLE

as heat, is a familiar truth. Moreover, such molecular rearrangement as occurs when water is changed into ice, is shown to be accompanied by an evolution of force which may burst the containing vessel and give motion to the fragments. Nevertheless, the forms of our experience oblige us to distinguish between two modes of force; the one not a worker of change and the other a worker of change, actual or potential. The first of these—the space-occupying kind of force—has no specific name.

For the second kind of force, the specific name now accepted is "Energy." That which in the last chapter was speken of as perceptible activity, is called by physicists, "actual energy"; and that which was there spoken of as latent activity, they call "potential energy." While including the mode of activity shown in molar motion, Energy includes also the several modes of activity into which molar motion is transformable—heat, light, &c. It is the common name for the power shown alike in the movements of masses and in the movements of molecules. To our perceptions this second kind of force differs from the first kind as being not intrinsic but extrinsic.

In aggregated matter as presented to sight and touch, this antithesis is, as above implied, much obscured. Especially in a compound substance, both the latent energy locked up in the chemically-combined molecules and the actual energy made perceptible to us as heat, complicate the manifestations of intrinsic force by the numifestations of extrinsic force. But the antithesis, here partially hidden, is clearly seen on reducing the data to their lowest terms—a unit of matter, or atom, and its motion. The force by which it exists is possive but independent ; while the force by which it moves is active but dependent on its past and present relations to other atoms. These two cannot be identified in our thoughts. For as it is impossible to think of energy without zomething possessing the energy.

While recognizing this fundamental distinction between that intrinsic force by which body manifests itself as occupying space, and that *astrinsic* force distinguished as energy, I here treat of them together as being alike persistent. And I thus treat of them together partly because, in our consciousness of them, there

150

is the same essential element. The sense of effort is our subjective symbol for objective force in general, passive and active. Power of resisting that which we know as our own muscular strain, is the element in our idea of body as distinguished from space; and any motor energy which we give to body, or receive from it, is thought of as equal to a certain amount of muscular strain. The two consciousnesses differ essentially in this, that the feeling of effort common to them is in the last case joined with consciousness of change of position, but in the first case is not.*

There is, however, a further and more important reason for here dealing with the proposition that Force under each of these forms pensists. We have to examine its warrant.

 \S 61. A little more patience is asked. We must reconsider the reasoning by which the indestructibility of Matter and the continuity of Motion are established, that we may see how impossible it is to arrive by parallel reasoning at the Persistence of Force.

In all three cases the question is one of quantity -- Does the Matter, or Motion, or Force, ever diminish in quantity? Quantitative science implies measurement, and measurement implies a unit of measure. The units of measure from which all others of any exactness are derived, are units of linear exten-

* Concerning the fundamental distinction have made between the spacecompying kind of force, and the kind of force shown by various modes of activity, 1 am, as in the last chapter, at issue with some of my stientific friends. They do not admit that the conception of force is involved in the conception of a unit of matter. From the psychological point of view, however, Matter, in all its properties in the unknown cause of the constitues it produces in us; of which the one which remains when all others are absent, is resistance to car efforts—a resistance we are obliged to symbolize as the equivalent of the numeral forces it opposes. In imagining a unit of matter we may not ignore this symbol, by which alone a unit of matter can be figured in thought as an existence. It is not allowable to speak as though there remained a conception of an existence when that conception has been evidented—deprived of the element of thought by which it is distinguished from empty space. Divest the conteived unit of matter of the objective correlate to our subjective sense of effort, and the entire fabric of physical conceptions disappears. sion. Our units of linear extension are the lengths of masses of matter, or the spaces between marks made on the masses, and we assume these lengths, or these spaces between marks, to remain unchanged while the temperature is unchanged. From the standard-measure preserved at Westminster, are derived the measures for trigonometrical surveys, for geodesy, the measurement of terrestrial arcs, and the calculations of astronomical distauces, dimensions, &c., and therefore for Astronomy at large, Were these units of length, original and derived, irregularly variable, there could be no celestial dynamics, nor any of that, verification vielded by it of the constancy of the celestial masses. and of their energies. Hence, persistence of the space-occupying species of force cannot be proved, for the reason that it is takitly assumed in every experiment or observation by which it is proposed The like holds of the force distinguished as to prove it. energy. The endeavour to establish this by measurement, takes for granted both the persistence of the intrinsic force by which body manifests itself as existing, and the persistence of the extrinsic force by which body acts. For it is from these equal units of linear extension, through the medium of the equal-armed lever or scales, that we derive our equal units of weight, or gravitative force; and only by means of these can we make these quantitative comparisons by which the truths of exact science are Throughout the investigations leading the chemist to reached. the conclusion that of the carbon which has disappeared during combustion, no portion has been last, what is his repeatedlyassigned proof? That afforded by the scales. In what terms is the verdict of the scales given ? In grammes-in units of weightin units of gravitative force. And what is the total content of the vendict? That as many units of gravitative force as the carbon exhibited at first, it exhibits still. The shufity of the inference, then, depends entirely upon the constancy of the units of force, If the force with which the portion of metal called a gramme-weight tends towards the Earth, has varied, the inderence that matter is indestructible is vicious. Everything turns on the truth of the assumption that the gravitation of the weights is persistent; and of this no proof is assigned, or can be assigned, In the reasonings of the astronomer

there is a like implication, from which we may draw the like conclusion. No problem in celestial dynamics can be solved without the assumption of some unit of force. This unit need not be, like a pound or a ton, one of which we can take direct cognizance. It is requisite only that the mutual attraction which some two of the bodies concerned exercise at a given distance, shall be taken as one; so that the other attractions with which the problem deals, may be expressed in terms of this one. Such unit being assumed, the motions which the respective masses will generate in one another in a given time, are calculated; and compounding these with the motions they already have, their places at the end of that time are predicted. The prediction is verified by observation. From this, either of two inferences may be drawn. Assuming the masses to be unchanged, their energies may be proved undiminished; or assuming their energies undiminished, the masses muy be proved unchanged. But the validity of one or other inference depends wholly on the truth of the assumption that the unit of force is unchanged. Let it he supposed that the gravitation of the two bodies towards each other at the given distance has varied, and the conclusions drawn Nor is it only in their concrete data are no longer true. that the reasonings of terrestrial and celestial physics assume the Persistence of Force. The equality of action and reaction is taken for granted from beginning to end of either argument; and to assert that notion and reaction are equal and opposite, is to essert that Force persists. The implication is that there cannot be an isolated force, but that any force manifested implies an equal antecedent force from which it is derived, and against which it is a reaction.

We might indeed be certain, even in the absence of any such analysis as dz, aregoing, that there must exist some principle which, as being the basis of science, cannot be established by science. All reasoned-out conclusions whatever must rest on some postulate. As before shown (§ 23), we cannot go on merging derivative truths in these wider truths from which they are derived, without reaching at last a widest truth which can be merged in no other, or derived from no other. And the relation in which it stands to the truths of science in general, shows that

THE KNOWABLE

this truth transcending demonstration is the Persistence of Force. To this an ultimate analysis brings us down, and on this a rational synthesis must build up.

§ 62. But now what is the force of which we predicate persistence? That which the word ordinarily stands for is the consciousness of muscular tension—the feeling of effort which we have either when putting something in motion or when resisting a pressure. This feeling, however, is but a symbol.

In § 18 it was said that though, since action and reaction are equal and opposite, we are obliged to think of the downward pull of a weight as equal to the upward pull which supports it, and though the thought of equality suggests kinship of nature, yet, as we cannot ascribe feeling to the weight, we are obliged to admit that Force as it exists beyond consciousness has no likeness to force as we conceive it, though there is between them the kind of equivalence implied by simultaneous variation. The effort of one who throws a cricket ball is followed by the motion of the ball through space, and its momentum is re-transformed into muscular strain in one who catches it. What the force was when it existed in the flying cricket hall it is impossible to imagine: we have no terms of thought in which to represent. it. And it is thus with all the transformations of energy taking place in the world around. Those illustrations given in § 66. showing the changes of form which energy undergoes and the equivalence between so much of it in one form and so much in another, fail to enlighten us respecting the energy itself. Th assumes under this or that set of conditions this or that shape. and the quantity of it is not altered during its transformations. For that interpretation of things which is alone possible for us this is all we require to know-that the force 24 energy manifested, now in one way now in another, persists or remains unchanged in amount. But when we ask what this energy is, there is no answer save that it is the noumenal cause implied by the phenomenal effect.

Hence the force of which we assert persistence is that Absolute Force we are obliged to postulate as the necessary correlate of the force we are conscious of. By the Persistence of Force, we really mean the persistence of some Cause which transcends our knowledge and conception. In asserting it we assert an Unconditioned Reality, without beginning or end.

Thus, quite unexpectedly, we come down once more to that ultimate truth in which, as we saw, Religion and Science coalesce —the continued existence of an Unknowable as the necessary correlative of the Knowable.



CHAPTER VII

THE PERSISTENCE OF RELATION'S AMONG FORCES

§ 68. The first deduction to be drawn from the ultimate universal truth that force persists is that the relations among forces persist. Supposing a given manifestation of force, under a given form and given conditions, he either preceded by or succeeded by some other manifestation, it must, in all cases where the form and conditions are the same, he preceded by or succeeded by such other manifestation. Every anteredent mode of the Unknowable must have an invariable connexion, quantitative and qualitative, with that mode of the Unknowable which we call its consequent.

For to say otherwise is to deny the persistence of force. If in any two cases there is exact likeness not only between these conspicuous antecedents which we call the couses, but also between those accompanying antecedents which we call the conditions, we cannot affirm that the effects will differ, without affirming either that some force has come into existence or that some force has ceased to exist. If the co-operative forces in the one case are equal to those in the other, each to each, in distribution and amount; then it is impossible to conceive the product of their joint action in the one case as unlike that in the other, without conceiving one or more of the forces to part increased or diminished in quantity; and this is conceiving that force is not persistent.

To impress the truth thus enunciated under its most abstract form, some illustrations will be desirable.

§ 64. Let two bullets, equal in weights and shapes, be projected with equal energies; then, in equal times, equal distances must

be travelled by them. The assertion that one of them will describe an assigned space sooner than the other, though their initial momenta were alike and they have been equally resisted (for if they are unequally resisted the antecedents differ) is an assertion that equal quantities of force have not done equal amounts of work; and this cannot be thought without thinking that some force has disappeared into nothing or arisen out of Assume, further, that during its flight one of them nothing. has been drawn by the Earth a certain number of inches out of its original line of movement; then the other, which has moved the same distance in the same time, must have fallen just as far towards the Earth. No other result can be imagined without imagining that equal attractions acting for equal times, have produced unequal effects : which involves the inconceivable proposition that some action has been created or annihilated. Amilia one of the bullets having penetrated the target to a certain depth, ponetration by the other bullet to's smaller depth, unless caused by greater local density in the target, cannot be mentally represented. Such a modification of the consequents without modification of the antecedents is thinkable only through the impossible thought that something has become nothing or nothing has become something.

It is thus not with sequences only, but also with simultaneous changes and permanent co-existences. Given charges of powder alike in quantity and quality, fired from barrels of the same structure, and propelling bullets of equal weights, sizes, and forms, similarly rammed down; ^{*} and it is a necessary inference that the concomitant actions which make up the explosion, will bear to one another like relations of quantity and quality in the two cases. The proportions among the different products of combustion will be equal. The several amounts of energy taken up in giving momentum to the bullet, heat to the gases, and sound on their escape, will preserve the same ratios. The quantities of light and amoke in the one case will be what they are in the other; and the two recoils will be alike. For no difference of relation among these concurrent phenomena can be imagined as arising, without imagining it as arising by the creation or annihilation of energy.

* This was written while intrade-loading was still usual.

That which holds between these two cases must hold among any number of cases; and that which here holds between comparatively simple anteredents and consequents, must hold however involved the anteredents become and however involved the consequents become.

§ 65. Thus Uniformity of Law, resolvable as we find it into the persistence of relations among forces, is a corollary from the persistence of force. The general conclusion that there exist constant connexions among phenomena, ordinarily regarded as an inductive conclusion only, is really a conclusion deducible from the ultimate datum of consciousness.

More than this may be said. Every apparent inductive proof of the uniformity of law itself takes for granted both the persistence of force and the persistence of relations among forces. For in the exact sciences, in which alone we may seek relations definite enough to prove uniformity, any alleged demonstration must depend on measurement; and as we have already seen, measurement, whether of matter or force, assumes that both are persistent in assuming that the measures have not varied. While at the same time every determination of the relations among them—in amount, proportion, direction, or what not—similarly implies measurement, the validity of which as before implies the persistence of force.

That uniformity of law thus follows inevitably from the persistence of force, will become more and more clear as we advance. The next chapter will indirectly supply abundant illustrations of it.

CHAPTER VIII

THE TRANSFORMATION AND EQUIVALENCE OF FORCES

§ 66. WHEN, to the unaided senses, Science began to add supplementary senses in the shape of measuring instruments, men lapput to perceive various phenomena which eyes and fingers could not distinguish. Of known forms of force, minuter manifestations became appreciable; and forms of force before unknown were rendered cognizable and measurable. Where forces had apparently ended in nothing, and hed been carelessly supposed to have actually done so, instrumental observation proved that effects had in every instance been produced: the forces having reappeared in new shapes. Hence has at length arisen the inquiry whether the force displayed in each surrounding change, does not in the act of experfliture undergo metamorphosis into an equivalent amount of some other force or forces. And to this inquiry experiment is giving an affirmative answer, which becomes daily more decisive. Seguin, Mayer, Joule, Grove, and Helmholtz, are more than others to be capilited with the enunciation of this doctrine. Let us glance at the evidence on which it rests.

Motion, wherever we can directly trace its genesis, we find had pre-existed as some other mode of force. Our own voluntary acts have always certain sensations of muscular tension as their antecedents. When, as in letting fall a relaxed limb, we are conscious of a bodily movement requiring no effort, the explanation is that the effort was exerted in raising the limb to the position whence it fell. In this case, as in the case of an inanimate body descending to the Earth, the force accumulated by the downward motion is equal to the force previously expended in the act of elevation. Conversely, Motion that is arrested produces, under different circumstances, heat, electricity, magnetism, light. From the warming of the hands by rubbing them together, up to the ignition of a railway-brake by intense friction-from the lighting of detonating powder by percussion, up to the setting on fire a block of wood by a few blows from a steam-hammer ; we have abundant instances in which heat arises as Motion ccases. It is uniformly found that the heat generated is great in proportion as the Motion lost is great; and that to diminish the arrest of motion by diminishing the friction, is to diminish the quantity of heat evolved. The production of electricity by Motion is illustrated equally in the boy's experiment with rubbed scaling-way. in the common electrical machine, and in the apparatus for exciting electricity by the escape of steam. Wherever there is friction between heterogeneous bodies electrical disturbance is one of the consequences. Magnetism may result from Motion either immediately, as through percussion on steel, or mediately as through electric currents previously generated by Motion. And similarly, Motion may create light; either directly, as in the minute incandescent fragments struck off by violent collisions, or indirectly, as through the electric spark. " Lastly, Motion may be again reproduced by the forces which have emanated from Motion ; thus, the divergence of the electrometer, the revolution of the electrical wheel, the deflection of the magnetic needle, are, when resulting from frictional electricity, palpable movements reproduced by the intermediate modes of force, which have themselves been originated by motion."

That mode of force which we distinguished as Heat, is now regarded as molecular motion—not motion as displayed in the changed relations of sensible masses to one another, but as possessed by the units of which such sensible masses consist. Omitting cases in which there is structural rearrangement of the molecules, heated bodies expand; and expansion is interpreted as due to movements of the molecules in relation to one another; wider oscillations. That radiation through which anything of higher temperature than things around it, communicates Heat to them, is clearly a species of motion. Moreover, the evidence afforded by the thermometer that Heat thus diffuses itself, is simply a movement caused in the mercurial column. And that the molecular motion which we call

Heat, may be transformed into visible motion, familiar proof is given by the steam-engine; in which "the piston and all its conconsituat masses of matter are moved by the molecular dilatation of the vapour of water." Where Heat is absorbed without apparent result, modern inquiries have detected mobitrosive modifications: as in glass, the molecular state of which is so far changed, that a polarized ray of light passing through it becomes visible, which it does not when the glass is cold; or as on polished metallic surfaces, which are altered in molecular structure by radiations from objects very close to them. The transformation of Heat into electricity occurs when dissimilar metals touching each other are heated at the point of contact : electric currents being so produced, Solid, incomhustible matter put into heated gas, as lime into the oxy-hydrogen flame, becomes incandescent; and so exhibits the conversion of Heat into light. The production of magnetism by Heat, if it cannot be proved to take place directly, may be proved to take place indirectly through the agency of electricity. And through the same agency may be established the correlation of Heat and chemical affinity-a correlation which is directly shown by the marked influence Heat exercises on chemical composition and decomposition.

The transformations of Electricity into other modes of force are clearly demonstrable. Produced by the motion of heterogeneous hodies in contact, Electricity, through attractions and repulsions, will immediately reproduce motion in neighbouring bodies. In this case a current of Electricity magnetizes a har of soft iron; and in that case the rotation of an equipped magnet generates currents of Electricity. Here is the cell of a battery in which, from the play of chemical affinities, an electric current results; and there, in the adjacent cell, is an electric current effecting chemical decomposition. In the conducting wire we witness the transformation of Electricity into heat; while in electric sparks and in the voltaic arc we see light produced. Molecular arrangement, too, is changed by Electricity; as instance the transfer of matter from pole to pole of a battery; the fractures caused by the disruptive discharge; the formation of crystals under the influence of electric currents. And then that, conversely, Electricity is directly generated by rearrangement of the molecules

11

of matter, is shown when a storage-battery or accumulator is used.

How from Magnetism the other physical forces result, must be next briefly noted—briefly, because in each successive case the illustrations become in great part the obverse forms of those before given. That Magnetism produces motion is the ordinary evidence we have of its existence. In the magneto-electric machine a rotating magnet evolves electricity; and the electricity so evolved may immediately after exhibit itself as heat, light, or chemical affinity. Faraday's discovery of the effect of Magnetism on polarized light, as well as the discovery that change of magnetic state is accompanied by heat, point to further like connexions. Lastly, experiments show that the magnetization of a body alters its internal structure; and that, conversely, the alteration of its internal structure, as by mechanical strain, alters its magnetic condition.

Improbable as it seemed, it is now proved that from Light also may proceed the like variety of agencies. Rays of light change the atomic arrangements of particular crystals. Certain mixed gases, which do not otherwise combine, combine in the sunshine. In some compounds light produces decomposition. Since the inquiries of photographers have drawn attention to the subject, it has been shown that "a vast number of substances, both elementary and compound, are notably affected by this agent, even those apparently the most unalterable in character, such as metals." And when a discurrectype plate is connected with a proper apparatus "we get chemical action on the plate, electricity circulating through the wires, magnetism in the coil, heat in the helix, and motion in the peckles."

The genesis of all other modes of force from Chemical Action, scarcely needs pointing out. The ordinary accompaniment of chemical combination is heat; and when the affinities are intense, light also is produced. Chemical changes involving alteration of bulk cause motion, both in the combining elements and in adjacent masses of matter: witness the propulsion of a bullet by the explosion of gunpowder. In the galvanic battery we see electricity resulting from chemical composition and decomposition. While through the medium of this electricity, Chemical Action produces magnetism.

TRANSFORMATION, ETC., OF FORCES 168

These facts, the larger part of which are culled from Grove's work on The Correlation of Physical Forces, show that each force is transformable, directly or indirectly, into the others. In every change Force (or Energy, as in these cases it is called) undergoes metamorphosis; and from the new form or forms it assumes, may subsequently result either the previous one or any of the rest, in endless variety of order and combination. It is further now manifest that the physical forces stand not simply in qualitative correlations with one another, but also in quantitative correlations. Besides proving that one mode of force may be transformed into another mode, experiments show that from a definite amount of one, the amounts of others that arise are definite. Ordinarily it is difficult to show this ; since it mostly happens that the transformation of any force is not into some one of the rest but into several of them : the proportions being determined by ever-varying conditions. But in certain cases positive results have been reached. Mr. Joule has ascertained that the fall of 772 lbs, through one foot, will raise the temperature of a pound of water one degree of Fahrenheit. Dulong, Petit, and Neumann, have proved a relation in amount between the admittee of combining bodies and the heat evolved during their combination. Between chemical action and voltaic electricity, a quantitative connexion has been established by Faraday. The well-determined relations between the amounts of heat generated and of water turned to steam, or still better the known expansion produced in steam by each additional degree of heat, may be cited in forther evidence. Hence it is no longer doubted that among the several forms which force assumes, the quantitative relations are fixed.

§ 67. Throughout the Cosmos this truth must invariably hold. Every change, or-g-oup of changes, going on in it, must be due to forces affiliable on the like or unlike forces previously existing; while from the forces exhibited in such change or changes must be derived others more or less transformed. And besides recognizing this necessary linking of the forces at any time manifested with those preceding and succeeding them, we must recognize the amounts of these forces as necessarily producing such and such quantities of results, and as necessarily limited to those quantities.
That unification of knowledge which is the business of Thilosophy, is but little furthered by the establishment of this trath under its general form. We must trace it out under its leading special forms. Changes, and the accompanying transformations of forces, are everywhere in progress, from the movements of stars to the currents of commodities; and to comprehend the great fact that forces, unceasingly metamorphosed, are nowhere increased or decreased, it is requisite to contemplate the changes of all kinds going on around, that we may learn whence arise the forces they show and what becomes of these forces. Of course if answerable at all, these questions can be answered only in the rodest way. The most we can hope is to establish a qualitative correlation that is indefinitely quantitative—quantitative, to the extent of implying something like a due proportion between causes and effects.

Let us, then, consider the several classes of phenomena which the several concrete sciences deal with.

§ 68. The antecedents of those forces which our Solar System diaplays, belong to a past of which we can never have anything but inferential knowledge. Many and strong as are the reasons for believing the Nebular Hypothesis, we cannot yet regard it as more than an hypothesis. If, however, we assume that the matter of our Solar System was once diffused and had irregularities of shape and density such as existing nebulae display, or resulted from the coalescence of moving nebulaus masses, we have, in the momenta of its parts, original and acquired forces adequate to produce the motions now going on.

Various stages in the formation of spiral nebular imply that rotation in many cases results from concentration : whether always, there is no proof; for large nebulæ are too *willing*, small ones too dense, and othere are seen too much edgeways, to yield evidence. But in the absence of adverse pre-arrangement some rotation may safely be inferred. So far as the evidence carries us, we preceive some quantitative relation between the motions generated and the gravitative forces expended in generating them. In the Solar System the outermost planets, formed from that matter which has travelled the shortest distance towards the

common centre of gravity, have the smallest velocities. Doubtless this is explicable on the teleological hypothesis, since it is a condition to equilibrium. But without insisting that this is beside the question, it will suffice to point out that the like cannot be said of the planetary rotations. No such final cause can be assigned for the rapid axial movement of Jupiter and Saturn, or the slow axial movement of Mars. If, however, we look for the natural antecedents of these gyrations which all planets exhibit, the nebular hypothesis furnishes them; and they bear manifest quantitative relations to the rates of motions. For the planets that turn on their axes with extreme rapidity are those baving large orbits - those of which the once-diffused components, probably formed into broad rings, moved to their centres of aggregation through immense spaces, and so acquired high velocities. While, conversely, the planets which rotate with relatively small velocities, are those formed out of small nebulous rings,

"But what," it may be asked, "has in such case become of all that motion which ended in the aggregation of this diffused matter into solid bodies ?" The answer is that it has been radiated in the form of heat and light; and this answer the evidence, so far as it goes, confirms. Geologists and physicists agree in concluding that the heat of the Earth's interior is but a remnant of the heat which once made molten the whole mas. The mountainous surfaces of the Moon and of Yenus, indicating, as they do, crasts which have, like our own, been corrogated by contraction, imply that these bodies, too, have undergone refrigeration. Lastly, we have in the Sun a still-continued production of the heat and light which result from the arrest of diffused matter moving towards a common centre of gravity. Here also, as before, a quantitative relation is trace.ble, Mars, the Earth, Venus, and Mercury, which severally contain comparatively small amounts of matter whose centripetal motion has been destroyed, have already lost nearly all the produced heat; while the great planets, Jupiter and Saturn, imply by their low specific gravity, as well as by the perturbations of their surfaces, that they still retain much heat. And then the Sun, a thousand times as great in mass as the largest planet, and having to give off an enormously greater quantity of heat and light

THE KNOWABLE

due to that loss of molar motion which concentration entails, is still radiating with great intensity.

§ 69. Those forces which have wrought the surface of our planet into its present shape, are traceable to the primordial source just assigned. Geologic changes are either direct or indirect results of the unexpended heat caused by nebular condensation. They are commonly divided into igneous and aqueous—heads under which we may most conveniently consider them.

All those disturbances known as earthquakes, all those elevations and subsidences which they severally produce, all those accumulated effects of many such elevations and subsidences exhibited in oceanbasins, islands, continents, table-lands, mountain-chains, and all these formations which are distinguished as volcanic, geologists now regard as modifications of the Earth's crust caused by the actions and reactions of its interior. Even supposing that volcauje eruptions, extrusions of igneous rock, and upheaved mountainchains, could be otherwise satisfactorily accounted for, it would be impossible otherwise to account for those wide-spread elevations and depressions whence continents and oceans result. Such phenomena as the fusion or agglutinution of sodimentary deposits, the warming of springs, the sublimation of metals into the fasures where we find them as ones, may be regarded as positive results of the residuary heat of the Earth's interior ; while fractures of strata and alterations of level are its negative results, since they ensue on its escape. The original cause of all these effects is still, however, as it has been from the first, the gravitating movement of the Earth's matter towards the Earth's centre; seeing that to this is due both the internal heat itself and the collapse which takes place as it is radiated into space.

To the question—Under what forms previously existed the force which works out the geological changes classed as aqueous, the answer is less obvious. The effects of rain, of rivers, of winds, of waves, of marine currents, do not manifestly proceed from one general source. Analysis, nevertheless, proves that they have a common genesis. If we ask,—Whence comes the power of the river-current, hearing sediment down to the sea? the reply is,— The gravitation of water throughout the tract which this river drains. If we ask,—How came the water to be dispersed over this tract? the reply is,-It fell in the shape of rain. If we ask,-How came the rain to be in that position whence it fell? the reply is,-The vapour from which it was condensed was drifted there by the winds. If we ask,-How came this vapour to be at that height? the reply is,-It was mised by evaporation. And if we ask,-What force thus raised it? the reply is,-The Sun's heat. Just that amount of gravitative force which the Sun's heat overcame in raising the molecules of water, is given out again in the fall of those molecules to the same level. Hence the depudations effected by rain and rivers, during the descent of this condensed vapour to the level of the sea, are indirectly due to the radiated energy of the Similarly with the winds that transport the vapours hither Sup. and thither. Consequent as atmospheric currents are on differences of temperature (either general, as between the equatorial and polar regions, or special as between tracts of the Earth's surface having uplike physical characters) all such currents are due to that source from which the irregularly distributed heat proceeds. And if the winds thus originate, so too do the waves raised by them on the sea's surface. Whence it follows that whatever changes waves produce-the wearing away of cliffs, the breaking down of rocks into shingle, sand, and mud-are also traveable to the solar rays as their primary muse. The same may be said of ocean-currents. Generated as the larger ones are by the excess of heat which the occan in tropical climates acquires from the Sun; and determined as the smaller ones are in part, by local shapes of land; it follows that the distribution of sediment and other geological processes which these marine currents effect, are affiliable upon the energy the Sun radiates. The only aqueous agency otherwise originating is that of the tides-an agency which, equally with the others, is traceable to exceptended celestial motion. But making allowance for the changes this works, we conclude that the slow wearing down of continents and geadual filling up of seas, effected by rain, rivers, winds, waves, and ocean-streams, are the indirect effects of solar beat.

Thus we see that while the geological changes classed as igneous, arise from the still-progressing motion of the Earth's substance to its centre of gravity; the antagonistic changes classed as aqueous, arise from the still-progressing motion of the Sun's substancetowards its centre of gravity.

§ 70. That the forces exhibited in vital actions, vegetal and animal, are similarly derived, is an obvious deduction from the facts of organic chemistry. Let us note first the physiological generalizations; and then the generalizations which they necessitate.

Plant-life is all directly or indirectly dependent on the heat and light of the Sun-directly dependent in the immense majority of plants, and indirectly dependent in plants which, as the fungi, floarish in the dark : since these, growing at the expense of decaying organic matter, mediately draw their forces from the same original source. Each plant owes the carbon and hydrogen of which it mainly consists, to the carbon dioxide and water contained in the surrounding air and earth. These must, however, be decomposed before their carbon and hydrogen can be assimilated. To overcome the affaitties which hold their elements together, requires the expenditure of energy; and this energy is supplied by the Sun. When, under fit conditions, plants are exposed to the solar rays, they give off oxygen and accumulate carbon and hydrogen. In darkness this process ceases. It ceases, too, when the quantities of light and heat received are greatly reduced, as in winter. Conversely, it is active when the light and heat are great, as in summer. And the like relation is seen in the fact that while plant-life is luxuriant in the tropics, it diminishes in temperate regions, and disappears as we approach the poles. Thus the irresistible inference is that the forces by which plants grow and carry on their functions, are forces which previously existed as solar radiations.

That in the main, the processes of animal life are opposite to those of vegetal life is a truth long current among men of science. Chemically considered, vegetal life is chieffy, a process of deoxidation, and animal life chieffy a process of oxidation: chieffy, we must say, because in so far as plants are expenders of force for the purposes of organization, they are oridizers: and animals, in some of their minor processes, are probably de-oxidizers. But with this qualification, the general truth is that while the plant, decomposing carbon dioxide and water and liberating oxygen, builds up the detained carbon and hydrogen (along with a little

nitrogen and small quantities of other elements) into stem, branches, leaves, and seeds ; the animal, consuming these branches, leaves, and seeds, and absorbing oxygen, re-composes carbon dioxide and water, forming also certain nitrogenous compounds in minor amounts. And while the decomposition effected by the plant is at the expense of energies emanating from the Sun, the re-composition effected by the animal is at the profit of these energies, which are liberated during the combination of such elements. Thus the movements, internal and external, of the animal, are re-appearances in new forms of a power absorbed by the plant under the shape of light and heat. Just as the solar forces expended in raising vapour from the sea's surface, are given out again in the full of rain and rivers to the same level, and in the accompanying transfer of solid matters; so, the solar forces that in the plant raised certain chemical elements to a condition of unstable equilibrium, are given out again in the actions of the animal during the fall of these elements to a condition of stable equilibrium.

Besides thus tracing a qualitative correlation between these two great orders of organic activity, as well as between both of them and inorganic activities, we may rudely trace a quantitative correlation. Where vegetal life is abundant, we usually find abundant animal life; and as we advance from torrid to temperate and frigid climates, the two decrease together. Speaking generally, the animals of each class reach larger sizes in regions where vegetation is luxuriant, than in those where it is sparse.

Certain facts of development in both plants and animals, illustrate still more directly the truth we are considering. In pursuance of a suggestion made by Mr. (afterwards Sir William) Grove, Dr. Carpenter pointed out that a connexion between physical and vital forces is exhibited during incubation. The transformation of the unorganized contents of an egg into the organized chick is a question of heat withhold heat and the process does not commence; supply heat and it goes on while the temperature is maintained, but ceases when the egg is allowed to cool. The developmental changes can be completed only by keeping the temperature with tolerable constancy at a definite height for a definite time; that is—only by supplying a definite quantity of heat. Though the proclivities of the molecules determine the typical structure assumed, yet the energy supplied by the thermal undulations gives them the power of arranging themselves into that structure. In the metamorphoses of insects we may discern parallel facts. The hatching of their eggs is determined by temperature, as is also the evolution of the popa into the imago; and both are accelerated or retarded according as heat is artificially supplied or withheld. It will suffice just to add, that the germination of plants presents like relations of cause and effect, as every season shows.

Thus then the various changes exhibited by the organic creation, whether considered as a whole, or in its two great divisions, or in its individual members, conform, so far as we can ascertain, to the general principle.

§ 71. Even after all that has been said in the foregoing part of this work, many will be alarmed by the assertion that the forces which we distinguish as mental, come within the same generalization. Yet there is no alternative but to make this assertion: the facts which justify, or rather which necessitate, it being abundant and conspicuous. At the same time they are extremely involved. The essential correlations occur in organs which are mostly invisible, and between forces or energies quite other than those which are apparent. Let us first take a superficial view of the evidence.

The modes of consciousness called pressure, motion, sound, light, heat, are effects produced in us by agencies which, as otherwise expended, crush or fracture pieces of matter, generate vibrations in surrounding objects, cause chemical combinations, and reduce substances from a solid to a liquid form. Hence if we regard the changes of relative position, of aggregation, or of chemical union, thus arising, as being transformed manifestations of certain energies; so, too, must we regard the sensations which such energies produce in us. Any hesitation to admit this must disappear on remembering that the last correlations, like the first, are not qualitative only but quantitative. Masses of matter which, by scales or dynamometer; are shown to differ greatly in weight, differ as greatly in the feelings of pressure they produce on our bodies. In arresting moving objects, the strains we are conscious of are proportionate to the momente of such objects as otherwise measured. The impressions of sounds given to us by vibrating strings, bells, or columns of air, are found to vary in strength with the amount of force applied. Fluids or solids proved to be markedly contrasted in temperature by the different degrees of expansion they produce in the mercurial column, produce in us correspondingly different degrees of the sensation of heat. And unlike intensities in our impressions of light, answer to unlike effects as measured by photometers.

Besides the correlation and equivalence between external physical forces and the mental forces generated by them under the form of sensations, there appears to be a correlation and equivalence between sensations and those physical forces which, in the shape of bodily actions, result from them. In addition to the excitements of secreting organs, sometimes traceable, there arise contractions of the involuntary muscles. Sensitions increase the action of the heart, and recent experiments imply that the muscular fibres of the arteries are at the same time contracted. The respiratory muscles, too, are stimulated. The rate of breathing is visibly and audibly augmented both by pleasurable and painful excitements of the nerves, if these teach any intensity. When the quantity of sensation is great, it generates contractions of the voluntary muscles, as well as of the involuntary ones. Violent pains cause violent, struggles. The start that follows a loud sound, the wry face produced by an extremely disagreeable taste, the jerk with which the hand or foot is snatched out of very hot water, exemplify the genesis of motions by feelings; and in these cases it is manifest that the quantity of bodily action is proportionate to the quantity of sensation. Even where pride muses suppression of the screams and groans expressive of great pain (also indirect results of muscular contraction), we may still see in the elenching of the hands, the knitting of the brows, and the setting of the teeth, that the bodily actions excited are as great, though less obtrusive in their If we take emotions instead of sensations, we find results. the correlation and equivalence similarly suggested. Emotions of moderate intensity, like sensations of moderate intensity, generate little beyond excitoment of the heart and vascular system, joined sometimes with increased action of glandular organs. But as the emotions rise in strength, the muscles of the face, body, and limbs,

hegin to move, Of examples may be mentioned the frowns. dilated nostrils, and stampings of anger; the contracted brows, and wrung hands, of grief; the laughs and leaps of joy; the frantic struggles of terror or despair. Passing over cases in which extreme agitation causes fainting, we see that whatever be the kind of emotion, there is a manifest relation between its amount and the amount of muscular action induced, from the fidgetiness of impatience up to the almost convulsive movements accompanying great mental agony. To these several orders of evidence must be joined the further order, that between feelings and those voluntary motions which result from them, there comes the sensation of muscular lension, standing in manifest correlation with both-a correlation that is distinctly quantitative : the sense of stmin varying, other things equal, directly as the quantity of monientim generated.

§ 71a. But now, reverting to the caution which preceded these two puragraphs, we have to note, first, that the facts do not prove transformation of feeling into motion but only a certain constant. ratio between feeling and motion; and then we have further to note that what seems a direct quantitative correlation is illusory, For example, tickling is followed by almost uncontrollable movements of the limbs; but obviously there is no proportion between the amount of force applied to the surface and the amount of feeling or the amount of motion : rather there is an inverse proportion, for while a rough touch does not produce the effect a gentle one does. Even when it is recognized that the feeling is not the correlate of the external touching action but of a disturbance in certain terminal tactile structures, it still remains demonstrable that there is no necessary relation between the amount of such distorbance and the amount of feeling produced : for under some conditions muscular motion results without the intercalation of any feeling. When the spinal cord has been so injured as to cut off all nervous communication between the lower part of the body and the brain, tickling the sole of the foot produces convulsion of the leg more violent than it would do were it accompanied by sensation : there is a reflex transmission of the stimulue and genesis of motion without passage through consciousness. Cases of another class show that between central feelings or emotions and the muscular movements they initiate there are no fixed ratios: instance the sense of effort felt in making a small movement by one who is exhausted, or the inability of an enfectled patient to raise a limb from the bed however strong the desire to do it. So that neither the feelings peripherally initiated nor those centrally initiated, though they are correlated with motions, are quantitatively correlated. Even still more manifest becomes the lack of direct relation, either qualitative or quantitative, between outer stimuli and inner feelings, or between such inner feelings. and muscular motions, when we contemplate the complex kinds of mental processes. The emotions and actions of a man who has been insulted are clearly not equivalents of the sensations produced. by the words in his ears: for the same words otherwise arranged, would not have caused them. The thing said hears to the mental action it excites, much the same relation that the pulling of a trigger hears to the subsequent explosion-does not produce the power but merely liberates it. Whence, then, arises this immenso amount of nervous energy which a whisper or a glance may call forth ?

Evidently we shall go utterly wrong if the problem of the transformation and equivalence of forces is dealt with as though an organism were simple and passive instead of being complex and active. In the living body there are already going on multitudinous immetormations of energy very various in their natures, and between any physical action falling on it and any motion, which follows, there are intervalated numerous changes of kind and quantity. The fact of chief significance for us here is that organization is, under one of its aspects, a set of appliances for the multiplication of energies - appliances which, by their successive actions, make the energy eventually given out enormous as compared with the energy which liberated it. A physical stimulus affecting an organ of sense, is in some cases multiplied by local nervous agents; the sugmented energy is again multiplied in some part of the spinal cord or in some higher ganglion; and this, usually again multiplied in the cerebrum and discharged to the muscles, is there enormously multiplied in the contracting fibres. Of these transformations only some carried on centrally

have accompanying states of consciousness; so that, manifestly, there can be no quantitative equivalence either between the sensation and the original stimulus, or between it and the eventual motion. All we can say is that, other things equal, the three wary together; so that if in one case the successive stages of increase are 1, 9, 27, 270, they will in another case be 2, 18, 54, 540. This kind of correlation is all which the foregoing facts imply. But now let us gauge at the indirect evidences which confine the view that mental and physical forces are connected, though in an indirect way.

Nowadays no one doubts that mental processes and the resulting actions are contingent on the presence of a nervous system; and that, greatly obscured as it is by numerous and involved conditions, a general relation may be traced between the size of this system and the quantity of mental action as measured by its results. Further, this nervous apparatus has a chemical constitution on which its activity depends; and there is one element in it between the amount of which and the amount of function performed there is an ascertained connexion : the proportion of phosphorus present in the brain being the smallest in infancy. old age, and idiotay, and the greatest during the prime of life. Note, next, that the evolution of thought and emotion varies, other things equal, with the supply of blood to the brain, On the one hand, an arrest of the cerebral circulation from stoppage of the heart, immediately entails unconsciousness. On the other hand, excess of cerebral circulation (unless it is such as to cause undue pressure) results in unusual excitement. Not the quantity only, but also the condition, of the blood passing through the brain, influences the mental manifestations. The arterial currents must be duly acrated, to produce the normal amount of cerebration. If the blood is not allowed to exchange its carbon dioxide for oxygen, there results asplivxia, with its accompanying stoppage of ideas and feelings. That the quantity of consciousness is, other things equal, determined by the constituents of the blood. is unmistakably seen in the exaltation which certain vegeto-alkalies commonly produce when taken into it. The gentle exhilaration which ten and coffee create is familiar to all; and though the gorgeous imaginations and intense feelings produced by opium and

hashish, have been experienced by few (in this country at least), the testimony of those who have experienced them is sufficiently conclusive. Yet another proof that the genesis of the mental energies depends on chemical change, is afforded by the fact that the effect products separated from the blood by the kidneys vary in character with the amount of cerebral action. Excessive activity of mind is accompanied by excretion of an unusual quantity of the alkuline phosphates.

§ 71b. But now after recognizing the classes of facts which unite to prove that the law of metanorphosis, and in a partial way the law of equivalence, holds between physical energies and nerveus energies, let us enter upon the ultimate question.—What is the nature of the relation between nervous energies and mental states? how are we to conceive molecular changes in the brain as producing feelings, or feelings as producing molecular changes which end in motion?

In his lecture on Animal Automatism, Prof. Haxley set forth the proofs that alike in unimals and in Man, the great mass of these complex actions which we associate with purpose and intelligence may be performed automatically; and contended that the consciousness which ordinarily accompanies them is outside the series of changes constituting the nervous co-ordination—does not form a link in the chain but is simply a "concomitant" or a "collateral product." In so far as it correlates the nervous actions by which our bodily and mental activities are carried on, with physical forces in general, Prof. Huxley's conclusion accords with the conclusions above set forth ; but in so far as it regards the accompanying states of conaciousness as collateral products only, and not as factors in any degree, differs from them. Here I count do more than indicate the set of evidences by which I think my own conclusion is supported if not justified,

One of them we have in the facts of helpit, which prove that states of consciousness, which were at first accompaniments of sensory impressions and resulting motions, gradually cease to be concomitants. The little boy who is being taught to read has definite perceptions and thoughts about the form and sound of each letter, but in maturity all these have lapsed, so that only the words are consciously recognized: each letter produces its effect automatically. So, too, the girl learning to knit is absorbed in thinking of each movement made under the direction of her eyes, but eventually the movements come to be performed almost like those of a machine while her mind is otherwise occupied. Such cases seem at variance with the belief that consciousness is outside the lines of nervous communication, and suggest, rather, that it exists in any line of communication in course of establishment and disappears when the communication becomes perfect. If it is not a link in the line, it is not easy to see how these changes can arise.

Sundry facts appear to imply that consciousness is needful as an initiator in cases where there are no external stimuli to set up the co-ordinated aervous changes: the nervous structures, though capable of doing everything required if set going, are not set going unless there arises an idea. Now this implies that an idea, or co-ordinated set of feelings, has the power of working changes in the nervous centres and setting up motions: the state of consciousness is a *fluctor*.

Then what we may call passive emotions—emotions which do not initiate actions—spparently imply that between feelings and nervous changes there is not merely a concomitance but a physical nervous. Interse grief or anxiety in one who remains motionless, is shown to be directly dependent on nervous changes by the fact that there is an unusual excretion of phosphates by the kidneys. Now unless we suppose that in such cases there is great activity of certain nervous plexuses ending in nothing, we must say that the feeling is a product of the molecular changes in them.

Once more there is the question—If feeling is not a factor how is its existence to be accounted for? To any one who holds in full the Cartesian doctrine that animals are automata, and that a how no more implies feeling than does the bark of a toy dog, I have nothing to say. But whoever does not hold this is obliged to hold that as we ascribe anger and affection to our fellow men, though we literally know no such feelings save in ourselves, so must we ascribe them to animals under like conditions. If so, however—if feelings are not factors and the appropriate actions might be automatically performed without them—then, on the supernatural hypothesis it must be assumed that feelings were given to animals for no purpose, and on the natural hypothesis it must be assumed that they have arisen to do nothing.

§ 71c. But whether feeling is only a concomitant of certain aervous actions, or whether it is, as concluded above, a factor in such actions, the connexion between the two is inscrutable. If we suppose that in which consciousness inheres to be an immaterial something, not implicated in these nervous actions but nevertheless affected by them in such way as to produce feeling, then we are obliged to conceive of certain material changes-molecular motions-as producing changes in something in which there is nothing to be moved; and this we cannot conceive. If, on the other hand, we regard this something capable of consciousness, as so related to certain nervous changes that the feelings arising in it join them in producing muscillar motions, then we meet the same difficulty under its converse aspect. We have to think of an immaterial something—a something which is not molecular motion—which is capable of affecting molecular motions : we have to endow it with the power to work effects which, so far as our knowledge goes, can be worked only by material forces. So that this alternative, too, is in the last resort inconceivable,

The only supposition having consistency is that that in which consciousness inheres is the all-pervading ether. This we know can be affected by molecules of mutter in motion and conversely can affect the motions of molecules; as witness the action of light on the reting. In pursuance of this supposition we may assume that the ether which pervades not only all space but all matter, is, under special conditions in certain parts of the nervous system, capable of being affected by the nervous changes in such way as to result in feeling, and is reciprocally capable under these conditions of affecting the nervous changes. But if we accept this explanation we must assume that the potentiality of feeling is universal, and that the evolution of feeling in the other takes place only under the extremely complex conditions occurring in certain nervous centres. This, however, is but a semblance of an explanation, since we know not what the other is, and since, by the confession of those must capable of judging, no hypothesis that has been framed accounts for all its powers. Such an explana-

12

tion may be said to do no more than symbolize the phenomena hy symbols of unknown natures.

Thus though the facts oblige us to say that physical and psychical actions are correlated, and in a certain indirect way quantitatively correlated, so as to suggest transformation, yet how the material affects the mental and how the mental affects the material, are mysteries which it is impossible to fathem. But they are not profounder mysteries than the transformations of the physical forces into one another. They are not more completely beyond our comprehension than the natures of Mind and Matter. They have simply the same insolubility as all other ultimate questions. We can learn nothing more than that here is one of the uniformities in the order of phenomena.

§ 72. If the general law of transformation and equivalence holds of the forces we class as vital and mental, it must hold also of those which we class as social. Whatever takes place in a society results either from the undirected physical energies around, from these energies as directed by men, or from the energies of the men themselves.

While, as among primitive tribes, men's actions are mainly independent of one another, social forces can scarcely be said to exist: they come into existence along with co-operation. The effects which can be achieved only by the joint actions of many, we may distinguish as social. At first these are obviously due to accumulated individual efforts, but as fast as societies become large and highly organized, they acquire such separateness from individual efforts as to give them a character of their own. The network of roads and railways and telegraph wires-agencies in the formation of which individual labours were so merged as to be practically lost-serve to carry on a social life that is no longer thought of as caused by the independent doings of citizens. The prices of stocks, the rates of discount, the reported demand for this or that commodity, and the currents of men and things setting to and from various localities, show us large movements and changes scarcely at all affected by the lives and deaths and deeds of persons. But these and multitudinous social activities displayed in the growth of towns, the streams of traffic in their

streets, the daily issue and distribution of newspapers, the delivery of food at people's doors, &c., are unquestionably transformed individual energies, and have the same source as these energies-The correlation the food which the population consumes. of the social with the physical forces through the intermediation of the vital ones, is, however, best shown in the different amounts of activity displayed by the same society according as its members are supplied with different amounts of force from the external world. A very bad harvest is followed by a diminution of business. Factories are worked half-time; railway traffic falls; retailers find their sales lessened; and if the searcity rises to famine, a thinning of the population still more diminishes the industrial vivacity. Conversely, an unusually abundant supply of food, occurring under conditions not otherwise unfavourable, both excites the old producing and distributing agencies and sets up new ones. The surplus social energy finds vent in speculative enterprises. Labour is expended in opening new channels of communication. There is increased encouragement to those who furnish the luxuries of life and minister to the asthetic faculties. There are more marriages, and a greater rate of increase in population. Thus the society grows larger, more complex, and more active. When the whole of the materials for subsistence are not drawn from the area inhabited, but are partly imported, the people are still supported by certain harvests elsewhere grown at the expense of certain physical forces, and the energies they expend originate from them.

If we ask whence come these physical forces, the reply is of course as heretofore—the Sun's rays. Based as the life of a society is on animal and vegetal products, and dependent as these are on the light and heat of the Sun, it follows that the changes wrought by men as socially organized, are effects of forces having a common origin with those which produce all the other orders of changes we have analyzed. Not only is the energy expended by the horse harnessed to the plough, and by the labourer guiding it, derived from the same reservoir as is the energy of the cataract and the husricane; but to this same reservoir are traceable those subtler and more complex manifestations of energy which humanity, as socially embedded, evolves. The assertion is startling but it is an unavoidable deduction.

Of the physical forces that are directly transformed into social ones, the like is to be said. Currents of air and water, which before the use of steam were the only agents brought in aid of muscular effort for performing industrial processes, are, as we have seen, generated by solar heat. And the inanimate power that now, to so tast an extent, supplements human labour, is similarly derived. Sir John Herschel was the first to recognize the truth that the force impelling a locomotive, originally emanated from the Sun. Step by step we go hack-from the motion of the piston to the evaporation of the water; thence to the heat evolved during the burning of coal; thence to the assimilation of carbon by the plants of whose imbedded products coal consists: thence to the carbon di-oxide from which their carbon was obtained ; and thence to the rays of light which effected the de-oxidation. Solar forces millions of years ago expended on the Earth's vegetation, and since locked up in deep-scated strate, now smelt the metals required for our machines, turn the lattices by which the machines are shaped, work them when put together, and distribute the fabrics they produce. And since ecohomy of labour makes possible a larger population, gives a surplus of human power that would else be absorbed in minual occupations, and thus facilitates the development of higher kinds of activity; these social forces which are directly correlated with physical forces anciently derived from the Sun, are only less important than those of which the correlates are the vital forces recently derived from it.

§ 73. Many who admit that among physical phenomena at large, transformation of forces is now established, will probably say that inquiry has not yet gone far enough to enable us to assert equivalence. And in respect of the forces classed as vital, mental, and social, the evidence assigned they will consider by no means conclusive even of transformation, much less of equivalence.

But the universal truth above followed out under its various aspects, is a corollary from the persistence of force. From the proposition that force can neither come into existence nor cease to exist, the several forcegoing conclusions inevitably follow. Each manifestation of force can be interpreted only as the effect of some

TRANSFORMATION, ETC., OF FORCES' 181

antecedent force : no matter whether it be an inorganic action, an animal movement, a thought, or a feeling. Either badily and mental energies, as well as inorganic ones, are quantitatively correlated to certain energies expended in their production, and to certain other energies which they initiate; or else nothing must become something and something must become nothing. The alternatives are, to deny the persistence of force, or to admit that from given amounts of antecedent energies neither more nor less than certain physical and psychical changes can This corollary cannot indeed be made more certain result. by accumulating illustrations. Whatever proof of correlation and equivalence is reached by experimental inquiry, is based on measurement of the forces expended and the forces produced. But, as was shown in the last chapter, any such process implies the use of some unit of force which is assumed to remain constant; and its constancy can be assumed only as being a corollary from the persistence of force. How then can any reasoning based on this. corollary, prove the equally direct corollary that when a given quantity of force ceases to exist under one form, an equal quantity must come into existence under some other form or forms?

"What, then," it may be asked, " is the use of investigations by which transformation and equivalence of forcers is sought to be inductively established? If the correlation cannot be made more certain by them than it is already, does not their uselessness necessarily follow?" No. They are of value as disclosing the many particular implications which the general truth does not specify. They are of value as teaching us how much of one mode of force is the equivalent of so much of another mode. They are of value as determining under what conditions each metamorphosis occurs. And they are of value as lending us to inquire in what shape the remnant of force has escaped, when the apparent results are not equivalent to the cause.

CHAPTER IX

THE DIRECTION OF MOTION

§ 74. THE Absolute Cause of changes, no matter what may be their special natures, is not less incomprehensible in respect of the unity or duality of its action, than in all other respects. Are phenomena due to the variously-conditioned workings of a single force, or are they due to the conflict of two forces ? Whether everything is explicable on the hypothesis of universal pressure. whence so-called tension results differentially from inequalities of pressure; or whether things are to be explained on the hypothesis of universal tension, from which pressure is a differential result; or whether, as most physicists hold, pressure and tension everywhere co-exist; are questions which it is impossible to settle. Each of these three suppositions makes the facts comprehensible only by postulating an inconceivability. To assume a universal pressure, confessedly requires us to assume an infinite plenum-an unlimited space full of something which is everywhere pressed by something beyond; and this assumption cannot be mentally realized. That universal tension is the agency is an idea open to a porallel and equally fatal objection. And verbally intelligible as is the proposition that pressure and tension everywhere co-exist, yet we cannot truly represent to ourselves one ultimate unit of matter as drawing another while resisting it.

Nevertheless, this last helief we are compelled to entertain. Matter cannot be conceived except as manifesting forces of attraction and repulsion. In our consciousness, Body is distinguished from Space by its opposition to our muscular energies; and this opposition we feel under the twofold form of a cohesion which hinders our efforts to rend, and a resistance which hinders our efforts to compress. Without resistance there can be nothing but empty extension. Without cohesion there can be no resistance. Probably this conception of antagonistic forces originates from the antagonism of our flexor and extensor muscles. But be this as it may, we are obliged to think of all objects as made up of parts that attract and repel one another, since this is the form of our experience of all objects.

By a higher abstraction results the conception of attractive and repulsive forces pervading space. We cannot dissociate force from occupied extension, or occupied extension from force, because we have never an immediate consciousness of either in the absence of the other. Nevertheless, we have abundant proof that force is exercised through what appears to our senses a vacuity. Mentally to represent this exercise, we are hence obliged to fill the apparent vacuity with a species of matter-an ethereal medium. The constitution we assign to this othereal medium, however, is necessarily an abstract of the impressions received from tangible bodies. The opposition to pressure which a tangible body offers to us, is not shown in one direction only, but in all directions; and so likewise is its tenacity. Suppose countless lines radiating from its centre, and it resists along each of these lines and coheres along each of these lines. Hence the constitution of those ultimate units through the instrumentality of which phenomena are interpreted. Be they molecules of ponderable matter or molecules of ether, the properties we conceive them to possess are nothing else than these perceptible properties idealized. Centres of force attracting and repelling one another in all directions, are simply insensible portions of matter having the endowments common to sensible partions of matter-endowments of which we cannot by any mental effort divest them. In brief, they are the invariable elements of the conception of matter, abstracted from its variable elements-size, form, quality, &c. And so to interpret manifestations of force which cannot be tactually experienced, we use the terms of thought supplied by our taotual experiences; and this for the sufficient reason that we must use these or none.

It needs searcely be said that these universally co-existent forces of attraction and repulsion, must not be taken as realities, but as our symbols of the reality. They are the forms under which the workings of the Unknowable are cognizable by us-modes of the Unconditioned as presented under the conditions of our consciousness. How these ideas stand related to the absolute truth we cannot know, but we may unreservedly surrender ourselves to them as relatively true, and may proceed to evolve a series of deductions having a like relative truth.

§ 75. Universally co-existent forces of attraction and repulsion. imply certain laws of direction of all movement. Where attractive forces alone are concerned, or rather are alone appreciable, movement takes place in the direction of their resultant; which may, in a sense, he called the line of greatest traction. Where repulsive forces alone are concerned, or rather are alone appreciable, movement takes place along their resultant; which is usually known as the line of least resistance. And where both attractive and repolsive forces are concerned, and are appreciable, movement takes place along the resultant of the tractions and resistances. Strictly. speaking this last is the sole law : since, by the hypothesis, both forces are everywhere in action. But very frequently the one kind of force is so immensely in excess, that the effect of the other kind may be left out of consideration. Practically, we may say that a body falling to the Earth follows the line of greatest traction; since, though the resistance of the air must, if the body be irregular, cause some divergence from this line (quite perceptible with feathers and leaves), yet, ordinarily, the divergence is so slight. that we may disregard it. In the same manner, though the courses taken by steam from an exploding boiler, differ somewhat from those which it would take were gravitation out of the question ; yet, as gravitation affects its courses only infinitesimally, we are justified in saying that the escaping steam goes along lines of least resistance. Motion, then, always follows the line of greatest traction, or the line of least resistance, or the resultant of the two; and though the last is alone strictly true, the others are in many cases sufficiently near the truth for practical purposes.

Motion set up in any direction is itself a cause of further motion in that direction, since it is the manifestation of a surplus force in that direction. This holds equally with the transit of matter through space, the transit of matter through matter, and the transit through matter of any kind of vibration. In the case of matter moving through space, this principle is expressed in the law of inertia—a faw which all the calculations of physical astronomy assume. In the case of matter moving through matter, we trace the same truth under the familiar experience that any breach made by one solid through another, or any channel formed by a fluid through a solid, becomes a route along which, other things equal, subsequent movements of like nature most readily take place. And in the case of motion passing through matter under the form of an impulse communicated from part to part, the facts of magnetization appear to imply that the establishment of undulations along certain lines, determines their continuance along those lines.

It further follows from the conditions, that the direction of movement can rarely if ever be perfectly straight. For matter in motion to pursue continuously the exact line in which it sets out, the forces of attraction and repulsion must be symmetrically disposed around its path; and the chances against this are infinitely great. It may be added that in proportion as the forces at work are numerous and varied, the line a moving body describes is necessarily complex; witness the contrast between the flight of an arrow and the gyrations of a stick tossed about by breakers.

As a step towards unification of knowledge, we have now to trace these general laws throughout the various orders of changes which the Cosmos exhibits.

§ 76. In the Solar System the principles thus briefly summarized are every instant exemplified. Each planet and satellite has a momentum which would, if acting alone, earry it forward in the direction it is at any instant pursuing—a momentum which would make a straight line its line of least resistance. Each planet and satellite, however, is drawn by a force which, if it acted alone, would take it in a straight line towards its primary. And the resultant of these two forces is that curve which it describes—a curve consequent on the unsymmetrical distribution of the forces around. When more closely examined, its path supplies further illustrations. For it is not an exact circle or eilipse, which it would be were the tangential and contripetal forces the only ones concerned. Adjacent members of the Solar System, ever varying in their relative positions, cause perturbations; that is, slight divergences from that circle or ellipse which the two chief forces would produce. These perturbations severally show us in minor degrees, how the line of movement is the resultant of all the forces engaged; and how this line becomes more complicated in proportion as the forces are multiplied.

If instead of the motions of the planets and satellites as wholes, we consider the motions of their parts, we meet with comparatively complex illustrations. Every portion of the Earth's substance in its daily rotation, describes a curve which is in the main a resultant of that resistance which checks its nearer approach to the centre of gravity, that momentum which would carry it off at a tangent, and those forces of gravitation and cohesion which keep it from being so carried off. When with this axial motion is contemplated the orbital motion, the course of each part is seen to be a much more involved one. And we find it to have a still greater complication on taking into account that lunar attraction which mainly produces the tides and the precession of the equinox?

§ 77. We come next to terrestrial changes: present ones as observed, and past ones as inferred by geologists. Let us set out with the unceasing movements in the Earth's atmosphere; descend to the slow alterations in progress on its surface; and then to the still slower ones going on beneath.

Masses of air, absorbing heat from surfaces warmed by the Sun, expand, and ascend: the resistance being less than the resistance to lateral movement. Adjacent atmospheric masses, moving in the directions of the diminished resistance, displace the expanded air. When, again, by the ascent of heated air from great tracts like the torrid zone, there is produced at the upper surface of the atmosphere a protuberance—when the air forming this protuberance overflows laterally towards the poles; it does so because, while the tractive force of the Earth is nearly the same, the lateral resistance is diminished. And throughout the course of each current thus generated, as well as throughout the course of each eounter-current flowing into the space vacated, the direction is always the resultant of the Earth's tractive force and the resistance offered by the surrounding masses of air : modified only by conflict with other currents similarly generated, and by collision with prominences on the Earth's crust. The movements of water, in both its gaseous and liquid states, furnish further examples. Evaporation is the escape of particles of water in the direction of least resistance ; and as the resistance (which is due to gaseous pressure) diminishes, the evaporation increases. On the other hand, condensation, which takes place when any portion of atmospheric vapour has its temperature much lowered, may be interpreted as a diminution of the mutual pressure among the condensing particles, while the pressure of surrounding particles remains the same; and so is a motion taking place in the direction of lessened resistance. In the course followed by the resulting mindrops, we have one of the simplest instances of the joint effect of the two antagonist forces. The Earth's attraction, and the resistance of atmospheric currents ever varying in direction and intensity; give us their resultants, lines which incline to the horizon in countless different degrees and undergo perpetual variations. In the course the raindrops take while trickling over the surface, in every rill, in every larger stream, and in every river, we see them descending as straight as the antagonism of surrounding objects permits. So far from a cascade furnishing an exception, it furnishes but another illustration. Fo? though all solid obstacles to a vertical fall of the water are removed, yet the water's horizontal momentum is an obstacle; and the parabola in which the stream leaps from the projecting ledge, is generated by the combined gravitation and momentum,

The Earth's solid crust undergoes changes which supply another group of illustrations. The denudation of lands and the depositing of the removed sediment in new strata at the bottoms of seas and lakes, is a process throughout which motion is obviously determined in the same way as is that of the water effecting the transport. Again, though we have no direct inductive proof that the forces classed as igneous, expend themselves along lines of least resistance, yet what little we know of them is in harmony with the belief that they do so. Earthquakes continually revisit the same localities, and special tracts undergo for long periods together successive elevations or subsidences: facts which imply that already-fractured portions of the Earth's crust are those most prone to yield onder

THE KNOWABLE

the pressure caused by further contractions. The distribution of volcanoes along certain lines, as well as the frequent recurrence of eruptions from the same vents, are facts of like meaning.

§ 78. That organic growth takes place in the direction of least resistance is a proposition set forth and illustrated by Mr. James Hinton, in the *Medico-Chirorgical Review* for October, 1858. After detailing a few of the early observations which led him to this generalization, he formulates it thus :—

" Organic form is the result of metion."

" Motion takes the direction of least resistance."

"Therefore organic form is the result of motion in the direction of least resistance."

After an elucidation and defence of this position, Mr. Hinton proceeds to interpret, in conformity with it, sundry phenomena of development. Speaking of plants, he says :---

"The formation of the root furnishes a beautiful illustration of the law of least resistance, for it grows by insinuating itself cell by cell, through the lateratives of the coll; it is by each minute additions that it increases, winding and twisting whithermosever the obstacles it meets in its path detoration, and growing there must, where the matritive materials are added to it must abandantly. As we look on the roots of a mighty tree, it appears to us as if they had forced themselves with giant violence into the solid earth. But it is not so; they were led on gently, cell added to cell, softly as the dews descended, and the loosened earth made way. Once formed, indeed, they expand with an enormous power, but the sprage condition of the growing radicles atterly forbids the supposition that they are forced into the earth. Is it not probable, indeed, that the enlergement of the roots already formed may gravel with a surposition goil, and help to make the interstices into which the new rootlets grav 3 + 4 + 4.

"Throughout shorts the whole of organic astars the spiral form is more or less distinctly marked. Now, motion under resistance takes a spiral direction, as may be seen by the motion of a body rising or falling through water. A bubble rising rapidly in water describes a spiral closely resembling a corkserew, and a body of moderate specific gravity dropped into water may be seen to fall in a curved direction, the spiral tendency of which may be distinctly observed. " " " In this prevailing apical form of organic bodies, therefore, it appears to me, that there is presented a strong prime facts ease for the view I have maintained, " " " The spiral form of the branches of many trees is very apparent, and the universally spiral atrangement of the leaves around the steam of plants meets only to be referred to. * * * The heart commences as a spiral turn, and in its perfect form a menifest spiral may be traced through the left ventricle, right ventricle, right auricle, left auricle and appendix. And what is the spiral turn is which the heart commences but a necessary result of the lengthening, under a limit, of the cellular mass of which it then consists i * * *

"Every one must have noticed the peculiar curling up of the young leaves of the common form. The appearance is as if the leaf were rolled up, but in truth this form is merely a phenomenon of growth. The curvature results from the increase of the leaf, it is only another form of the wrinkling up, or turning st right augles by extension under limit.

"The rolling up or imbritation of the petals in many dower-bude is a similar thing; at an early period the anall petals may be seen lying side by side; siterwards growing within the capsule, they become folded round one souther. * * *

" If a flower-bud be opened at a sufficiently early period, the stamma will be found as if moulded in the cavity between the postil and the carolla, which cavity the antiners exactly fill; the stalks lengthen at on after period. I have noticed also in a few instances, that in those theorems in which the petals are imbricated, or twisted together, the platil is threading as growing up between the petals; in some flowers which have the petale so arranged in the bud as to form a dome (as the hawthern; e.g.), the pistil is flattoned at the open, and in the bud complets a space precisely limited by the doments below, and the enclosing petals above and at the sides. I have not, however, satisfied myself that this holds good in all cases."

Without endorsing all Mr. Hinton's illustrations, his conclusion may be accepted as a large instalment of the truth. But in the case of organic growth, as in all other cases, the line of movement is in strictness the resultant of tractive and resistant forces; and the tractive forces here form so considerable an element that the formula is not complete without them. The shapes of plants are manifestly modified by gravitation. The direction of each branch is not what it would have been in the absence of the pull exercised by the Earth; and every flower and leaf is somewhat altered in the course of development by the weight of its parts. Though in animals such effects are less conspicuous, yet the instances in which flexible organs have their directions in great measure determined by gravity justify the assertion that throughout the whole organism the forms of parts must be affected by this force.

The organic movements which constitute growth, are not, however, the only organic movements to be interpreted. There are also these which constitute function; and throughout these the same general principles are discernible. That the vessels and ducts along which blood, lymph, bile, and, all the secretions, find their ways, are channels of least resistance, is an illustration almost too conspicuous to be named. Less conspicuous, however, is the truth that the currents setting along these ressels are affected by the tractive force of the Earth: witness varicose veins; witness the relief to an inflamed part obtained by raising it; witness the congestion of head and face produced by stooping. And in the facts that dropsy in the legs gets greater by day and decreases at night, while, conversely, that cedematons fulness under the eyes common in debility grows worse during the hours of reclining and decreases after getting up, we see how the transudation of liquid through the walls of the capillaries, varies according as change of position changes the effect of gravity in different parts of the body.

It may be well just to note the bearing of the principle on the development of species. From a dynamic point of view, "natural selection" implies structural changes along lines of least resistance. The multiplication of any kind of plant or animal in localities that are favourable to it, is a growth where the antagonistic forces are less than elsewhere. And the preservation of varieties which succeed better than their allies in coping with surrounding conditions, is the continuance of vital movements in those directions where the obstacles to them are most cluded.

§ 79. Throughout mental phenomena the law enunciated is not readily established. In a large part of them, as those of thought and emotion, there is no perceptible movement. Even in sonsation and action, which show us in one part of the body an effect produced by a force applied to another part, the intermediate movement is inferential only. Some suggestions may be made however.

A stimulation implies a force added to, or evolved in, that part of the organism which is its seat; while a mechanical movement implies an expenditure or loss of force in that part of the organism which is its seat. implying some tension of molecular state between the two localities. Hence if, in the life of a minute animal, there are circumstances involving that a stimulation in one particular place is habitually followed by a contraction in another particular place—if there is thus a repeated motion through some line of

least resistance between these places; what must be the result as respects the line? If this line-this channel-is affected by the discharge-if the obstructive action of the tissues traversed, involves any reaction upon them, deducting from their obstauctive power; then a subsequent motion between these two points will meet with less resistance along this channel than the previous motion met with, and will consequently take this channel still more decidedly. Every repetition will further diminish the resistance offered; and thus will gradually be formed a permanent line of communication, differing greatly from the surrounding tissue in respect of the case with which farce traverses it. Hence in small creatures may result rudimentary nervous connexions. Only an adumbration of nervous processes, thus hinted as conforming to the general law, is here possible. But the effects of associations between impressions and motions, as seen in habits, all yield illustrations. In knitting, in reading aloud, in the performance of the skilled pianist who talks while he plays, we have examples of the way in which changels of nervous communication are eventually made so permeable by perpetual discharges along them as to bring about a state almost automatic or reflex : illustrating at once the fact that molecular motion follows lines of least resistance, and the fact that motion along such lines, by diminishing the resistance, further facilitates the motion. Though qualifications arising in the same manner as those indicated in the last chapter, complicate these nervo-motor processes in ways which cannot here be followed, they do not conflict with the law set forth. Moreover they are congruous with the principle that in proportion to the frequency with which any external connexion of phenomena is experienced, will be the strength of the answering internal connexion of nervous states. In this way will arise all degrees of cohesion among nervous states, as there are all degrees of commonness among the surrounding co-existences and sequences that generate Whence must result a general correspondence between them. associated ideas and associated actions in the environment.*

* This paragraph is a re-statement; somewhat amplified, of an idea set forth in the Medico-Chirargical Revise for January, 1659 (pp. 180 and 180), and contains the germ of the intended fifth part of the Principles of Psychology, which was withheld for consons given in the preface to that work.

The relation between emotions and actions may be similarly construed. Observe what happens with emotions which are undirected by volitions. As was pointed out in the last chapter. there result movements of the involuntary and voluntary muscles. that are great in proportion as the emotions are strong. It remains here to add that the order in which these muscles are affected conforms to the principle. A pleasurable or painful feeling of but slight intensity does little more than increase the action of the heart. Why? For the reason that the relation between nervous excitement and cardiac contraction, being common to every species of feeling, is the one of most frequent, repetition; that hence the nervous connexion, offering the least resistance to a discharge, is the one along which a feeble force produces notion. A stronger sentiment affects not only the heart, but the muscles of the face, and especially those around the mouth. Here the like explanation upplies; since these muscles, being both comparatively small and, for purposes of speech, perpetually used, offer less resistance than other voluntary muscles to the nervo-motor forces. By a further inflease of emotion the respiratory and vocal muscles become perceptibly excited. Finally, under violent passion, the muscles of the trunk and limbs are strongly contracted. The single instance of laughter, which is an undirected discharge of feeling that affects first the muscles round the mouth, then those of the vocal and respiratory apparatus, then those of the limbs, and then those of the spine, suffices to show that when no special route is opened for it, a force evolved in the nervous centres produces motion along channels which offer the least resistance, and if it is too great to escape by these, produces motion along channels offering successively greater resistance.*

Probably it will be thought impossible to extend this reasoning so as to include voluntary acts. Yet we are not without evidence that the transition from special desires to special muscular motions conforms to the same principle. The mental antecedents of a voluntary movement are such as temporarily make the line through which this movement is initiated the line of least resist-

* For details are a paper on " The Physiology of Laughter," published in Macmillan's Magazine for March, 1960, and reprinted in Essays, vol. II. ance. For a volition, suggested as it is by some previous thought joined with it by associations that determine the transition, is itself a representation of the movements which are willed, and of their sequences. But to represent in consciousness certain of our own movements, is partially to arouse the sensations accompanying such movements, inclusive of those of muscular tension—is partially to excite the appropriate motor nerves and all the other nerves implicated. That is to say, the volition is itself an incipient discharge along a line which previous experiences have rendered a line of least resistance. And the passing of volition into action is simply a completion of the discharge.

One corollary must be noted ; namely, that the particular set of movements by which an object of desire is reached are usually movements implying the smallest total of forces to be overcome. As the motion initiated by each feeling takes the line of least resistance, it is inferable that a group of feelings constituting a more or less complex desire will initiate motions along a series of lines of least resistance; that is, the desired end will be achieved with the smallest effort. Doubtless through want of knowledge or want of skill or want of resolution to make immediate exertion, a man often takes the more laborious of two courses. But it remains true that, relatively to his mental state at the time, his course is the easiest to him—the one least resisted by the aggregate of his feelings.

§ 80. As with individual men so is it with aggregations of men. Social changes take directions that are due to the joint actions of citizens, determined as are those of all other changes wrought by composition of forces.

Thus when we note the direction of a nation's growth, we find it to be that in which the aggregate of apposing forces is least. Its units have energies to be expended in self-maintenance and reproduction. These energies are met by various satagonistic energies—those of geologic origin, those of climate, of wild animals, of other human races with whom there is county or competition. And the tracts the society spreads over, are those in which there is the smallest total of astagonisms while they yield the best supply of food and other materials which further the genesis of energies. For these reasons it happens that fertile

13

valleys, where water and vegetal products abound, are early peopled. Sea shores, too, supplying much easily-gathered food, are lines along which mankind have commonly spread. The general fact that, so far as we can judge from the traces left by them, large societies first approximal in those warm regions where the fruits of the south are obtainable with comparatively little exertion, and where the cost of maintaining bodily heat is but alight, is a fact of like meaning. And to these instances may be added the allied one daily furnished by emigration, which we see going on towards countries presenting the fewest obstacles to the self-preservation of individuals, and therefore to national Similarly with that resistance to the movements growth. of a society which neighbouring societies offer. Each of the tribes or nations inhabiting any region increases in numbers until it outgrows its means of subsistence. In each there is thus a force ever pressing outwards on to adjacent areas- a force antagonized by like forces in the tribes or nations occupying those areas. And the wars that result-the conquests of weaker tribes or nations, and the averaging of their territories by the victors, are instances of social movements taking place in the directions of least resistance. Nor do the conquered peoples, when they escape extermination or coslavement, fail to show us movements which are similarly determined. For, migneting as they do to less fertile regions-taking refuge in deserts or among mountains-moving in directions where the resistances to social growth are comparatively great; they still do this only under an excess of pressure in all other directions : the physical obstacles to self-preservation they encounter being really less than the obstacles offered by the enemies from whom they fly.

Internal social movements also may be thus interpreted, Localities naturally fitted for producing particular commodities that is, localities in which such commodities are got at the least cost of energy—that is, localities in which the desires for these commodities meet with the least resistance; become localities devoted to the obtainment of these commodities. Where soil and climate render wheat a profitable crop, or a crop from which the greatest amount of life-sustaining power is gained by a given quantity of effort, the growth of wheat becomes a dominant

industry. Where wheat cannot be economically produced, cats, or rye, or maize, or potatoes, or rice, is the agricultural staple. Along sea shores men support themselves with least effort by catching fish, and hence fishing becomes the occupation. And in places which are rich in coul or metallic ores, the population, finding that labour expended in raising these materials brings a larger return of food and clothing than when otherwise expended, becomes a population of minera. This last instance introduces us to the phenomena of exchange, which equally illustrate the general law. For the practice of barter begins as soon as it facilitates the fulfilment of men's desires, by diminishing the exertion needed to reach the objects of those desires. When instead of growing his own corn, weaving his own cloth, sewing his own shoes, each man began to confine himself to farming, or verying, or shoemaking, it was because each found it more laborious to make everything he wanted, than to make a great quantity of one thing and harter the surplus for other things. Moreover, in deciding what commodity to produce, each citizen was, as he is at the pre-ant day, guided in the same manner. In choosing those forms of activity which their special circumstances and special faculties dictate, the social units severally move towards the objects of their desires in the directions which present to them the fewest obstacles. "The process of transfer, which commerce presupposes, supplies another series of examples. So long as the forces to be overcome in procuring any necessary of life in the district where it is consumed, are less than the forces to be overcome in procuring it from an adjacent district, exchange does not take place. But when the adjacent district produces it with an economy that is not outbalanced by cost of transit-when the distance is so small and the route so easy than the labour of conveyance plus the labour of production is less than the labour of production in the consuming district, transfer commences. Movement in the direction of least resistance is also seen in the establishment of the channels along which intercourse takes place. At the outset, when goods are meried on the backs of men and horses, the paths chosen are those which combine shortness with levelness and freedom from obstacles-those which are achieved with the smallest exertion. And in the subsequent formation of

each highway, the course taken is that which deviates horizontally from a straight line so far only as is mediful to avoid vertical deviations entailing greater labour in draught. The smallest total of obstructive forces determines the route, even in seemingly exceptional cases; as where a detour is made to avoid the opposition of a landowner. All subsequent improvements, ending in macadamized roads, canals, and milways, which reduce the antagonism of friction and gravity to a minimum, exemplify the same truth. After there comes to be a chuice of mails between one point and another, we still see that the road chosen is that along which the cost of transit is the least: cost being the measure of When there arises a marked localization of resistance. industries, the relative growths of the populations devoted to them may be interpreted on the sume principle. The influx of people to each industrial centre is determined by the payment for labour, that is-by the quantity of commodities which a given amount of effort will obtain. To say that artistas flock to places where, in consequence of facilities for production, an extra proportion of produce can be given in the shape of whyes, is to say that they flock to places where there are the smallest obstacles to the support of themselves and families; and so growth of the social organism takes place where the resistance is least.

Nor is the law less clearly to be traced in those functional changes daily going on. The flow of capital into businesses yielding the largest returns, the buying in the changest market and selling in the dearest, the introduction of more economical modes of manufacture, the development of better agencies for distribution, exhibit movements taking place in directions where they are met by the smallest totals of opposing forces. For if we analyze each of these changes—if instead of interest on capital we read surplus of products which remains after maintenance of labourers —if we thus interpret large interest or large surplus to imply labour expended with the greatest results—and if labour expended with the greatest results means muscular action so directed as to evade obstacles as far as possible; we see that all these commercial phenomena imply complicated motions set up along lines of least resistance.

Social movements of these various orders severally conform to

the two derivative principles named at the outset. In the first place, we see that, once set up in given directions, such movements, like all others, tend to produce continuance in these directions. A commercial mania or panie, a current of commodities, a social custom, a political agitation, or a popular dehusion, maintains its course long after its original cause has ceased, and requires antagonistic forces to arrest it. In the second place, it is to be noted that in proportion to the complexity of social forces is the tartmousness of social movements. The involved series of various processes through which a man is returned to Parliament, or through which afterwards, by an Act he finally gets passed, certain doings of his fellow citizens are changed, show this.

§ 51. And now of the general truth above set forth what is our ultimate evidence: Must we accept it simply as an empirical generalization? or may it he established as a corollary from a still deeper truth? The reader will anticipate the answer.

Suppose several tractive forces, variously directed, to be acting on a given ludy. By what is known as the composition of forces, there may be found for any two of these a single force of such amount and direction as to produce on the body an exactly equal effect. Such a resultant force, as it is called, may be found for any pair of forces throughout the group. Similarly, for any pair of resultants a single resultant may be found. And by repeating this course, all of them may be reduced to two. If these two are equal and opposite-that is, if there is no line of greatest traction, motion does not arise. If they are opposite but not equal, motion arises in the direction of the greater. If they are neither equal nor opposite, motion arises in the direction of their resultant. For in either of these cases there is an unantagonized force in one direction. And this residuary force must move the body in the direction in which it is acting. To assert the contrary is to assert that a force can be expended without effect : and this involves a denial of the persistence of force. If in place of tractions we take resistances, the argument equally holds; and it holds also where both tractions and resistances are concerned. Thus the law that motion follows the line of greatest traction, or the line of

least resistance, or the resultant of the two, is a necessary deduction from that primordial truth which transcends proof.

Reduce the proposition to its simplest form, and its truth becomes still more obvious. Suppose two weights suspended over a pulley, or suppose two men pulling against each other. The heavier weight will descend, and the stronger man will draw the weaker towards him. If asked how we know which is the heavier weight or the stronger man, we can only reply that it is the one producing motion in the direction of its pull. But if of two opposing tractions we can know one as greater than the other only. by the motion it generates in its own direction, then the assortion that motion occurs in the direction of greatest traction is a traism. When, going a step further back, we seek a warment for the assumption that of the two conflicting forces, the one which produces motion in its own direction is the greatest, we find no other than the consciousness that such part of the greater force as is unneutralized by the lesser, must produce its effect-the consciousness that this residuary force cannot disappear, but must manifest itself in some equivalent change-the consciousness that force is persistent. Here too, as before, it may be remarked that no number of varied illustrations, like those of which this chapter mainly consists, can give greater certainty to the conclusion thus innactiately down from the ultimate datum of consciousness, For in all cases, as in the simple ones just given, we can identify the greatest force only by the resulting motion.

From this same printmial truth, too, may be deduced the principle that motion arece set up along any line, becomes itself a cause of subsequent motion along that line. The mechanical axiom that, if left to itself, matter moving in any direction will continue in that direction with undiminished relocity, is but an indirect assortion of the persistence of that kind of force called energy: since it is an assertion that the energy manifested in the transfer of a body along a certain length of a certain line in a certain time cumot disoppear without producing some equal manifestation - a manifestation which, in the absence of conflicting forces, must be a further transfer in the same direction at the same velocity. In the case of matter traversing matter a like inference is necessitated. Here however the actions are com-

199

plicated. A liquid that follows a certain channel through or over a solid, as water along the Earth's surface, loses part of its motion in the shape of heat, through friction and collision with the matters. forming its bed. A further amount may be absorbed in overcoming the forces it liberates; as when it loosens a mass which falls. into its channel. But after these deductions, any further deduction from the energy embodied in the motion of the water, is at the expense of a reaction on the channel which diminishes, its obstructive power : such reaction being shown in the motion acquired. by the detached portions carried away. The cutting out of river courses perpetually illustrates this truth. Still more involved is the case of motion passing through matter by impulse from part to part : as a nervous discharge through unimal tissue. There are conceivable mornalies. Some chemical change wrought along the route traversed, may render it less it than before for conveying a current. Or some obstructive form of fime may be generated; as in metals, the conducting power of which is, for the time, decreased by the heat which the electric current produces. The real question is, however, what structural modification, if any, is produced throughout the matter traversed, apart from incidental disturbing forees-upact from everything but the american resistance of the matter : that, namely, which results from the inertia of its units. If we confine our attention to that part of the motion which, escaping transformation, continues its course, then the persistence of force necessitates that as much of it as is taken up in changing the positions of the units, must leave these by so much less able to obstruct subsequent motion in the same direction.

Thus in all the changes displayed by the Solar System, in all those which are going on in the Earth's crust, in all processes of organic development and function, in all mental actions and the effects they work on the body, and in all modifications of structure and activity in societies, the implied movements are of necessity determined in the manuer abuve set forth. The truth set forth holds not only of one class, or of some classes, of phenomena, but it is among those universal truths by which our knowledge of phenomena in general is unified.
CHAPTER X

THE RHYTHM OF MOTION

§ 82. When the premant of a vessel lying becalmed shows the coming breeze, it does so by gentle undulations which travel from its fixed to its free end. Presently the sails begin to flap; and their blows against the mast increase in rapidity as the breeze rises. Even when, being fully bellied out, they are in great part. steadied by the strain of the yards and cordage, their free edges. tremble with each stronger gust. And should there come a gale, the jar that is felt on laying hold of the sarouds shows that the rigging vibrates ; while the whistle of the wind proves that in it, also, rapid undulations are generated. Ashore the conflict between the current of air and the things it meets results in a like rhythmical action. The leaves all shiver in the blast; each branch oscillates; and every exposed tree sways to and fro. The blades of grass and dried bents in the meadows, and still better the stalks in the neighbouring com-fields, exhibit the same rising and falling movements. Nor do the more stable objects fail to do the like, though in a less manifest fashion; as witness the shudder that may be felt throughout a house during the paroxysms of a violent Streams of water produce in opposing objects the storm. same general effects as do streams of air. Submerged weeds growing in the middle of a brook, undulate from end to end. Branches brought down by the last flood, and left entangled at the bottom where the current is rapid, are thrown into a state of up and down movement that is slow or quick in proportion as they are large or small; and where, as in great rivers like the Mississippi, whole trees are thus held, the name "sawyers," by which they are locally known, sufficiently describes the rhythm produced in them. Note,

again, the effect of the antagonism between the current and its channel. In shallow places, where the action of the bottom on the water flowing over it is visible, we see a ripple produced-a series of undulations. If we study the action and reaction going on between the moving fluid and its banks, we still find the principle illustrated, though in a different way. For in every rivulet, as in the mapped-out course of every great river, the bends of the stream from side to side throughout its tortuous course constitute a lateral undulation-an undulation so inevitable that even an artificially-straightened channel is eventually changed into a serpentine one. Kindred phenomena may be observed when the water is stationary and the solid matter moving. A stick drawn laterally through the water with much force, proves by the throb which it communicates to the hand that it is in a state of vibration. Even where the moving body is massive, it only requires that great force should be applied to get a sensible effect. of like kind : instance the scrow of a screw-steamer (of the primitive type), which instead of a smooth rotation falls into a rapid rhythm that sends a tremor through the whole vessel. The sound produced when a bow is drawn over a violin string shows us vibrations accompanying the movement of a solid over a solid. In lathes and planing machines, the attempt to take off a thick shaving causes a violent jar of the whole apparatus, and the production of a series of waves on the iron or wood that is cut. Every boy in scraping his slate-pencil finds it scarcely possible to help making a ridged surface. If you roll a ball along the ground or over the ice, there is always more or less up and down movement -a movement that is visible while the velocity is considerable, but becomes too small and rapid to be seen by the unsided eye as the velocity diminishes. However smooth the ralls, and however perfectly built the carriages, a railway train inevitably acquires oscillations, both lateral and vertical. Even where a moving mass is suddenly arrested by collision, the law is still illustrated; for both the body striking and the body struck are made to tremble; and trembling is rhythmical movement. Little as we habitually observe it, it is yet certain that the impulses our actions impress from moment to moment on surrounding objects, are propagated It needs but to look through through them in vibrations.

a telescope of high power; placed on a table, to be convinced that each pulsation of the heart gives a jar to surrounding Motions of another order-those namely of the thíu⊴s. ethercal medium-teach us the same thing. Every fresh discovery confirms the hypothesis that light consists of updulations, and that the rays of heat have a like fundamental nature: their undulations differing from those of light only in their comparative lengths. Nor do the movements of electricity fail to furnish us with illustrations, though of a different order. The northern aurora may often be observed to pulsate with waves of greater brightness; and the electric discharge through a vacuum shows. by its stratified appearance that the current is not uniform, but comes in gashes of greater and lesser intensity. Should it be said that there are some motions, as those of projectiles, which are not rhythmical, the reply is that the exception is apparent only, and that these motions would be rhythmical if they were not interrupted. It is common to assert that the trajectory of a common hall is a parabola; and it is true that (amitting atmospheric resistance) the curve described differs so slightly from a parabela that it may prochedly be regarded as one. But, strictly speaking, it is a portion of an extremely eccentric ellipse, having the Earth's centre of gravity for its remoter focus; and but for its arrest by the substance of the Earth, the cannon ball would travel round that focus and return to the point whence it started, again to repeat this slow rhythm. Indeed, while seeming to do the reverse, the discharge of a cannon furnishes one of the best illustrations of the principle enunciated. The explosion produces violent undulations in the surrounding air. The whize of the shot, as it flies towards its mark, is due to another series of atmospheric undulations. And the eccentric movement round the Earth's centre, which the cannon ball is beginning to perform, being checked by solid matter, is transformed into a rhythm of another order: namely, the vibration which the blow sends through neighbouring balies."

Rhythm is very generally not simple but compound. There are

* After having for some years supposed myself alone in the helief that all motion is shythesizal, I discovered that my friend Professor Tyndall also hele this doctrine. usually at work various forces, causing undulations differing in sapidity; and hence besides the primary rhythms there arise secondary rhythms, produced by the periodic coincidence and opposition of the primary ones. Double, triple, and even quadruple rhythms, are thus generated. One of the simplest instances is afforded by what in acoustics are known as "beats": recurring intervals of sound and silence which are perceived when two notes of nearly the same pitch are struck together, and which are due to the alternate correspondence and antagonism of the atmospheric waves. In like manner the phenomena due to what is called interference of light result from the periodic agreement and disagreement of ethereal undulations-andulations which, by alternately intensifying and neutrolizing each other, produce intervals of increased and diminished light. On the sea-shore may be noted andry instances. of compound rhythms. We have that of the tides, in which the daily rise and fall undergoes a fortnightly increase and decrease, due to the alternate coincidence and antagonism of the solar and lunar attractions. We have again that which is perpetually furnished by the smace of the sea : every large wave bearing smaller ones on its sides, and these still smaller ones, with the result that each flake of form, along with the portion of water bearing it, undergoes minor ascents and descents of several orders while it is being raised and lowered by the greater billows. A different and very interesting example of compound rhythm, occurs in the little rills which, at low tide, run over the sand out of the shingle banks above. Where the channel of one of these is garrow and the stream runs strongly, the samil at the bottom is raised into a series of ridges corresponding to the ripple of the water. On watching, it will be seen that these ridges are being raised higher and the ripple growing stronger; until at length, the action becoming violent, the whole series of ridges is suddenly swept away, the stream runs smoothly, and the process commences afresh,

Rhythm results wherever there is a conflict of forces not in equilibrium. If the antagonist forces at any point are balanced, there is rest; and in the absence of motion there can of course be no rhythm. But if instead of a balance there is an excess of force in one direction—if, as necessarily follows, motion is set up in that direction; then for the motion to continue uniformly in that

THE KNOWABLE

direction, the moving matter must, notwithstanding its inceasing change of place, present unchanging relations to the sources of force by which its motion is produced and opposed. This however is impossible. Every further transfer through space, by altering the ratio between the forces concerned, must prevent uniformity of movement. And if the movement cannot be uniform, then (save where it is destroyed, or rather transformed, as by the collision of two bodies travelling through space in a straight line towards each other) the only alternative is rhythm.

A secondary conclusion must not be omitted. In the last chapter we saw that motion is never absolutely rectilinear; and here it remains to add that, as a consequence, rhythm is necessarily incomplete. A truly sectilinear rhythm can arise only when the opposing forces are in exactly the same line, and the probabilities against this are infinitely great. To generate a perfectly circular rhythm, the two forces concerned must be exactly at right angles to each other, and must have exactly a certain ratio; and against this the probabilities are likewise infinitely great. All other proportions and directions of the two forces (omitting such as produce parabolas or hyperbolas) will produce an ellipse of greater or less occentricity. And when, as always happens, above two forces are engaged, the curve described must be more complex, and cannot exactly repeat itself. So that throughout nature, this action and reaction of forces never brings about a complete return to a previous state. Where the movement is that of some aggregate whose units are partially independent, regularity is no longer traceable. And on the completion of any periodic change, the degree in which the state arrived at differs from the state departed from is marked in proportion as the influences at work are numerous.

§ 85. That spiral orrangement common among the more structured nebulæ, shows us the progressive establishment of revolution, and therefore of rhythm, in those remote spaces which the nebulæ occupy. Double stars, moving in more or less eccentric orbits round common centres of gravity in periods some of which are now ascertained, exhibit settled rhythmical actions in distant parts of our Sidereal System.

The periodicities of the planets, satellites, and comets, familiar though they are, must be named as so many grand illustrations of this general law of movement. But besides the revolutions of these hodies in their orbits (all more or less cecentrie), the Salar System presents us with rhythms of a less manifest and more complex. In each planet and satellite there is the revolution of the kimL nodes-a slow change in the position of the orbit-plane, which after completing itself commences afresh. There is the gradual alteration in the length of the axis major of the orbit, and also of its eccentricity: both of which are rhythmical alike in the sense that they alternate between maximu and minima, and in the sense that the progress from one extreme to the other is not uniform. but is made with fluctuating velocity. Then, too, there is the revolution of the line of apsides round the heavens---not regularly, but through complex oscillational (And, further, we have changes in the directions of the planetary aves-that known as ontetion. and that larger greation which in the case of the Earth, causes the procession of the continuous. These rhythms, already more or less compound, are compounded with one another. One of the simplest re-compoundings is seen in the secular acceleration and returdation of the moon, consequent on the varying eccentricity of the Earth's orbit. Another, having mize important consequences, results from the changing direction of the axis of rotation in a planet having a decidedly secentric orbit. The Earth furnishes the best example. During a certain long period it presents more of its northern than of its southern hemisphere to the Sun at the time of nearest approach to him; and then again, during a like period, presents more of its southern hemisphere than of its porthern : a recurring coincidence which involves an epoch of 21,000 years, during which each hemisphere goes through a cycle of temperate seasons and seasons that are extreme in their heat and cold. Nor is this all. There is even a variation of this variation. For the snangers and winters of the whole Earth herome more or less strongly contrasted, as the eccentricity of its orbit increases or Hence during the increase of the eccentricity, the decreases. epochs of moderately contrasted seasons and epochs of strongly contrasted seasons, through which alternately each hemisphere passes, must grow more and more different in the degrees of their contrasts; and contravivise during decrease of the eccentricity. So that in those movements of the Earth which determine the varying quantities of light and heat which any partion of it receives from the Sun, there goes on a quadruple rhythm: that causing day and night; that causing summer and winter; that causing the changing position of the axis at perihelion and aphelion, taking \$1,000 years to complete; and that causing the variation of the ucbit's eccentricity, gone through in millions of years.

§ 84. Those terrestrial processes directly depending on the solar heat, of course exhibit a rhythm that corresponds to the periodically changing amount of heat which each part of the Earth receives. The simplest, though the least obtrasive, instance is supplied by the magnetic variations. In these there is a diamat increase and decrease, an annual increase and decrease, and a decennial increase and decrease : the latter miswering to a period during which the solar spots become alternately abundant and scarce. And besides known variations there are peakely others correaponding to the astronomical cycles just described. More obvious examples are furnished by the movements of the ocean and the atmosphere. Murine currents from the equator to the poles above, and from the poles to the equator beneath, show us an uncertaing backward and forward motion throughout this vast mass of water -a motion varying in amount according to the seasons, and compounded with smaller like motions of local origin. The similarly-caused general currents in the air, have similar annual variations similarly modified. Irregular as they are in detail, we still see in the atonsoons and other tropical atmospheric disturbances, or even in our auturan equinoctial gales and spring east winds, a periodicity sufficiently decided. Again, we have an alternation of times during which evaporation predominates with times during which condensation predominates ; shown in the tropics by strongly marked miny seasons and seasons of drought, and in the temporate zones by changes of which the periodicity is less definite. The diffusion and presipitation of water furnish us with examples of rhythm of a more rapid kind. During wet weather lasting over some weaks, the tendency to condense, though greater

than the tendency to evaporate, does not show itself in continuous rain; but the period is made up of rainy days and days which are wholly or partially fair. Nor is it in this rude alternation only that the law is manifested. During any day throughout this wet weather a minor rhythm is often traceable; and especially so when the tendencies to evaporate and to condense are nearly balanced. Among mountains this minor rhythm and its causes may be studied to advantage. Moist winds, which do not precipitate their contained water in passing over the comparatively warm lowlands, lose so much heat when they reach the cold mountain peaks, that condensation rapidly takes place. Water, however, in passing from the gaseous to the liquid state, gives out heat; and therefore the resulting clouds are warmer than the air that precipitates them, and much warmer than the high racky surfaces round which they fold themselves. Hence in the course of the storm, these high rocky surfaces are raised in temperature, partly by radiation from the envrapping cloud, partly by contact of the falling rain-drops. Consequently they no longer lower so much the temperature of the air passing over them and cease to precipitate its contained water. The clouds break; the sky begins to clear; and a glean of sunshine promises that the day is going to be fine. But the small supply of heat which the cold mountain's tops have received is soon lest: especially when partial dispersion of the clouds permits radiation into space. Very soon, therefore, these elevated surfaces, becoming as cold as at first, begin again to condense the vapour in the air above, and there comes another storm, followed by the same cflects as before. In lower lands this action and reaction is less conspicuous, because the contrast of temperatures is less marked. Even here, however, it may be traced, not only on showery days, but on days of continuous rain; for in these we do not see uniformity : always there are fits of harder and gentler min.

Of course these meteomologic rhythms involve corresponding rhythms in the changes wrought by wind and water on the Earth's surface. Variations in the quantities of sediment brought down by rivers that rise and fall with the seasons, must couse rariations in the resulting strata—alternations of colour or quality in the successive laming. Beds formed from the detritos of shores worn down and carried away by the waves must similarly show periodic

THE ENOWABLE

differences answering to the periodic winds of the locality. In so far as frost influences the rate of demonstrian, its recurrence is a factor in the rhythm of sedimentary deposits. And the geological changes produced by glaciers must similarly have their alternating periods of greater and less intensity.

There is some evidence that modifications in the Earth's crust due to igneous action have an indefinite periodicity. Volcanic eruptions are not continuous but intermittent, and, as far as the data enable us to judge, have something like an average rate of recurrence, as witness the case of Kilauca; which rate is complicated by rising into epochs of greater activity and falling into epochs of comparative quiescence. So too, according to Mallet, is it with earthquakes and the elevations or depressions caused by them. Sedimentary formations yield indirect evidence. At this month of the Mississippi the alternation of strata gives decisive proof of successive sinkings of the surface, that have taken place at tolerably equal intervals. Everywhere in the extensive groups of comformable strata that imply small subsidences recurring with a certain average frequency, we see a rhythm in the action and reaction between the Earth's crust and its contents-a rhythm compounded with those slower ones shown in the termination of groups of strata, and the commencement of other groups not confirmable to them.

§ 85. Perhaps nowhere are illustrations of rhythen so numerous and so manifest as among the phenomena of life. Plants do not, indeed, usually show us any decided periodicities, save those determined by day and night and by the seasons. But in animals we have a great variety of movements in which the alternation of opposite extremes goes on with all degrees of rapidity. The swallowing of food is effected by a wave of constriction passing along the asophogus: its digestion is largely aided by a muscular action of the stamach that is also undulatory; and the peristaltic motion of the intestines is of like nature. The blood obtained from this food is propelled in pulses, and is aerated by lungs that alternately contract and expand. All locomotion results from oscillating movements. Even where it is apparently continuous, as in many minute forms, the microscope proves the vibration of cilia to be the agency by which the creature is moved smoothly forwards.

Primary rhythms of the organic actions are compounded with secondary ones of longer duration. We see this in the periodic need for food, and in the periodic need for repose. Each meal induces a more rapid rhythmic action of the digestive organs; the pulsation of the heart is accelerated; the inspirations become more frequent. During sleep, on the contrary, these several movements slacken. So that in the course of the twenty-four hours, those small undulations of which the different kinds of organic action are constituted, undergo one long wave of increase and decrease, complicated with several minor waves. Experiments have shown that there are still slower rises and falls of functional activity. Waste and assimilation are not balanced by every meal, but one or other maintains for some time a slight excess; so that a person in ordinary health undergoes an increase and decrease of weight during recurring intervals of tolerable equality. There are oscillations of vigoue too. Even men in training cannot be kept stationary at their highest power, but when they have reached it begin to retrograde. Further evidence of rhythm in the vital movements is furnished by invalids. Sundry disorders are named from the intermittent character of their symptoms. Even where the periodicity is not very marked it is mostly traceable. Patients rarely if ever become uniformly worse; and convalescents have usually their days of partial relapse or of less decided advance.

Aggregates of living creatures illustrate the general truth in other ways. If each species of organism be regarded as a whole, it displays two kinds of rhythm. Life, as it exists in every member of such species, is an extremely complex kind of movement, more or less distinct from the kinds of movement which constitute life in other species. This extremely complex kind of movement begins, rises to its climax, declines, and ceases in death. And every individual in each generation thus exhibits a wave of that peculiar activity characterizing the species as a whole. The other form of rhythm is seen in that variation of number which each tribe of animals and plants undergoes. Throughout the unceasing conflict between the tendency of a species to increase and the antagonistic tendencies, there is never an equilibrium : one always

14

predominates. In the case even of a cultivated plant or domesticated unimal, where artificial means are used to maintain the supply at a uniform level, oscillations of abundance and searcity cannot be avoided. And among creatures uncared for by man, such oscillations are usually more marked. After a race of organisms has been greatly thinned by enemies or innutrition, its surviving members become more favourably circumstanced than asual. During the decline in their numbers their food has grown relatively abundant, while their openies have somewhat diminished from want of prey. The conditions thus remain for some time. fevourable to their increase, and they multiply rapidly. By-andby their food is rendered relatively scarce, at the same time that their enemies have become more numerous; and the destroying influences being thus in excess, their number begins to diminish Yet one more rhythm, extremely slow, may be traced again. in the phenomena of Life under their most general aspect. The researches of paleontologists show that there have been going on, during the vast period of which our sedimentary rocks bear record, successive changes of organic forms. Species have appeared, hecome abundant, and then disappeared. Genera, at first constituted of but few species, have for a time gone on growing more multiform, and then have declined in the number of their subdivisions : leaving at last but one or two, or none at all. During longer epochs whole orders have thus arisen, culminated, and dwindled away. And even those wider divisions containing many orders have similarly undergone a gradual rise, a high tide, and a long-continued cbb. The stalked Crinoidea, for example, which during the carboniferous epoch became abundant, have almost disappeared : only a few species being extant. Once a large family, the Brachiopoda have now become more. The shelled Cephalopods, at one time dominant among the inhabitants of the ocean, both in number of forms and of individuals, are in our day nearly extinct. And after an "age of reptiles" has come on age in which reptiles have been in great measure supplanted by mammals. Thus Life on the Earth has not progressed uniformly, but in immense undulations.

§ 86. It is not manifest that changes of consciousness are in any sense drythmical. Yet here, too, analysis proves both that the

mental state existing at any moment is not uniform, but is decomposable into rapid oscillations, and also that mental states pass through longer intervals of increasing and decreasing intensity.

Though while attending to any single sensation, or any group of related sensations constituting the consciousness of an object, we seem to remain in a persistent and homogeneous condition of mind, self-examination shows that this apparently unbroken mental state is traversed by many minor states, in which various other sensations and perceptions are rapidly presented and disappear. As thinking consists in the establishment of relations, it follows that continuance of it is any one state to the entire exclusion of other states, would be a cessation of thought, that is, of consciousness. So that any seemingly uniform feeling, say of pressure, really consists of portions of that feeling perpetually recurring after momentary intrusions of other feelings and ideas-quick thoughts concerning the place where it is felt, the external object producing it, its consequences, &c. Much more conspicuous rhythms, having longer waves, are seen during the outflow of emotion into dansing poetry, and music. The current of mental energy expended in one of these modes of hadily action, is not continuous but fulls into successive pulses. The measure of a dence is produced by the alternation of strong muscular contractions with weaker ones; and, save in measures of the simplest order, such as are found among barbarians and children, this alternation is compounded with longer rises and falls in the degree of muscular excitement. Poetry is a form of speech in which the emphasis is regularly recurrent, that is—in which the muscular effort. of pronunciation has definite periods of greater and less intensity : periods that are complicated with others answering to the successive verses. Music more variously exemplifies the law. There are the recurring bars, in each of which there is a primary and a secondary heat. There is the alternate increase and decrease of muscular strain implied by the ascents and descents to the higher and lower notes-ascents and descents composed of smaller waves, breaking the rises and falls of the larger ones, in a mode peculiar to each melody. And then we have, further, the alternations of piane and faric passages. That these several kinds of rhythm, characterizing asthetic expression, are not, in the common sense of the word, artificial, but are intenser forms of an undulatory movement habitually generated by feeling in its bodily discharge, is shown by the fact that they are all traceable in ordinary speech : which in every sentence has its primary and secondary emphases. and its cadence containing a chief rise and fall complicated with subordinate rises and falls. Still longer undulations may be observed by every one in himself and in others, on occasions of extreme pleasure or extreme pain. During hours in which bodily pain never actually ceases, it has its variations of intensity -fits or paroxysms; and then after these intervals of suffering there usually come intervals of comparative case. Moral pain has the like smaller and larger waves. One possessed by intense grief does not utter continuous means, or shed tears with an equable rapidity; but these signs of passion come in recurring buists. Then after a time during which such stronger and weaker waves of emotion alternate, there comes a calm-a time of comparative deadness; after which dull sorrow rises aftesh into acute anguish, with its series of paroxysms. Similarly great delight, as shown by children who display it without control, undergoes variations in intensity : there are fits of laughter and dancing about, separated by pauses in which smiles, and other slight manifestations of pleasure, suffice to discharge the lessened excitement, Nor are there wanting evidences of mental undulations greater in length than any of these. We continually hear of moods which recur at intervals. Many persons have their days of vivacity and days of depression. Others have periods of industry following periods of idleness; and times at which particular subjects or tastes are cultivated with zeal, alternating with times at which they are neglected. Respecting which slow oscillations the only qualification to be made is, that being affected by munerous influences they are irregular.

§ 87. In nomadic societies the changes of place, determined by exhaustion or failure of the supply of food, are periodic; and in many cases recur with the seasons. Each tribe that has become partially fixed in its locality, goes on increasing until, under pressure of hunger, there results migration of some part of it—a process repeated at intervals. From such excesses of population, and such waves of migration, come conflicts with other tribes; which are also increasing and tending to diffuse themselves. Their antagonisms result not in a uniform motion, but in an intermittent one. War, exhaustion, recoil—peace, prosperity, and renewed aggression:—see here the alternation as occurring among both savage and civilized peoples. And irregular as is this rhythm, it is not more so than the different sizes of the societies, and the involved causes of variation in their strengths, would lead us to anticipate.

Passing from external to internal social changes, we most this backward and forward movement under many forms. In commercial currents it is especially conspicuous. Exchange during early times is carried on mainly at fairs, held at long intervals. The flux and reflex of people and commodities which each of these exhibits, becomes more frequent as national development brings greater social activity. The rapid rhythm of weekly markets begins to superside the alow rhythm of fairs. And eventually exchange becomes at some places so active, as to bring about daily meetings of buyers and sellers-a daily wave of accumulation and distribution of cotton, or corn, or capital. Inproduction and consumption there are undulations almost equally obvious. Supply and demand are never completely adjusted, but each, from time to time in excess, leads presently to excess of the other. Farmers who have one season grown wheat abundantly, are disgusted with the consequent low price, and next season, sowing a much smaller quantity, bring to market a deficient crop; whence follows a converse effect. Consumption undergoes parallel undulations that need not be specified. The balancing of supplies between different districts, too, entails oscillations. A place at which some necessary of life is scarce, becomes a place to which currents of it are set up from other places where it is relatively abundant; and these currents lead to a wave of accumulation where they meet-n glut: whence follows a recoil-a partial return of the corrects. But the undulatory character of these actions is best seen in the rises and falls of prices. These, when tabulated and reduced to diagrams, show us in the clearest manner how commercial movements are compounded of oscillations. of various magnitudes. The price of consols or the price of wheat, as thus represented, is seen to undergo vast ascents and descents having highest and lowest points that are reached only in the

course of years. These largest waves of variation are broken by lesser ones extending over periods of months. On these come others severally having a week or two's duration.' And were the changes marked in greater detail, we should see the smaller undulations that take place each day, and the still smaller ones which brokers telegraph from hour to hour. The whole outline would show a complication like that of a vast ocean-swell, having on its surface large billows, which themselves hear waves of moderate size, covered by wavelets, that are roughened by a minute ripple. Similar diagrammatic representations of births, marriages, and deaths, of disease, of crime, of pauperism, exhibit involved conflicts of rhythmical motions throughout society under these several aspects.

There are like traits in social changes of more complex kinds. Both in England and on the Continent the actions and reactions of political progress are now generally recognized. Religion has its periods of exaltation and depression—generations of belief and self-mortification, following generations of indifference and laxity. There are poetical epochs, and epocks in which the sense of the beautiful seems almost dormant. Philosophy, after having been awhile dominant, lapses for a long season into neglect, and then again slowly revives. Each concrete science has its eras of deductive reasoning, and its eras in which attention is chiefly directed to collecting and colligating facts. And that in such minor phenomena as those of fashion, there are oscillations from one extreme to the other, is a trite observation.

As may be foreseen, social rhythms well illustrate the irregularity that results from combination of many causes. Where the variations are those of one simple element in national life, as the supply of a particular commodity, we do indeed witness a return, after many involved movements, to a previous state—the price becomes what it was before : implying a like relative abundance. But where the action is one into which many factors enter, there is never a complete resurence. A political reaction never brings round just the old form of things. The rationalism of the present day differs widely from the rationalism of the last century. And though fashion from time to time revives extinct types of dress, these always reappear with decided modifications. § 88. Rhythm being thus manifested in all forms of movement, we have reason to suspect that it is determined by some primordial condition to action in general. The tacit implication is that it is deducible from the persistence of force. This we shall find to be the fact.

When the prong of a tuning-fork is pulled on one side by the finger, some extra tension is produced among its cohering particles, which resist any force that draws them out of their state of equilibrium. As much force as the finger exerts, so much opposing force arises among the cohering particles. Hence, when the prong is liberated, it is urged back by a force equal to that used in deflecting it. When, therefore, the prong reaches its original position, the force impressed during its recoil, has generated in it a corresponding amount of momentum-an amount nearly equivalent to the force originally impressed (nearly, we must say, because a certain portion has gone in giving motion to the air, and a certain other portion has been transformed into heat). This momentum carries the prong beyond the position of rest, nearly as far as it was originally drawn in the reverse direction ; until at length, being gradually used up in producing an opposing tension among the particles, it is all lost. This opposing tension then generates a second recoil, and so on continually: the vibration eventually ceasing only because at each movement a certain amount of force goes in creating atmospheric and ethereal undulations. Now evidently this repeated action and reaction is a consequence of the persistence of force. The force exerted by the finger in bending the prong cannot disappear. Under what form then does it exist? It exists under the form of that cohesive tension which it has generated among the particles. This cohesive tension cannot cease without an equivalent result. What is its equivalent result? The momentum generated in the prong while being carried back to its position of rest. This momentum toowhat becomes of it? It must either continue as momentum, or produce some correlative force of equal amount. It cannot continue as momentum, since change of place is resisted by the cohesion of the parts ; and thus it gradually disappears by being transformed into tension among these parts. This is retransformed into the equivalent momentum; and so on continuously. If, instead

of motion that is directly antagonized by the cohesion of matter, we consider motion through space, as of a comet, the same truth presents itself under another form. Though while it is approaching the Sun no opposing force seems at work; and therefore no cause of rhythm, yet its own accumulated momentum must eventually carry the moving body beyond the attracting body; and so must become a force in conflict with that which generated it. This force cannot be destroyed, but it can have its direction changed by the still continued attraction: the result being that a passage round the attracting body is followed by a retreat during which this embodied force, gradually becoming non-apparent, is transformed into gravitative strain, until all of it having been thus transformed there begins a return from aphelion.

Before ending, two qualifications must be made. As the rhythm of motion itself postulates continuity of motion, it cannot be looked for when motion has suddenly become invisible. A hint tacitly given in § 82 implies that what we may call a fragmentary motion—a motion which under its perceptible form is suddenly brought to an end—cannot under that form exhibit rhythm; instance the stoppage of a hammer by an anvil. In such cases, however, we observe that this non-continuous motion is transformed into motions that are continuous and rhythmical—the sound-waves, the other-waves of the heat generated, and the waves of vibration sent through the mass struck : the rhythms of these motions continuing as long as the motions themselves do.

The other qualification is that the motions shall be those occurring within a closed system, such as is constituted by our own Sun, planets, satellites, and periodic comets. If a body approaching a centre of attraction from remote space, has any considerable proper motion not towards that centre, this body, passing round it, may take a course which negatives return—an hyperbola. I say an hyperbola because the chances against a parabolic course are infinity to one.

But bearing in mind these two qualifications, of which the last may be considered almost nominal, we may conclude that under the conditions existing within our Solar System and among tecrestrial phenomena, rhythuu, everywhere arising from the play of antagonist forces, is a corollary from the persistence of force.

CHAPTER XI

RECAPITULATION, CRITICISM, AND RECOMMENCEMENT

§ 89. LET us pause awhile to consider how far the contents of the foregoing chapters go towards forming a body of knowledge answering to the definition of Philosophy.

In respect of its generality, the proposition enunciated and exemplified in each chapter is of the required kind-is a proposition transcending those class-limits which Science, as currently understood, recognizes. "The Indestructibility of Matter" is a truth not belonging to mechanics more than to chemistry-a truth assumed alike by molecular physics and the physics that deals with sensible masses—a truth which the astronomer and the biologist equally take for granted. Not merely do those divisions of Science which deal with the movements of celestial and terrestrial bodies postulate "The Continuity of Motion," but it is no less postulated. in the physicist's investigations into the phenomena of light and heat, and is tacitly, if not avowedly, implied in the generalizations of the higher sciences. So, too, "The Fersistence of Force," involved in each of the preceding propositions, is co-extensive with them, as is also its corollary, "The Persistence of Relations among Forces." These are not highly general truths ; they are universal Passing to the deductions drawn from them, we truths. see the same thing. That force is transformable, and that between its correlates there exist quantitative equivalences, are ultimate facts not to be classed with those of mechanics, or thermology, or electricity, or magnetism; but they are illustrated throughout phenomena of every order. Similarly, the law that motion follows the line of least resistance or the line of greatest traction or the resultant of the two, we found to be an all-pervading law; conformed to alike by each planet in its orbit, and by the moving matters, serial, liquid, and solid, on its surface—conformed to no less by every organic movement and process than by every inorganic movement and process. And so, likewise, it has been shown that rhythm is exhibited universally, from the slow gyrations of double stars down to the inconceivably rapid oscillations of molecules from such terrestrial changes as those of recurrent glacial epochs down to those of the winds and tides and waves; and is no less conspicuous in the functions of living organisms, from pulsations of the heart up to paroxysms of the emotions.

These truths have the character which constitutes them parts of Philosophy. They are truths which unify concrete phenomena belonging to all divisions of Nature : and so must be components of that all-embracing conception of things which Philosophy seeks.

§ 90. But now what parts do these truths play in forming such a conception \hat{r} . Does any one of them singly convey an idea of the Cosmos: meaning by that word the totality of the manifestations of the Unknowable? Do all of them takes in succession yield us an adequate idea of this kind? Do they even when thought of in combination compose anything like such an idea? To each of these questions the answer must be—No.

Neither these truths nor any other such truths, separately or jointly, constitute that integrated knowledge in which Philosophy finds its goal. It has been supposed by one thinker that when Science has reduced all more complex laws to some most simple' law, as of molecular action, knowledge will have reached its limit. Another authority holds that all minor facts are so merged in the major fact that the force everywhere in action is nowhere lost, that to express this is to express "the constitution of the universe." But either conclusion implies a misupprehension of the problem.

For these are all analytical truths, and no analytical truth, nor any number of analytical truths, will make up that synthesis of thought which alone can be an interpretation of the synthesis of things. The decomposition of phenomena into their elements is but a preparation for understanding phenomena in their state of composition, as actually manifested. To have ascertained the laws

RECAPITULATION, CRITICISM, ETC. 219

of the factors is not to have ascertained the laws of their cooperation. The thing to be expressed is the joint product of the factors under all its various aspects. A clear comprehension of this matter is important enough to justify some further exposition.

§ 91. Suppose a chemist, a geologist, and a biologist, have given the deepest explanations furnished by their respective sciences, of the processes going on in a burning candle, in a region changed by earthquake, and in a growing plant. To the assertion that their explanations are not the deepest possible, they will probably rejoin-" What would you have? What remains to be said of combustion when light and beat and the dissipation of substance have all been traced down to the liberation of molecular motion as their common cause? When all the actions accompanying an earthquake are explained as consequent upon the slow loss of the Earth's internal heat, how is it possible to go lower? When the influence of light on the oscillations of molecules has been proved. to account for vegetal growth, what is the inarginable further rationale? You ask for a synthesis. You say that knowledge does not end with the resolution of phenomena into the actions. of certain factors, each conforming to ascertained laws; but that the laws of the factors having been ascertained, there comes the chief problem-to show how from their joint action result the phenomena in all their complexity. Well, do not the above interpretations satisfy this requirement? Do we not, starting with the molecular motions of the elements concerned in combustion, build up synthetically an explanation of the light, and the heat, and the produced gases, and the movements of the produced gases? Do we not, setting out from the still-continued radiation of the Earth's heat, construct by synthesis a clear conception of its nucleus es contracting, its crust as collapsing, as becoming shaken and fissured and contorted and burst through by lava? And is it not the same with the chemical changes and accumulation of matter in the growing plant?"

To all which the reply is, that the ultimate interpretation to be reached by Philosophy, is a universal synthesis comprehending and consolidating such special syntheses. The synthetic explanations which Science gives, even up to the most general, are more or less

THE KNOWABLE

independent of one another. Must there not be a deeper explanation including them? Is it to be supposed that in the burning candle, in the quaking Earth, and in the organism that is increasing, the processes as wholes are unrelated to one another? If it be admitted that each of the factors concerned always operates in conformity to a law, is it to be concluded that their co-operation conforms to no law? These various changes, artificial and natural, organic and inorganic, which for convenience sake we distinguish. are not from the highest point of view to be distinguished; for they are all changes going on in the same Cosmos, and forming parts of one vast transformation. The play of forces is essentially the same in principle throughout the whole region explored by our intelligence; and though, varying infinitely in their proportions and combinations, they work out results everywhere different, vet there cannot but be among these results a fundamental community. The question to be enswered is-what is the common element in the histories of all concrete processes?

§ 92. To resume, then, we have now to teek a law of composition of phenomena, co-extensive with those laws of their components set forth in the foregoing chapters. Having seen that matter is indestructible, motion continuous, and force persistent—having seen that forces perpetually undergo transformations, and that motion, following the line of least resistance, is always rhythmic, it remains to find the formula expressing the combined consequences of the laws thus separately formulated.

Such a formula must be one that specifies the course of the changes undergone by both the matter and the motion. Every transformation implies re-arrangement of parts; and a definition of it, while saying what has happened to the sensible or insensible portions of substance concerned, must also say what has happened to the movements, sensible or insensible, which the re-arrangement of parts implies. Further, unless the transformation always goes on in the same way and at the same rate, the formula must specify the conditions under which it commences, ceases, and is reversed.

The law we seek, therefore, must be the law of the continuous redistribution of matter and motion. Absolute rest and permanence do not exist. Every object, no less than the aggregate

226

of all objects, undergoes from instant to instant some alteration of state. Gradually or quickly it is receiving motion or losing motion, while some or all of its parts are simultaneously changing their relations to one another. And the question is — What dynamic principle, true of the metamorphosis as a whole and in its details, expresses these ever-changing relations?



CHAPTER XII

EVOLUTION AND DISSOLUTION

§ 95. As entire history of anything must include its appearance out of the imperceptible and its disappearance into the imperceptible. Any account of an object which begins with it in a concrete form, or leaves off with it in a concrete form, is incomplete ; since there remains an era of its existence undescribed While admitting that knowledge is limited and unexplained. to the phenomenal, we have, by implication, asserted that the sphere of knowledge is co-extensive with the phenomenal-coextensive with all modes of the Unknowable which can affect consciousness. Hence, wherever we now find Being so conditioned as to act on our senses, there arise the questions-how came it to he thus conditioned? and how will it cease to be thus conditioned? Unless on the assumption that it acquired a sensible form at the moment of perception, and lost its sensible form the moment after perception, it must have had an antecedent existence under this sensible form, and will have a subsequent existence under this sensible form. And knowledge of it remains incomplete until it has united the past, present, and future histories into a whole,

Our daily sayings and doings presuppose more or less such knowledge, actual or potential, of states which have gone before and of states which will come after. Knowing any man personally, implies having before seen him under a shape much the same as his present shape; and knowing him simply as a man, implies the inferred antecedent states of infancy, childhood, and youth. Though the man's future is not known specifically, it is known generally that he will die and decay are facts which complete in outline the changes to be gone through by him. So with all

objects around. The pre-existence under concrete fortus of our woollens, silks, and cottons, we can trace some distance back. We are certain that our furniture consists of matter which was aggregated by trees within these few generations. Even of the stones composing the walls of the house, we are able to say that years or centuries ago, they formed parts of some stratum in the Earth. Moreover, respecting the hereafter of the wearable fabrics, the furniture, and the walls, we can assert thus much, that they are all decaying, and in periods of various lengths will lose their This information which all meapresent coherent shapes. gain concerning the past and future careers of surrounding things, Science continues unceasingly to extend. To the biography of the individual man, it adds an intra-uterino biography beginning with him as a minute germ ; and following out his ultimate changes it finds his body resolved into certain gaseous products. of decomposition. Not stopping short ut the sheep's back and the enterpillar's cocopn, it identifies in wool and silk the nitrogenons matters absorbed by the slicep and the caterpillar from plunts. The substance of a plant's leaves, in common with the wood from which familture is made, it again traces back to certain gases in the air and certain minerals in the soil. And the stratum of stone which was quarried to build the house, it learns was once a loose sediment deposited in an estuary or on the sca-bottom.

If, then, the past and the future of each object is a sphere of possible knowledge; and if intellectual progress consists largely, if not mainly, in widening our acquaintance with this past and this future; it is obvious that the limit towards which we progress is an expression of the whole past and the whole future of each object and the aggregate of objects. It is no less obvious that this limit, if reached, can be reached only in a very qualified sense : inference more than observation must bring us to it. This garden annual we trace down to a seed planted in the spring, and enalogy helps us back to the microscopic ovule whence the seed arcse. Observation, verifying forecast, extends our knowledge to the flowers and the seeds, and afterwards to the death and decay which, sooner or later, ends in diffusion, partly through the air, partly through the soil. Here the rise of the aggregate out of the imperceptible and its passage back into the imperceptible is

THE KNOWABLE

indistinct at each extreme. Nevertheless we may say that in the case of this organism, as of organisms in general, the accountpartially based on observation but largely based ou inference. fulfits the definition of a complete history fairly well. But it is otherwise throughout the inorganic world. Inference here plays the chief part. Only by the piecing together of scattered facts can we form any conception of the past or future of even small inorganic masses, and still less can we form it of greater ones; and when we come to the rust masses forming our Solar System, the limits to their existence, alike in the past and in the future, can be known but inferentially: direct observation no longer aids us. Still, science leasts more and more to the conclusion that these also once emerged from the imperceptible through successive stages of condensation and will in an immeasurably remote future hopse again into the imperceptible. So that here, too, the conception of a complete history is in a sense applicable, though we can never fill it out in more than an indefinite way.

But after recognizing the truth that our knowledge is limited to the phenomenal and the further truch that even the sphere of the phenomenal cannot be penetrated to its confines, we must nevertheless conclude that so far as is possible philosophy has to formulate this passage from the imperceptible into the perceptible, and again from the perceptible into the imperceptible.

This last sentence contains a tacit suggestion which must, however, be excluded. The apparent implication is that a confesselly imperfect theory may, by extension after the manner described, be changed into an avoirally perfect one. But we may anticipate that the extension will prove in large measure impracticable. Complete accounts of the beginnings and ends of individual objects cannot in most cases be reached : their initial and terminal steges are left vague after investigation has done its best. Still more, then, with the totality of things must we conclude that the initial and terminal steges are beyond the reach of our intelligence. As we cannot fathom either the infinite past or the infinite future, it follows that both the emergence and immergence of the totality of sensible existences must ever remain matters of speculation only—speculation more or less justified by reasoning from established data, but still—speculation.

524

Hence the conception of Philosophy above implied must be regarded as an ideal to which the real can never do more than approximate. Ideals in general-even those of the exact sciences -cannot be reached, but can only be usarly approached; and yet they, in common with other ideals, are indispensable aids to inquiry and discovery. So that while it may remain the aim of philosophy to give that comprehensive account of things which includes passage from the imperceptible into the perceptible and again from the perceptible into the imperceptible, yet it may be admitted that it must ever fall far short of this aim. Still, while recognizing its inevitable incompleteness, we infer that such approach to completeness as is possible will be effected under guidance of the conceptions reached in the last two chapters. That general law of the re-distribution of matter and motion which we lately saw is required to unify the various kinds of changes, must also be one that unifies the successive changes which sensible existences, separately and together, pass through between their appearance and their disappearance. Only by some formula combining these characters can knowledge be reduced to a coherent whole.

§ 94. Already in the foregoing paragraphs the formula is foreshadowed. Already in recognizing the fact that Science, tracing back the histories of various objects, finds their components were once in diffused states, and forceasting their futures sees that diffused states will be again assumed by them, we have recognized the fact that the formula must be one comprehending the two opposite processes of concentration and dispersion. And already in thus describing the general nature of the formula, we have approached a specific expression of it. The change from a dispersed, imperceptible state to a concentrated, perceptible state, is an integration of matter and concomitant dissipation of motion; and the change from a concentrated, perceptible state to a dispersed, imperceptible state, is an absorption of motion and concomitant disintegration of matter. These are truisms. Constituent parts cannot aggregate without losing some of their relative motion; and they cannot separate without more relative motion being given to them. We are not concerned here with any motion which the components of a mass have with respect to other masses : we are concerned only

15

with the motion they have with respect to one another. Confining our attention to this internal motion, and to the matter possessing it, the axiom which we have to recognize is that a progressing consolidation involves a decrease of internal motion; and that increase of internal motion involves a progressing unconsolidation.

When taken together, the two opposite processes thus formulated constitute the history of every sensible existence under its simplest form. Loss of internal motion and consequent integration, eventually followed by gain of internal motion and consequent disintegration—see here a statement comprehensive of the entire series of changes passed through : comprehensive in an extremely general way, as any statement which holds of sensible existences at large must be ; but still, comprehensive in the sense that all the changes gone through fall within it. This will probably be thought too sweeping an assertion, but we shall quickly find it justified.

§ 95. For here we have to note the further all-important fact, that every change suffered by every sensible existence, is a change in one or other of these two opposite directions. Apparently an aggregate which has passed out of some originally discrete state into a concrete state, thereafter remains for an indefinite period without undergoing further integration, and without beginning to disintegrate. But this is untrue. All things are growing or decaying, accumulating matter or wearing away, integrating or All things are varying in their temperatures, disintegrating. contracting or expanding, integrating or disintegrating. Both the quantity of matter contained in an aggregate and the quantity of motion contained in it increase or decrease ; and increase or decrease of either is an advance towards greater diffusion or greater concentration. Continued losses or gains of substance, however slow, imply ultimate disappearance or indefinite enlargement; and losses or gains of insensible motion will, if continued, produce complete integration or complete disintegration. Heat rays falling on a cold mass, augmenting the molecular motions throughout it, and cutsing it to accupy more space, are beginning a process which if carried far will disintegrate the mass into liquid, and if carried farther will disintegrate the liquid into gas. Conversely, the decrease of bulk which a volume of gas undergoes as it parts with

some of its molecular motion, is a decrease which, if the loss of molecular motion proceeds, will be followed by liquefaction and eventually by solidification. And since there is no such thing as a constant temperature, the necessary inference is that every aggregate is at every moment progressing towards either greater concentration or greater diffusion.

§ 96. A general idea of these universal actions under their simplest aspects having been obtained, we may now consider them under certain more complex aspects. Thus far we have supposed one or other of the two opposite processes to go on alone—we have supposed an aggregate to be either losing motion and integrating or gaining motion and disintegrating. But though every change furthers one or other of these processes, neither process is ever unqualified by the other. For each aggregate is at all times both gaining motion and losing motion.

Every mass, from a gmin of sand to a planet, radiates heat to other masses, and absorbs heat radiated by other masses ; and in so for as it does the one it becomes integrated, while in so far as it does the other it becomes disintegrated. In inorganic objects this double process ordinarily works but unobtrusive effects. Only in a few cases, among which that of a cloud is the most familiar, does the conflict produce rapid and marked transformations. One of these floating bodies of vapour expands and dissipates, if the amount of molecular motion it receives from the Sun and Earth exceeds that which it loses by radiation into space and towards adjacent surfaces; while, contrariwise, if, drifting over cold mountain tops, it radiates to them much more heat than it receives, the loss of molecular motion is followed by increasing integration of the vapour, ending in the aggregation of it into liquid and the fall of rain. Here, as elsewhere, the integration or the disintegration is a differential result.

In living aggregates, and especially in animals, these conflicting processes go on with great activity under several forms. There is not merely what we may call the passive integration of matter, which inanimate masses effect by simple molecular attractions, but there is an active integration of it under the form of food. In addition to that passive superficial disintegration which inanimate objects suffer from external agents, animals produce in themselves active internal disintegration, by absorbing such agents. While, like inorganic aggregates, they passively radiate and receive motion, they are also active absorbers of motion latent in food, and active expenders of that motion. But notwithstanding this complication of the two processes, and the immense exaltation of the conflict between them, it romains true that there is always a differential progress towards either integration or disintegration. During the earlier part of the cycle of changes the integration predominatesthere goes on what we call growth. The middle part of the cycle is usually characterized, not by equilibrium between the integrating and disintegrating processes, but by alternate excesses of them, And the cycle closes with a period in which the disintegration, beginning to predominate, eventually puts a stop to integration, and after death undoes what integration had originally done. At no moment are assimilation and waste so belanced that no increase or decrease of mass is going on. "Even in cases where one part is growing while other parts are dwindling, and even in cases where different parts are differently exposed to external sources of motion, so that some are expanding while others are contracting, the truth For the chances, are infinity to one against these still holds. opposite changes balancing one another; and if they do not balance, the aggregate as a whole is integrating or disintegrating.

Hence that the changes ever going on are from a diffused imperceptible state to a concentrated perceptible state, and back again to a diffused imperceptible state; must be that universal law of re-distribution of matter and motion, which serves to unify the seemingly diverse groups of changes, as well as the entire course of each group.

§ 97. The processes thus everywhere in antegonism, and everywhere gaining now a temporary and now an enduring predominance the one over the other, we call Evolution and Dissolution. Evolution under its most general aspect is the integration of matter and concomitant dissipation of motion; while Dissolution is the absorption of motion and concomitant disintegration of matter.

The last of these titles answers its purpose tolerably well, but the first is open to grave objections. Evolution has other mean-

ings, some of which are incongruous with, and some even directly opposed to, the meaning here given to it. The evolution of a gas is literally an absorption of motion and disintegration of matter, which is exactly the reverse of that which we here call Evolution. As ordinarily understood, to evolve is to unfold, to open and expand, to throw out; whereas, as understood here, the process of evolving, though it implies increase of a concrete aggregate, and in so far an expansion of it, implies that its component matter has passed from a more diffused to a more concentrated state-has contracted. The antithetical word Involution would more truly express the nature of the change; and would, indeed, describe better those secondary characters of it which we shall have to deal with presently. We are obliged, however, notwithstanding the liabilities to confusion resulting from these unlike and even contradictory meanings, to use Evolution as satisficial to Dissolution. The word is now so whilely recognized as signifying, not, induct, the general process above described, but suadry of its most conspicuous varieties, and certain of its secondary but most remarkable accompaniments, that we cannot now substitute another word.

While, then, we shall by Dissolution everywhere mean the process tacitly implied by its ordinary meaning—the absorption of motion and disintegration of matter; we shall everywhere mean by Evolution the process which is always an integration of matter and dissipation of motion, but which, as we shall now see, is in most cases much more than this.

CHAPTER XIII

SIMPLE AND COMPOUND EVOLUTION

§ 98. Where the only forces at work are those directly tending to produce aggregation or diffusion, the whole history of an aggregate will comprise no more than the approaches of its components towards their common centre and their recessions from their common centre. The process of Evolution, including nothing beyond what was described at the outset of the last chapter, will be simple.

Again, where the forces which cause movements towards a common centre greatly exceed all other forces, any changes additional to those of aggregation will be comparatively insignificant: there will be integration slightly modified by further kinds of re-distribution.

Or if, because of the smallness of the mass, or because of the little motion it receives from without in return for the motion it loses, the integration proceeds rapidly; there will similarly be wrought but insignificant effects by secondary forces, even though these are considerable.

But when, conversely, the integration is slow; either because the quantity of motion contained in the aggregate is relatively great; or because, though the quantity of motion which each part possesses is not relatively great, the large size of the aggregate prevents easy dissipation of the motion; or because, though motion is rapidly lost more motion is rapidly received; then, other forces will cause in the aggregate sensible modifications. Along with the change constituting integration, there will take place further changes. The Evolution, instead of being simple, will be compound.

These several propositions require some explanation.

SIMPLE AND COMPOUND EVOLUTION 231

§ 99. So long as a body moves freely through space, every force which acts on it produces an equivalent in the shape of some change in its motion. No matter how high its velocity, the elightest lateral traction or resistance causes it to deviate from its line of movement; and the effect of the perturbing influence goes on accumulating in the ratio of the squares of the times during which its action continues uniform. But when this same body is held fast by gravitation or cohesion, small incident forces, instead of giving it some relative motion through space, are otherwise dissipated.

What thus holds of masses holds, in a qualified way, of the sensible parts of masses, and of molecules. As the sensible parts of a mass, and the molecules of a mass, are, by virtue of their aggregation, not perfectly free, it is not true of each of them, as of a body moving through space, that every incident force produces an equivalent change of position : part of the force goes in working other changes. But in proportion as the parts or the molecules are feebly bound together, incident forces effect marked re-arrangements among them. Where the integration is so slight that the parts, sensible or insensible, are almost independent, they are almost completely amenable to every additional action; and along with the concentration going on there go on other redistributions. Contrarivise, where the parts are so close that what we call the attraction of cohesion is great, additional actions, upless intense, have little power to cause secondary re-atrangements. The firmly-united parts do not change their relative positions in obedience to small perturbing forces; but each small perturbing force usually does nothing more than temporarily modify the insensible molecular motions.

How may we best express this difference in general terms? An aggregate that is widely diffused, or but little integrated, is an aggregate containing a large quantity of motion—actual or potential or both. An aggregate that has become completely integrated or dense, is one containing comparatively little motion : most of the motion its parts once had has been lost during the integration that has rendered it dense. Hence, other things equal, in proportion to the quantity of motion an aggregate contains will be the quantity of secondary change in the arrangement of

its parts that eccompanies the primary change in their arrangement. Hence also, other things equal, in proportion to the time during which the internal motion is retained, will be the quantity of this secondary re-distribution. It matters not how these conditions are fulfilled. Whether the internal motion continues great because the components are of a kind that will not readily aggregate, or because surrounding conditions prevent them from parting with their motion, or because the loss of their motion is impeded by the size of the aggregate they form, or because they directly or indirectly obtain more motion in place of that which they lose; it throughout remains true that much retained internal motion renders secondary re-distributions facile, and that long retention of it makes possible an accumulation of such secondary redistributions. Conversely, non-follihuest of these conditions, however caused, entails opposite results, " Be it that the components of the aggregate have special aptitudes to integrate quickly, or be it that the smallness of the aggregate permits easy escape of their motion, or he it that they receive little, or no motion in exchange for that which they lose; it alike holds that but little secondary re-distribution can accompany the primary re-distribution constituting their integregation.

Let us, before studying simple and compound Evolution as thus determined, contemplate a few cases in which the quantity of internal motion is artificially changed, and note the effects on the re-arrangement of parts.

§ 100. When a vestel has been filled to the brim with loose fragments, shaking it causes them to settle down into less space, so that more may be put in. And when among the fragments there are some of much greater specific gravity than the rest, these, in the course of a prolonged shaking, find their way to the bottom. What are these results, expressed in general terms? We have a group of units acted on by an incident force—the attraction of the Earth. So long as these units are not agitated, this incident force cannot change their relative positions; agitate them, and their loose arrangement passes into a more compact arrangement. Again, so long as they are not agitated, the incident force cannot separate the heavier units from the lighter; agitate them, and the

SIMPLE AND COMPOUND EVOLUTION 233

heavier units begin to segregate. Mechanical disturbances of more minute kinds, acting on the parts of much denser masses, produce analogous effects. A piece of iron which, when it leaves the workshop, is fibrous in structure, becomes crystalline if exposed to a perpetual jar. The polar forces mutually exercised by the atoms, fail to change their disorderly arrangement into an orderly arrangement while they are relatively quiescent; but these forces succeed in re-arranging them when they are kept in a state of intestine motion. Similarly, the fact that a bar of steel, suspended in the augmetic meridian and repeatedly struck, becomes magnetized is ascribed to a re-arrangement of particles produced by the magnetic force of the Earth when vibrations are propagated through Now imperfectly as these cases parallel those we are them. considering, they yet serve roughly to illustrate the effect which adding to the quantity of motion an aggregate contains has infacilitating re-distribution of its components.

More fully illustrative are the instances in which, by artificially adding to or subtracting from the molecular motion called its heat, we give an aggregate increased or diminished facility of re-arranging its molecules. The process of temporing steel or annealing glass shows us that internal re-distribution is aided by insensible vibrations, as we have just seen it to be by sensible vibrations. When some molten ginss is dropped into water, and its outside is thus, by sudden solidification, prevented from participating in that contraction which subsequent cooling of the inside tends to produce; the units are left in such a state of tension, that the mass flies into fragments if a small portion be broken off. But if this mass be kept for a day or two at a considerable heat, though a heat not sufficient to alter its form, this extreme brittleness disappears: the component particles being thrown into greater agitation, the tensile forces are enabled to re-arrange them jato a state of equilibrium. Much more conspicuous is the effect of heat where the re-arrangement of parts taking place is that of visible segregation. An instance is furnished by the subsidence of fine precipitates. These sink down very slowly from solutions which are cold; while warm solutions deposit them with comparative rapidity. That is to say, exalting the molecular oscillation throughout the moss allows the suspended particles to separate.

more readily from the particles of fluid. The influence of heat on chemical changes is so familiar that examples are searcely needed. Be the substances concerned gaseous, liquid, or solid, it equally holds that their chemical maions and dismions are aided by rise of temperature. Alfinities, which do not suffice to effect the rearrangement of mixed units that are in a state of feeble agitation, suffice to effect it when the agitation is raised to a certain point. And so long as this molecular motion is not great enough to prevent those chemical cohesions which the affinities tend to produce, exalting it facilitates chemical re-arrangement.

Let us pass to illustrations of a different class. Other things equal, the liquid form of matter implies a greater quantity of contained motion than the solid form : the liquidity being itself a consequence of such greater quantity. Hence, an aggregate made up partly of liquid matter and partly of solid matter, contains more motion than one which, otherwise like it, is made up wholly of solid matter. It is inferable, then, that a liquid-solid aggregate, or, as we call it, a plastic aggregate, will admit of internal redistribution with comparative meility; and the inference is verified by experience. While a magina of unlike substances ground up with water continues this there goes on a settlement of its heavier components-a separation of them from the lighter. As the water evaporates this separation is impeded, and ceases when the magma becomes thick. But even when it has reached the semi-solid state in which gravitation fails to cause further segregation of its mixed components, other forces may still produce segregation : witness the fact that when the pasty mixture of ground flints and kaolin, prepared for making porcelain, is kept some time, it becomes gritty and unfit for use-the particles of silica separate themselves from the rest and unite into grains; or witness the fact known to every honsewife, that in long-kept currant jelly the sugar takes the shape of embedded crystals.

No matter then under what form the motion contained by an aggregate exists—be it visible agitation, or such vibrations as produce sound, be it molecular motion absorbed from without, or the constitutional molecular motion of some component liquid, the same truth holds. Incident forces work secondary re-distributions easily when the contained motion is large in quantity; and work them with increasing difficulty as the contained motion diminishes.

§ 101. Yet another class of facts which fall within the same generalization must be named before proceeding. They are those presented by certain contrasts in chemical stability. Speaking generally, stable compounds contain but little molecular motion, and in proportion as the contained molecular motion is great the instability is great.

The most common and marked illustration of this, is that chemical stability decreases as temperature increases. Compounds of which the elements are strongly united, and compounds of which the elements are feebly united, are alike in this, that heating them or adding to the quantities of their contained molecular motion diminishes the strengths of the unions of their elements; and by continually augmenting the contained molecular motion, a point is in each case reached at which the union is destroyed. That is to say, the re-distribution of matter which constitutes simple chemical decomposition, is easy in proportion as the quantity of The like holds with double contained motion is great. decompositions. Two compounds, A B and C D, mingled together and kept at a low temperature, may severally remain unchanged : the cross allinities between their components may fail to cause re-distribution. Raise the heat of the mixture, and redistribution takes place; ending in the formation of the compounds A C and B D.

Another truth having a like implication is that chemical elements which, as they ordinarily exist, contain much motion, have combinations less stable than those of which the elements, as they ordinarily exist, contain little motion. The gaseous form of matter implies a relatively large amount of molecular motion, while the solid form implies a relatively small amount. What are the traits of their respective compounds? Those which the permanent gases form with one another cannot resist high temperatures : most of them are easily decomposed by heat; and at a red heat, even the stronger ones yield up their components. On the other hand, the chemical unions between elements that are solid, except at high temperatures, are very stable. In many,
if not indeed in most, cases, such unions are not destroyed by any heat we can produce.

There is, again, the relation, which appears to have a kindred meaning, between instability and amount of composition. "In general, the molecular heat of a compound increases with the degree of complexity." With increase of complexity there also goes increased facility of decomposition. Whence it follows that molecules which contain much motion in virtue of their complexity are those of which the components are most easily re-distributed. This holds not only of the complexity arising from the union of several unlike elements; it holds also of the complexity arising from the union of the same elements in higher multiples. Matter has two solid states, distinguished as crystalloid and colloid; of which the first is due to union of the individual atoms or molecules; and of which the first is stable and the second unstable.

But the most conclusive illustration is furnished by the combinations into which nitrogen enters. These are specially unstable and contain specially great quantities of motion. A peculiarity of nitrogen is that, instead of giving out heat when it combines with other elements, it absorbs heat. Besides carrying with it into the liquid or solid compound it forms the motion which previously constituted it a gas, it takes up additional motion; and where the other element with which it unites is gaseous, the molecular motion proper to this, also, is locked up in the compound. Now these nitrogen compounds are unusually prone to decomposition; and the decompositions of many of them take place with extreme violence. All our explosive substances are nitrogenous—the most destructive of them all, chloride of nitrogen, being one which contains the immense quantity of motion proper to its component gases, plus a further quantity of motion.

Evidently these general chemical truths are parts of the more general physical truth we are tracing out. We see in them that what holds of sensible masses holds also of the insensible masses we call molecules. Like the aggregates formed of them, these ultimate aggregates become more or less integrated according as they loss or gain motion; and like them also, according as they contain much or little motion, they are more or less liable to undergo secondary re-distributions along with the primary redistribution.

§ 102. And now having brought this general principle clearly into view, let us observe how, in conformity with it. Evolution becomes, according to the conditions, either simple or compound.

If a little sal-ammoniae or other volatile solid be heated, it is disintegrated by the absorbed molecular motion and rises in gas. If this gas comes in contact with a cold surface, and loses its excess of molecular motion, integration takes place - the substance assumes the form of crystals. This is a case of simple evolution. The concentration of matter and dissipation of motion do not here proceed gradually-do not pass through stages; but the molecular motion which caused assumption of the gaseous state being dissipated, the matter passes such any to a solid state. The result is that along with this primary re-distribution there go on no appreciable secondary re-distributions. Substantially the same thing holds with crystals deposited from solutions. Loss of that molecular motion which, down to a certain point, keeps the molecules from uniting, and sudden solidification when the loss goes below that point, occur here as before; and here as before, the absence of a period during which the molecules are partially free and gradually losing their freedom, is accompanied by the absence of minor re-arrangements.

Mark, conversely, what happens when the concentration is slow. A gaseous mass losing its heat and undergoing a consequent decrease of balk, undergoes also many simultaneous changes. The great quantity of molecular motion contained in it, giving great molecular freedom, readers every part sensitive to every incident force ; and, as a result, its parts have various motions besides that implied by their progressing integration. Indeed these secondary motions which we know as currents, are so conspicuous as quite to Suppose that, presently, the subordinate the primary motion. loss of molecular motion has reached the point at which the gaseous state can no longer be maintained, and condensation follows. Under their more closely-united form, the parts of the aggregate display, to a considerable degree, the same phenomena as before. The molecular motion and accompanying molecular mobility implied by the liquid state, permit easy re-arrangement ; and hence there go on rapid and marked changes in the relative positions of parts—local streams produced by slight disturbing forces. But now, if instead of a mobile liquid we take a sluggish one such as molten pitch or asphalte, what happens as the molecular motion decreases? The liquid thickens—its parts cease to be movable among one another with ease; and the transpositions caused by feeble incident forces become slow. Little by little the currents are stopped, but the mass still continues modifiable by stronger incident forces. Gravitation makes it bend or spread out when not supported on all sides, and it may easily be indented. As it cools, it continues to grow stiffer ; and eventually, further loss of heat renders it quite hard : its parts are no longer appreciably re-arrangeable by any save violent actions.

Among inorganic aggregates, then, secondary re-distributions accompany the primary re-distribution where this is gradual. During the gaseous and liquid stages, the secondary re-distributions, rapid and extensive as they are, have no traces: the molecular mubility being such as to negative the fixed arrangement of parts we call structure. On approaching solidity we arrive at a plastic condition in which re-distributions can still be made, though much less easily; and in which they have a certain persistence—a persistence which can, however, become decided only where solidification stops further re-distribution.

Here we see what are the conditions under which Evolution becomes compound, while we see how the compounding of it can be carried far only in cases more special than any hitherto contemplated; since, on the one hand, extensive secondary redistributions are possible only where there is a great quantity of contained motion, and, on the other hand, such re-distributions can have permanence only where the contained motion has become small: opposing conditions which seem to negative any large amount of permanent secondary re-distribution.

§ 103. And now we are in a position to see how these apparently contradictory conditions are reconciled. We shall appreciate the peculiarity of the aggregates classed as organic, in which Evolution becomes so high; and shall see that this peculiarity consists in the combination of matter into forms embodying enormous amounts of motion at the same time that they have a great degree of concentration.

For notwithstanding its semi-solid consistence, organic matter contains molecular motion locked up in each of the ways above contemplated separately. Let us note its distinctive traits. Three out of its four chief components are gaseous ; and in their uncombined states these gases united in it have so much molecular motion that they are condensible only with extreme difficulty. Hence it is to be inferred that the proteid molecule concentrates an immense amount of motion in a small space. And since many equivalents of these gaseous elements unite in one of these proteid molecules, there must be in it a large quantity of relative motion in addition to that which the ultimate atoms possess. Moreover. organic matter has the peculiarity that its molecules are aggregated into the colloid and not into the crystalloid arrangement: forming, as is supposed, clusters of clusters, which have movements in relation. to one another. Here, then, is a further mode in which molecular motion is included. Yet again, these compounds, of which the essential parts of organisms are built, are nitrogenous; and we have lately seen it to be a peculiarity of nitrogenous compounds that, instead of giving out heat during their formation, they absorb heat. To all the molecular motion possessed by gaseous nitrogen, is added more motion; and the whole is concentrated in semi-solid. protein. Organic aggregates are very generally distingaished, too, by having much insensible motion in a free statethe motion we call heat. Though in many cases the quantity of this contained insensible motion is inconsiderable, in other cases a temperature much above that of the environment is constantly maintained. Once more, there is the vast quantity of motion embodied in the water that permeates organic matter. It is this which, giving to the water its high molecular mobility, gives mobility to the organic molecules partially suspended in it; and preserves that plastic state which so greatly facilitates re-distribution.

These several statements yield no adequate idea of the extent to which living organic substance is thus distinguished from other substances having like sensible forms of aggregation. But some approximation to such an idea may be obtained by contrasting the bulk occupied by this substance, with the bulk which its constituents would occupy if uncoublined. An accurate comparison cannot be made in the present state of science. What expansion would occur if the constituents of the nitrogenous compounds could be divorced without adding motion from without is too complex a question to be answered. But respecting the constituents of that which forms four-fifths of the weight of an ordinary animal-its water-a tolerably definite answer can be given. Were the oxygen and hydrogen of water to lose their affinities, and were no molecular motion supplied to them beyond that contained in water at blood-heat, they would assume a volume twenty times that of the water." Whether protein under like conditions would expand in a greater or a less degree, must remain an open question; but remembering the gaseous nature of three out of its four chief components, remembering the above-named peculiarity of nitrogenous compounds, remembering the high multiples and the colloidal form, we may conclude that the expansion would be great. We shall not he wrong, therefore, in saving that the elements of the human body, if suddenly disengaged from one another, would neeupy far more than a score times the space they do; the movements of their molecules would compel this wide diffusion. Thus the essential, characteristic of living organic matter, is that it unites this large quantity of contained motion with a degree of cohesion which permits temporary fixity of arrangement.

§ 104. Besides seeing that organic aggregates differ from other aggregates, alike in the quantity of motion they contain and the amount of re-arrangement of parts which accompanies their progressive integration; we shall see that among organic aggregates themselves, differences in the quantities of contained motion are accompanied by differences in the amounts of re-distribution.

The contrasts among organisms in chemical composition yield us the first illustration. Animals are distinguished from plants by their far greater amounts of structure, as well as by the far greater rapidity with which changes go on in them; and, in

* I am indebted for this result to Dr. [afterwards Sir] Edward Frankland, who has been good enough to have the calculation made for me, comparison with plants, animals contain immensely larger proportions of those nitrogenous molecules in which so much motion is locked up. So, too, is it with the contrasts between the different parts of each animal. Though certain nitrogenous parts, as cartilage, are stable and inert, yet the parts in which secondary re-distributions have gone on, and are ever going on, most actively, are those mainly formed of highly-compounded nitrogenous molecules; while parts which, like deposits of fat, consist of relativelysimple molecules, that are non-nitrogenous, are seats of but little structure and but little change.

We find proof, too, that the continuance of the secondary redistributions by which organic aggregates are distinguished, depends on the presence of that locked-up motion which gives mobility to the water diffused through them; and that, other things equal, there is a direct relation between the amount of re-distribution and the amount of contained water. The evidences may be put in three groups. There is the familiar fact that a plant has its formative changes arrested by cutting off the supply of water: the primary re-distribution continues-it withers and shrinks or becomes more integrated—but the secondary re-distributions cease. There is the less familiar fact that the like result occurs in animals-occurs, indeed, after a relatively smaller diminution of water. Certain of the lower animals furnish additional proofs. The Rolifera may be rendered apparently lifeless by desicration, and will yet revive if wetted. When the African rivers it inhabits are dried up, the Lepidovicen remains torpid in the hardened mud until return of the rainy season brings water. 'Humbohlt states that during the summer drought, the alligators of the Pampar lie buried in a state of suspended animetion beneath the parched surface, and struggle up out of the earth as soon as it becomes humid. The history of each organism teaches the same thing. The young plant, just putting its head above the soil, is more succulent than the adult plant : and the emount of transformation going on in it is relatively. greater. In that portion of an egg which displays the formative processes during the early stages of incubation, the changes of arrangement are more rapid than those which an equal portion of the body of a hatched chick undergoes. As may be inferred

from their respective powers to acquire habits and aptitudes, the structural modifiability of a child is greater than that of an edult; and the structural modifiability of a young man is greater than that of an old man: contrasts which are associated with contrasts in the densities of the tissues; since the ratio of water to solid matter diminishes with advancing age. And then we have this relation repeated in the contrasts between parts of the same organism. In a tree, structural changes go on rapidly at the ends of shoots, where the ratio of water to solid matter is very great; while the changes are very slow in the dense and almost dry substance of the trunk. Similarly in animals, we have the contrast between the high rate of change going on in a soft tissue like the brain, and the low rate of change going on in dry non-vascolar tissues—hairs, nails, horns, &c.

Other groups of facts prove that the quantity of secondary re-distribution in an organism varies, cateris paribus, according to the contained quantity of the motion called heat. The contrusts between different urganisms, and different states of the some organism, unite in showing this. Speaking generally, the smounts of structure and rates of structural change, are smaller throughout the vegetal kingdom than throughout the animal kingdom; and, speaking generally, the heat of plants is less than the heat of animals. Comparisons of the several divisions of the animal kingdom with one another disclose parallel relations. Regarded as a whole, vertebrates are higher in temperature than invertebrates; and they are as a whole higher in activity and complexity. Between subdivisions of the Vertebrata themselves, like differences in the degrees of molecular vibration accompany like differences in the degrees of evolution. The least compounded of the Vertebrata are the fishes; and, usually, the heat of fishes is nearly the same as that of the water in which they swim : only some large ones being decidedly warmer. Though we habitually speak of reptiles as cold-blooded, and though they have not much more power than fishes of maintaining a temperature above that of their medium, yet since their medium (which is, in the majority of cases, the air of warm climates) is on the average warmer than the medium inhabited by fishes, the temperature of the class reptiles is higher than that of the class fishes; and we see in them

SIMPLE AND COMPOUND EVOLUTION 243

a correspondingly higher complexity. The much more activo molecular agitation in maximals and birds, goes along with a considerably greater multiformity of structure and a far greater The most instructive contrasts, however, are vivacity. these occurring in the same organic aggregates at different temperatures. Structural changes in plants vary in rate as the temperature varies. Though light effects those molecular changes causing vegetal growth, yet in the absence of heat, such changes are not effected : in winter there is enough light, but not enough heat. That this is the sole cause of the suspension of growth, is proved by the fact that at the same season, plants contained in hot-houses go on producing leaves and flowers. We see, too, that their seeds, to which light is not simply needless but detrimental, germinate only when the return of a warm season raises the rate of molecular agitation. In like manner the over of animals, undergoing those changes which produce structure in them, must be kept more or less warm : in the absence of a certain amount of motion among their molecules, the re-arrangement of parts does not go an. Hybernating animals also supply proof that loss of heat carried far retards extremely the vital transformations. In animals which do not hybernate, as in man, prolouged exposure to intense cold causes extreme sleepiness, which implies a lowered rate of organic changes; and if the loss of heat continues, there comes death, or stoppage of these changes.

Here, then, is an accumulation of proofs. Living aggregates are distinguished by the associated facts, that during integration they undergo remarkable secondary changes which other aggregates do not undergo to anything like the same extent; and that they contain (bulks being supposed equal) immensely greater quantities of motion, locked up in various ways.

§ 105. The last chapter closed with the remark that while Evolution is always an integration of Matter and dissipation of Motion, it is in most cases much more. And this chapter opened by specifying the conditions under which Evolution is integrative only, or remains simple, and the conditions under which it is something further than integrative, or becomes compound. In illustrating this contrast between simple and compound Evolution, and in explaining how the contrast arises, a vague idea of Evolution in general has been conveyed. Unavoidably, we have to some extent forestalled the full discussion of Evolution about to be commenced.

There is nothing in this to regret. A preliminary conception, indefinite but comprehensive, is needful as an introduction to a definite conception. A complex idea is not communicable directly, by giving one after another its component parts in their finished forms; since if no outline pre-exists in the mind of the recipient, these component parts will not be rightly combined. Much labour has to be gone through which would have been saved had a general notion, however cloudy, been conveyed before the distinct and detailed delineation was commenced.

That which the reader has incidentally gathered respecting the nature of Evolution from the foregoing sections, he may thus advantogeously use as a rule sketch. He will bear in mind that the total history of every sensible existence is included in its Evolution and Dissolution; which last process we leave for the present out of consideration. He will not forget that whatever aspect of it we are for the moment considering, Evolution is always to be regarded as an integration of Matter and dissipation of Motion, which may be, and usually is, accompanied by other transformations of Matter and Matter and he will everywhere expect to find that the primary re-distribution ends in forming aggregates which are simple where it is rapid, but which become compound in proportion as its slowness allows the effects of secondary re-distributions to accumulate.

§ 106. There is much difficulty in tracing out transformation so vast, so varied, and so intricate as those now to be entered upon. Besides having to deal with concrete phenomena of all orders, we have to deal with each group of phenomena under several aspects, no one of which can be fully understood apart from the rest and no one of which can be studied simultaneously with the rest. Already we have seen that during Evolution two great classes of changes are going on together; and we shall presently see that the second of these great classes is re-divisible. Entangled with one another as all these changes are, explanation of any one class

SIMPLE AND COMPOUND EVOLUTION 245

or order involves direct or indirect reference to others not yet explained. We can do no more than make the best compromise.

It will be most convenient to devote the next chapter to a detailed account of Evolution under its primary aspect; tacitly recognizing its secondary aspects only so far as the exposition necessitates.

The succeeding two chapters, occupied exclusively with secondary re-distributions, will make no reference to the primary re-distribution beyond that which is unavoidable: each being also limited to one particular trait of the secondary re-distributions.

In a further chapter will be treated a third, and still more distinct, character of the secondary re-distributions.



CHAPTER XIV

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION

§ 107. Deportors has now to be verified by induction. Thus far the argument has been that all sensible existences must, in some way or other and at some time or other, reach their concrete shapes through processes of concentration; and the facts named have been named merely to clarify the perception of this necessity. But we have not arrived at that unified knowledge constituting Philosophy, until we have seen how existences of all orders do exhibit a progressive integration of Matter, and accompanying loss of Motion. Tracing, so far as we may by observation and inference, the objects dealt with by the Astronomer and the Geologist, as well as those which Biology, Psychology, and Sociology treat of, we have to consider what direct proof there is that the Cosmos, in general and in detail, conforms to this law.

Throughout the classes of facts successively contemplated, attention will be directed not so much to the truth that every aggregate has undergone, or is undergoing, integration, as to the further truth that in every more or lass separate part of every aggregate, integration has been, or is, in progress. Instead of simple wholes and wholes of which the complexity has been ignored, we have now to deal with wholes as they actually exist —mostly made up of many members combined in many ways. And in them we shall have to trace the transformation under several forms—a passage of the total mass from a more diffused to a more consolidated state; a concurrent similar passage in every portion of it that comes to have a distinguishable individuality; and a simultaneous increase of combination among such individualized portions. § 108. Our Sidereal System by its general form, by its clusters of stars of various degrees of closeness, and by its nebulæ in all stages of condensation, gives grounds for suspecting that, generally and locally, concentration is going on. Assume that its matter has been, and still is being, drawn together by gravitation, and we have an explanation of its leading traits of structure—from its solidified masses up to its collections of attenuated flocculi barely discernible by the most powerful telescopes, from its double stars up to such complex aggregates as the nubeculæ. Without dwelling on this evidence, however, let us pass to the case of the Solar System.

The belief, so variously supported, that this has had a nebular genesis, is the belief that it has arisen by the integration of matter and concomitant loss of motion. Evolution, under its primary aspect, is illustrated most simply and clearly by this passage of the Solar System from a diffused incoherent state to a consolidated coherent state. White, according to the nebular hypothesis, there has been going on a gradual concentration of the Solar System as an aggregate, there has been a simultaneous concentration of each partially-independent member. The changes of every planet in passing through its stages of nebulous ring, gaseous spheroid, liquid spheroid, and spheroid externally solidified, have in essentials-dissipation of motion and aggregation of matterparalleled the changes gone through by the general mass; and those of every satellite have done the like. Moreover, at the same time that the matter of the whole, as well as the matter of each partially-independent part, has been thus integrating, there has been the further integration implied by increasing combination among the parts. The satellites of each planet are liaked with their primary into a balanced cluster : while the planets and their satellites form with the Sun a compound group, of which the members are more strongly bound together than were the farspread portions of the nebulous medium out of which they arose,

Even apart from the nebular hypothesis, the Solar System furnishes facts having a like general meaning. Not to make much of the meteoric matter perpetually added to the Earth, and probably to the other planets, as well as, in larger quantities, to the Sun, it will suffice to name two generally-admitted instances. The one is the retardation of councts by the ethereal medium, and the inferred retardation of planets—a process which must in time, as Lord Kelvin argues, bring councts, and eventually planets, into the Sun. The other is the Sun's still-continued loss of motion in the shape of culiated heat; accomponying the still-continued integration of his cass.

§ 109. To astronomic evolution we pass without break to the evolution which, for convenience, we separate as geologic. The history of the Earth, as traced out from the structure of its crust, carries us back to that molten state which the schular hypothesis implies; and, as before pointed out (§ 69), the changes called igneous are accompaniments of the advancing consolidation of the Earth's substance and loss of its contained motion. The general effects and the local effects must be briefly exemplified.

Leaving behind the time when the more volatile elements now existing as solids were kept by the high temperature in a gaseous form, we may begin with the fact that until the Earth's surface. had cooled far below red heat, the mass of water at present covering three-fifths of it must have existed as vapour. This mormous volume of unintegrated liquid became integrated as fast as dissipation of the Earth's contained motion allowed; leaving, at length, a comparatively small portion uncondensed, which would condense but for the unceasing absorption of molecular motion from the In the formation of the Earth's crust we have a Sun. similar change similarly caused. The passage from a thin solid film, everywhere fissured and movable on the subjacent molten matter, to a crust so thick and strong as to be but now and then very slightly dislocated by disturbing forces, illustrates the process. And while, in this superficial solidification, we see under one form how concentration accompanies loss of contained motion, we see it under another form in that diminution of the Earth's bulk implied by superficial corrugation.

Local or secondary integrations have advanced along with this general integration. A molten spheroid, merely skinned over with solid matter, could have presented nothing beyond small patches of hand and water. Differences of elevation, great enough to form islands of considerable size, imply a crust of some rigidity; and only as the crust grew thick could the land be united into contineuts divided by oceans. So, too, with the more striking elevations. The collapse of a thin layer round its cooling and contracting contents would throw it into low ridges. The crust must have acquired a relatively great depth and strength before extensive mountain systems of vast elevation became possible : continued integration of it made possible great local integrations. In sedimentary changes a like progress is inferable. Denudation, acting on the small surfaces exposed during early stages, would produce but small local deposits. The collection of detritus into strata of great extent, and the union of such strata into extensive "systems," imply wide surfaces of land and water, as well as musticences great in both area and depth : so that integrations of this order must have grown more pronounced as the Earth's crust thickened.

§ 110. Already we have recognized the fact that the evolution of an organism is primarily the formation of an aggregate, by the continued incorporation of matter previously spread through a wider Every plant grows by taking into itself elements that were space. before diffused, and every animal grows by re-concentrating these elements previously dispersed in surrounding plants or other animals. Here it will be proper to complete the conception by pointing out that the early history of a plant or animal, still more clearly than its later history, shows us this fundamental process. For the microscopic germ of each organism undergoes, for a long time, no other change, than that implied by absorption of nutriment. Cells embedded in the strong of an ovarium become ova by little else than continued growth at the expense of adjacent materials. And when, after fortilization, a more active evolution commences, its most conspicuous truit is the drawing in, to a genuinal centre, of the substance which the oven contains.

Now, however, our attention must be directed mainly to the secondary integrations which accompany the primary integration. We have to observe how, along with the formation of a larger mass of matter, there goes on a gathering together and consolidation of this matter into parts, as well as a closer combination of the parts. In the manomalian embryo the heart, at first a long nuisating blood-ressel, by-and-by twists upon itself and integrates. The hile-cells constituting the rudimentary liver, do not simply become different from the wall of the intestine in which they at first lie, but, while accumulating, they diverge from it and consolidate into an organ. The anterior portion of the cerebrospinal axis, at first continuous with the rest, and not markedly distinguished from it, undergoes a union of its rapidly-growing parts; and at the same time the resulting head folds into a mass marked off from the spine. The like process, variously exhibited in other organs, is meanwhile exhibited by the body as a whole; which becomes integrated somewhat in the same way that an outspread handkershief and its contents become integrated when its edges are drawn in and fastened to make a bundle. Kindred changes go on after birth, and continue even up to old age. In man, that solidification of the bony framework which, during childhood, is seen in the coalescence of portions of the same hone ossified from different centres, is afterwards seen in the coalescance of bones that were originally distinct. The appendages of the vertebra join with the vertebral centres to which they belong : a change not completed until towards thirty. At the same time the epiphyses, formed separately from the main bodies of their respective bones, have their cartilaginous connexions turned into osseous ones-are fused to the masses beneath them. The component vertebra of the sacrum, which remain separate till about the sixteenth year, then begin to unite; and in ten or a dozen years more their union is complete. Still later occurs the junction of the coccygeal vertebres; and there are some other hony unions which remain unfinished unless advanced age is reached. To which add that the increase of density, going on throughout the tissues at large during life, is the formation of a more fully integrated substance.

The species of change thus illustrated, may be traced in all animals. That mode of it which consists in the union of similar parts originally separate, has been described by Milne-Edwards and others, as exhibited in various *Invertebrata*; though it does not seem to have been included by them as an essential trait of organic development. We shall, however, see that local integration is an all-important part of this process, when we find it not only in the successive stages passed through by every embryo, but also in

ascending from the lower creatures to the higher. As manifested in either way, it goes on both longitudinally and transversely; under which different forms we may conveniently consider Of longitudinal integration, the sub-kingdom Annulit. are * supplies abundant examples. Its lower members, such as worms and next to them invriapeds, are mostly characterized by the great numbers of their segments; reaching in some cases to several hundreds. But in the higher divisions-crustaceans, insects, and arachnids-this number is reduced to twenty-two, thirteen, or even fewer; while, accompanying the reduction, there is a shortening or integration of the whole body, reaching its extreme in the crab and the spider. The significance of these contrasts, as bearing on the doctrine of Evolution, will be clear when it is observed that they are parallel to those which arise during the development of individual annulose animals. The head and thorax of a lobster form one compact bax, made by the union of a number of segments which in the embryo were separable. Similarly, the butterfly shows us segments so much more closely united than they were in the caterpillar, as to be, some of them, no longer distinguishable from one another. The Vertelanta again, throughout their successively higher classes, furnish like instances of longitudinal union. In most fishes, and in limbless reptiles, none of the vertebra coalesce. In most mammals and in birds, a variable number of vertebra become fused to form the sacrum; and in the higher apes and in man, the caudal vertebra also lose their separate individualities in a single or coccupie. That which we may distinguish as transverse integration, is well illustrated among the Annulosa in the development of the nervous system. Leaving out those most degraded forms which do not present distinct gauglia, we find that the lower anunlose animals, in common with the larvas of the higher, are severally characterized by a double chain of gaugija running from end to end of the body; while in the more perfectly-formed annulose animals, the two chains unite into a single chain. Mr. Newport has described Use

* I adhere to this rame though of late years the two divisions Annelida and Arthropoda have usurped its place. Their kinship as lower and higher is admitted, and the name is descriptive of bath ; for the being formed of rings is their most conspicuous atmenual trait. course of this concentration in insects ; and by Rathke it has been traced in crustaceans. During the early stages of the common cray-fish, there is a pair of gauglia to each ring. Of the fourteen pairs belonging to the head and thorax, the three pairs in advance of the mouth consolidate to form the cephalic gauglion or brain. Meanwhile, of the remainder, the first six pairs severally unite in the median line, while the rest remain more or less separate. Of. these six double gaugha thus formed, the anterior four coalesce into one mass; the remaining two coalesce into another mass, and then these two masses coalesce. Here longitudinal and transverse integration go on simultaneously, and in the highest crustaceans they are both carried still further. The Vertebrata exhibit transverse integration in the development of the generative system. The lowest manmals-the Monotremeta-in common with birds, to which they are in many respects silied, have oviducts which towards their lower extremities are dilated into cavities, each imperfectly performing the function of a aterus. In the Marsupialis there is a closer approximation of the two lateral sets of organs on the median line; for the oviducts converge towards one another and meet (without coalescing) on the median line; so that their uterine dilatations are in contact with each other, forming a true 'double uberus.' . : . As we ascend the series of 'placental' mammals, we find the lateral coalescence becoming more and more complete. . . . In carry of the Rodentia the uterus still remains completely divided into two lateral halves; whilst in others these coalesce at their lower portions, forming a rudiment of the true 'body' of the uterus in the human subject. This part increases at the expense of the lateral 'cornua' in the higher herbivora and carnivora; but even in the lower quadrumana the uterus is somewhat cleft at its summit." *

Under the head of organic integrations, there remain to be noted another class of illustrations. Whether the *Annulasa* referred to show are or are not originally compound animals, it is unquestionable that there are compound animals among other classes of invertebrates: integration is displayed not within the limits of an individual only but by the union of many individuals. The *Salpida* are composite creatures having the shape of chains joined

* Carpenter's Prin, of Comp. Phys., p. 617.

more or less permanently; and Pyrovoma shows us a large number united into-a cylinder. Moreover in the Botryilidar the merging of the individualities goes so far that instead of having separate skins they are enclosed within a common skin. Among the Calenterata integration produces half-fused colonies of types There are the branched Hydrozon in which many unlike these. individuals form an aggregate in such a way as to have a commonsystem of nutrition, while some of them undertake special functions; and much the same may he said of those compound Actinozoa which are imbedded in the calcareous frameworks we know as corals. And then in certain pelagic types, grouped as Siphonophora, the united individuals, in some cases alife, are in other cases severally transformed in adaptation to various functions; so that the component individuals, assuming the characters of different organs, become practically combined into a single organism.

From this gind of integration we pass to a kind in which the individuals are not physically united but simply associated-are integrated only by their mutual dependence. We may set down two kinds-those which occur within the same species, and those which occur between members of different species. Mare or less of the gregarious tendency is common among animals; and when it is marked, there is, in addition to simple aggregation, some Creatures that heat in packs, or that degree of combination. have sentinels, or that are governed by leaders, form bodies partially united by co-operation. Among polygamous mammals and birds this mutual dependence is closer; and the social insects show us still more consolidated assemblages : some of them having their members so united that they cannot live independ-How organisms in their totality are mutually ently. dependent, and in that sense integrated, we shall see on remembering-first, that while all animals live directly or indirectly on plants, plants utilize the curbon dioxide excreted by animals; second, that among animals the flesh-cators cannot exist without the plant-caters; third, that a large proportion of plants can continue their respective races only by the help of insects. Without detailing the more complex connexions, which Mr. Darwin has so heautifully illustrated, it will suffice to say that the Flora and

THE KNOWABLE

Fauna in each habitat, constitute an aggregate so far integrated that many of its species die out if placed amid the plants and animals of another habitat. And this integration, too, increases as organic evolution advances.

§ 111. The phenomena set down in the foregoing paragraph introduce us to others of a higher order, with which they ought, in strictness, to be grouped—phenomena which we may term super-organic. Inorganic hodies present us with certain facts. Additional facts, mostly of a more involved kind, are presented by organic hodies. There remain yet further facts, not presented by any organic body taken singly, but which result from the actions of aggregated organic hodies. Though phenomena of this order are, as we see, foreshudowed among infector organisms, they become so conspicuous in mankind as socially united, that practically we may consider them to commence here.

In the social organism integrative changes are abundantly exemplified. Uncivilized societies display them when wandering families, such as those of Bushmen, join into tribes of considerable size. A further progress in mass results from the subjugation of weak tribes by strong ones; and in the subordination of their respective chiefs to the conquering chief. Such combinations which, among aboriginal races, are continually being formed and continually broken up, become, among superior mees, relatively permanent. If we trace the stages through which our own society, or any adjacent one, has passed, we see this unification from time to time repeated on a larger scale and gaining in stability. The consequent establishment of groups of vassals bound to their respective lords; the subsequent subjection of groups of inferior nobles to dukes or earls; and the still later growth of the kingly power over dukes and earls; are so many instances of increasing consolidation. This process slowly completes itself by destroying the original lines of demarcation. And of the European nations it may be further remarked, that in the tendency to form alliances, in the restraining influences exercised by governments over one another, in the system of settling international arrangements by congresses, as well as in the weakening of commercial barriers and the increasing facilities of communication, we see the beginnings of

a European federation-a still larger integration than any now established,

But it is not only in these external unions of groups with groups, and of the compound groups with one another, that the general law is exemplified. It is exemplified also in unions which take place internally, as the groups become better organized. There are two orders of these, broadly distinguishable as regulative and A civilized society is made unlike a savage operative. tribe by the establishment of regulative classes-governmental, administrative, military, ecclesiastical, legal, &c., which, while they severally have their bonds of union, constituting them subclasses, are also held together as a general class by a certain community of privileges, of blood, of education, of intercourse. In some societies, fully developed after their particular types, this consolidation into castes, and this mion among the upper castes by separation from the lower, eventually grow very decided : to be afterwards re-dered less decided, only in cases of social metamorphosis caused by the industrial regime. The integrations seen throughout the operative or industrial organization, later in origin, are not merely of this indirect kind, but they are also direct-they show us physical approach. We have integrations consequent on the growths of adjacent parts performing like functions; as, for instance, the junction of Manchester with its calico-weaving suburbs. We have other integrations which arise when, out of several places producing a particular commodity, one gaining more and more of the business, draws to it masters and workers, and leaves the other places to dwindle; as witness the growth of the Yorkshire cloth districts at the expense of these in the West of England ; or the absurption by Staffordshire of the pollery manufacture, and the consequent decay of establishments at Derby and elsewhere. We have those more special integrations that arise within the same city : whence result the concentration of com-merchants about Mark Lane, of civil engineers in Great George Street, of bankers in the centre of the city. Industrial integrations which consist, not in the approximation or fusion of parts, but in the establishment of centres of connexion, are shown in the Bankers' clearing-house and the Railway clearing-house, While of yet another species are those unions which bring into

relation dispersed citizens who are occupied in like ways; as traders are brought by the Exchange, and as are professional men by institutes like those of Civil Engineers, Architects, &c.

These seem to be the last of our instances. Having followed up the general law to social aggregates, there apparently remain no other aggregates to which it can apply. This, however, is not true. Among what were above distinguished as super-organic, phenomena, there are sundry further groups of remarkable illustrations. Though evolutions of the various products of social activities cannot be said directly to exemplify the integration of matter and dissignation of motion, yet they exemplify it indirectly. For the progress of Language, of Science, and of the Arts, industrial and aesthetic, is an objective register of subjective changes. Alterations of structure in human beings, and concomitant alterations of structure in aggregates of human beings, jointly produce corresponding alterations of structure in all those things which humanity creates. As in the changed impress on the way, we read a change in the seal ; so in the integrations of advancing Language, Science, and Art, we see reflected certain integrations of advancing human structure, individual and social. A section must be devoted to each group,

§ 112. Among uncivilized mees, the many-sylhonen names of not uncommon objects, as well as the descriptive character of proper names, show that the words used for the less-familiar things are formed by uniting the words used for the more-familiar things. This process of composition is sometimes found in its incipient stage-a stage in which the component words are tempararily joined to signify some unnamed object, and, from lack of frequent use, do not permanently cohere. But in most inferior languages, the process of "agglutination" has gone far enough to produce some stability in the compound words : there is a manifest integration. How small is this integration, however, in comparison with that reached in well-developed languages, is shown both by the great length of the compound words used for common things and acts, and by the separableness of their elements. Certain North-American tongues illustrate this very well. In a Ricarce vocabulary extending to fifty names of common objects, which in

English are nearly all expressed by single syllables, there is not one monosyllabic word. Things so fumiliar to these hunting tribes as dog and how, are, in the Pawnee language, ashakish and terragish; the hand and the eyes are respectively itsheever and keevekoo; for day the term is shakoorooceshairet, and for should it is taukeekakkakoorainah; while the numerals are composed of from two syllables up to five, and in Ricarce up to seven. That the great length of these familia; words implies low development, and that in the formation of higher languages out of lower there is a gradual integration, which reduces the polysyllables to dissyllables and monosyllables, is an inference confirmed by the history of our own language. Anglo-Saxon steerra has been in course of time consolidated into English star, mona into snoon, and nama into name. The transition through semi-Saxon is clearly traceable. Summ became in semi-Saxon sume, and in English some the final a of may being an evanescent form of the original vs. The change from the Anglo-Saxon plural, formed by the distinct syllable as to our plural formed by the appended consonant s, shows the same thing: smithas in becoming smiths, and endus in becoming ends, illustrate progressive enalescence. So, too, does the disappearance of the terminal an in the infinitive mood of verbs: as shown in the transition from the Anglo-Saxon cuman to the semi-Saxon cumme, and to the English come. Moreover the process has been slowly going on, even since what we distinguish as English was formed. In Elizabeth's time, verbs were still frequently pluralized by the addition of en-we tell was we tellen; and in some places this form of speech may even now be heard. In like manager the terminal of of the past tense, has united with the word it modifies. Burn-of has in pronunciation become burnt; and even in writing, the terminal t has in some cases taken the place of the ad. Only where antique forms in general are adhered to, as in the church service, is the distinctness of this inflection still maintained. Further, we see that the compound vowels have been in many cases fused into single vowels. That in bread the c and a were originally both sounded, is, proved by the fact that they are still so sounded in parts where old habits linger. We, however, have contracted the promunciation into bord; and we have made like changes in many other

17

common words. Lastly, let it be noted that where the repetition is greatest, the process is carried furthest; as instance the contraction of *lord* (originally *hlyford*) into *lud* in the mouths of barristers; and, still better, the coalescence of *God be with you* into *Good bye.*

Besides thus exhibiting the integrative process, Language equally exhibits it throughout all granusatical development. The lowest kinds of human speech, having merely nouns and verbs without inflections, permit no such close union of the elements of a proposition as results when their relations are marked either by inflections or by connective words. Such speech is what we significantly call "incoherent." To a considerable extent, incoherence is seen in the Chinese language. "If, instead of saying I geto London, figs come from Turkey, the sun shines through the air, we said, I go end London, figs come origin Turkey, the sun shines passage air, we should discourse after the manner of the Chinese." From this "aptotic " form, there is a transition, by 'soalescence, to a form in which the connexions of words are expressed by joining with them certain inflectional words. " In Languages like the Chinese," remarks Dr. Latham, "the separate words most in use to express relation may become adjuncts or annexes." To this he adds the fact that "the numerous inflexional languages fall into two classes. In one, the inflexions have no appearance of having been separate words. In the other, their origin as separate words is demonstrable." From which the inference drawn is, that the "aptotic" languages, by the more and more constant use of adjuncts, gave rise to the "agglutinate" longuages, or those in which the original separateness of the inflexional parts can be traced; and that out of these, by further use, arose the "amalgamate" languages, or those in which the original separateness of the inflexional parts can no longer be traced. Strongly corroborative of this inference is the fact that, by such a process, there have grown out of the anulgamate languages, the "anaptotic" languages, of which our own is the best examplelanguages in which, by further consolidation, indexions have almost disappeared, while, to express the verbal relations, new kinds of words have been developed. When we see the Anglo-Saxon inflexions gradually last by contraction during the development

of English, and, though to a less degree, the Latin inflexions dwindling away during the development of French, we cannot deny that grammatical structure is modified by integration; and seeing how clearly the earlier stages of grammatical structure are explained by it, we must conclude that it has been going on from the first.

In proportion to the degree of this integration is the extent to which integration of another order is carried. Aptolic languages are, as already pointed out, necessarily incoherent-the elements of a proposition cannot be completely tied into a whole. But as fast as coalescence produces inflected words, it becomes possible to unite them into sentences of which the parts are so mutually dependent that no considerable change can be made without destroying the meaning. Yet a further stage in this process may be noted. After the development of those grammatical forms which make definite statements nossible, we do not at first find them used to express anything beyond statements of a simple kind. A single subject with a single predicate, accompanied by but few qualifying terms, are usually all. If we compare, for instance, the Hebrew scriptures with writings of modern times, a marked difference of aggregation among the groups of words is visible. In the number of subordinate propositions which accompany the principal one: in the various complements to subjects and predicates; and in the numerous qualifying clauses-all of them united into one complex whole-many sentences in modern compositions exhibit a degree of integration not to be found in ancient ones.

§ 113. The history of Science presents facts of the same meaning at every step. Indeed the integration of groups of like entities and like relations, constitutes the most conspicuous part of scientific progress. A glance at the classificatory sciences, shows that the confused incoherent aggregations which the vulgar make of natural objects, are gradually rendered complete and compact, and bound up into groups within groups. While, instead of considering all marine creatures as fish, shell-fish, and jelly-fish, Zoology establishes among them subdivisions under the heads Vertebrata, Annulosa, Mollaces, Calenterata, &c.; and while, in place of the wide and vague assemblage popularly described as "creeping things," it makes the specific classes Annelida, Myriapoda, Invecto, Arachnida; it simultaneously gives to these an increasing consolidation. The several species, genera, and orders of which each consists, are arranged according to their admittes and tied together under common definitions; at the same time that, by extended observation and rigorous criticism, the previously unknown and undetermined forms are integrated with their respective con-Nor is the process less clearly displayed in those geners. sciences which have for their subject-matter, not classified objects but classified relations. Under one of its chief aspects, scientific advance is the advance of generalization ; and generalizing is uniting into groups all like co-existences and sequences among phenomena. The colligation of many concrete relations into a generalization of the lowest order, exemplifies this process in its simplest form; and it is again exemplified in a more complex form by the colligation of these lowest generalizations into higher ones, and these into still higher ones. Year by year connexions are established among orders. of phenomena that appear unallied ; and these confisxions, multiplying and strengthening, gradually bring the seemingly unallied orders ander a common bond. When, for example, Humboldt quotes the observation of the Swiss-" it is going to rain because we hear the nurmur of the torrents nearcr,"-when he recognizes the kinship between this and an observation of his own, that the cataracts of the Orinoco are heard at a greater distance by night than by day -when he notes the analogy between these facts and the fact that the unusual visibility of remote objects is also an indication of coming rain-and when he points out that the common cause of these variations is the smaller hindrance offered to the passage of both light and sound, by media which are comparatively homogeneous, either in temperature or hygrometric state; he helps in bringing under one generalization certain truits of light and certain traits of sound. Experiments having shown that light and sound conform to like laws of reflection and refraction, the conclusion that they are both produced by undulations-though undulations of unlike kinds-gains prohability: there is an incipient integration of two classes of facts between which no connexion was suspected in times past. A still more decided integration has been of late taking place between the once independent sub-sciences of Electricity, Magnetism, and Light.

The process will manifestly be carried much further. Such propositions as those set forth in preceding chapters, on "The Persistence of Force," "The Transformation and Equivalence of Forces," "The Direction of Motion," and "The Rhythm of Motion," unite within single bonds phenomena belonging to all orders of existences. And if there is such a thing as that which we have understand by Philosophy, there must eventually be reached a universal integration.

§ 114. Nor do the industrial and asthetic Arts full to supply us with equally conclusive evidence. The progress from small and simple tools, to complex and large machines, is a progress in integration. Among what are classed as the mechanical powers. the advance from the layer to the wheel-and-axle is an advance. from a simple agent to an agent made up of several simple ones. On comparing the wheel-and-axle, or sny of the mechanical appliances used in early times with those used now, we see that in each of our machines several of the primitive muchines are united. A modern apparatus for spinning or weaving, for making stockings or lace, contains not simply a lover, an inclined plane, a screw, a wheeland-axle, joined together, but several of each-all made into a whole. Again, in early eges, when horse-power and man-power were alone employed, the motive agent was not bound up with the tool moved; but the two have now become in many cases joined together. The fire-box and boiler of a locomotive are combined with the machinery which the steam works. A much more extensive integration is seen in every factory. Here numerous complicated machines are all connected by driving shafts with the same steamengine-all united with it into one vast apparatus.

Contrast the mural decorations of the Egyptians and Assyrians with modern historical paintings, and there is manifest an advance in unity of composition—in the subordination of the parts to the whole. One of these ancient frescoes is made up of figures which vary but little in conspicuousness: there are no gradations of light and shade. The same trait may be noted in the tapestries of medieval days. Representing perhaps a hunting scene, one of these contains men, horses, dogs, beasts, birds, trees, and flowers, miscellaneously dispersel: the living objects being variously occupied, and mostly with no apparent consciousness of one another's proximity. But in paintings since produced, faulty as many of them are in this respect, there is always some co-ordination—au arrangement of attitudes, expressions, lights, and colours, such as to combine the parts into a single scene; and the success with which unity of effect is educed from variety of components is a chief test of merit.

In music, progressive integration is displayed in more numerous ways. The simple cadence embracing but a few notes, which in the chants of savages is monotonously repeated, becomes, among civilized races, a long series of different musical phrases combined into one whole; and so complete is the integration that the melody cannot be broken off in the middle, nor shorn of its final note, without giving us a painful sense of incompleteness. When to the air, a bass, a tenor, and an alto are added; and when to the different voice-parts there is joined an accompaniment; we see integrations of another order which grow gradually more elaborate. And the process is carried a stage higher when these complex aolos, concerted pieces, choruses, and orchestre's effects, are combined into the vast ensemble of an orchestre's effects, are combined into the vast ensemble of an orchestre's effects, are combined into the vast ensemble of an orchestre's effects.

Once more the Arts of literary delineation, narrative and dramatic, furnish us with illustrations. The tales of primitive times, like those with which the story-tellers of the East still amuse their listeners, are made up of successive occurrences, mostly unnatural, that have no natural connexions: they are but so many separate adventures put together without necessary sequence. But in a good modern work of imagination, the events are the proper products of the characters living under given conditions, and cannot at will be changed in their order or kind, without injuring or destroying the general effect. Further, the characters themselves, which in early fictions play their respective parts without showing how their minds are modified by one another or by the events, are now presented to us as held together by complex moral relations, and as acting and reacting on one another's natures.

§ 115. Evolution, then, under its primary aspect, is a change from a less coherent form to a more coherent form, consequent on the dissipation of motion and integration of matter. This is the universal process through which sensible existences, individually and as a whole, pass during the ascending halves of their histories. This proves to be a character displayed in those earliest changes which the visible Universe is supposed to have undergone, and in those latest changes which we truce in societies and the products of social life. And, throughout, the unification proceeds in several ways simultaneously.

Alike during the evolution of the Solar System, of a planet, of an organism, of a nation, there is progressive aggregation. This may be shown by the increasing density of the matter already contained in it; or by the drawing into it of matter that was before separate; or by both. But in any case it implies a loss of relative motion. At the same time, the parts into which the mass has divided severally consolidate in like manner. We see this in that formation of planets and satellites which has gone on along with the progressive concentration of the nebula that originated the Solar Sy tem; we see it in that growth of separate organs which advances, pari passa, with the growth of each organism; we see it in that rise of special industrial centres and special masses of population, which is associated with the development of each society. Always more or less of local integration accompanies the general integration. And then, beyond the increased closeness of juxtaposition among the components of the whole, and maoag the components of each part, there is increase of combination, producing mutual dependence of them. Dividy foreshadowed as this mutual dependence is among inorganic existences, both celestial and terrestrial, it becomes distinct among organic and super-organic existences. From the lowest living forms upwards, the degree of development is marked by the degree in which the several parts constitute a co-operative assemblage—are integrated into a group of organs that live for and by one another. The like contrast between undeveloped and developed societies is conspicuous: there is an ever-increasing co-ordination of parts. And the same thing holds true of social products, as, for instance, of Science: which has become highly integrated not only in the sense that each division is made up of dependent propositions, but in the sense that the several divisions cannot carry on their respective investigations without aid from one another.

CHAPTER XV

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION CONTINUED

§ 116. Crawage great in their amounts and various in their kinds, which accompany those dealt with in the last chapter, have thus far been ignored; or, if tacitly recognized, have not been avowedly recognized. Integration of each whole has been described as taking place simultaneously with integration of each of the parts into which it divides itself. Bits how comes the whole to divide itself into parts? This is a transformation more remarkable than the passage of the whole says unthing about it omits more than half the phenomeon to be formulated.

This larger half of the phenomena we have now to treat. Here we are concerned with those secondary re-distributions of matter and motion which go on along with the primary re-distribution. We saw that while in very incoherent aggregates, secondary re-distributions produce but evanescent results, in aggregates that reach and maintain a certain medium state, neither very incoherent nor very coherent, results of a relatively persistent kind are produced structural modifications. And our next inquiry must be—What is the universal expression for these structural modifications?

Already an implied answer has been given by the title—Compound Evolution. Already in distinguishing as simple Evolution that integration of matter and dissipation of motion which is unaccompanied by secondary re-distributions, it has been tacitly asserted that where secondary re-distributions occur complexity arises: the mass, instead of remaining uniform, must have become multiform. The proposition is an identical one. To say that along with the primary re-distribution there go secondary redistributions, is to say that along with the change from a diffused to a concentrated state, there goes a change from a homogeneous state to a heterogeneous state. The components of the mass while becoming integrated have also become differentiated.*

This, then, is the second aspect under which we have to study Evolution. In the last chapter we contemplated existences of all orders as displaying progressive integration. In this chapter we have to contemplate them as displaying progressive differentiation.

§ 117. A growing variety of structure throughout our Sidereal System is implied by the contrasts which indicate aggregation throughout it. We have nebulæ that are diffused and irregular, and others that are spiral, number, spherical. We have groups of stars the members of which are seattened, and groups concentrated. in all degrees down to closely-packed globular clusters. We have these groups differing in the numbers of their members, from those containing several thousand stars to those containing but two. Among individual stars there are great contrasts, real as well as apparent, of size; and from their unlike colours, as well as from their unlike spectra, many contrasts among their physical states. are inferable. Beyond which beterogeneities in detail there are general heterogeneities. Nebule are numerous in some regions. of the heavens, while in others there are only stars. Here the celestial spaces are almost void of objects, and there we see dense aggregations, nebular and stellar together.

The matter of our Solar System during its integration has become more multiform. The concentrating gaseous spheroid, dissipating its contained molecular motion, acquiring more marked unlikenesses of density and temperature between interior and exterior, and leaving behind from time to time annular portions

* The terms here used must be understood in celative concess. Since we know of no such thing as absolute diffusion or absolute concentration, the change can never be anything but a change from a more diffused to a less diffused state—from smaller coherence to greater coherence; and, similarly, as no concrete existences present us with absolute simplicity—as nothing is perfectly uniform—os we nowhere find complete homogeneity, the transformation is literally always towards greater complexity, ar intereased cooli-formity, or further beterogeneity. This qualiformion the resider must bear in mind.

of its mass, underwent differentiations which increased in number and degree, until there was evolved the existing organized group of Sun, planets, and satellites. The heterogeneity of this is variously displayed. There are the immense contrasts between the Sun and the planets, in bulk and in weight ; as well as the subordinate contrasts of like kind between one planet and another, and between the planets and their satellites. There is the further contrast between the Sun and the planets in respect of temperature ; and there are indications that the planets differ from one another in their proper heats, as well as in the heats which they receive from the sun. Bearing in mind that they also differ in the inclinations of their orbits, the inclinations of their axes, in their specific gravities, and in their physical constitutions, we see how decided is the complexity wrought in the Solar System by those secondary redistributions which have accompanied the primary re-distribution.

§ 118. Passing from illustrations, which, as assuming the nebular hypothesis, must be classed as more or less hypothetical, let us descend to evidence less open to objection.

It is now agreed among geologists that the Earth was once a molten mass. Originally, then, it was comparatively homogeneous in consistence; and, because of the circulation which takes place in heated liquids, must have been comparatively nomogeneous in temperature. It must, too, have been surrounded by an atmosphere consisting partly of the elements of air and water, and partly of those various other elements which assume gaseous forms at high temperatures. Cooling by radiation must, after an immense time, have resulted in differentiating the portion most able to part with its heat; namely, the surface. A further cooling, leading to deposition of all solidifiable elements contained in the atmosphere, and then to precipitation of the water, leaving behind the air, must thus have caused a second marked differentiation; and as the condensation commenced on the coulest parts of the surface. -namely, about the poles-there must so have resulted the first geographical distinctions.

To these illustrations of growing heterogeneity, inferred from known laws, Geology adds an extensive series that have been inductively established. The Earth's structure has been age after

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION CONTINUED 267

age further complicated by additions to the strata which form its crust; and it has been age after age made more various by the increasing composition of these strata; the more recent of which, formed from the detritus of the more ancient, are many of them rendered highly complex by the mixtures of materials they con-This heterogeneity has been vastly augmented by tuits. the actions of the Earth's nucleus on its envelope; whence have resulted not only many kinds of igneous rocks, but the tilting up of sedimentary strate at all angles, the formation of faults and metallic veins, the production of endless dislocations and ircerularities. Again, geologists teach us that the Earth's surface has been growing more varied in elevation—that the most ancient mountain-systems are the smallest, and the Andes and Himalayas the most modern ; while, in all probability, there have best corresponding changes in the bed of the occurs. As a consequence of this ceaseless multiplication of differences, we now find that no considerable portion of the Earth's exposed surface, is like any other portion, either in contour, in geologic structure, or in chemical composition,

There has been simultaneously going on a gradual differentiation of climates. As fast as the Earth cooled and its crust solicified, inequalities of temperature arose between those parts of its surface most exposed to the Sun and those less exposed ; and thus in time there came to be the marked contrasts between regions of perpetual ice and snow, regions where winter and summer alternately reign for periods varying according to the latitude, and regions where summer follows summer with scarcely an appreciable veria-Meanwhile, elevations and subsidences, recurring here tion. and there over the Earth's crust, and producing irregular distributions of land and sea, have entailed various modifications of climate beyond those dependent on latitude; while a yet further series of such modifications has been caused by increased differences of height in the surface, which in sundry places have brought arctic, temperate, and tropical climates to within a few miles of one another. The general results are, that every extensive region has its own meteorologic conditions, and that every locality in each region differs more or less from others in those conditions : as also in its structure, its contour, its soil.

THE KNOWABLE

Thus between our existing Earth, the phenomena of whose varied crust neither geographers, geologists, mineralogists, nor meteorologists have yet enumerated, and the molten globe out of which it was evolved, the contrast in heterogeneity is striking.

§ 119. The clearest, most numerous, and most varied illustrations of the advance in multiformity that accompanies the advance in integration, are furnished by living bodies. Distinguished as these are by the great quantity of their contained molecular motion, they exhibit in an extreme degree the secondary rodistributions which contained motion facilitates. The history of every plant and every animal, while it is a history of increasing bulk, is also a history of simultaneously-increasing differences among the parts. This transformation has several aspects.

The chemical composition which is almost uniform throughout the substance of a germ, vegetal or animal, gradually ceases to be The several compounds, nitrogenous and non-nitrouniform. genous, which ware homogeneously mixed, segregate by degrees, become diversely proportioned in diverse places and produce new compounds by transformation or madification. In plants the allouninous and amylaceous matters, which form the substance of the embryo, give origin here to a preponderance of chlorophyll and there to a preponderance of collulose. Over the parts that are becoming leaf-surfaces, certain of the materials are metamorphosed into wax. In this place starch passes into one of its isomeric equivalents, sugar; and in that place into another of its isomeric equivalents, gum. By secondary change some of the cellulose is modified into wood ; while some of it is modified into the allied substance which, in large masses, we call cork. And the more numerous compounds thus arising initiate further unlikenesses by mingling in unlike ratios. The yelk, or essential part of an animal-ovum, having components which are at first evenly diffused among one another, chemically transforms itself in like manner. Its protein, its fats, its salts, become dissimilarly proportioned in different localities; and multiplication of isomeric forms leads to further mixtures and combinations that constitute minor distinctions of parts. Here a mass, darkening by accumulation of hematiae, presently dissolves into blood. There fatty

and albuminous matters uniting compose nerve-tissue. At this spot the nitrogenous substance takes on the character of cartilage; and at that, calcareous salts, gathering together in the cartilage, lay the foundation of hone. All these chemical differentiations slowly become more marked and more numerous.

Simultaneously arise contrasts of minute structure. Distinct tissues take the place of matter that had previously no recognizable unlikenesses of parts; and each of the tissues first produced undergoes secondary modifications, causing sub-species of tissues. The granular protoplasm of the vegetal germ, equally with that which forms the unfolding point of every shoet. gives origin to cells that are at first alike. Some of these, as they grow, flatten and unite by their edges to form the outer laver. Others lengthen, and at the same time join together in bundles to lay the foundation of woody fibre. Before much elongating, certain of these cells show a breaking-up of the lining deposit, which, during elongation, becames a spiral thread, or a reticulated framework, or a series of rings; and by the longitudinal union of colls so lined, vessels are formed, Meanwhile each of these differentiated tissues is re-differentiated: instance that constituting the essential part of a leaf, the upper stratum of which is composed of chlorophyll cells remaining closely packed, while one lower strutum becomes spongy. Of . the same general character are the transformations undergone by the fertilized orum, which, at first a cluster of similar cells. quickly reaches a stage marked by dissimilarity of the cells. More frequently recurring fission of the superficial cells, a resulting smaller size of them, and subsequent union of them into an outer layer, constitute the first differentiation; and the middle area of this layer is rendered unlike the rest by still more active processes of like kind. By such modifications upon modifications, many and various, arise the classes and sub-classes of tissues which. intricately combined one with another, compose organs.

Equally conforming to the law are the changes in general shape and in the shapes of organs. All germs are at first spheres and all limbs are at first buds or mere rounded lumps. From this primordial uniformity and simplicity, there take place divergences, both of the wholes and of the leading parts, towards multiformity of contour and towards complexity of contour. Remove the compactly-folded young leaves that terminate every shoot, and the nucleus is found to be a central knob bearing lateral knobs, one of which may grow into either a leaf, a sepai, a petal, a stamen, or a carpel: all these eventually-unlike parts being at first alike. The shoots themselves also depart from their primitive unity of form; and while each branch becomes more or less different from the rest, the whole exposed part of the plant becomes different from the imbedded part. So, too, is R with the organs of animals. One of the Arthropoda, for instance, has limbs that were originally indistinguishable from one another -composed a homogeneous series ; but by continuous divergences there have arisen among them unlikenesses of size and form, such as we see in the crab and the lobster. Vertebrate creatures equally exemplify this truth. The wings and legs of a bird are of similar shapes when they bud-out from the sides of the embryo.

Thus in every plant and animal, conspicuous secondary redistributions accompany the primary re-distribution. A first difference between two parts; in each of these parts other differences which presently become as unreled as the first; and a like multiplication of differences in geometrical progression, until there is reached that complex combination constituting the adult. This is the history of all living things whatsoever. runsning an idea which Harvey set affont, it has been shown by Wolff and Von Baer, that during its development each organism passes from a state of homogeneity to a state of heterogeneity. For a generation this truth has been accepted by biologists.*

* It was in 1852 that I became acquainted with Von Baer's expression of this general principle. The universality of law had ever been with me a postulate, carrying with it a correlative belief, tacit if not arowed, in unity of method throughout Nature. This statement that every plant and actual, originally homogeneous, becomes gradually bettrogeneous, set up a co-ordination among thoughts which were providely unorganized, or but particilly organized. It is true that in *Social Statics* (Part IV., §§ 12-16), published before meeting with Von Baer's formula, the development of an individual organism and the development of a social organism, ore described as slike consisting in advance from simplicity to complexity, and from independent like parts to mutually-dependent unlike parts. But though admitting of extension to other super-organic phenomena, this statement was too special to

空70

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION CONTINUED 271

§ 120. When we pass from individual forms of life to life at large, and ask whether the same law is seen in the ensemble of its manifestations-whether modern plants and unimals have more heterogeneous structures than ancient ones, and whether the Earth's present Flora and Fauna are more belerogeneous than the Flora and Fauna of the past,-we find the evidence so fingmentary that nearly every conclusion is open to dispute. Three-fifths of the Earth's surface being envered by water; a great part of the exposed land being inaccessible to, or untravelled by, the geologist ; the most of the remainder having been scarcely more than glanced at; and even familiar portions, as England, having been so imperfeelly explored that a new series of strata has been added within these few years; it is clearly impossible to say with any certainty what creatures have, and what have not, existed at any particular period. Considering the perishable nature of many of the lower arganic forms the metaniorphasis of many beds of sediment, and the gaps that occur among the rest, we shall see further reason for distrusting our deductions. On the one hand, the repeated discovery of vertebrate remains in struta previously supposed to contain none-of reptiles where only fish were thought to exist, and of mammals where it was believed there were no creatures higher than reptiles; renders it daily more manifest how small is the value of negative evidence. On the other hand, the worthlessness of the assumption that we have found the carliest, or anything like the earliest, organic remains, is becoming equally clear.

admit of extension to inorganic phenomena. The grant ald readered by Vor-Paer's formula arose from its higher abstractmess; since, only when organic transformations had been expressed in the most abstract terms, was the moy opened for seeing what they had in common with inorganic transformations. The conviction that this process of change gave through by each cufolding organism, is a process gave through by all things, found its first coherent statement in an essay on "Progress; its Law and Cause"; which I published in the Westminister Review for April, 1857—an easy with the first half of which this chapter coincides in substance, and partly in form. In that easy, however, as also in the first edition of this work, I full into the error of supposing that the transformation of the homogeneous into the heterogeneous constitutes Evolution. We have seen that this is not so. It constitutes the recombing valuation which we distinguish as compound; or rather, as we shall presently are, it constitutes the most complexions traff of this secondary re-distribution.
That the oldest known aqueous formations have been greatly changed by igneous action, and that still older ones have been totally transformed by it, is becoming underliable. And the fact that sedimentary strata earlier than any we know have been melted up, being admitted, it must also be admitted that we cannot say how far back in time this destruction of sedimentary strata has been going on. For anght we know to the contrary, only the last chapters of the Earth's biological history may have come flown to us.

Most inferences must thus be extremely questionable. If a progressionist argues that the earliest known vertebrate remains ace those of Fishes, which are the most homogeneous of the Fortebrata; that Reptiles, which are more heterogeneous, are later; and that later still, and more beterogeneous still, are Mommals and Birds; it may be replied that the Palæozoic deposits, not being estuary deposits, are not likely to contain the remains of terrestrial Vertebrata, which may nevertheless have existed. A like answer may be made to the argument that the vertebrate fauna of the Palecozoic period, consisting, so far as we know, entirely of Fishes, was less beterogeneous than the modern vertebrate found, which includes Reptiles, Birds and Mammals, of multitudinous genera; while a uniformitarian may contend with great show of truth, that this appearance of arguer and more varied forms in later geologic eras, was due to progressive immigration-that a continent slowly upheaved from the ocean at a point remote from pre-existing continents, would necessarily be peopled from them is a succession like that which our strata At the same time the counter-arguments may display. be proved equally inconclusive. When, to show that there cannot have been a continuous evolution of the more homogeneous organic forms into the more beterogeneous ones, the uniformitarian points to the breaks which occur in the succession of these forms, there is the sufficient answer that current geological changes show us why such breaks must occur, and why, by subsidences and elevations of large areas, there must be produced breaks so immense as those which divide the great geologic epochs. Or again, if the opponent of the development hypothesis cites the facts set forth by Professor Huxley in his lecture on "Persistent Types"-if he points out

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION CONTINUED 273

that " of some two hundred known orders of plants, not one is exclusively fossil," while "among animals, there is not a single totally extinct class; and of the orders, at the outside not more than seven per cent. are unrepresented in the existing creation "--if he urges that among these some have continued from the Silurian epoch to our own day with scatcely any change-and if he infers that there is a much greater average resemblance between the living forms of the past and those of the present than consists with the hypothesis; there is still a satisfactory reply, on which in fact Prof. Huxley insists; namely, that we have evidence of a "pre-geologic era" of unknown duration. And, indeed, when we remember that the enormous subsidences of the Silurian period show the Earth's crust to have been approximately as thick then as it is now-when we conclude that the time taken to form so thick a crust, must have been immense as compared with the time which has since clupsed-when we assume, is we must, that during this comparatively immense time the geologic and biologic changes went on at their usual rates; it becomes munifest, not only that the palgeontological records which we find do not negative the theory of evolution, but that they are such as might rationally be looked for.

Moreover, though the evidence suffices neither for proof nor disproof, yet some of its most conspicuous facts support the belief, that the more beterageneous organisms and groups of organisms, have been evolved from the less beterogeneous ones. The average community of type between the fossils of adjacent strata, and especially the community found between the latest tertiary fossils and creatures now existing, is one of these facts. The discovery in some modern deposits of such forms as the Paleotherium and Anaplotherium, which, according to Prof. Owen, had a type of structure intermediate between some of the types now existing, is another of these facts. And the comparatively recent appearance of Man, is a third fact of this kind, which possesses still greater significance.* Hence we may say that though our knowledge of

* I leave these sentences as they stood when written norrly forty years aga, thinking it batter to name in a note the vast amount of confirmatory eridence which has accornicated in the interval, and which renders uncessfillshe the conclusion drawn. In 1962 no one thought it possible that there could be

THE KNOWABLE

past life upon the Earth is relatively small, yet what we have, and what we continually add to it, support the belief that there has been an evolution of the simple into the complex alike in individual forms and in the aggregate of forms.

§ 121. Advance from the homogeneous to the heterogeneous is clearly displayed in the progress of the latest and most hetero-While the peopling of the Earth has geneous creature-Man. been going on, the human organism has grown more heterogeneous among the civilized divisions of the species; and the species, as a whole, has been made more beterogeneous by the multiplication of races and the differentiation of them from one another. Inproof of the first of these statements may be cited the fact that, in the relative development of the limbs, civilized men depart more widely from the general type of the placental mammalia, than do the lowest men. Though often possessing well-developed body and arms, the Papuan has very small legs: thus reminding us of the man-like apes, in which there is no great contrast in size between the hind and fore limbs. But in the European, the greater leagth and massiveness of the legs has become marked-the fore and hind limbs are relatively more heterogeneous. The greater ratio which the cranial bones hear to the facial hones, illustrates the same truth. Among the Variebrata in general, evolution is marked by an increasing belerogeneity in the vertebral column, and especially in the components of the skull: the higher forms being distinguished by the relatively larger size of the bones which cover the

proof of a transition between reptiles and birds; and yst sines that time forms implicitionably transitional have been found. Though the indications of many other such kinships, by the discoveries of intercalary forms, have not yet in most cases been followed by proofs of continuous genealogy, yet it is otherwise in the case of the burse, the ancestry of which has been traced. Evidence of descent from a three-tood taipant of the Miscone period is considered by Prof. Huxley as conclusive: employed and continues through he is. In his Insugural Address to the Geological Society in 1870, on "Paleoutnlogy and the Dostrine of Evolution," many further illustrations are given of kinelike between ancient and modern types. Nownlays, indeed, there is universal agreement among neutralists (a few surviving disciples of Cuvier in France being excepted) that all organic forms have arisen by the superposing of modifications upon modifications; increase in hypercevely being an average implication.

brain, and the relatively smaller size of those which form the jaws, &c. Now this trait, which is stronger in Man than in any other creature, is stronger in the European than in the savage. Moreover, from the greater extent and variety of faculty he exhibits, we may infer that the civilized man has also a more complex or heterogeneous nervous system than the uncivilized man; and, indeed, the fact is in part visible in the increased ratio which his cerebrum bears to the subjacent ganglia. If further elucidation be needed, every nursery furnishes it. In the infant European we see sundry resemblances to the lower human mees; as in the flatness of the alse of the nose, the depression of its bridge, the divergence and forward opening of the nostrils, the form of the lips, the absence of a frontel sinns, the width between the eyes, the smallness of the legs. Now as the developmental process by which these traits are turned into those of the adult European, is a continuation of that change from the homogeneous to the heterogeneous displayed during the previous evolution of the embryo; it follows that the panillel developmental process by which the like traits of the barbarous races have been turned into those of the civilized races, has also been a continuation of the change from the homogeneous to the heterogeneous. The truth of the second statement is so obvious as scapeely to need illustration. Every work on Ethnology, by its divisions and subdivisions of races, bears testimony to it. Even were we to admit that Mankind originated from soveral separate stocks, it would still remain true that as, from each of these stocks, there have sprung many now widely different tribes, which are proved by philological evidence to have had a common origin, the race as a whole is more beterogeneous than it once was. Add to which that we have, in the Anglo-Americans, an example of a new variety arising within these few generations; and that, if we may trust to the descriptions of observers, we are likely soon to have another such in Australia.

§ 122. On passing from Humanity under its individual form to Humanity as socially embodied, we find the general law still more variously exemplified. The change from the homogeneous to the heterogeneous is displayed equally in the progress of eivilization as a whole, and in the progress of every tribe or nation; and it is still going on with increasing rapidity.

Society in its first and lowest stage is a homogeneous assemblage of individuals having like powers and like functiona: the only marked difference of function being that which accompanies difference of sex. Every man is warrior, hunter, disherman, toolmaker, builder; every woman performs the same drudgeries; every family is self-sufficing, and, save for purposes of companionship, aggression, and defence, might as well live apart from the rest. Very early, however, in the course of social evolution, we find an incipient differentiation between the governing and the governed. Some kind of chieftainship soon arises after the advance from the state of separate wandering families to that of a nomadic tribe. The authority of the strongest and cunningest makes itself felt among savages, as in a herd of animials or a posse of schoolboys: especially in war. At first, however, it is indefinite, uncertain; is shared by others of scarcely inferior power; and is unaccompanied by any difference in occupation or style of living: the first roler kills his own game, makes his own weapons builds his own but, and, economically considered, does not differ from others of his tribe. Along with conquests and the massing of tribes, the contrast between the governing and the governed grows more decided. Supreme power becomes hereditary in one family; the head, first military and then political, ceasing to provide for his own wants, is served by others; and he begins to assume the sole office of At the same time there has been arising a coruling. ordinate species of government - that of Religion. Ancient records and traditions show that the earliest conquerors and kings. came to be regarded as divine personages. The maxims and commands they uttered during their lives were held sacred after their deaths, and were enforced by their divinely-descended successors : who in their turns were promoted to the pantheon of the race, there to be worshipped and propitiated along with their predecessors. For a long time these connate forms of governmentcivil and religious-remain closely associated. For many generations the king continues to be the chief priest, and the priesthood to be members of the royal race. For many ages religious law continues to contain more or less of civil regulation, and civil

law to possess more or less of religious sanction; and even among the most advanced nations these two controlling agencies are by no means completely differentiated from each other. Having a common root with these, and gradually diverging from them, we find yet another controlling agency-that of Manners or ceremonial usages. Titles of honour were originally the names of the god-king; afterwards of God and the king; still later of persons of high rank; and finally came, some of them, to be used between man and man. Forms of complimentary address were at first expressions of propitiation from prisoners to their conqueror, or from subjects to their ruler, either human or divine---expressions that were afterwards used to propitiate subordinate authorities, and slowly descended into ordinary intercourse. Modes of sulutation were once signs of subjection to a victor, afterwards obeisances made before the monarch and used in worship of him Presently others of the god-descended race were when dead. similarly saluted; and by degrees some of the salutations have become the due of all." Thus, no sooner does the originally homogeneous social mass differentiate into the governed and the governing parts, thus this last exhibits an incipient differentiation into religious and secular-Church and State; while at the same time or still earlier there begins to take shape, that less definite species of government which rules our daily intercourse---n species. of government which, as we may see in heralds' colleges, in books of the peerage, in masters of ceremonies, is not without a certain embodiment of its own. Each of these kinds of government is itself subject to successive differentiations. In the course of ages, there arises, as among ourselves, a highly complex political organization of monarch, ministers, lords and commons, with their subordinate administrative departments, courts of justice, revenue offices, &c., supplemented in the provinces by municipal governments, county governments, parish or union governments-all of them more or less claborated. By its side there grows up a highly complex religious organization, with its various grades of officials from archbishops down to sextons, its colleges, convocations, ecclesiastical courts, &c. ; to all which must be added the ever-multiplying

* For detailed proof see easily on "Manners and Fischion" in Resays, &c., Vol. III.

independent sects, each with its general and local authorities. And simultaneously there is developed a complicated system of customs, manners, and temporary fashions, enforced by society at large, and serving to control those minor transactions between man and man which are not regulated by civil and religious law. Moreover, it is to be observed that this increasing beterogeneity in the governmental appliances of each nation, has been accompanied by an increasing beterogeneity in the governmental appliances of different nations. All peoples are more or less unlike in their political systems and legislation, in their creeds and religious institutions, in their customs and ceremonial usages.

Meanwhile there has been going on a differentiation of a more familiar kind; that, namely, by which the mass of the community has been segregated into distinct classes and orders of workers. While the governing part has undergone the complex development above indicated, the governed part has undergone more complex development, which has resulted in that minute division of labour characterizing advanced nations. It is needless to trace out this progress from its first stages, up through the castedivisions of the East and the incorporated guilds of Europe, to the elaborate producing and distributing organization existing among ourselves. Political economists have long since described the industrial progress which, through increasing division of labour, ends with a civilized community whose members severally perform different actions for one another; and they have further pointed out the changes through which the solitary producer of any one commodity, is transformed into a combination of producers who, united under a master, take separate parts in the manufacture of such commodity. But there are yet other and higher phases of this advance from the homogeneous to the heterogeneous in the industrial organization of society. Long after considerable progress has been made in the division of labour among the different classes of workers, there is relatively little division of labour among the widely separated parts of the community: the nation continues comparatively homogeneous in the respect that in each district the same occupations are pursued. But when roads and other means of transit become numerous and good, the different districts begin to assume different functions.

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION CONTINUED 279

and to become mutually dependent. The calico-manufacture locates itself in this county, the woollen-manufacture in that; silks are produced here, lace there; stockings in one place, shoes in another; pottery, hardware, cutlery, come to have their special towns; and ultimately every locality grows more or less distinguished from the rest by the leading occupation carried on in-Nay, more, this subdivision of functions shows itself not only it. among the different parts of the same nation, but among different nations. That exchange of commodities which free-trade promises so greatly to increase will ultimately have the effect of specializing, in a greater or less degree, the industry of each people. So that beginning with a primitive tribe, almost if not quite homogeneous in the functions of its members, the progress has been, and still is, towards an economic aggregation of the whole human race; growing ever more heterogeneous in respect of the separate functions assured by separate nations, the separate functions assumed by the local sections of each nation, the separate functions assumed by the many kinds of producers in each place, and the separate functions gesumed by the workers united in growing or making each commodity. And then, lastly, has to be pauted the vast organization of distributers, wholesale and retail, forming so conspicuous an element in our town-populations, which is becoming ever more specialized in its structure.

 \S 123. Not only is the law thus exemplified in the evolution of the social organism, but it is exemplified in the evolution of all products of human throught and action, whether concrete or abstract, real or ideal. Let us take Language as our first illustration.

The lowest form of language is the exclamation, by which an entire idea is vaguely conveyed through a single sound; as among the lower animals. That human language ever consisted solely of exclamations, and so was strictly homogeneous in respect of its parts of speech, we have no evidence. But that language can be traced down to a form in which nouns and verbs are its only elements, is an established fact. In the gradual multiplication of parts of speech out of these primary ones—in the differentiation of verbs into active and passive, of nouns into abstract and concretein the rise of distinctions of mond, tense, person, of number and case-in the formation of auxiliary verbs, of adjectives, adverbs, pronouns, propositions, articles-in the divergence of those orders, genera, species, and varieties of parts of speech by which civilized races express minute modifications of meaning; we see a change from the homogeneous to the heterogeneous. And it may be remarked that it is more especially because it has carried this subdivision of functions further than any other language, that the English language is structurally superior. Another process throughout which we may trace the development of language, is the differentiation of words of allied meanings. Philology early disclosed the truth that in all languages words may be grouped into families having each a common ancestry. An aboriginal name, applied indiscriminately to each member of an extensive and ill-defined class of things or actions, presently undergoes modifications by which the chief divisions of the class are expressed. These several mones, springing from the primitive root themselves. become the parents of other names still furthur modified. And by the aid of those systematic modes, which presently arise, of making derivatives and forming compounds expressing still smaller distinctions, there is finally developed a tribe of words so heterogeneous in sound and meaning, that to the uninitiated it seems incredible they should have had a common origin. Meanwhile, from other roots there are being evolved other such tribes, until there results a language of a hundred thousand different words, signifying as many different objects, qualities, acts. Yet another way in which language advances from the homogeneous to the heterogeneous, is by the multiplication of languages. Whether, as Max Möller and Bunsen think, all languages have grown from one stock, or whether, as some philologists say, they have grown from two or more stocks, it is clear that since large families of languages, as the Indo-European, are of one parentage, there have arisen multiplied kinds through a process of continuous divergence. The diffusion over the Earth's surface which has led to differentiation of the race, has simultaneously led to differentiation of its speech : a truth which we see further illustrated in each country by the dialects found in separate districts. Thus linguistic changes conform to the general law, alike in the evolution of languages, in the

evolution of families of words, and in the evolution of parts of If in our conception of language we include not speech. its component words only but those combinations of them by which distinct ideas are conveyed-namely sentences-we have to recognize one more aspect of its progress from homogeneity to heterogeneity which has accompanied the progress in integration, Rude speech consists of simple propositions having subjects and predicates indefinitely linked; and anything like a complex monning is conveyed by a succession of such propositions connected only by juxtaposition. Even in the speech of comparatively developed peoples, as the Hebrows, we find very little complexity. Compare a number of verses from the Hible with some paragraphs from a modern writer, and the increase in heterogeneity of structure is very conspicuous. And beyond the fact that many of our ordinary sentences are by the supplementary clauses, secondary propositions, and qualifying physics they contain made relatively. involved, there is the fact that there is great variety among the sentences in a page: now long, now short, now formed in one way, now in another, so that a double progress in helerogeneity in the style of composition is displayed.

On passing from spoken to written language, we come upon several classes of facts, having similar implications. Written language is connate with Painting and Sculpture ; and at first all three are appendages of Architecture, and have a direct connexion with the early form of settled government-the theoretic. Merely noting the fact that sundry wild races, as the Australians and the tribes of South Africa, are given to depicting personages and events on the walls of cuves, which are probably regarded as sacred places, let us pass to the case of the Egyptians. Among them, as also among the Assyrians, we see mural paintings used to derorate the temple of the god and the palace of the king (which were, indeed, originally identical); and as such they were governmental appliances in the same sense that state pageauts and religious feasts were. Further, they were governmental appliances in virtue of representing the worship of the god, the triumphs of the god-king, the submission of his subjects, and the punishment of the rebellions. And yet again they were governmental, as being the products of an art reverenced by the people as a sacred mystery. From the

constant use of this pictorial representation, there grew up the but slightly-modified practice of picture writing-a practice which was found still extant among the Mexicans at the time they were discovered. By abbreviations analogous to those still going on in our own language, the most familiar of these pictured figures were successively simplified; and ultimately there grew up symbols, most of which had but distant resemblances to the things for which they stood. The inference that the hieroglyphics of the Egyptians thus arose, is confirmed by the fact that the picture writing of the Mexicans was found to have given birth to a like family of ideographic forms; and among them, as among the Egyptians, these had been partially differentiated into the kuriological or imitative, and the tropical or symbolic: which were, however, used together in the same record. In Egypt, written language underwent a further differentiation, resulting in the hieratic and the epistolographic of enchorial : both derived from the original hieroglyphic. At the same time for proper names, which could not be otherwise expressed, phonetic symbols were employed; and though the Egyptians never achieved complete alphabetis writing, yet it can scarcely be doubted that among other peoples phonetic symbols, occasionally used in aid of ideographic ones, were the germs out of which alphabetic writing arose. Once having become separate from hieroglyphics, alphabetic writing itself underwent numerous differentiations-multiplied slphabets were produced: between most of which, however, connexions can still be traced. And in each civilized nation there have now grown up, for the representation of one set of sounds, several sets of written signs, used for distinct purposes. Finally, through a yet more important differentiation, come printing ; which, uniform in kind as it was at first, has since become multiform.

§ 124. While written language was passing through its earlier stages of development, the mural decoration which formed its root was being differentiated into Painting and Sculpture. The gods, kings, men, and animals represented, were originally marked by indented outlines and coloured. In most cases these outlines were of such depth, and the object they circumscribed so far rounded, as to form a species of work intermediate between intaglio and bas-relief. In other cases we see an advance upon this: the spaces between the figures being chiselled out, and the figures themselves appropriately tinted, a painted bus-relief was produced. The restored Assyrian architecture at Sydenham exhibits this style of art carried to greater perfection : the persons and things represented, though still barbarously coloured, are carved with more truth and in greater detail; and in the winged lions and hulls used for the angles of gateways, we see advance towards a completely sculptured figure : which, nevertheless, is still coloured and still forms part of the building. But though in Assyria the production of a statue proper seems to have been little, if at all, attempted, we may trace in Egyptian art the gradual separation of the sculptured figure from the wall. While a walk through the collection in the British Museum will alford an opportunity of observing transitions, it will bring into view much evidence that the sindependent statues were derived from bas-reliefs; nearly all of them not only display that lateral attachment of the arms with the body which is a characteristic of bas-relief, but have the back of the statue united from head to foot with a block which stands in place of the original Greece repeated the leading stages of this progress. wall. As in Egypt and Assyria, these twin arts were at first united with each other and with their parent, Architecture; and were aids of Religion and Government. On the friezes of Greek temples, we see coloured bas-reliefs representing sacrifices, battles, processions, games-all in some sort religious. On the pediments we see painted sculptures partially united with the tympanum, and having for subjects the triumphs of gods or heroes. Even when we come to statues that are definitely separated from the buildings to which they pertain, we still find them coloured; and only in the later periods of Greek civilization, does the differentiation of painting from scalpture appear to have become complete. In Christian art there occurred a parallel re-genesis. All carly paintings and sculptures throughout Europe were religious in subject-represented Christs, crucifizions, virgins, holy families, apostles, saints. They formed integral parts of church architecture, and were among the means of exciting worship : as in Roman Catholic countries they still are. Moreover, the early sculptures

of Christ on the cross, of virgins, of saints, were coloured; and it needs but to call to mind the painted madonnas and crucifixes still abundant in continental churches, to perceive the significant fact that painting and sculpture continue in closest connection with each other, where they continue in closest connexion with their parent. Even when Christian sculpture became separate from painting, it was still at first religious and governmental in its subjects-was used for tombs in churches and statues of saints and kings; while, at the same time, painting, where not purely ecclesiastical, was applied to the decoration of palaces, and after representing royal personages, was almost wholly devoted to sacred Only in modern times have painting and sculpture legends. become entirely secular arts. Only within these few centuries has painting been divided into historical, landscape, marine, architectural, animal, still-life, &c., and sculpture grown heterogeneous in respect of the variety of real and ideal subjects with which it occupies itself.

Strange as it seems then, all forms of written language, of painting, of sculpture, have a common root in those rule drawings on skins and cavern-walls by which savages commensurated notable deeds of their chiefs, and which, during social progress, developed into the politico-religious decorations of ancient temples and palaces. Little resemblance as they now have, the bust that stands on the console, the landscape that hangs against the wall. and the copy of the Times lying upon the table, are remotely akin. The brazen face of the knocker which the postman has just lifted, is related not only to the woodcuts of the Illustrated London News which he is delivering, but to the douracters of the billst-down which accompanies it. Between the painted window, the praver-book on which its light falls, and the adjacent monument, there is consanguinity. The effigies on our coins, the signs over shops, the figures that fill every ledger, the coat-of-arms outside the carriage-panel, and the placards inside the omnibus, are, in common with dolls, blue-books and paper-hangings, lineally descended from the sculpture-paintings and picturewritings in which the Egyptians represented and recorded the triumphs and worship of their god-kings. Perhaps no example can be given which more vividly illustrates the multiplicity and

284

heterogeneity of the products that in course of the may arise by successive differentiations from a common stock.

The transformation of the homogeneous into the heterogeneous thus displayed in the separation of Painting and Sculpture from Architecture and from each other, and in the greater variety of subjects they embody, is further displayed in the structure of each work. A modern picture or statue is of far more complex nature than an ancient one. An Egyptian sculpture-freeco represents all its figures as on one plane-that is, at the same distance from the eye; and so is less heterogeneous than a painting that represents them as at various distances. It exhibits all objects as similarly lighted; and so is less heterogeneous than a painting which exhibits different objects, and different parts of each object, as in different degrees of light. It uses scarcely any but the primary colours, and these in their full intensities ; and so is less beterogeneous than a painting which, introducing the primary colours but sparingly, employs an endices variety of intermediate tints, each of heterogeneous composition, and differing from the rest not only in quality but in strength. Moreover. these earliest works manifest great uniformity of conception. In ancient societies the modes of representation were so fixed that it was sacrilege to introduce a novelty. In Egyptian and Assyrian bas-reliefs, deities, kings, pricets, attendants, winged ligures and animals, are in all cases depicted in like positions, special to each class, holding like implements, doing like things, and with like expression or non-expression of face. If a palm-grove is introduced, all the trees are of the same height, have the same number of leaves, and are equidistant. When water is imitated, each wave is a counterpart of the rest; and the fish, almost always of one kind, are evenly distributed. The heards of the Assyrian kings, gods, and winged tigures, are everywhere similar; as are the manes of the lions, and equally so those of the horses. Hair is represented throughout by one form of curl. The king's beard is built up of compound tiers of uniform curls, alternating with twisted tiers placed transversely, and arranged with perfect regularity; and the terminal tufts of the balls' tails are represented in exactly the same manner. Without tracing out analogous traits in early Christian art, in which, though less

striking, they are still visible, the advance in heterogeneity will be sufficiently manifest on remembering that in the pictures of our own day the composition is endleasly varied : the attitudes, faces, expressions, unlike : the subordinate objects different in size, form, position, texture. Or, if we compare un Egyptian statue, seated upright on a block, with hands on knees, fingers outspread and parallel, eyes looking straight forward, and the two sides perfectly symmetrical, with a statute of the advanced Greek or the modern school, which is asymmetrical in respect of the position of the head, the body, the limbs, the arrangement of the hair, dress, appendages, and in its relations to neighbouring objects, we see the change from the homogeneous to the heterogeneous clearly manifested.

§ 125. In the co-ordinate origin and gradual differentiation of Poetry, Music, and Dancing, we have another series of illustrations. Rhythm in speech, rhythm in sound, and rhythm in motion, were in the beginning, parts of the same thing. Among existing barbarous tribes we find them still united. The dances of suvages are accompanied by some kind of monotonous chant, the clapping of hands, the striking of rule instruments : there are measured movements, measured words, and measured tones; and the whole ceremony, usually having reference to war or sacrifice, is of governmental character. The early records of the historic races similarly show these three forms of metrical action united in religious festivals. In the Hebrew writings we read that the triumphal ode composed by Moses on the defeat of the Egyptians, was sung to an accompaniment of dancing and timbrels. The Israelites danced and sung "at the inauguration of the golden calf. And as it is generally agreed that this representation of the Deity was horrowed from the mysteries of Apis, it is probable that the dancing was copied from that of the Egyptians on those occasions." There was an annual dance in Shiloh on the sacred festival; and David danced before the ark. Again, in Greece the like relation existed; the original type being there, as probably in other cases. a simultaneous chapting and mimetic representation of the achievements of the god. The Spartan dances were accompanied by hymns; and in general the Greeks had " no festivals or religious assemblies but what were accompanied with songs and dances"-

286

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION CONTINUED 287

both of them being forms of worship used before altars. Among the Romans, too, there were sacred dances: the Salian and Lupercalian being named as of that kind. Even in the early Christian church, dances in the choir at fostivals, occasionally led by hishops, were among the forms of worship, and in some places continued down to the 18th century. The incipient separation of these once united arts from each other and from religion was early visible in Greece, Probably diverging from dances partly religious, partly warlike, as the Corybantian, came the war dances proper, of which there were various kinds; and from these resulted secular dances. Meanwhile Music and Poetry, though still joined, came to have an existence separate from dancing. The primitive Greek poems, religious in subject, were not recited but chanted; and though at first the chant of the poet was accompanied by the dance of the chorus, it ultimately grew into independence. Later still, when the poem had been differentiated into opic and lyric-when it became the costom to sing the lyric and recite the spic-postry proper was born. As, during the same period, musical instruments were being multiplied, we may presume that music came to have an existence apart from words. And both of them were beginning to assume other forms than the Facts having like implications might be cited religions. from the histories of later times and peoples; as the practices of our Anglo-Saxon "gleemen" and Celtic bards, who sang to the harp heroic narmitives versified by themselves to music of their own composition ; thus uniting the now separate offices of poet, composer, roadist, and instrumentalist. The common origin and gradual differentiation of Daucing, Poetry, and Music is thus sufficiently manifest.

Besides being displayed in the separation of these arts from one another and from religion, growing heterogeneity is also displayed in the multiplied differentiations which each of them afterwards undergoes. Just referring to the numberless kinds of dancing that have, in course of time, come into use, and to the progress of poetry, as seen in the development of the various forms of metre, of rhyme, and of general organization, let us confine our attention to music as a type of the group. As argoed by Dr. Burney, and as implied by the customs of extant savages, the first musical instruments were percussive-sticks, calabashes, tom-toms-and were used simply to mark the time of the dance. So, too, the yocal music of various semi-civilized races consists of simple phrases endlessly reiterated. In this constant repetition of the same sounds we see music in its most homogeneous form, The Egyptians had a lyre with three strings. The early lyre of the Greeks had four, constituting their tetrachord. In course of some centuries lyres of seven and eight strings came to be employed. And, by the expiration of a thousand years, they had advanced to their "great system" of the double octave. Through all which changes of course arose a greater heterogeneity of melody or rather recitative. Simultaneously came into use the different modes-Dorian, Ionian, Phrygian, Eolian, and Lydian-answering to our keys; and of these there were ultimately fifteen. As yet, however, there was but little heterogenoity in the time of their music. instruments being used merely to accompany the voice, and vocal music being completely subordinated to words,-the singer being also the poet, chanting his own compositions and making the lengths of his notes agree with the feet of his verses-there unavoidably arose a liresome uniformity of measure, which, as Dr. Burney says, "no resources of melody could disguise," Lacking the complex rhythm obtained by our equal bars and unequal notes, the only chythm was that produced by the quantity of the syllables, and was of necessity monotonous. And further, the chant thus resulting being like recitative, was much less differentiated from ordinary speech than is our modern song. Nevertheless, considering the extended range of notes in use, variety of modes, the occasional variations of time consequent on changes of metre, and the multiplication of instruments, we see that music had, towards the close of Greek civilization, attained to considerable beterogeneity: not indeed as compared with our music, but as compared with that which preceded it. As yet, however, there existed nothing but serial combinations of notes (for so we must call them since they were not melodies in our sense): harmony was unknown. It was not until Christian church-music had reached some development, that music in parts was evolved; and then it came into existence through an unobtrusive differentiation. The practice which led to it was the employment of two choirs sing-

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION CONTINUED 289

ing alternately the same air. Afterwards it because the habit (possibly first suggested by a mistake) for the second choir to commence before the first had ceased : thus producing a fague. With the simple airs then in use, a partially harmonious fugue might not improbably result; and a very partially harmonious fugue satisfied the ears of that age, as we know from still preserved examples. The idea having once been given, the composing of airs productive of fugal harmony would naturally grow up; as in some way it did grow up out of this alternate choir-singing. And from the fugue to concerted music of two, three, four, and more parts, the transition was easy. Without pointing out in detail the increasing complexity that resulted from introducing notes of various lengths, from the multiplication of keys, from the use of accidentals, from varieties of time, from modulations, and so forth, it needs but to contrast music as it is with music as it was, to see how immense is the increase of heterogeneity. We see this also if, looking at music in its ensemble, we enumerate its many different genera and species---if we consider the divisions into vocal, instrumental, and mixed; and their subdivisions into music for different voices and different instruments—if we observe the many forms of sacred inusic, from the simple hyan, the chant, the canon, motet, anthem, Sec., up to the oratorio ; and the still more numerous forms of secular music, from the ballad up to the serenata, from the instrumental solo up to the symphony. Again, the same truth is seen on comparing any one sample of aboriginal music with a sample of modern music-even an ordinary song for the piano; which we find to be relatively very heterogeneous, not only in respect of varieties in the intervals and in the lengths of the notes, the number of different notes sounding at the same instant in company with the voice, and the variations of strength with which they are sounded and sung, but in respect of the changes of key, the changes of time, the changes of *timbre* of the voice, and the many other modifications of expression. While between the old monotonous dance chant and a grand opera of our own day, the contrast in heterogeneity is so extreme that it seems scarcely credible that the one is the ancestor of the other.

§ 126. Many further illustrations of the general law throughout

19

social products might be detailed. Going back to the time when the deeds of the god-king, chanted and mimetically represented in dances before his altar, were further narrated in picture writings on the walls of temples and palaces, and so constituted a rude history, we might trace the development of Literature through phases in which, as in the Hebrew Scriptures, it presents in one work, theology, cosmogony, history, hiography, civil law, ethics, poetry; through other phases in which, as in the Iliad, the religious, martial, historical, the epic, dramatic, and lyric elements are similarly commingled; down to its present heterogeneous development, in which its divisions and subdivisions are so numerous and varied as to defy complete classification. Or we might track the unfolding of Science; beginning with the era in which it was not yet differentiated from Art, and was, in union with Art, the handmaid of Religion; passing through the era in which the sciences were so few and rudimentary, as to be simultaneously cultivated by the same philosophers : and ending with the era in which the genera and species are so multitudinous that few can enumerate them, util no one can adequately graspeven one genus. Or we might do the like with Architecture, with the Drama, with Dress. But doubtless the reader is already weary of illustrations, and my promise has been amply fulfilled. The advance from the simple to the complex, through successive modifications upon modifications, is seen alike in the earliest changes of the Heavens to which we can reason our way back, and in the earliest changes we can inductively establish; it is seen in the geologic and climatic evolution of the Earth, of every individual organism on its surface and in the aggregate of organisms; it is seen in the evolution of Humanity, whether contemplated in the civilized man, or in the assemblage of races; it is seen in the evolution of Society, in respect alike of its political, its religious, and its economical organization; and it is seen in the evolution of those countless concrete and abstract. products of human activity, which constitute the environment of our daily life. From the remotest past which Science can fathom, up to the novelties of yesterday, an essential trait of Evolution has been the transformation of the homogeneous into the heterogeneous.

230

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION CONTINUED 291

§ 127. So that the general formula arrived at in the last chapter needs supplementing. It is true that Evolution, under its primary aspect, is a change from a less coherent state to a more coherent state, consequent on the dissipution of motion and integration of matter; but this is far from being the whole truth. Along with a passage from the incoherent, to the coherent, there goes on a passage from the uniform to the multiform. Such, at least, is the fact wherever Evolution is compound; which it is in the immense majority of cases. While there is a progressing concentration of the aggregate, caused either by the closer approach of the matter within its limits, or by the drawing in of further matter, or by both; and while the more or less distinct. parts into which the aggregate divides and subdivides are also severally concentrating ; these parts are simultaneously becoming unlike-unlike in size, or in form, or in texture, or in composition, or in several or all of these. The same process is exhibited by the whole and by its members. The entire mass is integrating and at the same; time differentiating from other masses; while each member of it is also integrating and at the same time differentiating from other members.

Our conception, then, must unite these characters. As we now understand it, Evolution is definable as a change from an incoherent homogeneity to a coherent heterogeneity, accompanying the dissipation of motion and integration of matter.

CHAPTER XVI

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION CONTINUED

§ 128. But does this generalization express the whole truth? Does it include everything essentially characterizing Evolution and exclude everything else? Does it comprehend all the phenomena of secondary re-distribution which Compound Evolution presents, without comprehending any other phenomena? A critical examination of the facts will show that it does neither.

Changes from the less heterogeneous to the more heterogeneous, which are not included in what we here call Evolution, occur in every local disease. In a morbid growth we see a new differ-Whether this morbid growth be, or be not, more entintion. heterogeneous than the tissues in which it is seated, is not the The question is whether the organism as a whole question. is, or is not, rendered more heterogeneous by the addition of a part unlike every pre-existing part; in form, or composition, or To this question there can be none but an affirmative both. Again, the earlier stages of decomposition in answer. a dead body involve increase of heterogeneity. Supposing the chemical changes to commence in some parts sooner than in others, as they commonly do, and to affect different tissues in different ways, as they must, it seems clear that the entire body, made up of undecomposed parts and parts decomposed in various modes and degrees, has become more heterogeneous than it was, Though greater homogeneity will be the eventual result, the immediate result is the apposite. And yet this immediate result is certainly not Evolution. Other instances are furnished by social disorders and disasters. A rebellion which, while leaving some provinces undisturbed, develops itself here in secret

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION CONTINUED 298

societies, there in public demonstrations, and elsewhere in actual conflicts, necessarily renders the society, as a whole, more heterogeneous. Or when a dearth causes commercial derangement with its entailed bankruptcies, closed factories, discharged operatives, food-riots, incendiarisms; it is manifest that as a large part of the community retains its ordinary organization displaying the usual phenomena, these new phenomena must be regarded as adding to the complexity previously existing. But such changes, so far from constituting further Evolution, are steps towards Dissolution.

So that the definition arrived at in the last chapter is an imperfect one. The changes above instanced as coming within the formula as it now stands, are so obviously unlike the rest, that the inclusion of them implies some distinction hitherto overlooked. Such further distinction we have now to supply.

§ 129. At the same time that Evolution is a change from the homogeneous to the heterogeneous, it is a change from the indefinite to the definite. Along with an advance from simplicity to complexity, there is an advance from confusion to order-from undetermined arrangement to determined arrangement. Development, no matter of what kind, exhibits not only a multiplication of unlike parts, but an increase in the clearness with which these parts are marked off from one another. And this is the distinc-For proof, it needs only to reconsider the tion sought. instances given above. The changes constituting local disease, have no such definiteness, either in place, extent, or outline, as the changes constituting development. Though certain morbid growths are more common in some parts of the body than in others (as warts on the hands, cancer in the breasts, tubercle in the lungs), yet they are not confined to these parts; nor, where found, are they anything like so precise in their relative positions as are the normal parts around. Their sizes are very variable: they bear no such constant proportions to the body as organs do. Their forms, too, are far less specific than organic forms. And they are extremely confused in their internal structures. That. is, they are in all respects comparatively indefinite. The like peculiarity may be traced in decomposition. That total

indefiniteness to which a dead body is finally reduced, is a state towards which the putrefactive changes tend from their commence-The advancing destruction of the organic compounds blurs ment. the tissue structures-diminishes their distinctness. From the portions that have undergone must decay, there is a gradual transition to the less decayed portions, not a sharp demarcation. And step by step the lines of organization, once so precise, dis-Similarly with social changes of an abuormal ADDORC. kind. The disaffection initiating a political outbreak, implies a locsening of those ties by which citizens are bound up into distinct classes and sub-classes. Agitation, growing into revolutionary meetings, fuses ranks that are usually separated. Acts of insubordination break through the ordained limits to individual conduct, and tend to obliterate the lines between those in authority and those beneath them. At the same time arrest of trade causes artizans and others to lose their occupations; and, ceasing to be functionally distinguished, they merge into an indefinite mass. When at last there comes positive insurrection, all magisterial and official powers, all class distinctions, all industrial differences, cease : organized society lapses into an unorganized aggregate of social units. Similarly, in so far as famines and postilences cause changes from order towards disorder. they cause changes from definite arrangements to indefinite. amangements,

Thus, then, is that increase of heterogeneity which is not a trait of Evolution, distinguished from that increase of heterogeneity which is. Though in disease and after death, individual or social, the carliest modifications are additions to the pre-existing heterogeneity, they are not additions to the pre-existing definiteness. From the outset they begin to destroy this definiteness, and gradually produce a heterogeneity that is indeterminate instead of determinate. As a city, already multiform in its variously-arranged structures of various architecture, may be made more multiform by an earthquake, which leaves part of it standing and overthrows other parts in different ways and degrees, but is at the same time reduced from orderly arrangement to disorderly arrangement; so may organized bodies be made for a time more multiform by changes which are nevertheless disorganizing changes. And in the one case as in the other, it is the absence of definiteness which distinguishes the multiformity of regression from the multiformity of progression.

If advance from the indefinite to the definite is an essential characteristic of Evolution, we shall of course find it everywhere displayed; as in the last chapter we found displayed the advance from the homogeneous to the heterogeneous. To see whether it is so, let us now reconsider the same several classes of facts.

§ 150. Beginning, as before, with a hypothetical illustration, we have to note that each step in the evolution of the Solar System, supposing it to have originated from diffused matter, was an edvance towards more definite structure. As usually conceived, the initial nebula was irregular in shape and with indistinct: margins, like those of nebulæ now existing. Having partiallydifferent proper motions, the parts of its attenuated substance, while being drawn together, generated, by the averaging of their motions, as well as by changes in the directions of these motions, a certain angular momentum; and the entire mass as it concentrated and acquired rotation must have assumed the form of an oblate spheroid which with every increase of density, became more specific in outline, and had its surface more distinctly marked off from the surrounding void, Simultaneously, the constituent portions of nebulous matter, instead of moving round their commoncentre of gravity in various planes, as they would at first do, must have had these planes more and more merged into a single plane, that became less vague as the concentration progressed-became gradually defined.

According to the hypothesis, change from indistinct characters to distinct ones, was repeated in the evolution of planets and satellites. A gaseous spheroid is less definitely limited than a liquid spheroid, since it is subject to larger undulations of surface, and to greater distortions of general form; and, similarly, a liquid spheroid, covered as it must be with waves of various magnitudes, tidal and other, is less definite than a solid spheroid. The decrease of oblateness which goes along with increase of integration, brings relative definiteness of other elements. A concentrating planet having an axis inclined to the plane of its orbit, must, while very oblate, have its plane of rotation much disturbed by external attractions; whereas its approach to a spherical form, involves a smaller processional motion, and less marked variations in the direction of its axis.

With progressing settlement of the space-relations, the forcerelations simultaneously become more settled; and the exact calculations of physical astronomy show as how definite these force-relations now are. In short, it needs but to think of the contrast between the choos of the primitive nebula and the ordered relations of the Solar System in the sizes, shapes, motions and combined inter-actions of its members, to see that increase of definiteness has been a marked trait of its evolution.

§ 181. From that primitive molten state of the Earth inferable from geological data as well as from the nebular hypothesis (probably a liquid shell having a nucleus of gases above the "critical point" of temperature, kept by pressure at a density as great as that of the superjacent liquid) the transition to its existing state has been through stages in which the characters became more determinate. A liquid spheroid is less specific than a solid spheroid in having no fixed distribution of parts. Currents of molten matter, though kept to certain general circuits by the conditions of equilibrium, cannot, in the absence of solid boundaries, be precise in their limits and directions: all parts must be in motion with respect to other parts. But a superficial solidification, even though partial, is a step towards the establishment of definite relations of position. In a thin crust, however, often ruptured by disturbing forces, and moved by every tidal undulation, fixity of relative position can be but temporary. Only as the crust thickens can there arise distinct and settled geographical Observe, too, that when, on a surface adequately positions. cooled, there begins to precipitate the water floating above as vapour, the deposits cannot maintain definitoness either of state or place. Falling on a solid envelope not thick enough to preserve anything beyond slight variations of level, the water must form small and shallow pools over the coolest areas; which areas must pass insensibly into others that are too hot to allow condensation. With progressing refrigeration, however,-with a thickening crust,

a consequent formation of larger elevations and depressions, and the precipitation of more atmospheric water, there comes an arrangement of parts which is comparatively fixed; and the definiteness of position increases, until there result continents and oceans—a distribution that is not only topographically settled, but presents separations of land from water more definite than could have existed when all the uncovered areas were low islands with shelving beaches, over which the tide ebbed and flowed to great distances.

Respecting the characters classed as geological, we may draw kindred inferences. While the Earth's crust was thin, mountainchains were impossibilities: there could not have been long and well-defined axes of elevation, with distinct water-sheds and areas of drainage. Moreover, the denudation of small islands by small rivers, and by tidal streams both feeble and narrow, would produce no clearly-marked sodimentary strata. Confused and varying masses of detritus, such as we now find at the mouths of brooks, must have been the prevailing formations. And these could give place to distinct strata, only as there arose continents and oceans, with their great rivers, long coast-lines, and wide-spreading marine currents.

There must simultaneously have resulted more definite meteorological conditions. Differences of climates and seasons grew relatively decided as the heat derived from the Sun became distinguishable from the proper heat of the Earth; and the production of more specific conditions in each locality was aided by increasing permanence in the distribution of lands and seas. These are conclusions sufficiently obvious.

§ 132. We come now to the evidence yielded by organic bodies. In place of deductive illustrations, we shall here find illustrations which have been inductively established, and are therefore less open to criticism. The course of mammalian development, for example, will supply us with numerous proofs ready-described by embryologists.

The first change which the ovum of a mammal undergoes after repeated segmentation has reduced it to a mulberry-like mass, is the appearance of a distinction between the peripheral or epiblastic

cells of this mass and the internal or hypoblastic cells. While growing rapidly the cluster of cells becomes hollow, and the blastodermic vesicle so formed presents a definite contrast between the outer layer, or epiblast, and its contents. The mass of hypoblast cells, having at first an indefinite, lens-like figure attached to the inside of the epiblast, spreads out and flattens into a membrane, the boundary of which is irregular-indefinite alike in form and constitution. And then the middle or thicker part presently becomes an opaque circular spot constituting the embryonic area: a spot which gradually acquires a pronounced outline. In the centre of this there at length comes the primitive streak or trace, which, as its name implies, is indefinite but by-and-by "becomes a more pronounced structure." Within this streak or trace the vertebrate axis first shows itself. Beginning as a shallow groove, it becomes slowly more pronounced; its sides grow higher; their summits overlap and at last unite; and so the indefinite groove passes into a definite tube, forming the vertebral canal, In this vertebral canal the leading divisions of the brain are at first discernible only as slight bulgings; while the proto-vertebras commence as indistinct modifications of the tistue bounding the canal. Meanwhile in kindred ways the indefinite outspread membrane through which are absorbed the materials for the unfolding structures around is changed into a definite alimentary canal. And in an analogous manner the entire embryo, which at first lies outspread on the yelk-sack, gradually rises up from it, and by the unfolding of its ventral region becomes a separate mass, definitely outlined, connected with the yelk-sack only by a narrow duct.

These changes, through which the general structure is marked out with slowly-increasing precision, are paralleled in the evolution of each organ. The liver commences by multiplication of certain cells in the wall of the intestine. The thickening produced by this multiplication, "increases so as to form a projection upon the exterior of the canal—a hollow bad"; and at the same time that the organ grows and becomes distinct from the infestine, the channels running through it are transformed into ducts having clearly-marked walls. Similarly, certain cells of the external coat of the alimentary canal at its upper portion, accumulate into

298

lumps or buds from which the lungs are developed; and these, in their general outlines and detailed structure, acquire distinctness step by step. But even were no examples given, it would be undeniable that since a simple cluster of similar cells grows into head, trunk, and limbs of distinct shapes, each made up of many organs containing parts severally having clear outlines and composed of specific tissues, increase of definiteness has been a leading truit of the transformation.

Changes of this order continue long after birth ; and, in the human being, are some of them not completed till middle life, During youth, most of the articular surfaces of the bones remain rough and fissured-the calcareous deposit ending irregularly in the surrounding cartilage. But between puberty and the age of thirty, these articular surfaces are finished off into smooth, hard, sharply-cut "epiphyses." Generally, indeed, we may say that increase of definiteness continues when there has ceused to be any appreciable increase of heterogeneity. And there is reason to think that those modifications which take place after maturity, bringing about old age and death, are modifications of this nature; since they cause rigidity of structure, a consequent restriction of movement and of functional pliability, a gradual narrowing of the limits within which the vital processes go on, ending in an organic adjustment too precise-too narrow in its margin of possible variation to permit the requisite adaptation to changes of external conditions.

§ 133. To give clear proof that the Earth's Flora and Fauna, regarded either as wholes or in their separate species, have progressed in definiteness, is no more possible than it was to prove that they have progressed in heterogeneity: the facts are not sufficient. If, however, we allow ourselves to reason from the hypothesis, now daily rendered more probable, that every species has arisen through the accumulation of modifications upon modifications, just as every individual arises; we shall see that there must have been a progress from the indeterminate to the determinate, both in the particular forms and in the groups of forms.

We may set out with the significant fact that the lowest

organisms (which are analogous in structure to the germs of all higher ones) have so little definiteness that it is difficult, if not impossible, to decide whether they are plants or animals. Respecting sundry of them there are unsettled disputes between zoologists and botanists. Note next that among the Protocoa, great indefiniteness of shape is general. Of sundry shell-less Rhizopods the form is so irregular as to admit of no description : it is neither alike in any two individuals nor in the same individual at successive moments. By aggregation of Protomo, are produced, among other creatures, the Sponges, most of which are indefinite in size, in contour, in internal arrangement; and such more definite aggregates as the Hudra are made indefinite both by the great differences between their contracted and expanded states and by their reproductive developments. As further showing how relatively indeterminate are the simplest organisms, it may be mentioned that their structures vary greatly with surrounding conditions: so much so that, among the Protozog and Protophyta. many forms which were once classed as distinct species, and even as distinct genera, are found to be merely varieties of one STICCORS. If, now, we call to mind how process in their traits are the highest organisms-how sharply cut their outlines, how invariable their proportions; and how comparatively constant their structures under changed conditions; we cannot deny that greater definiteness is one of their characteristics. If they have been evolved out of lower organisms, increase of definiteness has been an accompaniment of their evolution.

That, in course of time, species have become more sharply marked off from other species, genera from genera, and orders from orders, is a conclusion not admitting of a more positive establishment than the foregoing. If, however, species and genera and orders have arisen by evolution, then, as Mr. Darwin shows, the contrasts between groups must have become greater. Disappearance of intermediate forms, less fitted for special spheres of existence than the extreme forms they connected, must have made the differences between the extreme forms decided; and so, from indistinct varieties, must have been produced distinct species: an inference which is in harmony with what we know respecting races of men and races of domestic animals.

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION CONTINUED SOI

§ 134. The successive phases through which societies pass, obviously display the progress from indeterminate arrangements to determinate arrangements. A wandering tribe of savages, being fixed neither in its locality nor in its internal distribution, is far less definite in the relative positions of its parts than a nation. In such a tribe the social relations are confused and unsettled. Political authority is vague. Distinctions of rank are neither clearly marked nor impossable. And save in the different occupations of men and women, there are no decided industrial divisions. Only in tribes of considerable size, which have enslaved other tribes, is economic differentiation distinct.

But one of these primitive societies that evolves, becomes step by step more specific. Increasing in size, consequently ceasing to be so nonnadic, and restricted in its range by neighbouring societies, it acquires, after prolonged border warfare, a settled territorial boundary. The distinction between the ruling race and the people, sometimes amounts, in the popular belief, to a difference of pature. The wayrior-class attains a perfect separation from classes devoted to the cultivation of the soil or to other occupations regarded as servile. And there arises a priesthood which is defined in its rank, its functions, its privileges. This sharpness of definition, growing both greater and more variously exemplified as societies advance to maturity, is extremest in those which have reached their full development or are declining. Üŕ ancient Egypt we read that its social divisions were precise and its customs rigid. Recent investigations make it more than ever clear that among the Assyrians and surrounding peoples, not only were the laws unalterable, but even the minor habits, down to those of domestic routine, possessed a sucredness which insured their permanence. In India at the present day, the unchangeable distinctions of caste, not less than the constancy in modes of dress, industrial processes, and religious observances, show how definite are the arrangements where the antiquity is great. Nor does China, with its long-settled political organization, its claborate and precise conventions, fail to exemplify the same truth.

The successive phases of our own and adjacent societies, furnish facts somewhat different in kind but similar in meaning. Originally, monarchical authority was more baronial, and baronial

authority more monarchical, than afterwards. Between modern pricets and the pricets of old times, who while officially teachers. of religion were also warriors, judges, architects, there is a marked difference in definiteness of function. And among the people engaged in productive occupations, like contrasts hold; the regulative parts have become definitely distinct from the operative parts and the distributive parts from both, The history of our constitution, reminding us how the powers of King, Louds, and Commons, have been gradually settled, describes analogous changes. Countless facts bearing the like construction meet us when we trace the development of legislation ; in the successive stages of which we find statutes gradually rendered more specific in their applications to particular cases. Even now each new law, beginning as a vague proposition, is, in the course of enactment, elaborated into specific clauses; and only after its interpretation has been established by judges' decisions in courts of justice, does it reach its final definiteness. From the anuals of minor institutions like evidence may be gathered. Religious, charitable, literary, and all other societies, starting with ends and methods roughly sketched out and easily modifiable, show us how, by the accumulation of rules and procedents, the purposes become more precisely formulated and the modes of action more restricted ; until at last decay follows a fixity which admits of no adaptation to new conditions. Should it be objected that among civilized nations there are examples of decreasing definiteness, (instance the breaking down of limits between ranks,) the reply is that such apparent exceptions are the accompaniments of a social metamorphosis—a change from the military type of social structure to the industrial type, during which old lines of structure are disappearing and new ones becoming more marked.

§ 135. All organized results of social action—all superorganic structures, pass through parallel phases. Being, as they are, objective products of subjective processes, they must display corresponding changes; and that they do this, the cases of Language, of Science, of Art, clearly prove.

Strike out from our sentences everything but nouns and verbs, and there shauds displayed the vagueness characterizing un-

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION CONTINUED 303

developed tongues. Each inflection of a verb, or addition by which the case of a noun is marked, by limiting the conditions of action or of existence, enables men to express their thoughts more precisely. That the application of an adjective to a noun or an adverb to a verb, narrows the class of things or changes indicated, implies that the additional word serves to make the proposition more distinct. And similarly with other parts of speech.

The like effect results from the multiplication of words of each order. When the names for objects, and acts, and qualities, are but few, the range of each is proportionately wide, and its meaning therefore unspecific. The similes and metaphors so much used by aboriginal races, indirectly and imperfectly suggest ideas which they cannot express directly and perfectly from lack of words. Or to take a case from ordinary life, if we compare the speech of the peasant who, out of his limited vocabulary, can describe the contents of the bottle he carries, only as "doctor's stuff" which he has got for his "sick" wife, with the speech of the physician, who tells those educated like himself the particular composition of the medicine and the particular disorder for which he has prescribed it; we have vividly brought home to us the precision which language gains by the multiplication of terms.

Again, in the course of its evolution, each tongue acquires a further accuracy through processes which fix the meaning of each word. Intellectual intercourse slowly diminishes laxity of expression. By-and-by dictionaries give definitions. And eventually, among the most cultivated, indefiniteness is not tolerated, either in the terms used or in their grammatical combinations.

Once more, languages considered as wholes become more sharply marked off from one another, and from their common pavent: as witness, in early times, the clear distinction that arose between the two connate languages Greek and Latin, and in later times the divergence of three Latin dialects into Italian, French, and Spanish.

§ 136. In his *History of the Inductive Sciences*, Dr. Whewell says that the Greeks failed in physical philosophy because their "ideas were not distinct, and appropriate to the facts." I do not quote this remark for its luminousness; since it would be equally

proper to ascribe the indistinctness and inappropriateness of their ideas to the imperfection of their physical philosophy; but I quote it because it serves as good evidence of the indefiniteness of primi-The same work and its fellow, The Philosophy of the tive science. Inductive Sciences, yield other evidences equally good, because equally independent of any such hypothesis as is here to be established. Respecting mathematics, we have the fact that geometrical. theorems grew out of empirical methods ; and that these theorems, at first isolated, did not acquire the clearness which demonstration gives, until they were arranged by Euclid into a series of dependent. propositions. At a later period, the same general truth was exemplified in the progress from the "method of exhaustions" and the "method of indivisibles" to the "method of limits"; which is the central idea of the infinitesimal calculus. In early mechanics may be traced a dim perception that action and reaction are equal and opposite; though, for ages after, this truth remained unformulated. And similarly, the property of inertia, though not distinctly comprehended until Kepler lived, was vaguely recognized long before. "The conception of statical force," "was never presented in a distinct form till the works of Archimedes appeared"; and " the conception of accelerating force was confused, in the mind. of Kepler and his contemporaries, and did not become clear enough for purposes of sound scientific reasoning before the succeeding century." To which specific assertions may be added the general remark, that " terms which originally, and before the laws of motion were fully known, were used in a very vague and fluctuating sense, were afterwards limited and rendered precise." When we turn from abstract scientific conceptions to the concrete previsions of science, of which astronomy furnishes numerous examples, a like contrast is visible. The times at which celestial phenomenawill occur, have been predicted with ever-increasing accuracy. Errors once amounting to days are now diminished to seconds, The correspondence between the real and supposed forms of orbits has been gradually rendered more precise. Originally thought circular, then epicyclical, then elliptical, orbits are now ascertained to be curves which always deviate from perfect ellipses, and are ever undergoing changes.

But the general advance of Science in definiteness is best shown

by the contrast between its qualitative stage and its quantitative stage. At first the facts ascertained were that between such and such phenomena some connexion existed-that the appearances a and b always occurred together or in succession ; but it was known neither what was the nature of the relation between a and b, nor how much of a accompanied so much of b. The development of Science has in part been the reduction of these vague connexions to distinct ones. Most relations have been classed as mechanical, chemical, thermal, electric, magnetic, &c.; and we have learnt to infer the relative amounts of the anteredents and Of illustrations, some furconsequents with exactness. nished by physics have been given, and from other sciences plenty may be added. We have ascertained the constituents of numerous compounds which our ancestors could not analyze, and of a far greater number which they never even saw; and the combining equivalents of the elements are now accurately calculated. Physiology shows advance from qualitative to quantitative prevision in ascertaining definite relations between organic products and the materials consumed; as well as in measurement of functions by spirometer and sphygmograph. By Pathology it is displayed in the use of the statistical method of determining the sources of diseases, and the effects of treatment: In Botany and Zoology, the numerical comparisons of Floras and Faunas, leading to specific conclusions respecting their sources and distributions, illustrate it, And in Sociology, questionable as are many conclusions drawn from the classified sum-totals of the census, from the Board-of-Trade tables, and from criminal returns, it must be admitted that these imply a progress towards more precise conceptions of social phenomena.

That an essential characteristic of advancing Science is increase in definiteness, appears indeed almost a truism, when we remember that Science may be described as definite knowledge, in contradistinction to that indefinite knowledge possessed by the uncultured. And if, as we cannot question, Science has, in the course of ages, heen evolved out of this indefinite knowledge of the uncultured, then, the gradual acquirement of that great definiteness which now distinguishes it, must have been a leading trait in its evolution.

§ 137. The Arts, industrial and asthetic, supply illustrations perhaps still more striking. Paleolithic flint implements show the extreme want of precision in men's first handiworks. Though a great advance on these is seen in the tools and weapons of existing savage tribes, yet an inexactness in forms and fittings distinguishes such tools and weapons from those of civilized races. In a smaller degree, the productions of the less-advanced nations are characterized by like defects. A Chinese junk, with all its contained furniture and appliances, nowhere presents a line that. is quite straight, a uniform curve, or a true surface. Nor do the utensils and machines of our ancestors full to exhibit a similar inferiority to our own. An entique chair, an old fireplace, a lock of the last century, or almost any article of household use that has been preserved for a few generations, proves by contrast how greatly the industrial products of our time excel those of the past in their accuracy. Since planing machines have been invented, it has become possible to produce absolutely straight lines, and surfaces so truly level as to be air-tight when applied to each other. While in the dividing-engine of Troughton, in the micrometer of Whitworth, in microscopes that show fifty thousand divisions to the inch, and in ruled divisions up to 200,000, we have an exactness as far exceeding that reached in the works of our greatgrandfathers, as theirs exceeded that of the aboriginal celt-makers.

In the Fine Arts there has been a parallel progress. From the rudely-curved and painted idols of savages, through the early sculptures characterized by limbs without musculer detail, woodenlooking drapery, and faces devoid of individuality, up to the later statues of the Greeks or some of those now produced, the increased accuracy of representation is conspicuous. Compare the mural paintings of the Egyptians with the paintings of mediæval Europe, or these with modern paintings, and the more precise rendering of the appearances of objects is manifest, It is the same with fiction and the drama. In the marvellous tales current among Eastern nations, in the romantic legends of feudal Europe, as well as in the mystery-plays and those immediately succeeding them, we see great want of correspondence to the realities of life; alike in the predominance of supernatural events, in the extremely improbable occurrences, and in the vaguely-indicated personages. Along with social advance, there has been a progressive diminution of unnaturalness—an approach to truth of representation. And now, cultivated men applaud novels and plays in proportion to the fidelity with which they exhibit characters; improbabilities, like the impossibilities which preceded them, are disallowed; and we see fewer of those elaborate plots which life rarely furnishes: realities are more definitely pictured.

§ 188. Space might be filled with evidences of other kinds, but the basis of induction is already wide enough. Proof that all Evolution is from the indefinite to the definite, we find not less abundant than proof that all Evolution is from the homogeneous to the heterogeneous.

It should, however, be added that this advance in definiteness. is not a primary but a secondary phenomenon-is a result. incidental on other changes. The transformation of a whole that was originally diffused and uniform into a concentrated combination of multiform parts, implies progressive separation both of the whole from its environment and of the parts from one another. While this is going on there must be indistinctness. Only as the whole gains density, does it become sharply marked off from the space or matter lying outside of it; and only as each division draws into its mass those peripheral portions which are at first imperfectly disunited from the peripheral portions of neighbouring divisions, can it acquire anything like a precise outline. That is to say, the increasing definiteness is a concomitant of the increasing consolidation, general and local. While the secondary re-distributions are ever adding to the heterogeneity, the primary re-distribution, while augmenting the integration, is incidentally giving distinctness to the increasingly-unlike parts as well as to the aggregate of them.

But though this universal trait of Evolution is a necessary accompaniment of the traits set forth in preceding chapters, it is not expressed in the words used to describe them. It is therefore needful further to modify our formula. The more specific idea of Evolution now reached is—a change from an indefinite, incoherent homogeneity, to a definite coherent beterogeneity, accompanying the dissipation of motion and integration of matter.
CHAPTER XVII

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION CONCLUDED

§ 159. The conception of Evolution elaborated in the foregoing chapters, is still incomplete. True though it is, it is not the whole truth. The transformations which all things undergo during the ascending phases of their existence, we have contemplated under three aspects; and by uniting these three aspects as simultaneously presented, we have formed an approximate idea of the transformations. But there are concomitant changes about which nothing has yet been said, and which, though less conspicuous, are no less essential.

For thus far we have attended only to the re-distribution of Matter, neglecting the accompanying re-distribution of Motion, Distinct or tacit reference has, indeed, repeatedly been made to the dissipation of Motion, that goes on along with the concentration of Matter: and were all Evolution absolutely simple, the total fact would be contained in the proposition that as Motion dissipates Matter concentrates. But while we have recognized the ultimate re-distribution of the Motion, we have passed over its proximate re-distribution. Though something has from time to time been said about the escaping motion, nothing has been said about the motion which does not escape. In proportion as Evolution becomes compound-in proportion as an aggregate retains, for a considerable time, such quantity of motion as pennits secondary re-distributions of its component matter, there necessarily arise secondary re-distributions of its retained As fast as the parts are transformed, there goes on a motion. transformation of the sensible or insensible motions possessed by the parts. They cannot become more integrated, either individu-

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION CONCLUDED 309

ally or as a combination, without their motions, individual or combined, becoming more integrated. There cannot arise among them heterogeneities of size, of form, of quality, without there also arising heterogeneities in the amounts and directions of their motions, or the motions of their molecules. And increasing definiteness of the parts implies increasing definiteness of their motions. In short, the rhythmical actions going on in each aggregate, must differentiate and integrate at the same time that the structures do so.

§ 199*a*. The general theory of this re-distribution of the retained motion, must here be briefly stated. Properly to supplement our conception of Evolution under its material aspect by a conception of Evolution under its dynamical aspect, we have to recognize the source of the integrated motions that arise, and to see how their increased multiformity and definiteness are necessitated.

If Evolution is a passage from a diffused state to an aggregated state, then the motions of the calestial bodies must have resulted from the uncancelled motions of their once dispersed components. Along with the molecular motions everywhere active, there were molar motions of those vast streams of nebulous matter which were generated during the process of concentration-melar motions of which large portions were gradually dissipated as heat, leaving undissipated portions. But since the molar motions of these nebulous streams were constituted from the motions of multitudinous incoherent gascous parts severally moving more or less independently, it follows that when aggregation into a liquid and finally solid celestial mass was reached, these partially independent motions of the incoherent parts became merged into the motion of the whole: or, in other words, unintegrated motions became an While we must leave in the shape integrated motion. of hypothesis the belief that the celestial motions have thus originated, we may see, as a matter of fact, that the integration of insensible motions originates all sensible motions on the Earth's surface. As all know, the denudation of lands and deposit of new strata, are effected by water while descending to the sea, or during the arrest of those undulations produced on it by winds; and, as

before said, the elevation of water to the height whence it fell, is due to solar heat, as is also the genesis of those aerial currents which drift it about when evaporated and agitate its surface when condensed. That is to say, the molecular motion of the ethereal medium is luansformed into the motion of gases, thence into the motion of liquids, and thence into the motion of solids : stages in such of which a certain amount of molecular motion is lost and an equivalent motion of masses gained, It is the same with organic movements. Certain rays issuing from the Sun, enable the plant to reduce special elements existing in gaseous combinations around it to solid forms-enable the plant, that is, to grow and carry on its functional changes. And since growth, equally with circulation of sap, is a mode of sensible motion, while those rays which have been expended in generating both consist of insensible motions, we have here, too, a transformation of the Animals, derived as their forces are, directly or kind alleged. indirectly, from plants; carry this transformation a step further. The sutomatic movements of the viscora, together with the voluntary movements of the limbs and body at large, arise at the expense of certain molecular movements throughout the pervous and muscular tissues; and these originally arose at the expense of certain other molecular movements propagated by the Sun to the Earth : so that both the structural and functional motions which organic Evolution displays, are motions of aggregates generated by the arrested motions of units. Even with the aggregates of these aggregates the same rule holds. For among associated men the progress is ever towards a merging of individual actions in the actions of corporate bodies. In militant life this is seen in the advance from the independent fighting of separate warriors to the combined fighting of regiments, and in industrial life in the advance from the activities of separate workers. to the combined activities of factory hands. So is it, too, when instead of acting alone citizens act in bodies-companies, unions, While, then, during Evolution the associations, &c. escaping motion becomes, by widening dispersion, more disintegrated, the motion that is for a time retained becomes more integrated ; and so, considered dynamically, Evolution is a decrease in the relative movements of parts and an increase in the relative

movements of wholes—using the words parts and wholes in their most general senses. The advance is from the motions of simple molecules to the motions of compound molecules; from molecular motions to the motions of masses; and from the motions of smaller masses to the motions of larger masses.

The accompanying change towards greater multiformity among the retained motions, takes place under the form of an increased variety of thythms. A multiplication of thythms must accompany a multiplication in the degrees and modes of aggregation, and in the relations of the aggregated masses to incident forces. The. degree or mode of aggregation will not, indeed, affect the rate or extent of rhythm where the incident force increases as the aggregate increases, which is the case with gravitation : here the only cause of variation in rhythm is difference of relation to the incident force; as we see in a pendulum which, though unaffected in its movements by a change in the weight of the bob, alters its rate of oscillation when its length is altered or when, otherwise unchanged, it is taken to the equator. But in all cases where the incident forces do not vary as the masses, every new order of aggregation initiates a new order of rhythm : witness the conclusion drawn from the recent researches into radiant heat and light, that the molecules of different gases have different rates of undulation.* So that increased multiformity in the arrangement of matter, necessarily generates increased multiformity of rhythm; both through increased variety in the sizes and forms of aggregates, and through increased variety in their relations to the forces which move them. That these motions, as they become more integrated and more heterogeneous, must become more definite is a proposition that need not detain us. In proportion as any part of an evolving whole segregates and consolidates, and in so doing loses the relative mobility of its components, its aggregate motion must obviously acquire distinctness.

Here, then, to complete our conception of Evolution, we must contemplate throughout the Cosmos, these metamorphoses of retained motion which accompany the metamorphoses of component matter. We may do this with comparative brevity: the reader having now become so familiar with the mode of looking at the facts,

* This was written in 1867.

THE KNOWABLE

that less illustration will suffice. To save space, it will be convenient to deal with the several aspects of the metamorphoses at the same time.

§ 140. Masses of diffused matter moving towards a common centre, from many points at many distances with many degrees of indirectness, must carry into the nebulous mass eventually formed numerous momenta unlike in their accounts and directions, As. the integration progresses, such parts of these momenta as conflict are mutually neutralized, and dissipated as heat, Unless the original distribution is quite symmetrical, which is infinitely improbable, rotation will result. The mass having at first unlike angular velocities at the periphery and at various distances from the contre, will have its differences of angular velocity gradually reduced; advancing towards a final state, now nearly reached by the Sun, in which the angular velocity of the whole mass is the same -in which the motion is integrated. So, too, with each planet and satellite. Progress from the motion of a nebulous ring, incoherent and admitting of much relative motion within its mass. to the motion of a dense spheroid is progress to a motion that is completely integrated. The rotation, and the translation through space, severally become one and indivisible. Moanwhile. there has been established that further integration displayed by the motions of the Solar System as a whole. Locally in each planet and its satellites, and generally in the Sun and the planets, we have a system of simple and compound rhythms, with periodic and secular variations, forming together an integrated set of movements.

Along with advancing integration of the motions there has gone advance in the multiformity and distinctness of them. The matter which, in its original diffused state, had movements that were confused, indeterminate, or without sharply-marked distinctions, has, during the evolution of the Solar System, acquired definitely heterogeneous movements. The periods of revolution of all the planets and satellites are unlike : as are also their times of rotation. Out of these definitely beterogeneous motions of a simple kind arise others that are complex, but still definite ;—as those produced by the revolutions of satellites compounded with the revolutions of their primaries; as those of which precession is the result; and as those which are known as perturbations. Each additional complexity of structure has caused additional complexity of movements; but still, a definite complexity, as is shown by having calculable results.

§ 141. While the Earth's surface was molten, the currents in the voluminous atmosphere surrounding it, mainly of ascending heated gases and of descending precipitated liquids, must have been local, numerous, indefinite, and but little distinguished from one another. But when after a vast period the surface, now solidified, had so far cooled that solar radiation began to cause appreciable differences of temperature between the equatorial and polar regions, an atmospheric circulation from poles to equator and from equator to poles must have slowly established itself: other vast moving masses of air becoming, at last, trade-winds and other such permanent definite currents. These integrated motions, once computatively homogeneous, were rendered heterogeneous as great islands and continents arose, to complicate them by periodic winds, caused by the varied heating of wide tracts of land at different seasons. Rhythmical motions of a constant and simple kind, were, by increasing multiformity of the Earth's surface, differentiated into an involved combination of constant and restrict. rhythmical motions, joined with smaller motions that are irregular.

Parallel changes must have taken place in the motions of water. On a thin crust, admitting of but small elevations and depressions, and therefore of but small lakes and seas, none beyond small local circulations were possible. But along with the formation of continents and oceans, came the vast movements of water from warm latitudes to cold and from cold to warm—movements increasing in amount, in definiteness, and in variety of distribution, as the features of the Earth's surface became larger and more contrasted. The like holds with drainage waters. The tricklings of insignificant streams over small tracts of land, were once alone possible ; but as fast as wide areas came into existence, the motions of many tributaries became massed into the motions of great rivers ; and instead of motions very much alike, there arose motions considerably varied.

THE KNOWABLE

Nor can we well doubt that the changes in the Earth's crust itself, have presented an analogous progress. Small, numerous, local, and like one another, while the crust was thin, the movements of elevation and subsidence must, as the crust thickened, have extended over larger areas, must have continued for longer eras in the same directions, and must have been made more unlike in different regions by local differences of structure.

§ 142. In arganisms the advance towards a more integrated, heterogeneous, and definite distribution of the retained motion, which accompanies the advance towards a more integrated, heterogeneous, and definite distribution of the component matter, is analy what we understand as the development of functions. All active functions are either sensible movements, as those produced by contractile organs ; or such insensible movements as those produced by contractile organs ; or such insensible movements as those produced by contractile organs, molecular re-arrangements are effected, and new combinations of matter produced. And during evolution functions, like structures, become more consolidated individually, as well as more combined with one another, at the same time that they become more multiform and more distinct,

The autritive juices in animals of low types more hither and thither through the tissues quite irregularly, as local strains and pressures determine : in the absence of a true blood and a distinct vascular system, there is no definite circulation. But along with the structural evolution which establishes a good apparatus for distributing blood, there goes on the functional evolution which establishes large and rapid movements of blood, definite in their courses and definitely distinguished as effectent and afferent, and that are heterogeneous both in their directions and in their characters : being here divided into gushes and there continuous.

Again, accompanying the structural differentiations and integrations of the alimentary canal, there arise differentiations and integrations both of its mechanical movements and its actions of a non-mechanical kind. Along an alimentary canal of a primitive type there pass, almost uniformly from end to end, waves of construction. But in a well-organized alimentary canal, the waves of constriction are widely unlike at different parts, in their kinds,

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION CONCLUDED \$15

strengths, and rapidities. In the occophague they are propulsive in their office, and travelling with considerable speed, take place at intervals during enting, and then do not take place till the next meal. In the stomach another modification of this originally uniform action occurs; the muscular constrictions are powerful, and continue during the long periods that the stomach contains Throughout the upper intestines, again, a further difference food. shows itself-the waves travel along without cessation but are relatively moderate. Finally, in the rectum this rhythm departs in another way from the common type: quiescence, lasting for many hours, is followed by a series of strong contractions. Meanwhile, the essential actions which these movements aid, have been growing more definitely beterngeneous. Secretion and absorption are no longer carried on in much the same way from end to end of the tube; but the general function divides into various subordinate functions. The solvents and ferments' furnished by the coals of the canal and the appended glands become widely unlike at upper, middle, and lower parts of the canal : implying different kinds of molecular changes. Here the process is mainly secretory, there it is mainly absorbent, and in other places, as in the resophagus, neither secretion nor absorption takes place to any appreciable extent. While these and other internal motions, sensible and insensible, are being rendered more various, and severally more integrated and more distinct, there is advancing the integration by which they are united into local groups of motions and a combined system of motions. While the function of alimentation subdivides, its subdivisions become co-onlinated, so that muscular and secretory actions go on in concert, and so that excitement of one part of the canal sets up excitement of the rest. Moreover, the whole alimentary function, while it supplies matter for the circulatory and respiratory functions, becomes so integrated with them that it cannot for a moment go on without them. And, as evolution advances, all three of these fundamental functions fall into greater subordination to the nervous functions-depend more and more on the due amount of nervous discharge ; while at the same time their motions become co-ordinated, or in a sense integrated, with those of the acryo-muscular system, on which they depend for the supply of materials.

When we trace up the functions of motor organs the same truth discloses itself. Microscopic creatures are moved through the water by the oscillations of cilia, here large and single or double, and here smaller and numerous; and various larger forms, as the Turiellaria, progress by cillary action over solid surfaces, These motions of cilia are, in the first place, severally very minute : in the second place, they are homogeneous; and in the third place, there is but little definiteness in them individually, or in their joint product, which is mostly a random change of position not directed to any selected point. Contrasting this ciliary action with the action of developed locomotive organs, we see that instead of many small or unintegrated movements there are a few comparatively large or integrated movements; that actions all alike are replaced by actions partially or wholly unlike; and that instead of being very feebly or almost accidentally co-ordinated, their definite co-ordination renders the motions of the body as a whole, A parallel contrast, less extreme but sufficiently precise, decided, is seen when we pass from the lower types of creatures with limbs to the higher types of creatures with limbs. The legs of a Centipede have motions that are numerous, small, and homogeneous; and are so little integrated that when the creature is divided and subdivided, the legs belonging to each part propel that part independently. But in one of the higher Arthropoda, as a Crab, the relatively few limbs have motions which are comparatively large in their amounts, which are considerably unlike one another, and which are integrated into total bodily movements of much definiteness.

§ 143. The last illustrations introduce us to illustrations of the kind classed as mental. They are the physiological aspects of the simpler among those functions which, under a more special and complex aspect, we distinguish as psychological. The phenomena subjectively known as changes in consciousness, are objectively known as nervous excitations and discharges, which science now interprets into modes of motion. Hence, in following up organic evolution, advance of the retained motion alike in integration, in heterogeneity, and in definiteness, may be expected to show itself both in the visible nervo-muscular actions and in the correlative mental changes. We may conveniently look at the facts as exhibited during individual evolution, before looking at them as exhibited in general evolution.

The progress of a child in speech very clearly displays the trans-Infantine noises are comparatively homogeneous; formation. alike as being severally long-drawn and nearly uniform from end to end, and as being constantly repeated with but little variation of quality. They are quite un-coordinated-there is no integration of them into compound sounds. They are inarticulate, or without those definite beginnings and endings and joinings charactorizing words. Progress shows itself first in the multiplication of the inarticulate sounds: the extreme vowels are added to the medium vowels, and the compound to the simple. Presently the movements which form the simpler consonants are achieved, and some of the sounds become sharply cut; but this definiteness is partial, for only initial consonants being used, the sounds end vaguely. While an approach to distinctness thus results, there also results, by combination of different consonants with the same vowels, an increase of heterogeneity; and along with the complete distinctness which terminal consonants give, arises a further great addition to the number of unlike sounds produced. The more difficult consonants and the compound consonants, imperfectly articulated at first, are by-and-by articulated with precision ; and hence arises another multitude of different and definite words-words that imply many kinds of vocal movements, severally performed with exactness, as well as perfectly integrated into complex groups. The subsequent advance to dissyllables and polysyllables, and to involved combinations of words, shows the still higher degree of integration and heterogeneity eventually reached by these organic The acts of consciousness correlated with these motions. nervo-muscular acts, of course go through parallel phases : and the advance from childhood to maturity yields daily proof that the changes which, on their physical side are nervous processes, and on their mental side are processes of thought, become more various, more defined, more coherent. At first the intellectual functions are much alike in kind-recognitions and classifications of simple impressions alone go on ; but in course of time these functions become multiform. Reasoning grows distinguish-

able, and eventually we have conscious induction and deduction ; deliberate recollection and deliberate imagination are added to simple unguided association of ideas; more special modes of mental action, as those which result in mathematics, music, poetry, arise; and within each of these divisions the mental movements are ever being further differentiated. In definiteness it is the same. At first the infant makes its observations so inaccurately that it fails to distinguish individuals. The child errs continually in its spelling, its grammar, its arithmetic. The youth forms incorrect judgments on the affairs of life. Only with maturity comes that precise co-ordination of data which is implied by a good adjustment of thoughts to things. Lastly, with the integration by which simple mental acts are combined into complex mental acts, we see the like. In the nursery you cannot obtain continuous attention-there is inability to form a coherent series of impressions; and there is a parallel inability to unite many coexistent impressions, even of the same order : witness the way in which a child's remarks on a picture show that it attends only to the individual objects represented, and never to the picture as a whole. But advancing years bring the ability to understand an involved sentence, to follow long trains of reasoning, to hold in one mental grasp numerous concurrent circumstances, A like progressive integration takes place among the mental changes we distinguish as feelings; which in a child act singly, producing impulsiveness, but in an adult act more in concert, producing a comparatively balanced conduct.

After these illustrations supplied by individual evolution, we may deal briefly with those supplied by general evolution, which are analogous to them. A creature of very low intelligence, when aware of some large object in motion near it, makes a spasmodic movement, causing, it may be, a leap or a dart. The perceptions implied are relatively simple, homogeneous, and indefinite: the moving objects are not distinguished in their kinds as injurious or otherwise, as advancing or receding. The actions of escape, too, are all of one kind, have no adjustments of direction, and may bring the creature nearer the source of peril instead of further off. At a higher stage the dart or the leap is away from danger: the nervous changes are so far specialized that there results distinc-

tion of direction; indicating a greater variety among them, a greater co-ordination or integration of them in each process, and a greater definiteness. In still higher animals, able to discriminate between enemies and not-enemies, as a bird which flies from a man but not from a cow, the acts of perception have severally become united into more complex wholes, since cognition of certain differential attributes is implied ; they have also become more multiform, since each additional component impression adds to the number of possible compounds; and they have, by consequence, become more specific in their correspondences with objects-more definite, And then in animals so intelligent that they identify by sight not species only but individuals of a species, the mental changes are yet further distinguished in the same three ways. In the course of human evolution the law is equally manifested. The thoughts of the savage are nothing like so heterogeneous in their kinds as those of the civilized man, whose complex environment presents a multiplicity of new phenomena: His mental acts, too, are much less involved-he has no words for abstract ideas, such is found to be incapable of integrating the elements of such ideas. And in all but simple matters there is none of that precision in his thinking, and that grasping of many linked conceptions, which, among civilized men, leads to the exact conclusions of science.

§ 144. How in societies the movements or functions produced by the confluence of individual actions, increase in their amounts, their multiformities, their precision, and their combination, scarcely needs insisting upon after what has been pointed out in foregoing chapters. For the sake of symmetry of statement, however, a typical example or two may be set down.

At first the military activities, undifferentiated from the rest (all men in primitive societies being warriors) are relatively homogeneous, ill-combined, and indefinite : savages making a joint attack severally fight independently, in similar ways, and without order. But as societies evolve, the movements of the thousands of soldiers which replace the tens of warriors are divided and redivided in their kinds of movements; here are gunners, there infantry, and elsewhere cavalry. Within each of the differentiated functions of these bodies there come others: there are distinct actions of privates, sergeants, captains, colonels, generals, as also of those who constitute the commissariat and those who attend to the wounded. The clustered motions that have thus become comparatively heterogeneous in general and in detail, have simultaneously increased in precision; so that in battle, men and the regiments formed of them are made to take definite positions and perform definite acts at definite times. Once more, there has gone on that integration by which the multiform actions of an army are directed to a single end. By a co-ordinating apparatus having the commander-in-chief for its centre, the charges, and halts, and retreats are duly concerted ; and a hundred thousand individual motions are united under one will.

Again on comparing the rule of a savage chief with that of a civilized government, aided by its subordinate local governments and their officers, down to the police, we see how, as men have advanced from tribes of hundreds to nations of millions, the regulative action has grown large in amount; how, guided by written laws, it has passed from vagueness and irregularity to comparative precision; and how it has subdivided into processes increasingly multiform. Or after observing how the barter that goes on among barbarians differs from our own commercial processes, by which a million's worth of commodities is distributed daily; by which the relative values of articles immensely varied in kinds and qualities are exactly measured, and the supplies adjusted to the demands; and by which industrial activities of all orders are so combined that each depends on the rest and aids the rest; we see that the kind of movement which constitutes trade, has become progressively more vast, more varied, more definite, and more integrated,

§ 145. A finished conception of Evolution thus includes the re-distribution of the retained motion, as well as that of the component matter. This added element of the conception is scarcely, if at all, less important than the other. The movements of the Solar System have a significance equal to that which the sizes, forms, and relative distances of its members possess. The Earth's geographical and geological structure are not more important elements in the order of Nature than are the motions,

THE LAW OF EVOLUTION CONCLUDED 821

regular and irregular, of the water and the air clothing it. And of the phenomena presented by an organism, it must be admitted that the combined sensible and insensible actions we call its life do not yield in interest to its structural traits. Leaving out, however, all implied reference to the way in which these two onlers of facts concern us, it is clear that with each re-distribution of matter there necessarily goes a re-distribution of motion; and that the unified knowledge constituting Philosophy must comprehend both aspects of the transformation.

Our formula, therefore, needs an additional clause. To combine this satisfactorily with the clauses as they stand in the last chapter is searcely practicable; and for convenience of expression it will be best to change their order. On doing this, and making the requisite addition, the formula finally stands thus :-Evolution is an integration of matter and concomitant dissipation of motion; during which the matter paster from an indefinite, incoherent homogeneity to a definite, coherent heterogeneity i and during which the retained motion undergoes a parallel transformation.

[Norm. Only at the last moment, when this sheet is ready for press and all the rest of the volume is standing in type, so that new matter cannot be introduced without changing the "making up" throughout the following pages, have I perceived that the above formula should be slightly modified. Hence my only practicable course is to indicate here the alteration to be made, and to set forth the reasons for it in Appendix A.

The definition of Evolution needs qualifying by introduction of the word "relatively" before each of its antithetical clauses. The statement should be that "the matter presses from a relatively indefinite, incoherent homogeneity to a relatively definite, enherent heterogeneity. Already this qualification has been indicated in a note to § 116 (page 265), but, more effectually to exclude misapprehensions, it must be incorporated in the definition. In Appendix A are named the circumstances which led to inadequate recognition of it.]

CHAPTER XVIII

THE INTERPRETATION OF EVOLUTION

§ 146. Is this law ultimate or derivative? Must we rest satisfied with the conclusion that throughout all classes of concrete phenomena such is the course of transformation? Or is it possible for us to ascertain why such is the course of transformation? May we seek for some all-pervading principle which underlies this all-pervading process? Can the inductions set forth in the preceding four chapters be reduced to deductions?

Manifestly this community of result implies community of cause. It may be that of the cause no account can be given, further than that the Unknowable is manifested to us after this mode. Or, it may be that this mode of manifestation is implied by a simpler mode, from which these many complex effects follow. Analogy suggests the latter inference. Just as it was possible to interpret the empirical generalizations called Kepler's laws, as necessary consequences of the law of gravitation; so it may be possible to interpret the foregoing empirical generalizations as necessary consequences of some deeper law.

Unless we succeed in finding a *rationale* of this universal metamorphosis, we obviously fall short of that completely unified knowledge constituting Philosophy. As they at present stand, the several conclusions we have lately reached appear to be independent. There is no demonstrated connexion between increasing definiteness and increasing heterogeneity, or between both and increasing integration. Still less proof is there that these laws of the re-distribution of matter and motion are necessarily correlated with those laws of the direction of motion

THE INTERPRETATION OF EVOLUTION \$28

and the chythm of motion, previously set forth. But until we see these now separate truths to be implications of one truth, our knowledge remains imperfectly coherent.

§ 147. The task before us, then, is that of exhibiting the phenomena of Evolution in synthetic order. Setting out from an established ultimate principle, it has to be shown that the course of transformation among all kinds of existences cannot but be that which we have seen it to be. It has to be shown that the re-distribution of matter and motion, must everywhere take place in those ways, and produce those traits, which celestial bodies, organisms, societies, alike display. And it has to be shown that in this universality of process, is traceable the same necessity which we find in each simplest movement around us, down to the accelerated fall of a stone or the recurrent beat of a harp-string.

In other words, the phenomena of Evolution have to be deduced from the Persistence of Force. As before said-"to this an ultimate analysis brings us down, and on this a rational synthesis must build up." This, being the ultimate truth which transcends experience by underlying it, furnishes a common hasis on which the widest generalizations stand ; and hence these widest generalizations are to be unified by referring them to this common basis, Already the truths that there is equivalence among transformed forces, that motion follows the line of least resistance or greatest traction and that it is universally rhythmic, we have found to be severally deducible from the persistence of force; and this affiliation of them on the persistence of force has reduced them to a coherent. whole. Here we have similarly to affiliate the universal traits of Evolution, by showing that, given the persistence of force, the re-distribution of Matter and Motion necessarily proceeds in such ways as to produce these traits. By doing this we shall unito them as correlative manifestations of one law, at the same time that we unite this law with the foregoing simpler laws,

§ 143. Before proceeding it will be well to set down some principles that must be borne in mind. In interpreting Evolution we shall have to consider, under their special forms, the various resolutions of force or energy which accompany the re-distributions of matter and motion. Let us glauce at such resolutions under their most general forms.

Any incident force is primarily divisible into its effective and non-effective portions. In mechanical impact the entire momentum of a striking body is never communicated to the body struck : even under those most favourable conditions in which the striking body loses all its sensible motion, there still remains with it some of the original momentum under the shape of that insensible motion produced among its particles by the collision. Again, of the light or heat falling on any mass, a part, more or less considerable, is reflected; and only the remaining part works molecular changes in Next it is to be noted that the effective force the mass. is itself divisible into the temporarily effective and the permanently effective. The units of an aggregate acted on may undergo only those rhythmical changes of relative position which constitute increased vibration; or they may also undergo changes of relative position which are not from instant to instant neutralized by opposite ones. Of these the first, disappearing in the shape of radiating undulations, leave the molecular arrangement as it originally was; while the second conduce to one form of that re-arrangement characterizing compound Evolution. Yet a further distinction has to be made. The permanently effective force works out changes of relative position of two kinds-the invensible and the sensible. The insensible transpositions among the units are those constituting molecular changes, including what we call chemical composition and decomposition; and it is these which largely constitute the qualitative differences that arise in an aggregate. The sensible transpositions are such as result when certain of the units-molar units as well as molecular unitsinstead of being put into different relations with their immediete neighbours, are carried away from them and deposited elsewhere.

Concerning these divisions and subdivisions of any force affecting an aggregate, the fact which it chiefly concerns us to observe is that they are complementary to one another. Of the whole incident force, the effective must be that which remains after deducting the non-effective. The two parts of the effective force must vary inversely as each other: where much of it is temporarily

THE INTERPRETATION OF EVOLUTION 325

effective, little of it can be permanently effective ; and vice versa, Lastly, the permanently effective force, being expended in working both the insensible re-arrangements which constitute molecular modification, and the sensible re-arrangements which result in structure, must generate of either kind an amount that is great or small in proportion as it has generated a small or great amount of the other.

CHAPTER XIX

THE INSTABILITY OF THE HOMOGENEOUS: EXEMPLIFYING INSTABILITY AT LARGE *

§ 149. The difficulty of dealing with transformations so many-sided as those which all existences have undergone, or are undergoing, is such as to make a definite or complete deductive interpretation seem almost hopeless. So to grasp the total process of re-distribution, as to see simultaneously its several necessary results in their actual interdependence, is scarcely possible. There is, however, a mode of renducing the process as a whole tolerably comprehensible. Though the genesis of the re-arrangement undergone by every evolving aggregate is in itself one, it presents to our intelligence several factors; and after interpreting the effects of each separately, we may, by synthesis of the interpretations, form an adequate conception.

The proposition which comes first in logical order is that some re-arrangement must result; and this proposition may be best dealt with under the more specific shape, that the condition of homogeneity is a condition of unstable equilibrium.

First, as to the meanings of the terms, respecting which some readers may need explanation. The state of "unstable equilibrium," so named in mechanics, is well illustrated by a stick standing on its lower end, in contrast with the state of stable equilibrium of a stick suspended by its upper end: the one instantly losing its equilibrium and the other regaining it if disturbed. But the reader must be warned against confusing the instability thus exemplified with the instability here to be treated

* The idea developed in this chapter originally formed part of an article on "Transcendental Physiology," published in 1857. See Essay, vol. I.

of. The one shown by a stick on end may be called an external instability, while that which we have now to consider is an internal instability. It is not alleged that a homogeneous aggregate is liable because of its homogeneity to be overthrown or deranged by an external force. The allegation is that its component parts cannot maintain their arrangements unaltered: they must forthwith begin to change their relations to one another. Let us take a few illustrations.

Of mechanical ones the most familiar is that of the scales. If they be accurately made and not clogged by dirt or rust, it is impossible to keep a pair of scales perfectly balanced : eventually one scale will descend and the other ascend-they will assume a heterogeneous relation. Could a mass of water he brought into a state of perfect homogeneity-a state of complete quiescence, and exactly equal density throughout-yet the radiation of heat from neighbouring bodies, by affecting differently its different parts, would inevitably produce inequalities of density and consequent currents; and would so render it to that extent heterogeneous. Take a piece of red-hot matter, and however evenly heated it may at first be, it will quickly cease to be so: the exterior, cooling faster than the interior, will become different from it in temperature. And the lapse into heterogeneity of temperature, so obvious in this extreme case, takes place more or less in the cases of all surrounding objects, which are ever being warmed or cooled. The action of chemical forces supplies other illustrations. Expose a fragment of metal to air or water, and in course of time it will be coated with a film of oxide, carbonate, or other compound : its outer parts will become unlike its inner parts. Often the heterogeneity produced by the actions of chemical forces on the surfaces of masses is not striking, because the changed portions are soon washed away, or otherwise removed. But if this be prevented comparatively complex structures result. In some quarries of trap-rock there are striking exemples. Not unirequently a piece of trap may be found reduced, by the action of the weather, to a number of loosely-adherent coats, like those of an onion. Where the block has been undisturbed, we may trace the whole series of these, from the ungular, irregular outer one, through successively included ones in which the shape becomes gradually rounded,

cading at length in a spherical nucleus. On comparing the original mass of stone with this group of concentric coats, each differing from the vest in form, and probably in the state of decomposition it has arrived at, we get a marked illustration of the multiformity to which, in lapse of time, a uniform body muy be brought by external chemical action. The instability of the homogencous is equally seen in the changes set up throughout the interior of a mass, when it consists of units that are not rigidly bound together. The molecules of a slowly-settling precipitate do not remain separate, and equably distributed through the fluid in which they make their appearance. They aggregate either into crystalline grains or into flocculi; and where the mass of fluid is great and the process prolonged, these flocculi do not continue equi-distant, but assemble into groups. That is to say, there is a destruction of the balance at first subsisting among the diffused particles, and also of the balance at first subsisting among the groups into which these particles unite.

The instability thus variously illustrated is consequent on the fact that the several parts of any homogeneous aggregate are exposed to different forces—forces which differ either in kind or amount; and are of necessity differently modified. The relations of outside and inside, and of comparative nearness of the parts to neighbouring sources of influence, imply the reception of influences that are unlike in quantity, or quality, or both : unlike changes, now temporary now permanent, being caused.

For like reasons the process must repeat itself in each of the component masses of units that are differentiated by the modifying forces. Each of these minor groups, like the major group, must gradually, in obedience to the unlike influences acting on it, lose its balance of parts, and pass from a uniform into a multiform state. And so on continuously. Whence, indeed, it follows that not only must the homogeneous lapse into the nonhomogeneous, but the more homogeneous lapse into the nonhomogeneous, but the more homogeneous must tend ever to become less homogeneous. If any given whole, instead of being absolutely uniform throughout, consist of parts distinguishable from one another—if each of these parts, while somewhat unlike other parts, is uniform within itself; then, each of them being in unstable equilibrium, it follows that while the changes set up

within it must render it multiform, they must at the same time render the whole more multiform than before. The general principle, now to be followed out in its applications, is thus somewhat more comprehensive than the title of the chapter implies.

No demurrer to the conclusions drawn, can be based on the truth that perfect homogeneity nowhere exists; since, whether that state with which we commence be or be not one of perfect homogeneity, the process must equally be towards a relative heterogeneity.

§ 150. The stars are distributed with a three-fold trregulanty. There is first the marked contrast between the Milky Way and other parts of the heavens, in respect of the quantities of stars within given visual areas. There are secondary contrasts of like kind in the Milky Way itself, which has its thick and this places; as well as throughout the celestial spaces in general, which are more closely strewn in some regions than in others. And there is a third order of contrasts produced by the aggregation of stars into small clusters. Besides this heterogeneity in the distribution of stars, considered without distinctions of kind, a further beterogeneity is disclosed when they are classified by their differences of colour, which answer to differences of physical constitution. While vellow stars are found in all parts of the heavens, red and blue stars are not so: there are wide regions in which both red and blue stars are rare; there are regions in which the blue occur in considerable numbers, and there are other regions in which the red are comparatively abundant. Yet one more irregularity of like significance is presented by the nebular. These are not dispersed with saything like uniformity, but are far more numerous around the poles of the galactic circle than in the neighbourbood of its plane.

No one will expect that anything like a definite interpretation of this structure can be given on the hypothesis of Evolution, or any other hypothesis. Such an interpretation would imply some reasonable assumption respecting the pre-cristing distribution of the stellar matter and of the matter forming nebule, and we have no warrant for any assumption. If we allow imagination to range back through antecedent possibilities and probabilities, we see it to be unlikely that homogeneous matter filled the space which our Sidereal System now fills, at a time immediately preceding its initiation. Rather the evidence which the heavens present implies that the distribution out of which the present distribution arose was irregular in all respects. Though certain traits of our galaxy suggest that it has a vague individuality, and that, along with their special motions, its stars have some general motion; yet the evidence forces on us the conclusion that many varieties of changes have been simultaneously going on in its different parts. We find nebulæ in all stages of concentration, star-clusters variously condensed, groups of larger stars approximating in different degrees, as well as regions like those which the nubeculæ occupy, presenting complex structures and apparently active changes, The most which can be said respecting this total distribution is that, subject as all parts of our Sidereal System are to the law of gravitation, the heterogeneities it exhibits, everywhere implying a progressing concentration, that is, integration, point backward to a less heterogeneous state and point forward to a more heterogeneous But, leaving aside this too transcendent question, state. we may without undue rashness consider from the evolution point of view the changes to be anticipated in one of those collections of matter described as a diffused inclulosity, or one of those more distinct ones of which the outlying parts are compared to wisps of cloud blown about by the wind. The only evolutional process which can at first be displayed is the primary one of integrationthe gathering together through mutual attraction of the parts; for in this early stage in which indefiniteness and incoherence are so fully exemplified, there does not yet exist such an aggregate as is capable of exhibiting secondary re-distributions : we have only the dispersed components of such an aggregate. Contemplating, then, only the process of integration, we may, without asking anything about the previous history of an irregular nebula, safely assume that its parts have their respective proper motions; for the chances are infinity to one against a state of rest relatively to one another. Further, the chances are infinity to one against their proper motions being such that during concentration they will cancel one another : the motion of some part, or the resultant of the motions of several parts, will constitute a proper motion distinct from that which

\$80

mutual gravitation generates-a motion which, unless just counterbalanced by an opposite one (again an infinite improbability) will generate rotation. It may, indeed, be argued that, apart from any pre-existing proper motions of its parts, a nebulous mass, if irregular, will acquire rotation while integrating; since each outlying fragment, arriving after the rest have been gathered together, is infinitely unlikely to fall into the mass in such a manner that its motion will be entirely cancelled by resistance; but, falling into it so as to be deflected laterally, will have its motion of approach so changed in direction as to become in part a motion of revolution : a resultant of all such motions, largely conflicting, being It must not, however, be an eventual rotation of the mass. assumed that this will necessarily be the rotation of a solitary aggregate. The great nebula in Andromada does not appear on the way to form a single body ; and that in Canes Venatici is an advanced spind of which the outer parts have a tangential motion too great to permit of their being drawn into the centre. Rather the apparent implication of the structure is that there will be formed a cluster of masses revolving round a common centre of gravity. Such cases, joined with those of the annular nebulæ, suggest that often the processes of integration result in compound structures, various in their kinds, while in other cases, and perhaps most frequently, single masses of rotating nebulous matter are formed.

Ignoring all such possibilities and probabilities, however, and limiting our attention to that form of the nebular hypothesis which regards the solar system as having resulted from a rotating, opheroid of diffused substance; let us consider what consequence the instability of the homogeneous necessitates. Being oblate in figure, unlike in the densities of its centre and surface, unlike in their temperatures, and probably unlike in the angular velocities of its parts, such a mass cannot be called homogeneous; and any further changes exhibited by it can illustrate the general law only as being changes from a more homogeneous to a less homogeneous state. Just noting that one of these changes is the increasing oblateness of form, let us go on to observe those which are to be found in the transformations of such of its parts as are at first homogeneous within themselves. If we accept the conclusion that the equatorial portion of this rotating and contracting spheroid will, at successive

stages, have a centrifugal force great enough to prevent nearer approach to the centre of rotation, and will so be left behind ; we shall find, in the fate of the detached ring, an exemplification of the principle we are following out. Consisting of gaseous matter, such a ring, even if uniform at the time of its detachment, could not continue so. In the absence of equality among the forces, internal and external, acting on it, there must be a point or points at which the cohesion of its parts would be less than claewhere-a point or points at which rupture would therefore take place. The original assumption was that the ring would rupture at one place only, and would then collapse on itself. But this was a more than questionable assumption : such, at least, I know to have been the opinion of the late Sir John Herschel. So vast a ring, consisting of matter having such feeble cohesion, must break up into many parts. Nevertheless, appeal to another high authority-the late Sir G. B. Airy-yielded verification for the belief that the ultimate result which Laplace predicted would take place. And here is furnished a further illustration of the instability of the homogeneous. For even supposing the masses of nebulous matter into which such a ring separated, were so much alike in their sizes and distances as to attract one another with exactly equal forces (which is infinitely improbable); yet the unequal actions of external disturbing forces would inevitably destroy their equilibrium-there would be one or more points at which adjacent masses would begin to part company. Separation, once commenced, would with accelerating speed lead to a grouping of the masses. A like result would eventually take place with the groups thus formed ; until they at length aggregated into a single mass.

§ 151. Already so many references have been made to the formation of a crust over the originally incandescent Earth, that it may be thought superfluous again to name it. It has not, however, been thus for considered in connexion with the general principle under discussion. Here it must be noted as a necessary consequence of the instability of the homogeneous. In this cooling and solidification of the Earth's surface, we have one of the simplest, as well as one of the most important, instances of that change from a uniform to a multiform state which occurs in any mass through

exposure of its component parts to enlike conditions. To the differentiation of the Earth's exterior from its interior, thus brought about, we must add one of the most conspicuous differentiations which the exterior itself afterwards undergoes, as being similarly brought about. Were the forces to which the surface of the Earth is subject alike in all directions, there would be no reason why certain of its parts should become permanently unlike the rest. But being unequally exposed to the chief external centre of force—the Sun—its main divisions become unequally modified. While the crust thickens and cools, there arises that contrast, now so decided, between the polar and equatorial regions.

Along with these most marked physical differentiations of the Earth, there have been going on numerous chemical differentiations, admitting of similar interpretation. Leaving aside all speculations concerning the origin of the so-called simple substances, it will suffice to show how, in place of that comparative homogeneity of the Earth's crust, chemically considered, which must have existed when its temperature was high, there has arisen, during its cooling, an increasing chemical heterogeneity. Let us contemplate this At an extreme heat the bodies change somewhat in detail. we call elements cannot combine. Even under such heat as can be generated artificially some very strong affinities yield; and the great majority of chemical compounds are decomposed at much lower temperatures. Probably, therefore, when the Earth was in its first state of incandescence, there were no chemical combinations. But without drawing this inference, let us set out with the unquestionable fact that the compounds which can exist at the highest temperatures, and which must therefore have been the first formed as the Earth cooled, are those of the simplest constitutions. The protoxides (including under that head the alkalies, earths, &c.) are, as a class, the most stable compounds known—the least changeable by heat. These, consisting severally of one atom of each component element, are but one degree less homogeneous than the elements themselves. More heterogeneous than these, more decompossible by heat, and therefore later in the Earth's history, are the deutoxides, tritoxides, peroxides, &c. ; in which two, three, four, or more atoms of oxygen are united with one atom of metal or other base. Still less able to resist heat are the salts, which present us

with compound atoms each made up of five, six, seven, eight, ten, twelve, or more atoms, of three or more kinds. Then there are the hydrated salts of a yet greater heterogeneity, which undergo partial decomposition at much lower temperatures. After them come the further-complicated supersalts and double salts, having a stability again decreased; and so throughout. After making a few unimportant qualifications demanded by peculiar affinities, it may be asserted as a general law of these inorganic combinations that, other things equal, the stability decreases as the complexity increases. When we pass to the compounds which make up organic bodies, we find this general how further exemplified : we find much greater complexity and much less stability. A molecule of albumen, for instance, consists of more than two hundred ultimate units of five different kinds. According to the latest analyses it contains in each molecule, 72 of carbon, 18 of nitrogen, 1 of sulphur, 112 of hydrogen, and 22 of oxygen-in all, 225 atoms; or, more strictly speaking, equivalents. And this substance is so unstable as to decompose at quite moderate temperatures ; as that to which the outside of a joint of roasting meat is exposed. Fosably it will be objected that some inorganic compounds, as phosphuretted hydrogen, chloride of nitrogen, and the nitrogenexplosives in general, are more decomposable than most organic compounds. This is true, But the admission may be made without damage to the argument. The proposition is not that all simple combinations are more stable than all complex ones. To establish our inference it is necessary only to show that, as an average fact, the simple combinations can exist at a higher temperature than the complex ones. And this is beyond question. Thus it is manifest that the present chemical heterogeneity of the Earth's surface, and of the bodies upon it, has arisen by degrees as the decrease of heat has permitted; and that it has shown itself in three forms :- first, in the multiplication of chemical compounds ; second, in the greater number of different elements contained in the more modern of these compounds; and third, in the higher and more varied multiples in which these more numerous elements combine.

Without specifying them, it will suffice just to name the meteorologic processes eventually set up in the Earth's atmosphere,

as further illustrating the alleged law. They equally display that destruction of a homogeneous state which results from unequal exposure to incident forces.

§ 152. Take a mass of unorganized but organizable mattereither the body of one of the lowest living forms, or the germ of one of the higher : both comparatively homogeneous, Consider its circumstances. Either it is immersed in water or air or is contained within a parent organism. Wherever placed, however, its outer and inner parts stand differently related to surrounding agencies—nutriment, oxygen, and the various stimuli. But this is not all. Whether it lies quiescent at the bottom of a pool or on the leaf of a plant; whether it moves through the water preserving some definite attitude; or whether it is in the inside of an adult; it equally happens that certain parts of its surface are more exposed to surrounding agencies than other parts-in some cases more exposed to light, heat, or oxygen, and in other cases to the maternal tissues and their contents. Hence must follow the loss of its original equilibrium. This may take place in one of two ways. Either the disturbing forces may be such as to over-balance the affinities of the organic elements, and there results decomposition ; or, as ordinarily occurs, such changes are induced as do not destroy the organic compounds but only modify them : the parts most exposed to the modifying forces being most modified. To elucidate this a few cases are required.

Observe first what appear to be exceptions. Certain minute animal forms present either no appreciable differentiations or differentiations so obscure as to be made out with great difficulty. Concerning these forms, however, note the fact that in all cases (some say in *namly* all) the presence of a nucleus shows conformity to the general law, since it implies a contrast between the innermost protoplasm and the protoplasm surrounding it. But let us pass on to the stemingly exceptional fact that the surrounding protoplasm does not exhibit the kind of differentiation between inner and outer above alleged. To this objection, there immediately presents itself the answer that this homogeneous bodysubstance does not become heterogeneous because its parts are not subject to any permanent heterogeneity of conditions: it has no

fixed surface. In all members of the lowest group, Proteomyse, the protoplasm continually protrudes itself, now in thicker now in thinner processes-pseudopodia; proved to have no limiting membranes by often confescing. These, when they touch fragments of nutriment, contract and draw them into the mass of the body so that what was just before external now becomes internal. Thus there are no fixed relations of parts and therefore no differentintions. And it is noteworthy that in certain of the Amerbay, less excursive than others of the type in the movements of their substance, we see an incipient differentiation : sometimes there is an investing film, "delicate and evapescent," implying that an outer part, which is for a short time stationary, begins to be Perceiving, then, that this apparent exception differentiates). is in fact a verification, we go on to observe that permanent relations of inner and outer are followed by permanent differentiations. Elsewhere (Esnays, i, 489) I have quoted from Sachs various proofs that a portion of protoplasm, whether normally detached, as in a spore, or abnormally detached, as by a rupture. forthwith becoming globular, at once acquires a surface denser than the interior : and Kerner similarly describes the protoplasm of a roospore as " fixing itself and putting on a delicate cell-wall." These cases, joined with those of various Protozoa which, causing their netive changes of form, pass into a resting stage and become enclosed in a cyst, and joined with the cases of Protophyta, like Spherella ninalis or "Red Snow," which, in its young stage ovoid, flagellate, locomotive, and secreting a skin, presently passes into a resting stage and becomes spherical and covered by a substantial cell-membrane, yield clear evidence that in these lowest types there is a lapse from a more homogeneous state into a less homogeneous state. And throughout the higher Protocon and Protophyta, the primary contrast is between cell-membrane and cell-contentsbetween the part exposed to environing forces and the part sheltered from them.

The transition—the most important transition which the organic world presents—between the simple forms above exemplified and those compound forms in which a number of such are united into a colony, is well seen in certain minute algæ, *Pandorina* and *Endorina*, each being a spherically-arranged colony of sixteen or

thirty-two members. In this first advance from unicellular types to multicellular types we find conformity to the general law in so for that the hollow sphere conspicuously displays the primary contrast between outer and inner: a primitive smorphous cluster has undergone a marked differentiation of parts corresponding to the difference of conditions. Still more instructive is the evidence furnished by types slightly in advance of these-Pleadorina and Volvox; the first consisting of some 128 cells and the second of 10,000 or more. Hollow spheres like the foregoing, they present in common the significant trait that, revolving, as they do, on a constant axis and moving forward approximately in the line of that axis, their two ends are exposed to slightly different conditions, and the primitive homogeneity of the members of the colony has, in consequence, lapsed into an appropriate listerogeneity. These ciliated alga-cells, whether living singly or joined into groups, severally have a minute red speck, which is proved to be sensitive to light, and causes motion towards it. Now in these compound forms just named, the cyc-spots are more developed in those cells forming the anterior part of the spherical colony-cells which also carry on more actively the nutritive function; while those cells which form the posterior part of the sphere, and carry on the reproductive function, have smaller eye-spots. On passing to the animal kingdom (which at its root is so little differentiated from the vegetal kingdom that there are unsettled disputes respecting the inclusion of the lowest forms in the one or the other) we meet with parallel illustrations. The nucleated cell, which is the common starting point for all organisms, animal and vegetal, presents us as before with the primary contrast between inner and outer. And as in the multi-cellular plants so in the multi-cellular animals, a like primary contrast is forthwith repeated in the initial clusters of cells. Produced by the repeated fissions of the primitive germ-cell, each such cluster presently forms itself into a hollow sphere : the "cleavage cavity" being manifestly homologous with the cavity of the Volvor-sphere." In simple types of Metazoa, as

* I may remark in passing that in the one case (and possibly by inhoritance in the other) this formation of a hollow sphere is the result of the more rapid growth of the outer parts than the inner parts of a solid group. Being dependent for natrition on light and carbon-dioxide in the water, the outside are

the hydroid polyps, the blashda being thus established in conformity with the primary contrast of conditions, there presently begins a secondary differentiation which, like that we have seen in the Vadpar but in a more pronounced manner, answers to the secondary contrast of conditions; for this spherical assemblage of cells becomes ovoid, and by the aid of its cilia moves through the water broad end foremost : the lapse from homogeneity of form being in some cases made more pronounced by the assumption of a sausage-shape. Simultaneously the component cells of the two ends become unlike in character. A far more marked differentiation, or lapse into greater heterogeneity, is seen when this single-layered spheroid of ciliated cells is changed into a double-layered spheroid by introversion of one side : a sack with the mouth sewn up and the bottom thrust in as far as it will go, serving to illustrate the relations of parts. Hence results the gastrals with its ecioderm and endoderm ; severally playing contrasted parts in subsequent development. So that at successive stages there is repeated this rise of a contrast of structures answering to a contrast of conditions -that which occurs in the simple cell, that which occurs in the hollow sphere of such cells, and that which occurs in the doublewallal sphere.

Illustrations presenting the law under another aspect—one from each organic kingdom—are instructive. The ciliated germ or planula of a Zoophyte which, during its locomotive stage, is distinguishable only into outer and inner tissues, no sooner becomes fixed than its upper ead begins to assume a different structure from its lower. The disc-shaped gemma of the Marchantia, originally alike on both surfaces, and falling at random with

components of a Foliox (either the cells or the oblomphyll in each cell) have a grant advantage over the cells or portions of cells which are more centrally placed ; and it needs but to consider what happens if the periphety of a sphere increases at a proportionately greater rate than its contents to see that it must either learn the contents behind or draw them after it and become hollow. An analogue effect of excessive peripheral growth may accessionally be seen exceeptified when, after a dry fit during which potatoes have not grown much, there comes rate and a starid increase of balk : this being the explanation of the fast that in very large potatoes there is not uncommonly a split in the interior, council by the steal which the disproportionate growth of the periphera processily causes.

either side uppermost, immediately begin to develop rootlets on their under sides and *stomata* on their upper sides : a fact proving beyond question, that this primary differentiation is determined by this fundamental contrast of conditions.

Of course in the germs of higher organisms, the metamorphoses immediately due to the instability of the homogeneous, are soon masked by those due to the assumption of the hereditary type. Even in the early stages above described there are to be traced modifications thus originating. Even before the primary cellmultiplication begins, there is said to be an observable distinction between the two poles of the egg-cell, foreshadowing the different Of course as development progresses assumption of germ-layers. the transmitted type of structure quickly obscures these primary lapses from homogeneity; though for some time the fundamental relations of inner and outer are recognizable in the differentiations. But what has been said suffices to establish the alleged general troth. It is enough that incipient organisms, setting out from relatively homogeneous arrangements, for thwith begin to fall into relatively heterogeneous ones. It is enough that the most conspicyous differentiations which they display, correspond to the most marked differences of conditions to which their parts are subject. It is enough that the habitual contrast between outside and inside, which we know is produced in inorganic masses by unlikeness of exposure to incident forces, is paralleled by the first contrast which makes its appearance in all organic masses.

It remains to point out that in the assemblage of organisms constituting a species, the principle enunciated is no less traceable. We have abundant materials for the induction that each species will not remain uniform—is ever becoming to some extent multiform; and there is ground for the deduction that this lapse from homogeneity to beterogeneity is caused by the subjection of its members to unlike circumstances. Tending ever to spread from its original habitat into adjacent habitats, each species must have its peripheral parts subject to sets of forces unlike those to which its central parts are subject, and so must tend to have its peripheral members made different from its central members.

§ 155. Among mental phenomena full establishment of the

alleged law would involve an analysis too extensive for the occasion. To show satisfactorily how states of consciousness, relatively homogeneous, become beterogeneous through differences in the changes wrought by different external forces, would require us to trace out the organization of early experiences. Without here attempting this it must suffice to set down the conclusions to be drawn.

The development of intelligence is, under one of its chief aspects, a classifying of the unlike things proviously confounded togethera formation of sub-classes and sub-sub-classes, until the once confused aggregate of objects known, is resolved into an aggregate which unites great beterogeneity among its multiplied groups, with complete homogeneity among the members of each group. On following, through ascending grades of creatures, the genesis of that vast structure of knowledge acquired by sight, we see that in the first stage, where eye-specks suffice only for discriminating light from darkness, there can be no classifications of objects seen, save those based on the manner in which light is of structed, and the degree in which it is obstructed. By such undeveloped visual organs, the shadows perceived would be merely distinguished into those of the stationary objects which the creature passed during its own movements, and those of the moving objects. which came near while it was at rest; so that the extremely general classification of visible things into stationary and moving, woold be the earliest formed. A kindred step follows. While the simplest eyes cannot distinguish between an obstruction of light causal by a small object close to, and an obstruction caused by a large object at some distance, eyes a little more developed can distinguish them; whence must result a vague differentiation of the class of moving objects into the nearer and the more remote. Further developments which make possible a better estimation of distances by adjustment of the optic axes, and those which, through enlargement and subdivision of the retino, make possible the discrimination of shapes, rated give greater definiteness. to the classes already formed, and subdivide these into smaller classes, consisting of objects less unlike. In every infant may be traced the analogous transformation of a confused aggregate of impressions of surrounding things, not recognized as differing in

their distances, sizes, and shapes, into separate classes of things unlike one another in these and various other respects. And in both cases the change from this first indefinite, incoherent and comparatively homogeneous consciousness, to a definite, coherent, and heterogeneous one, is due to differences in the actions of incident forces on the organism. These brief indications must suffice. Probably they will give adequate clue to an argument by which each reader may setisfy himself that the course of mental evolution offers no exception to the general law. In further aid of such an argument, I will here add an illustration which is comprehensible apart from the process of mental evolution as a whole.

It has been remarked (I am told by Coleridge) that with the advance of language, words which were originally alike in their meanings acquire unlike meanings-a change he expressed by the formidable word "disynanymization." Among indigenous words this loss of equivalence cannot be clearly shown; because in them the divergences of meaning began before the dawn of literature. But among words that have been coined, or adopted from other languages, since the writing of books commenced, it is demonstrable. By the old divines, minercant was used in its etymological sense of unbeliever; but in modern speech it has entirely last this sense. Similarly with evil-doer and malefactor. Exactly synonymous as these are by derivation, they are no longer synonymous by usage. By a malefactor we now understand a convicted criminal, which is far from being the acceptation of evil-door. The verb produce hears in Enelid its primary meaning-to prolong or draw out; but the now largely-developed meanings of produce, have little in common with the meanings of prolong, or draw out. In the Church of England liturgy on odd effect now results from the occurrence of prevent in its original sense-to come before, instead of its modern specialized sense-to come before with the effect of avreating. But the most conclusive cases are those in which the contrasted words consist of the same parts differently combined, as in go under and undergo. We go under a tree, and we undergo a pain. But though, if analytically considered, the meanings of these expressions would be the same were the words transposed, bubit has so far modified their meanings that we could not without abaurdity

speak of undergoing a tree and going under a pain. Many such instances show that between two words which are originally of like force, an equilibrium cannot be maintained. Unless they are daily used in exactly equal degrees, in exactly similar relations (which is infinitely improbable), there necessarily arises a habit of associating one rather than the other with particular acts, or objects. Such a habit once commenced, becomes confirmed; and gradually their homogeneity of meaning disappears.

Should any difficulty he felt in understanding how these mental changes exemplify a law of physical transformations that are wrought hy physical forces, it will disappear on contemplating acts of mind as nervous functiona. It will be seen that each loss of equilibrium above instanced, is a loss of functional equality between some two elements of the nervous system. And it will be seen that, as in other cases, this loss of functional equality is due to differences in the incidence of forces.

§ 154. Masses of men, in common with all other masses, show a like proclivity similarly caused. Small combinations and large societies equally manifest it; and in the one, as in the other, both governmental and industrial differentiations are initiated by it. Let us glance at the facts under these heads.

10 0 0 10

A business-partnership, balanced as the authorities of its members may theoretically be, presently becomes a union in which the authority of one partner is tacitly recognized as greater than that of the other or others. Though the shareholders have given equal powers to the directors of their company, inequalities of power soon arise among them; and often the supremacy of some one director grows so marked, that his decisions determine the course which the board takes. Nor in associations for political, charitable, literary, or other purposes, do we fail to find a like process of division into dominant and subordinate parties; each having its leader, its members of less influence, and its mass of uninfluential members. These minor instances in which unorganized. groups of men, standing in homogeneous relations, may be watched. gradually passing into organized groups of men standing in heterogeneous relations, give us the key to social inequalities. Barbarous and civilized communities are alike characterized by separation into

classes, as well as by separation of each class into more important and less important units; and this structure is the graduallyconsolidated result of a process like that daily exemplified in trading and other combinations. So long as men are constituted to act on one another, either by physical force or by force of character, the struggles for supremacy must finally be decided in favour of some class or some one ; and the difference once commenced must tend to become ever more marked. Its unstable equilibrium being destroyed, the uniform must gravitate with increasing rapidity into the multiform. And so supremacy and subordination must establish themselves, as we see they do, throughout the whole structure of a society, from the great class-divisions pervading its entire body, down to village cliques, and even down to every posse of Probably it will be objected that such school-boys. changes result, not from the homogeneity of the original aggregations, but from their non-homogeneity-from certain slight differences existing among their units at the outset. This is doubtless the proximate cause. In strictness, such changes must be regarded as transformations of the relatively homogeneous into the relatively beterogeneous. But an aggregation of men absolutely alike in their endowments would eventually undergo a similar transformation. For in the absence of uniformity in the lives severally led by them-in their occupations, physical conditions, domestic relations, and trains of thought and feelingthere must arise differences among them ; and these must eventually initiate social differentiations. Even inequalities of health caused by accidents will, by entailing inequalities of physical and mental power, disturb the exact balance of mutual influences. among the units; and the balance once disturbed will inevitably be lost.

Turning to the industrial organization, and noting that its division into regulative and operative is primarily determined, like the preceding, by differences of power (women and slaves being the first working classes); admitting, too, that even among savages some small specializations arise from individual aptitudes; we go on to observe that the large industrial divisions into which societies gravitate are due to unlikenesses of external circumstances. Such divisions are absent until such unlikenesses are established. Normadic
tribes do not permanently expose any groups of their members to apecial local conditions; nor does a stationary tribe, when occupying only a small area, maintain from generation to generation marked contrasts in the local conditions of its members; and in such tribes there are no decided economic differentiations. But a community which, by conquest or otherwise, has overspread a large truct, and has become so far settled that its members live and die in their respective districts, keeps its several sections in different circumstances; and then they no longer remain alike in their occupations. Those who live dispersed continue to hunt or cultivate the earth ; those who spread to the sea-shore fall into maritime occupations; while the inhabitants of some spot chosen, perhaps for its centrality, as one of periodic assemblage, become traders, and a town springs up. In the adaptations of these social units to their respective functions, we see a progress from uniformity to multiformity caused by unlike incidence of forces. Later in the process of social evolution these local adaptations are greatly multiplied. Differences in soil and climate, cause the rural inhabitants in different parts of the kingdom to have their occupations partially specialized, and to become known as chiefly producing cattle, or sheep, or wheat, or oats, or hops, or fruit. People living where coal fields are discovered are transformed into colliers; Cornishmen take to mining because Cornwall is metalliferous; and iron manufacture is the dominant industry where iron-stone is plentiful. Liverpool has taken to importing cotton, because of its proximity to the district where cotton goods are made; and for analogous reasons Hull has become the chief port at which foreign wools are brought in. Thus in general and in detail, industrial heterogeneities of the social organism primarily depend on local influences. Those divisions of labour which, under another aspect, were interpreted as due to the setting up of motion in the directions of least resistance (§ 30), are here interpreted as due to differences in the incident forces; and the two interpretations are quite consistent with each other. For that which in each case determines the direction of least resistance is the distribution of the forces to be overcome; and hence unlikenesses of distribution in separate localities entails unlikenesses in the lines of human actions in those localities-entails industrial differentiations.

THE INSTABILITY OF THE HOMOGENEOUS 345

§ 155. It has still to be shown that this general truth is demonstrable a priori—that the instability of the homogeneous is a corollary from the persistence of force. Already this has been tacitly implied, but here it will be proper to expand the tacit implication into definite proof.

On striking a mass of matter with such force as either to indent it or make it fly to pieces, we see both that the blow affects differently its different parts, and that the differences are consequent on the calike relations of its parts to the force impressed. The part struck is driven in towards the centre of the mass. It thus compresses, and tends to displace, the more centrally situated portions. These, however, cannot be compressed or thrust out of their places without pressing on surrounding portions. And when the blow is violent enough to fracture the mass, we see, in the radial dispersion of the fragments, that the original momentum has been divided into numerous minor momenta, unlike in their dizections. We see that the parts are differently affected by the disruptive force, because they are differently related to it in their directions and attachments-that the effects being the joint products of the force and the conditions, cannot be alike in parts which are differently A body on which radiant heat is falling. conditioned. exemplifies this truth still more clearly." Take the simplest casethat of a sphere. While the part nearest to the radiating centre receives the rays at right angles, the rays strike the other parts of the exposed side at all angles from 90° down to 0°. The nulecular vibrations, propagated through the mass from the surface which receives the heat, proceed inwards at angles differing for each point. Forther, the interior parts reached by the vibrations proceeding from all points of the heated side must be dissimilarly affected in proportion as their positions are dissimilar. So that whether they be on the recipient area, in the middle, or at the remote side, the constituent molecules are thrown into states of vibration more or less unlike one another.

But now, what is the ultimate meaning of the conclusion that a force produces different changes throughout a uniform mass, because the parts of the mass stand in different relations to the force Fully to understand this, we must contemplate each part as simulteneously subject to other forces—those of gravitation, of cohesion, of molecular motion, &c. The effect wrought by an additional force, must be a resultant of it and the forces already is action. If the forces already in action on two parts of any aggregate are different in their resultant directions, the effects produced on these two parts by equal additional forces must be different in their directions. Why must they be different? Because such anlikeness as exists between the two sets of factors is made by the presence in the one of some specially-directed force that is not present in the other; and that this force will produce an effect, rendering the total result in the one case unlike that in the other, is a necessary corollary from the persistence of force. Still more manifest does it become that the dissimilarly-placed parts of any aggregate must be dissimilarly modified by an incident force, when we remember that the quantities of the incident force to which they are severally subject, are not equal, as above supposed, but are nearly always unequal. Look again at the above examples. The amounts of any external radiant force, which the different parts of an aggregate receive, are widely contrasted: we have the contrast between the quantity falling on the side next the radiating centre, and the quantity, or rather no quantity, falling on the opposite side; we have contrasts in the quantities received by differentlyplaced areas on the exposed side; and we have endless contracts between the quantities received by the various parts of the interior. Similarly when mechanical force is expended on any aggregate, either by collision, continued pressure, or tension, the amounts of strain distributed throughout the mass are manifestly unlike for unlike positions. And it is obvious that ordinary chemical action affects surface more than centre, and often one part of the surface more than another. But to say the different parts of an aggregate receive different quantities of any force capable of changing them, is to say that if they were before homogeneous they must be rendered to a proportionate extent beterogeneous; since, force being persistent, the different quantities of it falling on the different parts, must work in them different quantities of effect-different Yet one more kindred deduction is required to changes. complete the argument. Even apart from the action of any external force, the equilibrium of a homogeneous aggregate must be destroyed by the unequal actions of its parts on one another. That mutual

THE INSTABILITY OF THE HOMOGENEOUS \$%7

influences which produces aggregation (not to mention other mutual influences) must work different effects on the different parts; since they are severally exposed to it in unlike amounts and directions. This will be clearly seen on remembering that the portions of which the whole is made up may be severally regarded as minor wholes; that on each of these minor wholes the action of the entire aggregate then becomes an external incident force: that such external incident force must, as above shown, work unlike changes in the parts of any such minor whole; and that if the minor wholes are severally thus rendered heterogeneous, the entire aggregate is rendered heterogeneous.

The instability of the homogeneous is thus deducible from that primordial truth which underlies our intelligence. One stable homogeneity only is hypothetically possible. If centres of force, absolutely uniform in their powers, were diffused with absolute uniformity through unlimited space, they would remain in equilibrium. This however, though a verbally intelligible supposition, is one that cannot be represented in thought; since unlimited space is inconceivable. But all finite forms of the homogeneous-all forms of it which we can know or conceive, must inevitably lapse into heterogeneity; and the less heterogeneous must lapse into the more heterogeneous. In three several ways does the persistence of force necessitate this. Setting external agencies aside, each unit. of a homogeneous whole must be differently affected from any of the rest by the aggregate action of the rest upon it. The resultant force exercised by the aggregate on each unit, being in no two cases. alike in both amount and direction, and usually not in either, any incident force, even if uniform in amount and direction, cannot produce like effects on the units. And as the various positions of the parts in relation to any incident force prevent them from receiving it in uniform amounts and directions, a further difference in the effects wrought on them inevitably arises.

One further remark is needed. The conclusion that the changes with which Evolution commences, are thus necessitated, has to be supplemented by the conclusion that these changes must continue. The absolutely homogeneous (supposing it to exist) must lose its equilibrium; and the relatively homogeneous must lapse into the relatively less homogeneous. That which is true of any total mass,

THE KNOWABLE

is true of the parts into which it segregates. The uniformity of each such part must as inevitably be lost in multiformity, as was that of the original whole; and for like reasons. And thus the continued changes characterizing Evolution, in so far as they are constituted by the lapse of the homogeneous into the heterogeneous, and of the less heterogeneous into the more heterogeneous, are necessary consequences of the persistence of force.

[A small change in the definition of Evolution indicated in a note at the end of Chapter XVII of this part, must be recalled as involving a correlative change in this chapter. Here, as before, the required change, though already implied (page 529), has not been sufficiently emphasized, and lack of the emphasis invites misinterpretation. For reasons like those before given, the requisite explanations cumot be made in this place. The reader will find them in Appendix A.

Replies to certain criticisms on the general doctrine set forth in this chapter will be found in Appendix C.]

CHAPTER XX

THE MULTIPLICATION OF EFFECTS

§ 156. To the cause of increasing complexity set forth in the last chapter, we have in this chapter to add another. Though secondary in order of time, it is secondly secondary in order of importance. Even in the absence of the cause already assigned, it would necessitate a change from the homogeneous to the heterogeneous ; and joined with it, it makes this change both more rapid and more involved. To come in sight of it we have but to pursue a step further that conflict between force and matter already delineated. Let us do this.

As already shown, when the components of a uniform aggregate are subject to a uniform force, they, being differently conditioned, are differently modified. But while we have contemplated the various parts of the aggregate as undergoing unlike changes, we have not yet contemplated the unlike changes simultaneously produced on the various parts of the incident force. These must be as numerous as the others. In differentiating the parts on which it falls in unlike ways, the incident force must itself be correspondingly differentiated. Instead of being as before, a uniform force, it must thereafter be a multiform force—a group of dissimilar forces. A few illustrations will make this truth manifest.

In the case, lately cited, of a body shattered by violent collision, besides the change of the homogeneous mass into a heterogeneous group of scattered fragments, there is a change of the homogeneous momentum into a group of momenta, heterogeneous in both amounts and directions. Similarly with the forces we know as light and heat. After the dispersion of these by a radiating body towards all points, they are re-dispersed towards all points by the

bodies on which they fall. Of the Sua's rays, issuing from him on every side, some few strike the Moon. Reflected at all angles from the Moon's surface, some few of these strike the Earth. By a like process the few which reach the Earth are again diffused ; some into space, some from object to object. And on each occasion, such portions of the rays as are transmitted instead of reflected, undergo refractions or other changes which equally destroy their More than this is true. By conflict with uniformity. matter a uniform force is in part changed into forces differing in their directions, and is in part changed into forces differing in When one body is struck equinst another, that their kinds. which we usually regard as the effect, is a change of position or motion in one or both bodies. But this is a very incomplete view of the matter. Besides the visible mechanical result, sound is produced—a vibration in one or both bodies and in the surrounding air ; and under some circumstances we call this the effect. Moreover, the air has not simply been made to vibrate; it has had currents mised in it by the transit of the bodies. Further, if there is not that great structural change which we call fracture, there is a disarrangement of the particles of the two boilies around their point. of collision ; amounting in some cases to a visible condensation. Yet: more, this condensation is accompanied by genesis of heat. In some eases a spark-that is, light-results from the incandescence of a portion struck off. Thus by the original mechanical force expended in the collision, at least five kinds of forces have been Take, again, the lighting of a candle. produced. Primarily, this is a chemical change consequent on a rise of temperature. The process of combination having once been set going by extraneous heat, there is a continued formation of carbon dioxide, water, &c. Along with this process of combination there is a production of heat; there is a production of light; there is an ascending column of hot gases generated; there are currents caused in the surrounding air. Nor does the decomposition of one force into many forces end here. Each of the several changes worked becomes the parent of further changes. The carbon dioxide formed will eventually combine with some base; or under the influence of sanchine give up its carbon to the leaf of a plant. The water will modify the hygrometric state of the air around ;

or, if the current of hot gases containing it comes against a cold body, will be condensed : altering the temperature, and perhaps The heat given out the chemical state, of the surface it covers. melts the subjacent tallow and expands whatever else it warma. The light, falling on various substances, calls forth from them reactions by which it is decomposed, and divers colours are thus produced. Similarly with these secondary actions, which may be traced out into ever-multiplying ramifications, until they become too Universally, then, the effect minute to be appreciated. is more complex than the cause. Whether the aggregate on which it fails be homogeneous or otherwise, an incident force is transformed by the conflict into a number of forces that differ in their amounts, or directions, or kinds; or in all these respects. And of this group of variously-modified forces, each ultimately undergoes a like transformation.

Let us now mark how the process of evolution is furthered by this multiplication of effects. An incident force decomposed by the reactions of a body into a group of unlike forces, becomes the cause of a secondary increase of multiformity in the body which decomposes it. By the reactions of the various parts, differently modified as we have seen they must be, the incident force itself must be divided into differently modified parts. Each differentiated division of the aggregate thus becomes a centre from which a differentiated division of the original force is again diffused. And since unlike forces must produce unlike results, each of these differentiated forces must produce, throughout the aggregate, a further series of differentiations. This secondary cause of the change from homogeneity to heterogeneity obviously becomes more potent in proportion as the heterogeneity increases. When the parts into which any evolving whole has segregated itself, have diverged widely in nature, they will necessarily react very diversely. on any incident force-they will divide an incident force into so many strongly contrasted groups of forces. And each of them becoming the centre of a quite distinct set of influences must add to the number of distinct secondary changes wrought throughout the aggregate. Yet another corollary must be added, The number of unlike parts of which an aggregate consists, is an important factor in the process. Every additional specialized

THE KNOWABLE

division is an additional centre of specialized forces, and must be a further source of complication among the forces at work throughout the mass—a further source of heterogeneity. The multiplication of effects must proceed in geometrical progression.

§ 157. The scattered parts of an irregular nebula in course of being drawn together, or integrated, cannot display in a definite manner the accordary traits of evolution: these presuppose an aggregate already formed. We can say only that the halfindependent components, each attracted by all and all by each, exhibit in their various momenta, different in their amounts and directions, a multiplication of effects produced by a single gravitative force.

But assuming that the integrative process has at length generated a single mass of nebulous matter, then the simultaneous condensation and rotation show us how two effects of the aggregative force, at first but slightly divergent, become at last widely differentiated. An increase of oblateness in this spheroid must take place through the joint action of these two forces, as the bulk diminishes and the rotation grows more rapel; and this we may set down as a third effect. The genesis of heat, accompanying augmentation of density, is a consequence of yet another order-a consequence by no means simple; since the various parts of the mass, heing variously condensed, must be variously heated. Acting throughout a gaseous spheroid, of which the parts are unlike in their temperatures, the forces of aggregation and rotation must work a further series of changes : they must set up circulating currents, both general and local. At a later stage light as well as heat will be generated. Thus without dwelling on the likelihood of chemical combinations and electric disturbances, it is manifest that, supposing matter to have originally existed in a diffused state, the once uniform force which caused its aggregation must have become gradually divided into different forces; and that each further stage of complication in the resulting aggregate must have initiated further subdivisions of this force-a further multiplication of effects, increasing the previous heterogeneity.

This section of the argument may however be adequately sustained without having recourse to any such hypothetical illustra-

55%

tions as the foregoing. The astronomical attributes of the Earth will, even by themselves, suffice for our purpose. Consider first the effects of its rotation. There is the oblateness of its form: there is the alternation of day and night; there are certain constant marine currents; and there are certain constant aërial currents. Consider next the secondary series of consequences due to the divergence of the Earth's plane of rotation from the plane of its orbit. The many variations of the seasons, both simultaneous and successive, which pervade its surface, are thus caused. External attraction of the Moon and Son seting on the equatorial protuberance of this rotating spheroid with inclined axis, produces the motion called nutation, and that slower and larger one from which follows the precession of the equinoxes, with its several sequences. And then, by this same force, are generated the tides, aqueous and atmospherie.

Perhaps, however, the simplest way of showing the multiplication of effects among phenomena of this order, will be to set down the influences of any member of the Solar System on the rest. A planet directly produces in acighbouring planets certain appreciable. perturbations, complicating those otherwise produced in them; and in the remoter planets it directly produces certain less visible perturbations. Here is a first series of effects. But each of the perturbed planets is itself a source of perturbations-each directly affects all the others. Hence, planet A having drawn planet B out of the position it would have occupied in A's absence, the perturbations which B causes are different from what they would else have been ; and similarly with C, D, E, &c. Here then is a secondary series of effects ; far more numerous though far smaller in their amounts. As these indirect perturbations must to some extent modify the movements of each planct, there results from them a tertiary series; and so on in ever multiplying and diminishing waves throughout the entire system.

§ 158. If the Earth was formed by the concentration of diffused matter, it must at first have been incandescent; and whether the nebular hypothesis be accepted or not, this original incandescence of the Earth may now be regarded as inductively established or, if not established, at least rendered so probable that it is a

皇登二

generally admitted geological dockrine. Several results of the gradual cooling of the Earth-as the formation of a crust, the splidification of sublimed elements, the precipitation of water, &c. -have been already noticed, and I again refer to them merely to point out that they are simultaneous effects of the one cause. diminishing heat. Let us now, however, observe the multiplied changes afterwards arising from the continuance of this one The Earth, falling in temperature, must contract. 001152. Hence the solid crust at any time existing, is presently too large for the shrinking nucleus, and, being unable to support itself, inevitably follows the nucleus. But a spheroidal envelope cannot sink down into contact with a smaller internal spheroid, without disruption: it will run into wrinkles as the rind of an apple does when the bulk of its interior decreases from evaporation. As the cooling progresses and the envelope thickens, the ridges consequent on these contractions must become greater, rising ultimately into hills and mountains; and the later systems of mountains thus produced must not only be higher, as we find them to be, but must be longer, as we also find them to be. Thus, leaving out of view other modifying forces, we see what immense beterogeneity of surface arises from the one cause, loss of heat-a heterogeneity which the telescope shows us to be paralleled on the Moon, where aqueous and stratopheric agencies have been But we have yet to notice another kind of absent. heterogeneity of surface, simultaneously caused. While the Earth's crust was thin, the ridges produced by its contractions must not only have been small in height and length, but the tracts between them must have rested with comparative smoothness on the subjucent liquid apheroid; and the water in those arctic and antarctic regions where it first condensed, must have been evenly distributed. But as fast as the crust grew thicker and gained corresponding strength, the lines of fracture from time to time caused is it occurred at greater distances apart ; the intermediate surfaces followed the contracting nucleus with less uniformity; and there consequently resulted larger areas of land and water. If any one, after wrapping an orange in tissue paper, and observing both how small are the wrinkles and how evenly the intervening spaces lie on the surface of the orange, will then wrap it in thick

cartridge-paper, and note both the greater height of the ridges and the larger spaces throughout which the paper does not touch the orange, he will see that as the Earth's solid envelope. thickened, the areas of elevation and depression became greater. In place of islands more or less homogeneously scattered throughout an all-ombracing sea, there must have gradually arisen heterogeneous arrangements of continent and occord, such as we These simultaneous changes in the extent and now know. in the elevation of the lands, involved yet another species of heterogeneity-that of coast line. A tolerably even surface raised out of the ocean will have a simple, regular sea margin; but a surface varied by table lands and intersected by mountain chains will, when raised out of the ocean, have an outline extremely irregular, alike in its leading features and in its details. Thus endless is the accumulation of geological and geographical results brought about by this one cause - escape of the Earth's 11 - 1 primitive heat.

When we pass from the agency which geologists term igneous, to aqueous and atmospheric agencies, we see a like ever-growing complication of effects. The denuding actions of air and water have, from the beginning, been modifying every exposed surface : everywhere working many different changes. As already said (§ 69). the original source of those gaseous and fluid motions which effect denudation is the solar heat. The transformation of this into various modes of energy, according to the nature and condition of the matter on which it falls, is the first stage of complication. The Sun's rays, striking at all angles a sphere that from moment to moment presented and withdrew different parts of its surface, and each of them for a different time daily throughout the year, would produce a considerable variety of changes even were the sphere uniform. But falling as they do on a sphere surrounded by an atmosphere containing wide areas of doud, but which here unveils vast tracts of sea, there of level land, there of mountains, there of snow and ice, they cause in it countless different movements. Currents of air of all sizes, directions, velocities, and temperatures, are set up; as are also marine currents similarly contrasted in their characters. In this region the surface is giving off vapour; in that, dew is being precipitated; and in another rain

is descending—unlikenesses which arise from the changing ratio between the absorption and radiation of heat in each place. At one hour a rapid fall in temperature leads to the formation of ice, with an accompanying expansion throughout the moist bodies frozen; while at another a thaw unlocks the dislocated fragments of these bodies. And then, passing to a second stage of complication, we see that the many kinds of motion directly or indirectly caused by the Sen's rays, severally produce results which vary with the conditions. Oxidation, drought, wind, frost, rain, glaciers, rivers, waves, and other denuding agents effect disintegrations that are determined in their amounts and qualities by local circumstances. Acting on a tract of granite, such agents here work searcely an appreciable effect; there cause exfoliations of the surface and a resulting heap of *débria* and boulders; and elsewhere, after decomposing the feldspar into a white day, earry away this with the accompanying quarts and mica, and deposit them in separate bals, fluviatile or marine. When the exposed land consists of several unlike formations, sedimentary and igneous, changes proportionately more heterogeneous are wrought, The furnations being disintegrable in different degree, there follows an increased irregularity of surface. The areas drained by adjacent rivers being differently constituted, these rivers carry down to the sea unlike combinations of ingredients; and so sundry new strata of distinct compositions arise. And here, indeed, we may see very clearly how the heterogeneity of the effects increases in a genmetrical progression with the heterogeneity of the object acted upon. Let us, for the fuller elucidation of this truth in relation to the inorganic world, consider what would follow from an extensive cosmical castastrophe—say a great subsidence throughout Central America. The immediate results would themselves be sufficiently Besides the numberless dislacations of strata, the complex. ejections of igneous matter, the propagation of carthquake vibrations many thousands of miles around, the loud explosions, and the escape of gases, there would be an incush of the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans, a subsequent recoil of enormous waves, which would traverse both these occurs and produce myriads of changes along their shores, and corresponding atmospheric waves complicated by the currents surrounding each volcanic vent, as well as

electrical discharges with which cruptions are accompanied. But these temporary effects would be insignificant compared with the permanent ones. The complex currents of the Atlantic and Pacific would be altered in their directions and amounts. The distribution of heat achieved by these currents would be different, from what it is. The arrangement of the isothermal lines, not only on the neighbouring continents but even throughout Europe, would be changed. The tides would flow differently from what they do now. There would be more or less modification of the winds in their periods, strengths, directions, qualities; and min would fall scarcely anywhere at the same times and in the same quantities as at present. In these many changes, each including countless minor ones, may be seen the immense heterogeneity of the results wrought out by one force, when that force expends itself on a previously complicated area : the implication being that from the beginning the complication has advanced at an increasing rate.

§ 159. We have next to trace throughout organic evolution, this same all-pervading principle. And here, where the transformation of the homogeneous into the beterogeneous was first observed, the production of many changes by one cause is least easy to demonstrate in a direct way. Heredity complicates everything. Nevertheless, by indirect evidence we may establish our proposition.

By way of preparation observe how numerous are the changes which any marked stimulus works on an adult organism—a human being for instance. An alarming sound or sight, besides impressions on the organs of sense and the nerves, may produce a start, a scream, a distortion of the face, a trembling consequent on general muscular relaxation, a hurst of perspiration, and perhaps an arrest of the heart followed by syncope ; and if the system be feeble, an illness with its long train of complicated symptoms may set in. Similarly in cases of disease. A minute portion of the small-pox vicus taken into the system will, in a severe case, cause, during the first stage, rigors, heat of skin, accelerated pulse, furred tongue, loss of appetite, thirst, epigastric uncasiness, vomiting, headache, pains in the back and limbs, muscular weakness, convulsions, defirium, &c, ; in the second stage, cutaneous cruption,

itching, tingling, sore throat, swelled funces, sativation, coughhoarseness, dyspnces, &c.; and in the third stuge, edematous inflammations, pneumonia, plcurisy, diarrhead, inflammation of the brain, ophthalmia, erysipelas, &c. : each of which enumerated symptoms is itself more or less complex. Now it needs only to consider that this working of many changes by one force on an adult organism, must be partially paralleled in an embryo organism, to understand that in it too there must be a multiplication of effects, ever tending to produce increasing heterogeneity, Each organ as it is developed, serves, by its actions and reactions on the rest, to initiate new complexities. The first pulsations of the feetal heart must simultaneously aid the unfolding of every part. The growth of each tissue, by taking from the blood special proportions of elements, must modify the constitution of the blood ; and so must mudify the nutrition of all the other tissues. The distributive actions, implying as they do a certain waste, necessitate an addition to the blood of efficie matters, which must influence the rest of the system, and perhaps, as some think, initiate the formation of excretory organs. The pervous connexions established among the viscers must forther multiply their mutual influences. And so is it with every modification of structure-every additional part and every alteration in the ratios Proof of a more direct kind is furnished by of parts. the fact, that the same germ muy be evolved into different forms according to circumstances. Thus, during its earliest stages, every germ is sexless-originates either male or female as the balance of forces acting on it determines. Again, there is the familiar truth that the larva of a working-bee will develop into a queen-bee if, before a certain period, it is fed after a manner like that in which the larvæ of queen-hees are fed. Then there is the still more striking evidence furnished by ants and termites. Riley, Grassi, Haviland and Hart, have shown that differences of nutrition not only originate the differences between males and females but also the different traits of soldiers, workers, and nurses.* Varying degree of nutrition, after initiating the unlikeness of sex, then determines the unlikenesses of external organs possessed by the various classes of sexless individuals. Next comes

See Principles of Biology, vol. 1, pp. 680-8.

the evidence, still more directly relevant, supplied by the effects of custration. If the removal of certain organs prevents the development of certain other organs in remote parts of the system-in man the vocal structures, the beard, some traits of general form, some instincts and other mental characters—then it is clear that where these organs have not been removed, the presence of them determines the occurrence of these various changes of development, and doubtless many minor ones which are unobirusive. Here the fact that one cause produces many effects in the course. of organic evolution is indisputable. Doubliess we are, and must ever continue, unable to conceive those mysterious properties which make the germ when subject to fit influences undergo the special changes initiating, and mainly constituting, the transformations of an unfolding organism; though we may consistently suppose that, they represent an infinite series of inherited modifications consequent on the instability of the homogeneous, the unitiplication of effects, and one further factor still to be set forth. All here contended is that, given a germ possessing these mysterious properties, the evolution of an organism from it depends, in part, on that multiplication of effects which we have seen to be one cause of evolution in general, so far as we have yet traced it.

When, leaving the development of single plants and animals, we pass to that of the Earth's Flora and Fauna, the course of the argument again becomes clear and simple. Though, as before admitted, the fragmentary facts Paleoniology has accumulated. do not clearly warrant as in saying that, in the lapse of geologic time, there have been evolved more heterogeneous organisms, and more heterogeneous assemblages of organisms; yet we shall now see that there must ever have been a tendency towards these results. We shall find that the production of many effects by one cause, which, as already shown, has been all along increasing the physical heterogeneity of the Earth, has further necessitated an increasing beterogeneity of its inhabiting organisms, individually and collectively. An illustration will make this clear. Suppose that by upheavals, occurring, as they are known to do, at long intervals, the East Indian Archipelage were raised into a continent, and a chain of mountains formed along the axis of elevation. By

the first of these upbeavals, the plants and animals of Borneo. Sumatra, New Guinea, and the rest, would be subjected to slightlymodified sets of conditions. The climate of each would be alternal in temperature, in humidity, and in its periodical variations, while the local differences would be multiplied. The modifications would affect, perhaps inappreciably, the entire Flora and Fauna The change of level would entail additional of the region. modifications, varying in different species, and also in different members of the same species, according to their distance from the axis of elevation. Plants growing only on the sea-shore in special localities might become extinct. Others, living only in swamps of a certain humidity, would, if they survived at all, probably undergo visible changes of appearance. While more murked alterations would occur in some of the plants that spread over the lands newly raised out of the water. The animals and insects living on these modified plants, would themselves be in some degree modified by changes of food, as well as by changes of climate; and the modifications would be more marked where, from the dwindling or disappearance of one kind of plant, an allied kind was eaten. In the lapse of the many generations arising before the next upheaval, the sensible or insensible alterations thus produced in each species, would become organized-in all the races which survived there would be more or less adaptation to the new conditions. The next upheaval would superinduce further organic changes, implying wider divergences from the primary forms; and so repeatedly. Now, however, observe that this revolution would not be a substitution of a thousand modified species for the thousand original species; but in place of the thousand original species there would arise several thousand species. or varieties, or changed forms. Each species being distributed over an area of some extent, and tending continually to colonize the new area exposed, its different members would be subject to different sets of changes. Plants and animals migrating towards the equator would not be affected in the same way with others migrating from it. Those which spread towards the new shores. would undergo changes unlike the changes undergone by those which spread into the mountains. Thus, each original race of organisms would become the root from which diverged several

races, differing more or less from it and from one another; and while some of these might subsequently disappear, probably more than one would survive into the next geologic period. Not only would certain modifications be thus caused by changes of physical conditions and food, but also, in some cases, other modifications caused by changes of habit. The fauna of each island, peopling, step by step, the newly-raised tracts, would eventually come in contact with the faunas of other islands; and some members of these other faunas would be unlike any creatures before seen. Herbivores meeting with new beasts of prey would, in some cases, be led into modes of defence or escape differing from those previously used; and simultaneously the heasts of prey would modify their modes of pursuit and attack. We know that when circumstances demand it, such changes of habit do take place in animals; and we know that if the new habits become the dominant ones, they must eventually in some degree alter the "Note, now, a further consequence. There organization. must arise not simply a tendency towards the differentiation of each race of organisms into several races; but also a tendency to the occasional production of a somewhat higher organism. Taken in the mass, these divergent varieties, which have been caused by fresh physical conditions and habits of life, will exhibit alterations quite indefinite in kind and degree, and alterations that do not. necessarily constitute an advance. Probably in most cases the modified type will be not appreciably more heterogeneous than the original one. But it must now and then occur that some division of a species, falling into circumstances which give it rather more complex experiences, and demand actions somewhat more involved, will have certain of its organs further differentiated in proportionately small degrees-will become slightly more heterogeneous. Hence, there will from time to time arise an increased heterogeneity both of the Earth's flora and famos, and of individual races included in them. Omitting detailed explanations, and allowing for qualifications which cannot here be specified, it is sufficiently clear that geological mutations have all along tended to complicate the forms of life, whether regarded separately or collectively. That multiplication of effects which has been a part muse of the transformation of the Earth's crust from the

simple into the complex, has simultaneously led to a parallel transformation of the Life upon its surface.³⁶

The deduction here drawn from the established truths of geology and the general laws of life, gains immensely in weight on finding it to be in harmony with an induction drawn from direct experience. Just that divergence of many races from one race, above described as continually occurring during geologic time, we know to have occurred during the pre-historic and historic periods, in man and domestic animals. And just that multiplication of effects which we concluded must have been instrumental to the first, we see has in great measure wrought the last. Single causes, as famine, pressure of population, war, have periodically led to further dispersions of men and of dependent creatures : each such dispersion initiating new modifications, new varieties. Whether all the homan races he or he not derived from one stock, philology shows that in many cases a group of races, now easily distinguishable from one another, was originally one race-that the diffusion of one race into different regions and conditions of existence has produced many altered forms of it. Similarly with domestic animals. Though in some cases, as that of dogs, community of origin will perhaps be disputed, yet in other cases, as that of the sheep or the cattle of our own country; it will not be questioned that local differences of climate, food, and treatment, have transformed one original breed into many breeds, now become so far distinct as to produce unstable hybrids. Moreover, through the complication of effects flowing from single causes, we here find,

* Hud this paragraph, first published in the Westminster Review in April, 1857, been written after the appearance of Mr. Darwin's work on The Origin of Species, instead of before, it would doubtless have been atturned selection," as greatly facilitating the differentiations described. As it is, however, I prefer to let the passage stand in his original shape ; partly because it seems to me that these successive changes of conditions would produce divergent varieties or species, spart from the influence of "natural selection" (though in less numerous ways as well as less rapidly); and partly because I conseive that in the absence of these successive changes of conditions, "natural selection " would effect comparatively little. Let me add that through these positions are not changed in *The Origin of Species*, yet a common friend gives nor reason to think that Mr. Darwin would coincule in these.

what we before inferred, not only an increase of general heterogeneity, but also of special heterogeneity. While of the divergent divisions and subdivisions of the human race, many have undergone changes not constituting an advance; others have become more heterogeneous. The civilized European departs more widely from the maramalian archetype than does the Australian.

§ 160. A sense-impression does not expend itself in arousing some single state of consciousness; but the state of consciousness aroused is made up of various represented sensations connected by co-existence or sequence with the presented sensation. And that, in proportion as the grade of intelligence is high, the number of ideas suggested is great, may be readily inferred. Let us, however, look at the proof that here, too, each change is the parent of many changes and that the multiplication increases in proportion as the area affected is complex.

Were some hitherto unknown bird, driven by stress of weather from the remote north, to make its appearance on our shores, it would excite no speculation in the sheep or cattle amid which it alighted: a perception of it as a creature like those constantly flying about, would be the sole interruption of that dull current of consciousness which accompanies grazing and rumination. The cow-herd, by whom we may suppose the exhausted bird to be presently caught, would probably gaze at it with some slight curiosity, as being onlike any he had before seen-would note its most conspicuous markings, and vaguely ponder on the questions, where it came from, and how it came. By the sight of it, the village bird-stuffer would have suggested to him sundry forms to which it hore a little resemblance; would receive from it more numerous and more specific impressions respecting structure and plumage ; would be reminded of other birds brought by storms from foreign parts; would tell who found them, who stuffed them, Supposing the unknown bird taken to a who bought them, naturalist of the old school, interested only in externals, (one of those described by Edward Forbes, as examining animals as though they were skins filled with straw,) it would excite in him a more juvolved series of mental changes. There would be an elaborate examination of the feathers, a noting of all their technical distinctions, with a reduction of these perceptions to certain equivalent written symbols; reasons for referring the new form to a particular family, order, and genus would be sought out and written down; commonications with the secretary of some society, or editor of some journal, would follow; and probably there would be not a few thoughts about the addition of the *ii* to the describer's name, to form the name of the species.

Lastly, in the comparative auntomist such a new species, should it have any marked internal peculiarity, might produce additional sets of changes—might suggest modified views respecting the relationships of the division to which it belonged; or, perhaps, after his conceptions of the homologies and developments of certain organs; and the conclusions drawn might possibly enter as elements into still wider inquiries concerning the origin of organic forms,

From ideas let us turn to emotions. In a young child, a father's anger produces little else than vague feat-a sense of impending evil, taking various shapes of physical suffering or deprivation of pleasures. In elder children the same harsh words will arouse additional feelings : sometimes a sense of shame, of penilence, or of sorrow for having offended; at other times, a scase of injustice and a consequent anger. In the wife, yet a further range of feelings may come into existence-perhaps wounded affection, perhaps selfpity for ill-usage, perhaps contempt for groundless irritability, perhaps sympathy for some suffering which the irritability indicates, perhaps anxiety about an unknown misfortune which she thinks has produced it. Nor are we without evidence that among adults, the like differences of development are accompanied by like glifferences in the number of emotions aroused, in combination or rapid succession: the lower natures being characterized by that impulsiveness which results from the uncontrolled action of a few feelings; and the higher natures being characterized by the simultaneous action of many secondary feelings, mulilying those first, awakened.

Perhaps it will be objected that the illustrations here given, are drawn from the functional changes of the nervous system, not from its structural changes; and that what is proved among the first does not necessarily hold among the last. This must be admitted. Those, however, who recognize the truth that the structural changes are the slowly accumulated results of the functional changes, will readily draw the corollary that a part cause of the evolution of the nervous system, as of other evolution, is this multiplication of effects which becomes ever greater as the development becomes higher.

§ 161. If the advance of Man towards greater heterogeneity, in both body and mind, is in part traceable to the production of many effects by one cause, still more clearly may the advance of Society towards greater heterogeneity be so explained.

Consider the growth of industrial organization. When some individual of a tribe displays unusual aptitude for making weapons, which were before made by each man for himself, there arises a tendency towards the differentiation of that individual into a maker of weapons. His companions, warriors and hunters all of them, severally wishing to have the best weapons that can be made, are certain to offer strong inducements to this skilled individual to make weapons for them. He, on the other hand, having both an unusual faculty, and an unusual liking, for making weapons (capacity and desire being commonly associated), is predisposed to fulfil these commissions on the offer of adequate rewards: especially as his love of distinction is also gratified. This first specialization of function, once commenced, tends over to become more decided. On the side of the weapon-maker, continued practice gives increased skill. On the side of his clients, cessation of practice entails. decreased skill. Thus this social movement tends to become more devided in the direction in which it was first set up; and the incipient heterogeneity is, on the average of cases, likely to become permanent for that generation, if no longer. Such a differentiation has a tandency to initiate other differentiations. The advance described implies the introduction of barter. The maker of weapons has to be paid in such other articles as he agrees to take. Now he will not habitually exchange for one kind of article. He does not want mats only, or skins, or fishing-gear. He wants all these, and on each occasion will bargain for the particular things he then most needs. What follows? If among the members of the tribe there exist any slight differences of skill in the manufacture of these various things the weapon-maker will take from each one the thing which that one excels in making.

But he who has hartered away his mats or his fishing-gear, must make other mats or fishing-gene for himself; and in so doing must, in some degree, further develop his aptitude. If such transactions are repeated, these specializations may become appreciable. And whether or not there ensue distinct differentiations of other individuals into makers of particular articles, it is clear that the one original cause produces not only the first dual effect, but a number of secondary dual effects, like in kind but minor in This process, of which traces may be seen among degree. groups of school-boys, cannot well produce a lasting distribution of functions in an unsettled tribe; but where there grows up a fixed and multiplying community, it will become permanent, and increase with each generation. An addition to the number of citizens, involving a greater demand for every commodity, intensifies the functional activity of each specialized person or class; and this renders the specialization more definite where it exists, and establishes it where it is mescent. By increasing the pressure on the means of subsistence, a larger population again augments these results; since every individual is fareed upper and more to confine hinself to that which he can do best, and by which he can gain most. And this industrial progress opens the way for further growth of population, which reacts as before. Under the same stimuli new occupations arise. Among competing workers, some discover better processes or better materials. The substitution of brouze for stone entails on him who first makes it a great increase of domand-so great an increase that presently all his time is occupied in making the bronze for the articles he sells, and he is obliged to depute the fashioning of these articles to others : so that eventually the making of bronze, thus differentiated from a preexisting occupation, becomes an occupation by itself. But now mark the ramified changes which follow this change. Bronze soon replaces stone not only in the articles it was first used for, but in many others ; and so affects the manufacture of them. Further, it affects the processes which such improved utensils subserve, and the resulting products-modifies buildings, earvings, dress, personal decorations. And all these changes react on the people-increase their manipulative skill, their intelligence, their comfort-refine their habits and tastes.

This increasing social beterogeneity, that results from the production of many effects by one cause, cannot of course be followed out. But leaving the intermediate phases of social development, let us take an illustration from its passing phase. To trace the effects of steam-power, in its manifold applications to mining, navigation, and manufactures, would carry us into unmanageable detail. Let us confine ourselves to the latest embodiment of sleam power-the locumotive engine. This, as the proximate cause of our milway system, has changed the face of the country, the course of trade, and the habits of the people. Consider, first, the complicated sets of changes that precede the making of every railway-the provisional arrangements, the meetings, the registration, the trial section, the parliamentary survey, the lithographed plans, the books of reference, the local deposits and notices, the application to Parliament, the passing Standing Orders Committee, the first, second, and third readings : each of which brief heads indicates a multiplicity of transactions, and a further development of sundry occupations, (as those of engineers, surveyors, lithographers, parliamentary agents, sharebrokers.) and the creation of sendry others (as those of traffic takers, reference makers). Consider, next, the yet more marked changes implied in railway construction-the cuttings, embankings, tunnellings, diversions of roads; the building of bridges, viaduets, and stations; the laying down of ballast, sleepers, and rails; the making of engines, tenders, muriages, and wagons : which processes, acting upon numerous trades, increase the importation of timber, the quarrying of stone, the manufacture of iron, the mining of coal, the burning of bricks; institute a variety of special manufactures. weekly advertised in the Railway Times ; and call into being some new classes of workers-drivers, stokers, cleaners, plate-layers, Then come the changes, more numerous and involved signal-men still, which railways in action produce on the community at large. The organization of every business is modified. Ease of communication makes it better to do directly what was before done by proxy; agencies are established where previously they would not have paid; goods are obtained from remote wholesale houses instead of near retail ones; and commodities are used which distance once remlered inaccessible. Rapidity and economy of

carriage tend to specialize more than ever the industries of different districts-to confine each manufacture to the parts in which, from local advantages, it can be best carried on. Cheap distribution equalizes prices, and also, on the average, lowers prices: thus bringing divers articles within the reach of those before unable to At the same time the practice of travelling is buy them. immensely extended. People who before could not afford it, take annual trips to the sea, visit their distant relations, make tours, and so are benefited in body, feelings, and intellect. The prompter transmission of letters and of news produces further changesmakes the pulse of the nation faster. Yet more, there arises a wide dissemination of cheap literature through railway book-stalls, and of advertisements in railway carriages : both of them aiding alterior progress. So that beyond imagination are the changes, thus briefly indicated, consequent on the invention of the locomotive engine.

It should be added that we here see, more clearly than ever, how in proportion as the area over which any inducate extends becomes heterogeneous, the results are in a yet higher degree multiplied in number and kind. While among the uncivilized men to whom it was first known; enoutchoice caused but few changes, among ourselves the changes have been so many and varied that the history of them occupies a volume. Upon the small, homogeneous community inhabiting one of the Hebrides, the electric telegraph would produce, were it used, scarcely any results; but in England the results it produces are multitudinous.

Space permitting, the synthesis might here be pursued in relation to all the subtler products of social life. It might be shown how, in Science, an advance of one division presently advances other divisions—how Astronomy has been immensely forwarded by discoveries in Optics, while other optical discoveries have initiated Microscopic Anatomy, and greatly aided the growth of Physiology —how Chemistry has indirectly increased our knowledge of Electricity, Magnetism, Biology, Geology—how Electricity has reacted on Chemistry and Magnetism, developed our views of Light and Heat, and disclosed sundry laws of nervous action. But it would needlessly tax the reader's patience to detail, in their many ramifications, these various changes ; so involved and subtle as to be followed with difficulty. § 162. After the argument which closed the last chapter, a parallel one here seems scarcely required. For symmetry's sake, however, it will be proper briefly to point out how the multiplication of effects, like the instability of the homogeneous, is a corollary from the persistence of force.

Things which we call different are things which react in different wuys; and we can know them as different only by the differences in their reactions. When we distinguish bodies as hard or soft, rough or smooth, we mean that certain like muscular forces expended on them are followed by unlike reactive forces, causing unlike sets of sensations. Objects classed as red, blue, yellow, &c., are objects which decompose light in contrasted ways; that is, we know contrasts of colour as contrasts in the changes produced in a uniform incident force. The proposition that the different parts of any whole must react differently on a uniform incident force, and must thus reduce it to a group of multiform forces, is in essence a truism. Suppose we reduce this truism to its lowest terms.

When, from unlikeness between the effects, they produce on consciousness, we predicate unlikeness between two objects, what is our warrant ? and what do we mean by the unlikeness, objectively coasidered? Our warrant is the persistence of force. Some kind or amount of change has been wrought in us by the one which has not been wrought by the other. This change we ascribe to some force exercised by the one which the other has not exercised. And we have no alternative but to do this, or to assert that the chaoge had no antecedent, which is to deny the persistence of force. Whence it is further manifest that what we regard as the objective unlikeness is the presence in the one of some force, or set of forces, not present in the other-something in the kinds or amounts or directions of the constituent forces of the one, which those of the other do not parallel. But now if things or parts of things which we call different, are those of which the constituent forces differ in one or more respects, what must happen to any like forces, or any uniform force, falling on them ? Such like forces, or parts of a uniform force, must be differently modified. The force which is present in the one and not in the other, must be an element in the conflict-must produce its equivalent reaction; and must so affect. the total reaction. To say otherwise is to say that this differential

24

force will produce no effect, which is to say that force is not persistent.

I need not develop this corollary further. It manifestly follows that a uniform force falling on a uniform aggregate, must undergo dispersion; that falling on an aggregate made up of unlike parts, it must undergo dispersion from each part, as well as qualitative differentiations; that in proportion as the parts are unlike, these qualitative differentiations must be marked; that in proportion to the number of the parts, they must be numerous; that the secondary forces so produced must undergo further transformations while working equivalent transformations in the parts that change them; and similarly with the forces they generate. Thus the conclusions that a part cause of Evolution is the multiplication of effects, and that this increases in geometrical progression as the heterogeneity becomes greater, are not only to be established inductively, but are deducible from the deepest of all truths.

CHAPTER XXI

SEGREGATION

§ 163. The general interpretation of Evolution is far from being completed in the preceding chapters. We must contemplate its changes under yet another aspect, before we can form a definite conception of the process constituted by them. Though the laws already set forth furnish a key to the re-arrangement of parts which Evolution exhibits, in so far as it is an advance from the uniform to the multiform, they furnish no key to this rearrangement in so far as it is an advance from the indefinite to the On studying the actions and reactions everywhere going definile. on, we have found it to follow from a certain primordial truth, that the homogeneous must lapse into the heterogeneous, and that the heterogeneous must become more heterogeneous; but we have not discovered why the differently-affected parts of any simple whole, become clearly marked off from one mother, at the same time that they become unlike. Thus far no reason has been given why there should not ordinarily arise a vague chaotic heterogeneity, in place of that orderly heterogeneity displayed in Evolution. It still remains to find out the cause of that local integration which accompanies local differentiation-that gradually-completed segregation of like units into a group, distinctly separated from neighbouring groups which are severally made up of other kinds of The rationale will be conveniently introduced by a few units. instances in which we may watch this segregative process taking place

When, late in September, the trees are gaining their autumn colours, and we are hoping soon to see a further change increasing the beauty of the landscope, we are sometimes disappointed by the

occurrence of an equinoctial gale. Out of the mixed mass of foliage on each branch, the strong current of air carries away the decaying and brightly-tinted leaves, but fails to detach those which are still green. And while these last, frayed and seared by long-continued beatings against one another, give a sombre colour to the woods. the red and yellow and orange leaves are collected together in ditches and behind walls and in corners where eddies allow them to settle. That is to say, by that uniform force which the wind exerts on both kinds, the dying leaves are picked out from among their still-living companions and gathered in places by themselves. Again, the separation of particles of different sizes, as dust and sand from pebbles, may be similarly effected, as we see on every road in March. And from the days of Homer downwards, the power of currents of air, natural and artificial, to part from one another units of unlike characters, has been habitually utilized in the winnowing of chaff from wheat. In every brook we see how the mixed materials carried down are separately depositedhow in rapids the bottom gives rest to nothing but boblders and pebbles; how where the current is not so strong, sand is let fall; and how, in still places, there is a sediment of mud? This selective action of moving water is commonly applied in the acts to obtain masses of particles of different degrees of fineness. Emery, for example, after being ground, is carried by a slow current through successive compartments; in the first of which the largest grains subside; in the second of which the grains that settle before the water has escaped, are somewhat smaller : in the third smaller still : until in the last there are deposited those finest particles which have not previously been able to reach the bottom. And in a way that is different though equally significant, this segregative effect of water in motion, is exemplified in the carrying away of soluble from insoluble matters—an application of it hourly made The effects of the uniform forces which in every laboratory. aërial and aqueous currents exercise, are paralleled by those of uniform forces of other orders. Electric attraction will separate small bodies from large, or light bodies from heavy. By magnetism, grains of iron may by selected from other grains; as by the Sheffield grinder, whose magnetized gauze mask filters out the steel dust his wheel gives off from the stone dust which accompanies it.

And how the affinity of any agent acting differently on the mixed components of a body, enables us to take away some component and leave the rest behind, is perpetually shown in chemical experiments.

What, now, is the general truth here variously presented ? How are these facts, and countless similar ones, to be expressed in terms that embrace them all? In each case we see in action a force which may be regarded as simple or uniform-fluid motion in a certain direction at a certain velocity; electric or magnetic attraction of a given amount; chemical affinity of a particular kind; or rather, in strictness, the acting force is compounded of one of these with some other uniform force, as gravitation, &c. In each case we have an aggregate made up of unlike units-either atoms of different substances combined or intimately mingled, or fragments of the same substance of different sizes, or other constituent parts that are unlike in their specific gravities, shapes, or other attributes. And in each case these unlike units, or groups of units, of which the aggregate consists, are, under the influence of some resultant force acting indiscriminately on them all, separated from one another-segregated into minor aggregates, each consisting of units that are severally like one another and unlike those of the other minor aggregates. Such being the common aspect of these changes, let us look for the common interpretation of them.

In the chapter on "The Instability of the Homogeneous," it was shown that a uniform force falling on any aggregate, produces unlike modifications in its different parts—turns the uniform into the multiform and the multiform into the more multiform. The transformation thus wrought, consists of either insensible or sensible changes of relative position among the units, or of both. Such partian of the permanently effective force as reaches each different part, or differently-conditioned part, may be expended in modifying the mutual relations of its constituents; or it may be expended in moving the part to another place; or it may be expended partially in the first and partially in the second. And if little or none is absorbed in re-arranging the components of a compound unit, such or the whole must show itself in motion of such compound unit to some other place in the aggregate; and

What must follow from this? In cases where conversely. none or only part of the force generates chemical re-distributions, what physical re-distributions must be generated? Parts that are similar to each other will be similarly acted on by the force. while parts that are dissimilar will be dissimilarly acted on. Hence the permanently effective incident force, when wholly or partially transformed into mechanical motion of the units, will produce like motions in units that are alike, and unlike motions in units that are unlike. If then, in an aggregate containing two or more orders of mixed units, those of the same order will be moved in the same way, and in a way that differs from that in which units of other orders are moved, the respective orders must segregate, A group of like things on which are impressed motions that are alike in amount and direction, must be transferred as a group to another place, and if they are mingled with some group of other things, on which the niotions impressed are like one another, but unlike these of the first group in amount or direction or lasth, these other things must be transferred as a group to some other place-the mixed units must undergo a simultaneous selection and separation.

Further to elucidate this process, let me set down a few instances. in which we may see that the definiteness of the separation is in proportion to the definiteness of the differences among the units. Take a bandful of pounded substance, containing fragments of all sizes, and let it fall gendually while a gentle breeze is blowing. The large fragments will be collected on the ground admost imnediately under the hand; somewhat smaller fragments will be carried a little to the leoward: still smaller ones further away: and those minute particles we call dust, will be drifted far before they reach the earth : that is, the segregation is indefinite where the differences among the fragments are indefinite, though the divergences are greatest where the differences are greatest, If. again, the handful be made up of distinct orders of units-as pebbles, coarse sand, and dust-these will, under like conditions, he segregated with greater definitences. The publies will drop aboost vertically; the sand, falling obliquely, will deposit itself within a tolerably circumscribed space beyond the publies; while the dust will be blown almost horizontally to a great distance.

A case in which another kind of force comes into play, will still better illustrate this truth. Through a mixed aggregate of soluble and insulable substances, let water slowly percolate. There will in the first place be a distinct parting of the substances that are the most widely unlike: the soluble will be carried away; the insoluble will remain behind. Further, some separation, though a less definite one, will be effected among the soluble substances ; since the first part of the current will remove the most soluble in the largest amounts, and after these have been dissolved, it will continue to bring out the remaining less soluble. Even the undissolved matters will have simultaneously undergone some segregation ; for the percolating fluid will carry down the minute fragments from among the large ones, and will often deposit those of small specific gravity in one place, and those of great specific To complete the elucidation we gravity in another. must glance at the obverse fact; namely, that mixed units which differ but slightly, are moved in but slightly-different ways by incident forces, and can therefore be separated only by such adjustments of the incident forces as allow slight differences to become appreciable factors in the result. The parting of alcohol from water by distillation is a good example. Here we have molecules consisting of oxygen and hydrogen, mingled with molecules consisting of oxygen, hydrogen, and earlien. The two orders of molecules have a considerable likeness of nature : they similarly maintain a fluid form at ordinary temperatures ; they similarly become gaseous more and more rapidly as the temperature is raised : and they boil at points not very far apart. Now this comparative likeness of the molecules is accompanied by difficulty in segregating them. If the mixed fluid is unduly heated, much water distils over with the alcohol: it is only within a narrow range of temperature that piolecules of the one kind are driven off rather than the others: and even then not a few of the others accompany them. The most interesting and instructive example, however, is furnished by certain phenomena of crystallization. When several salts that have little analogy of constitution, are dissolved in the same body of water, they are separated without much trouble, by crystallization : subject as they are to aniform forces, they segregate. The crystals of each solt do, indeed, usually contain certain small

amounts of the other saits present in the solution ; but from these they are severally freed by repeated re-solutions and crystallizations. Mark now, however, that the reverse is the case when the saits contained in the same body of water are chemically homologous. The nitrates of baryta and lead, or the sulphates of zinc, soda, and magnesia, unite in the same crystals ; nor will they crystallize separately if these crystals be dissolved afresh, and afresh crystallized. On seeking the cause of this anomaly, chemists found that such saits were isomorphous—that their molecules, though not chemically identical, are identical in the proportions of acid, base, and water, composing them, and in the crystalline forms they assume when uniting. Here, then, we see clearly that units of unlike kinds are selected out and separated with a readiness proportionate to the degree of their unlikeness.

There is a converse rause of segregation which it is needless here to treat of with equal fulness. If different units, acted on by the same force, must be differently moved; so, conversely, units of the same kind must be differently moved by different forces. Supposing some group of units forming part of a homogeneous aggregate are unitedly exposed to a force which is unlike in amoufit or direction to the force acting on the rest of the aggregate, then this group of units will separate from the rest, provided that, of the force so acting on it, there remains any portion not dissipated in molecular vibrations or absorbed in producing molecular re-arrangements. After all that has been said above, this proposition needs no defence.

Before ending our preliminary exposition, a complementary truth must be specified; namely, that mixed forces are segregated by the reaction of uniform matters, just as mixed matters are segregated by the action of uniform forces. Of this truth a complete and sufficient illustration is furnished by the dispersion of refracted light. A beam of light, made up of ethereal undulations of different orders, is not uniformly deflected by a homogeneous refracting body; but the different orders of undulations it contains are deflected at different angles : the result being that these different orders of undulations are separated and integrated, and so produce the colours of the spectrum. A segregation of another kind occurs when rays of light traverse an obstructing medium.

SEGREGATION

Those which consist of comparatively short undulations are absorbed before those which consist of comparatively long ones; and the red rays, which consist of the longest undulations, alone penetrate when the obstruction is very great. How, conversely, there is produced a separation of like forces by the reaction of unlike matters, is also made manifest by the phenomena of refraction; since adjacent and parallel beams of light, falling on, and passing through, unlike substances, are made to diverge.

§ 164. In vague ways the beavenly bodies exemplify that cause of material segregation last assigned—the action of unlike forces on like units.

I say in vague ways because our Sidereal System displays more of aggregation than of segregation. That the irregular swarms of stars constituting the Milky Way, with its branches and gaps and denser regions, have been gethered together from a more widely diffused state, may be reasonably inferred; though as we know nothing of the preceding distribution such a change cannot be proved : still less can there be proved a segregative process.

It is true that in clusters of stars, beginning with those having members considerably dispersed and ending with those having members closely concentrated—globular clusters—we see strong evidence of aggregation; and it may be contended that since the mutual gravitations of the stars forming a cluster, differ in their degrees and directions from those of the stars from which they have separated, there is a kind of scregation. But it must be admitted that the conformity to the above-named principle is but an indefinite one.

There are, however, two classes of facts which exhibit segregation, though they leave us ignorant of its causes. The first is that starclusters are abundant along the course of the Milky Way: by far the larger number of them lying in the neighbourhood of its plane and relatively few in regions on either side. The second is that, contrariwise, the nebulæ are sparsely scattered in and about the galactic circle and are relatively numerous in the spaces remote from it. Though there are thus presented two cases of segregation there is no evidence that these different classes of hodies have been separated from a mixed assemblage, nor is there any indication of the forces by which this contrast in distribution has been produced. We can only say that the facts are congruous with the belief that segregation, probably indirect rather than direct in its cause, has been going on.

The formation and detachment of a nebulous ring illustrates the same general principle. To conclude, as Laplace did, that the equatorial portion of a rotating nebulous spheroid will, during concentration, acquire a centrifugal force sufficient to prevent it from following the rest of the contracting mass, is to conclude that such portions will remain behind as are in common subject to a certain differential force. The line of division between the ring and the spheroid, must be a line inside of which the aggregative force is greater than the force resisting aggregation; and outside of which the force resisting aggregation is greater than the aggregative force. Hence the alleged process conforms to the law that among like units, exposed to unlike forces, the similarly conditioned separate from the dissimilarly conditioned.

§ 165. Those geologic changes usually classed as aqueous, display under numerous forms the segregation of unlike units by a uniform incident force. On sea shores the waves are ever sorting out and separating the mixed materials against which they break. From each mass of fallen cliff, the tide carries away all those particles which are so small as to remain long suspended in the water; and, at some distance from shore, deposits them in the shape of fine sediment. Large particles, sinking with comparative rapidity, are accumulated into heds of sand near low water-mark., The small peoples collect together at the bottom of the incline up which the breakers rush; and on the top lie the larger stones and boulders. Still more specific segregations may occasionally be observed. Flat pebbles, produced by the breaking down of laminated rock, are sometimes separately collected in one part of a shingle bank. On this shore the deposit is wholly of mud; on that it is wholly of sand. Here we find a sheltered cove filled with small pebbles almost of one size; and there, in a curved bay one end of which is more exposed than the other, we see a progressive increase in the massiveness of the stones as we walk from the less exposed to the more exposed end. Trace the history of each

geologic deposit, and we are quickly led down to the fact that mixed fragments of matter, differing in their sizes or weights, are, when exposed to the momentum and friction of water, joined with the attraction of the Earth, selected from one another, and united into groups of comparatively like fragments. And we see that, other things equal, the separation is definite in proportion as the differences of the units are marked. After they have been formed, sedimentary strata exhibit segregations of another kind. The flints and the nodules of iron pyrites that are found in chalk. as well as the silicious concretions which sometimes occur in limestone, are interpreted as aggregations of molecules of silex or sulphuret of iron, originally diffused through the deposit, but gradually collected round centres, notwithstanding the solid or semi-solid state of the surrounding matter. Bog iron ore supplies. the conditions and the result in still more obvious correlation.

Among ignoous changes we do not find so many examples of the process described. Nevertheless, geological phenomena of this order are not barren of illustrations. Where the mixed matters composing the Earth's crust have been raised to a very high temperature, segregation commonly takes place as the temperature. falla. Sundry of the substances that escape in a gaseous form from volcances sublime into crystals on coming against cool surfaces; and solidifying, as these substances do, at different temperatures, they are deposited at different parts of the crevices through which they are emitted together. The best illustration, however, is furnished by the changes that occur during the slow cooling of igneous rock. When, through one of the fractures from time to time made in the Earth's crust, a portion of the molten nucleus is extruded, and when this is cooled with comparative rapidity, there results trap or basalt-a substance that is uniform in texture, though made up of various ingredients. But when, not escaping through the superficial strata, such a portion of the molten nucleus is slowly cooled, granite is the result : the aningled particles of quartz, feldspar, and mica, being kept for a long time in a fluid and semi-fluid state-a state of comparative mobility-undergo those changes of position which the forces impressed on them by their fellow units necessitate. The differential forces arising from mutual polarity, segregate the quartz, feldspar, and mica, into
crystals. How completely this is dependent on the long-continued agitation of the mixed particles, and consequent long-continued movableness by small differential forces, is proved by the fact that in a granite dyke the crystals in the centre, where the fluidity or semi-fluidity continued for a longer time, are much larger than those at the sides, where contact with the neighbouring rock caused more rapid cooling and solidification.

§ 166. The actions going on throughout an organism are so involved, that we cannot expect to identify the forces by which particular segregations are reflected. Among the few instances admitting of interpretation, the best are those in which mechanical pressures and tensions are the agencies at work.

The spine of a vertebrate animal is subject to certain general strains-the weight of the body, together with the reactions involved by all considerable muscular efforts; and under these conditions it has become segregated as a whole. At the same time, being exposed to different forces during those lateral bendings which the movements necessitate, its parts retain a certain separateness. If we trace up the development of the vertebral column from its primitive form of a cartilaginous cord in the lowest falles, we see that, throughout, it maintains an integration corresponding to the unity of the incident forces, joined with a division into segments corresponding to the variety of the incident Each segment, considered apart, exemplifies the forces. truth more simply. A vertebra is not a single bone, but consists of a central mass with sundry appendages or processes, and in unfinished types of vertebre these appendages are separate from the central mass, and, indeed, exist before it makes its appearance. But these several independent hones, constituting a primitive spinal segment, are subject to a certain aggregate of forces which agree more than they differ: as the fulcrum to a group of muscles habitually acting together they perpetually undergo certain reactions in common. And accordingly, in the course of development, they gradually coalesce. Still clearer is the illustration furnished by spinal segments that become fused together where they are together exposed to some predominant strain. The secrum consists of a group of vertebræ firmly united. In the

ostrich and its congeners there are from seventeen to twenty sacral vertebra; and, besides being confluent with one another, these are coaffuent with the iliac bones, which run on each side of them. If, now, we assume these vertebree to have been originally separate, as they still are in the embryo bird, and if we consider the forces to which they must in such case have been exposed, we shall see that their union results in the alleged way. For through these vertebose the entire weight of the body is transferred to the legs: the legs support the pelvic arch; the pelvic arch supports the sacrum; and to the sacrom is articulated the rest of the spine, with all the organs attached to it and upheld by it. Hence, if separate, the sacral vertebrae must be held firmly together by strongly-contracted muscles, and must, by implication, be prevented from partaking in those lateral movements which the other vertebras undergo-they must be subject to a common strain, while they are preserved from strains which would affect them differently; and 'so they fulfil the conditions under which segregation occurs. But the cases in which cause and effect are brought into the most obvious relation are supplied by the limbs. The metacarpal hones (these which in man support the palm of the hand) are separate from one another in most mammals: the separate actions of the tees entailing on them slight amounts of separate movements. This is not so however in the ox-tribe and the horse-tribe. In the ox-tribe, only the middle metacarpals (third and fourth) are developed; and these, attaining massive proportions, coalesce to form the cannon bone. In the horse-tribe, the segregation is what we may distinguish as indirect; the second and fourth metacarpals are present only as rudiments united to the sides of the third, while the third is immensely developed; thus forming a cannon hone which differs from that of the ox in being a single cylinder, instead of two cylinders fused together. The metatarsus in these quadrupeds exhibits parallel changes. Now each of these metamorphoses occurs where the different bones grouped together have no longer any different functions, but retain only a common function. The feet of oxen and horses are used solely for locomotion-are not put, like those of unguiculate mammals, to purposes which involve some relative movements of the metacarpals. Thus there directly or

indirectly results a single mass of bone where the incident force is single. And for the inference that these facts have a causal connexion, we find confirmation throughout the entire class of birds. in the wings and legs of which, like segregations are found under While this sheet is passing through the like conditions. press (1862), a fact illustrating this general truth in a yet more remarkable manner, has been mentioned to me by Prof. Huxley, who kindly allows me to make use of it while still unpublished by him. The Glyptodon, an extinct mammal found fossilized in South America, has long been known as a large uncouth creature allied to the Armudillo, but having a massive dermal armour consisting of polygonal plates closely fitted together so as to make a vast box, inclosing the body in such way as effectually to prevent it from being bent, laterally or vertically, in the slightest degree. This box, which must have weighed soveral hundred-weight, was supported on the spinous processes of the vertebrae, and on the adjacent bones of the pelvic and thoracic arches. And the significant fact is that here, where the trunk vertebrie were together exposed to the pressure of this heavy dermal armour, at the same time that, by its rigidity, they were preserved from all relative movements, they were united into one solid, continuous bone.

The formation and maintenance of a species, considered as an assemblage of similar organisms, is interpretable in an analogous way. Already we have seen that in so far as the members of a species are subject to different sets of incident forces, they are differentiated, or divided into varieties. Here it remains to add that such of them as are subject to like sets of incident forces, are segregated. For by the process of "natural selection," there is a continual purification of each species from those individuals which depart from the common type in ways that unfit them for the conditions of their existence. Consequently, there is a continual leaving behind of those individuals which are in all respects fit for the conditions of their existence, and are therefore nearly alike. The circumstances to which any species is exposed, being an involved combination of incident forces; and the members of the species having smong them some that differ more than is usual from the average structure required for meeting these forces; it

results that these forces are constantly separating such divergent individuals from the rest, and so preserving the uniformity of the rest-keeping op its integrity as a species or variety. Just as the changing autumn leaves are picked out by the wind from among the green ones around them, or just as, to use Prof. Huxley's simile, the smaller fragments pass through a sieve while the larger are kept back; so, the uniform incidence of external forces affects the members of a group of organisms similarly in proportion as they are similar, and differently in proportion as they are different; and thus is ever segregating the like by parting the unlike from them. Whether these separated members are killed off, as mostly happens, or whether, as otherwise happens, they survive and multiply into a distinct variety, in consequence of their fitness to certain partially-unlike conditions, matters not to the argument. The one case conforms to the law that the unlike units of an aggregate are sorted into their kinds and parted, when uniformly subject to the same incident forces, and the other to the converse law that the like units of an aggregate are parted and separately grouped when subject to different incident forces. And on consulting Mr. Darwin's remarks on divergence of character, it will be seen that the segregations thus caused tend ever to become more definite.

§ 167. Mental evolution under one of its leading aspects, we found to consist in the formation in the mind of groups of like objects and like relations—a differentiation of the various things originally confounded together in one assemblage, and an integration of each separate order of things into a separate group (§ 153). Here it remains to point out that while unlikeness in the incident forces is the cause of such differentiations, likeness in the incident forces is the cause of such integrations. For what is the process through which classifications are established? How do plants become grouped in the mind of the botanist into orders, genera, and species? Each plant he examines yields him a certain complex impression. Now and then he picks up a plant like one before seen ; and the recognition of it is the production in him of a like connected group of sensations by a like connected group of attributes. That is to say, there is produced throughout the nervecentres concerned, a combined set of changes, similar to a combined set of changes before produced. Considered analytically, each such combined set of changes is a combined set of molecular modifications wrought in the affected part of the organism. On every repetition of the impression, a like combined set of molecular modifications is superposed on the previous ones, and makes them greater: thus generating an internal plexus of modifications, with its answering idea, corresponding to these similar external objects. Meanwhile, another kind of plant produces in the brain of the botanist another set of molecular modifications-a set which does not agree with the one we have been considering, but disagrees with it; and by repetition of such there is generated a different idea answering to a different species. What, now, is the nature of this process expressed in general terms? On the one hand there are the like and unlike things from which severally emanate the groups of forces by which we perceive them. On the other hand, there are the organs of sense and percipient centres, through which, in the course of observation, these groups of forces pass. In passing through them the like groups of forces are segregated, or separated from the unlike groups of forces; and each such separate series of groups of forces, answering to an external genus or species, produces an idea of the genus or species. We before saw that as well as a separation of mixed matters by the same force, there is a separation of mixed forces by the same matter; and here we may further see that the unlike forces so separated, work unlike structural changes in the aggregate that separates them--structural changes each of which thus represents the integrated series of motions that has produced it.

By a parallel process, the relations of co-existence and sequence among impressions become sorted into kinds and grouped. When two phenomena that have been experienced in a given order are repeated in the same order, those nerve-centres which before were affected by the transition are again affected; and such molecular modification as they received from the first motion propagated through them is increased by this second motion. Each such motion works a structural alteration which, in conformity with the law set forth in Chapter IX, involves a diminished resistance to all such motions that afterwards occur. The segregation of these successive motions (or more strictly, the permanently effective portions of them expended in overcoming resistance) thus becames the cause of, and the measure of, the mental connexions between the impressions which the phenomena produced. Meanwhile, phenomena different from these, being phenomena that affect different nervous elements, will have their connexions severally represented by motions along other routes; and along each of these other routes, the nervous discharges will severally take place with a readiness proportionate to the frequency with which experience repeats the connexions of phenomena. The classification of relations must hence go on puri passe with the classification of the related things. In common with the mixed sensations received from the external world, the mixed relations it presents cannot be impressed on the organism without more or less segregation of them resulting. And through this continuous sorting and grouping of changes or motions, which constitutes nervous function, there is gradually wrought that sorting and grouping of matter, which constitutes nervous structure.

§ 168. In rocial evolution, the collecting together of the like and the separation of the unlike by incident forces, is primarily displayed in the same manner as we saw it to be among groups of inferior creatures. The human races tend to differentiate and integrate, as do races of other living forms.

Of the forces which effect and maintain the segregations of mankind, may first be named those external ones classed as physical conditions. The climate and food which are favourable to an indigenous people, are more or less detrimental to an alien people of different bodily constitution. In tropical regions the northern races cannot permanently exist: if not killed off in the first generation, they are so in the second, and, as in India, can maintain their footing only by the artificial process of continuous immigration and emigration. That is to say, the external forces acting equally on the inhabitants of a given locality, tend to expel all who are not of a certain type, and thus to keep up the integration of those who are of that type. Even among the Indian peoples themselves the like happens: some of the hill-tribes being segregated by surviving the malarious influences which kill off Hindus who enter

115

their habitat. The other forces conspiring to produce these national segregations, are those mental ones shown in the affinities of men for others like themselves. Units of one society who are obliged to reside in another, generally form colonies in the midst of that other-small societies of their own, Races which have been artificially severed, show tendencies to re-unite. Now though these segregations caused by the mutual likings of kindred men, do not seem due to the general principle enunciated, they really are thus interpretable. When treating of the direction of motion (§ S0), it was shown that the actions performed by men for the satisfaction of their wants, are always motions along lines of least resistance. The feelings characterizing a member of a given race, are feelings which get complete satisfaction only among other members of that race-a satisfaction partly derived from sympathy with those having like feelings, but mainly derived from the adapted social conditions which grow up where such feelings prevail. When, therefore, a citizen of any nation is, as we see, attracted towards others of his nation, the rationale is that certain agencies which we call desires move him in the direction of least resistance. Human motions, like all other motions, being determined by the distribution of forces, it follows that such acgregations of races as are not produced by incident external forces are produced by forces which the units of the races exercise on one another.

During the development of each society we see analogous sugregation caused in analogous ways. A few of them result from minor natural affinities; but those most important ones, which constitute political and industrial organization, result from the union of men in whom similarities have been produced by training. Men brought up to hodily labour are men who have had wrought in them a certain likeness—a likeness which, in respect of their powers of action, obscures and subordinates their natural differences. Those trained to brain-work have acquired a certain other community of character which makes them, as social units, more like one another than like these trained to manual occupations. And there arise class-segregations answering to these superinduced likenesses. More definite segregations take place among the more definitely assimilated members of any class who are brought up to the same calling. Even where the necessities of their work forbid concen-

tration in one locality, as among artizans happens with masons and bricklayers, and among traders happens with the rotail distributers, and among professionals happens with the medical men, these are not wanting Operative Builders' Unions, and Grocers' Societies, and Medical Associations, implying a process of sifting out and grouping. And where, as among the manufacturing classes, the functions discharged do not require the dispersion of citizens who are artificially assimilated, there is an aggregation of them in special localities, and a consequent increase in the definiteness of industrial divisions. If, now, we seek the causes of these segregations, considered as results of force and motion, we are brought to the same general principle as before. This likeness produced in the members of any class or sub-class by training, is an aptitude acquired by them for satisfying their wants in like waya. That is, the occupation has become to each a line of least resistance. Hence under that pressure which determines all men to activity, these similarly-modified social units are similarly affected, and tend to take similar courses. If, then, there be any locality which, either by its physical peculiarities or by peculiarities wrought on it during social evolution, is rendered a place where a certain kind of industrial action meets with less resistance than elsewhere, it follows from the law of direction of motion that those social units who have been moulded to this kind of industrial action, will be segregated by moving towards this place. If, for instance, the proximity of coal and iron mines to a navigable river, gives to Glasgow an advantage in the building of iron-ships-if the total labour required. to produce a given vessel, and get its equivalent in food and clothing, is less there than elsewhere; there is caused a concentration of iron-ship builders at Glasgow, either by detention of the population born to iron-ship building, or by immigration of those elsewhere engaged in it, or by both. The principle equally holds where the occupation is moreantile instead of manufacturing. Stock-brokers cluster where the amount of effort to be severally gone through by them in discharging their functions, and obtaining their profits, is less than elsewhere. A local exchange having once been established, becomes a place where the resistance to be overcome by each is smaller than in any other place; and, being like units under stress of common desires, pursuit of the

course of least resistance by each involves their aggregation around this place.

Of course, with units so complex as those which constitute a society, and with forces so involved as those which move them. the resulting selections and separations must be far more entangled, or far less definite, than those we have hitherto considered. For men's likenesses being of various kinds, lead to various orders. of segregation. There are likenesses of disposition, likenesses of tasts, likenesses produced by education, likenesses that result from class-habits, likenesses of political feeling; and it needs but to glance round at the caste divisions, the associations for philanthropic, scientific, and artistic purposes, the religious parties and social cliques, to see that some species of likeness among the component members of each body determines their union. Now the different segregative processes, by traversing one canther and often by their indirect antagonism, more or lass obscure one another's effects, and prevent any one differentiated class from completely integrating. But if this cause of incompleteness be borne in mint, social segregations will be seen to conform to the same principle as all other segregations.

§ 169. Can the general truth thus variously illustrated be deduced from the persistence of force, in common with foregoing truths \hat{r} Probably the exposition at the beginning of the chapter will have led most renders to conclude that it can be so deduced.

The abstract propositions involved are these :--First, that like units, subject to a uniform force capable of producing motions in them, will be moved to like degrees in the same direction. Second, that like units if exposed to unlike forces capable of producing motion in them, will be differently moved---moved either in different directions or to different degrees in the same direction. Third, that unlike units if acted on by a uniform force capable of producing motion in them, will be differently moved----moved either in different directions or to different degrees in the same direction. Fourth, that the incident forces themselves must be affected in analogous ways : like forces falling on like units must be similarly modified by the conflict : unlike forces falling on like units must be dissimilarly modified : and like forces falling on unlike units must be dissimilarly modified. These propositions may be reduced to a still more abstract form. They all imply that in the actions and reactions of force and matter, an unlikeness in either of the factors necessitates an unlikeness in the effects, and that in the absence of unlikeness in either of the factors the effects must be alike.

When they are thus generalized, the dependence of these propositions on the persistence of force is obvious. Any two forces that are not alike, are forces which differ either in their amounts or directions or both ; and by what is called the resolution of forces, it may be proved that this difference is constituted by the presence in the one of some force not present in the other. Similarly, any two units or portions of matter which are unlike in size, form, weight, or other attribute, can be known as unlike only through some unlikeness in the forces they impress on us; and hence this unlikeness also, is constituted by the presence in the one of some force or forces not present in the other. Such being the common nature of these unlikenesses, what is the corollary ? Any unlikeness in the incident forces, where the things acted on are alike, must generate a difference between the effects; since, otherwise, the differential force produces no effect, and force is not persistent. Any, unlikeness in the things acted on, where the incident forces are alike, must generate a difference between the effects; since, otherwise, the differential force whereby these things are made unlike, produces no effect, and force is not persistent. While, conversely, if the forces acting and the things acted on are alike, the effects must be alike; since, otherwise, a differential effect can be produced without a differential cause, and force is not persistent.

Thus these general truths being necessary implications of the persistence of force, all the re-distributions above traced out as characterizing Evolution in its various phases, are also implications of the persistence of force. If, of the mixed units making up any aggregate, those of the same kind have like motions impressed on them by a uniform force, while units of another kind are moved by this uniform force in ways more or less unlike the ways in which these of the first kind are moved, the two kinds must separate and integrate. If the units are alike and the forces unlike, a division of the differently affected units is equally necessitated. Thus there inevitably arises the demarcated grouping which we everywhere see. By virtue of this segregation, growing ever more decided while there remains any possibility of increasing it, the change from uniformity to multiformity is accompanied by a change from indistinctness in the relations of parts to distinctness in the relations of parts. As we before saw that the transformation of the homogeneous into the heterogeneous is inferable from that ultimate truth which transcends proof; so we here see that from this same truth is infenable the transformation of an indefinite homogeneity into a definite heterogeneity.



CHAPTER XXII

EQUILIBRATION

§ 170. Towance what do these changes tend? Will they go on for ever? or will there be an end to them? Can things increase in heterogeneity through all future time? or must there be a degree which the differentiation and integration of Matter and Motion cannot pass? Is it possible for this universal metamorphosis to proceed in the same general course indefinitely? or does it work towards some ultimate state admitting no further modification of like kind? The last of these alternative conclusions is that to which we are inevitably driven. Whether we watch concrete processes, or whether we consider the question in the abstract, we are alike taught that Evolution has an impassable limit.

The re-distributions of matter which go on around us are over being brought to conclusions by the dissipation of the motions which effect them. The rolling stone parts with portions of its momentum to the things it strikes, and finally comes to rest; as do also, in like manner, the various things it has struck. Descending from the clouds and trickling over the Earth's surface till it gathers into brooks and rivers, water, still running towards a lower level, is at last arrested by the resistance of other water that has reached the lowest level. In the lake or sea thus formed, every agitation mised by a wind or the immersion of a solid body, propagates itself around in waves which diminish as they widen, and gradually become lost to observation in motions communicated to the atmosphere and the matter on the shores. The impulse given by a player to a harp-string is transformed through its vibrations into aerial pulses; and these, spreading on all sides, and weakening as they spread, soon cease to be perceptible, and are

gradually expended in generating thermal undulations that radiate into space: each airial pulse causing compression and evolution of heat. Equally in the cinder which falls out of the fire, and in the vast mass of malten lava ejected by a volcano, we see that the molecular agitation disperses itself by radiation; so that the temperature inevitably sinks at last to the same degree as that of The proximate rationale of the surrounding bodies. process exhibited under these several forms, lies in the fact dwelt on when treating of the Multiplication of Effects, that motions are ever being decomposed into divergent motions, and these into re-divergent motions. The rolling stone sends off the stones it hits in directions differing more or less from its own, and they dothe like with the things they hit. Move water or air, and the movement is quickly resolved into dispersed movements. The heat produced by pressure in a given direction diffuses itself by undulations in all directions. That is to say, these motions undergo division and subdivision, and by continuance of this process without limit they are, though never lost, gradually dissipated.

In all cases, then, there is a progress toward equilibrium. That universal co-existence of antagonist forces which, as we before saw, necessitates the universality of rhythm, and which, as we before saw, necessitates the decomposition of every force into divergent forces, at the same time necessitates the ultimate establishment of a balance. Every motion, being motion under resistance, is continually suffering deductions; and these unceasing deductions finally result in the cessation of the motion.

The general truth thus illustrated under its simplest aspect; we must now look at under those more complex aspects it usually presents throughout Nature. In nearly all cases, the motion of an aggregate is compound; and the equilibration of each of its components, being carried on independently, does not affect the rest. The ship's bell that has caused to vibrate, still continues those vertical and lateral oscillations caused by the ocean-swell. The water of a smooth stream on whose surface have died away the undulations caused by a rising fish, moves as fast as before towards the sea. The arrested bullet travels with undiminished speed round the Earth's axis. And were the rotation of the Earth destroyed, there would not be implied any diminution of the Earth's movement with respect to the Sun and other external bodies. So that in every case, what we regard as equilibration is the disappearance of some one or more of the many movements a body possesses, while its other movements continue as before, That this process may be duly realized and the state of things towards which it tends fully understood, it will be well here to cite a case in which we may watch this successive equilibration of combined movements more completely than we can do in those above instanced. Our end will best be served not by the most imposing but by the most familiar example. Let us take that of a spinning top. When the string which has been wrapped round a top's axis is violently drawn off, and the top fulls on to the table, it usually happens that besides the rapid rotation two other movements are given to it. A slight horizontal momentum, unavoidably impressed on it when leaving the bandle, carries it away hodily from the place on which it drops; and in consequence of its axis being more or less inclined, it falls into a certain oscillation, described by the expressive though inelegant word "wabbling." These two subordinate motions, variable in their proportions to each other and to the chier motion, are commonly soon brought to a close by separate processes of equilibration. The momentum which carries the top bodily along the table, resisted somewhat by the air but mainly by the irregularities of the surface, shortly disappears; and the top thereafter continues to spin on one spot. Meanwhile, in consequence of that opposition which the axial momentum of a rotating body makes to any change in the plane of rotation, (so beautifully exhibited by the gyroscope,) the " wabbling " diminishes, and like the other is quickly ended. These minor motions having been dissipated, the rotatory motion, interfered with only by atmospheric resistance and the friction of the pivot, continues some time with such uniformity that the top appears stationary : there being thus temporarily established a condition which the French mathematicians have termed equilibrium mobile. It is true that when the velocity of rotation sinks below a certain point, new motions commence and increase till the top fails; but these are merely incidental to a case in which the centre of gravity is above the point of support. Were the top, having an axis of steel, to be suspended from a surface adequately magnetized,

the moving equilibrium would continue until the top became motionless, without any further change of attitude. Now the facts which it behaves us here to observe are these. First, that the various motions which an aggregate possesses are separately equilibrated: those which are smallest, or which meet with the greatest resistance, or both, disappearing first; and leaving at last that which is greatest, or meets with least resistance, or both. Second, that when the aggregate has a movement of its parts with respect to each other which encounters but little external resistance, there is apt to be established a moving equilibrium. Third, that this moving equilibrium eventually lapsos into complete equilibrium.

Fully to comprehend the process of equilibration, is not easy; since we have simultaneously to contemplate various phases of it. The best course will be to glance separately at what we may conveniently regard as its four different orders, The first order includes the comparatively simple motions, as those of projestiles, which are not prolonged enough to exhibit their thythenical character, but which, being quickly divided and subdivided into motions communicated to other portions of mattely are presently dissipated in the rhythm of ethereal undulations. In the second order, comprehending various kinds of ordinary vibration or ascillation, the implied energy is used up in generating a tension which, having become equal to it or momentarily equilibrated with it, thereupon produces a motion in the opposite direction that is subsequently equilibrated in like manner: thus causing a visible rhythm which is presently lost in invisible rhythms. The third order of equilibration, not hitherto noticed, obtains in those aggregates which continually receive as much energy as they expend, The steam-engine (and especially that kind which feeds its own furnace and boiler) supplies an example. Here the energy from moment to moment dissipated in overcoming the resistance of the muchinery driven, is from moment to moment re-placed from the fuel; and the balance of the two is maintained by a raising or lowering of the expenditure according to the variation of the supply : each increase or decrease in the quantity of steam, resulting in a rise or fall of the engine's movement, such as brings it to a halance with the increased or decreased resistance. This, which

we may fitly call the *dependent* moving equilibrium, should be specially noted; since it is one that we shall commonly meet with throughout various phases of Evolution. The equilibration to be distinguished as of the fourth order, is the *independent* or perfect moving equilibrium. This we see illustrated in the rhythmical motions of the Solar System, which, being resisted only by a medium of inappreciable density, undergo no sensible diminution in such periods of time as we can measure.

Something has still to be added. The reader must note two leading truths brought out by the foregoing exposition : the one concerning the ultimate, or rather the penultimate, state of motion which the processes described tend to bring about; the other concerning the concomitant distribution of matter. This. peoultimate state of motion is the moving equilibrium, which tends to arise in an aggregate having compound motions, as a transitional state on the way towards complete equilibrium. Throughout Evolution of all kinds there is a continual approximation to, and more or less complete maintenance of, this moving equilibrium. As in the Solar System there has been established an independent moving equilibrium - an equilibrium such that the relative motions of its members are continually so counterbalanced by opposite motions that the mean state of the aggregate never varies ; so is it, though in a less distinct manner, with each form of dependent moving equilibrium. The state of things exhibited in the cycles of terrestrial changes, in the balanced functions of organic bodies that have reached their adult forms, and in the acting and re-acting processes of fully-developed societies, is similarly one characterized by compensating oscillations. The involved combination of rhythms seen in each of these cases, has an average condition which remains practically constant during the deviations ever taking place on opposite sides of it. And the fact which we have here to observe is that, as a corollary from the general law of equilibration, every evolving aggregate must go on changing until a moving equilibrium is established; since, as we have seen, an excess of force which the aggregate possesses in any direction must eventually be expended in overcoming resistances to change in that direction : leaving behind only those movements which compensate one another, and so form a moving

equilibrium. Respecting the structural state simultaneously reached, it must obviously be one presenting an arrangement of forces that counterbalance all the forces to which the aggregate is subject. So long as there remains a residual force in any direction—be it excess of a force exercised by the aggregate on its environment, or of a force exercised by its environment on the aggregate, equilibrium does not exist; and therefore the re-distribution of matter must continue. Whence it follows that the limit of heterogeneity towards which every aggregate progresses is the formation of as many specializations and combinations of parts as there are specialized and combined forces to be met.

§ 171. Those successively changed forms which, if the nebular hypothesis be granted, must have arisen during the evolution of the Solar System, were so many transitional kinds of moving equilibrium, severally giving place to more enduring kinds. Thus the assumption of an oblate apheroidal figure by condensing nebulous matter was the assumption of a temporary add partial moving equilibrium among the component parts-a moving equilibrium that must have grown more settled as local conflicting movements were dissipated. In the formation and detachment of the nebulous rings which, according to this hypothesis, from time to time took place, we have instances of progressive equilibration severally ending in the establishment of a complete moving equilibrium. For the genesis of each such ring implies a balancing of that attractive force which the whole spheroid exercises on its equatorial portion, by that centrifugal force which the equatorial portion has acquired during previous concentration. So long as these two forces are not equal, the equatorial portion follows the contracting mass ; but as soon as the second force has increased up to an equality with the first, the equatorial portion can follow no further and remains behind. While, however, the resulting ring, regarded as a whole, has reached a state of moving equilibrium, its parts are not balanced with respect to one another. As we before saw (§ 150) the probabilities against the maintenance of an annular form by nebulous matter, are great : from the instability of the homogeneous, it is inferable that nebulous matter so distributed will break up into portions, and eventually concentrate

into a single mass. That is to say, the ring will progress towards a moving equilibrium of a more complete kind, during the dissipation of that motion which maintained its particles in a diffused form ; leaving at length a planetary body attended perhaps by a group of minor bodies similarly produced, constituting a moving equilibrium that is all but perfect."

Hypothesis aside, the principle of equilibration is still perpetually illustrated in those minor changes of state which the Solar System undergoes. Each planet, satellite, and comet, exhibits at its aphelion a momentary equilibrium between that force which urges it further away from its primary, and that force which retards its retreat. In like manner at perihelion a converse equilibrium is momentarily established. The variation of each orbit in eccentricity, and in the position of its plane, has similarly a limit at which the forces producing change in the one direction, are equalled by those antagonizing it; and an opposite limit at which an opposite arrest takes place. Meanwhile, each of these simple perturbations, as well as each of the complex nues resulting from their combination, exhibits, besides the temporary equilibration at each of its extremes, a certain general equilibration of compensating deviations on either side of a mean That the moving equilibrium thus constituted state. tends, in the course of indefinite time, to lapse into a complete equilibrium, by the gradual decrease of planetary motions and

* Sir David Brewster has cited with approval, a calculation by M. Babinet, to the effect that on the hypothesis of nebular genesis, the contter of the Sun, when it filled the Earth's orbit, must have taken 3181 years to rotate ; and that therefore the hypothesis cannot be true. This calculation of M. Babinet may pair off with that of M. Cente who, contrarivise, made the time of this rotation agree very nearly with the Earth's period of revolution round the Sam-For if M. Comte's endeulation involved a petitio principal, that of M. Babinet is based on two assumptions both of which are gratuitous, and one of them inconsistent with the dostring to be tested. He has evidently proceeded on the current supposition respecting the Sun's internal density, which is not proved, and from which there are reasons for dissenting ; and he has evidently taken for erapted that all parts of the usbulous spheroid, when it filled the Earth's canit, had the summargular velocity; whereas if (as is implied in the nebular hypothesis estimatly understood) this spheroid resulted from the concentration of widely-diffused matter, the angular velocity of its equatorial portion would obviously be far prester than that of its central portion.

eventual integration of all the separate masses composing the Solar System, is a belief suggested by certain observed cometary retardations-a belief entertained by some of high authority. The received opinion that the appreciable diminution in the period of Encke's comet, implies a loss of momentum caused by resistance of the ethereal medium, commits astronomers who held it to the conclusion that this same resistance must cause a loss of planetary motions-a loss which, infinitesimal though it may be in such periods as we can measure, will, if indefinitely continued, bring these motions to a close. Even should there be, as Sir John Herschel suggests, a rotation of the ethercal medium in the same direction with the planets, this arrest, though immensely postponed, would not be absolutely provented. Such an eventuality, however, must in any case be so inconceivably remote as to have no other than a speculative interest for us. It is referred to here, simply as illustrating the still-continued tendency towards complete equilibrium, through the still-continued dissignation of sensible motion, or transformation of it into insensible motion.

But there is another species of equilibration going on in the Solar System, with which the human race is loss remotely concerned. The tacit assumption that the Sun can continue to give off an undiminished amount of light and heat through all future time is now abandoned. Involving as it does, under a disguise, the conception of power produced out of nothing, it is of the same order as the belief which misleads perpetual-motion schemers. The spreading recognition of the truth that whatever force is manifested under one shape must previously have existed under another shape, implies recognition of the truth that the force known to us in solar radiations, is the changed form of some other force of which the Sun is the seat; and that, by the emission of these radiations, this other force is being slowly exhausted. The force by which the Sun's substance is drawn to his centre of gravity, is the only one which physical laws warrant us in concluding to be the correlate of the forces emanating from him: the only assignable source for the insensible motions constituting solar light and heat, is the sensible motion which disappears during the concentration of the Sun's mass. We before

998

saw it to be a corollary from the nebular hypothesis, that there is such a progressing concentration of the Sun's mass, And here remains to be added the further corollary, that just us in the case of the small members of the Solar System, the heat generated by concentration, once escaping rapidly, has in each left a central residue which escapes but slowly; so in the case of that immensely larger mass forming the Sun, the immensely greater quantity of heat generated and still in process of rapid diffusion, must, as the concentration approaches its limit, diminish in amount, and eventually leave but a relatively small internal remnant. With or without the accompaniment of that hypothesis of nebular condensation whence it naturally follows, the doctrine that the Sun is gradually losing his heat, has now gained general acceptance; and calculations have been made, both respecting the amount of heat, and light already radiated, as compared with the amount that remains, and respecting the period during which active radiation will continue. Frof. Helmholtz estimates that since the time when, according to the nebular hypothesis, the matter composing the Solar System extended to the orbit of Neptune, there has been evolved by the arrest of sensible motion, an amount of heat 454 times as great as that which the Sun still has to give out. He also makes an approximate estimate of the rate at which this remaining $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ th is being diffused : showing that a decrease of the Sun's diameter to the extent of Taboa, would produce heat, at the present rate, for more than 2000 years; or in other words, that a contraction of wagehours of his diameter, suffices to generate the light and heat annually emitted; and that thus, at the present rate of expenditure, the Sun's diameter will diminish hy something like an in the lapse of the next million years." Of course these conclusions are but rude approximations to the truth. Until quite recently, we have been totally ignorant of the Sun's chamical composition, and even now have obtained but a superficial knowledge of it. We know nothing of his internal structure ; and it is quite possible that the assumptions respecting central density, made in the foregoing estimates, are wrong. But

* See paper " On the Inter-action of Natural Forces," by Prof. Helmholtz, transisted by Prof. Tyndall, and published in the *Philosophical Magazine*, supplement to Vol. XI., fourth series. no uncertainty in the data on which these calculations proceed, and no consequent error in the inferred rate at which the Sun is expending his reserve of energy, militates against the general proposition that this reserve of energy is being expended, and must in time be exhausted.

Thus while the Solar System, if evolved from diffused matter, has illustrated the law of equilibration in the establishment of a moving equilibrium ; and while, as at present constituted, it illustrates the law of equilibration in the perpetual balancing of all its movements ; it also illustrates this law in these processes which astronomers and physicists infer are still going on. That motion of masses produced during Evolution, is being slowly re-diffused in molecular motion of the othereal medium ; both through the progressive integration of each mass, and the resistance to its motion through space. Infinitely remote as may be the state when all the relative motions of its masses shall be transformed into molecular motion, and all the molecular motion dissipated ; yet such a state of complete integration and complete equilibration, is that towards which the changes now going on throughout the Solar System inevitably tend.

§ 172. A subcrical figure is the one which can alone equilibrate the forces of mutually-gravitating molecules. If an aggregate of such molecules rotates, the form of equilibrium becomes a spheroid of greater or less oblateness, according to the rate of rotation; and it has been ascertained that the Earth is an oblate spheroid, diverging just as much from sphericity as is requisite to equaterbalance the centrifugal force consequent on its velocity round its exis. That is to say, during the evolution of the Earth, there has been reached an equilibrium of these forces which affect its general outline. The only other equilibration which the Earth as a whole can exhibit is the loss of its rotation ; and that any such loss is going on we have no direct evidence. It has been contended, however, by Prof. Helmholtz and others, that inappreciable as may be its affect within known periods of time, the friction of the tidal wave must be diminishing the Earth's motion round its axis, and must eventually destroy it. Now though it seems an oversight to say that the axial motion can thus be destroyed, since the extreme

effect, to be reached only in infinite time, would be an extension of the Earth's day to the length of lunation; yet it seems clear that this friction of the tidel wave is a real cause of decreasing rotation. Slow as its action is, we must recognize its retarding effect as exemplifying, under another form, the universal progress towards equilibrium."

It is needless to show in detail how those movements which the Sun's rays generate in the air and water on the Earth's surface, and through them in the Earth's solid substance,⁺ one and all teach the same general truth. Evidently the winds and waves and stremas, as well as the denudations and depositions they effect, illustrate on a grand scale, and in endless modes, that gradual dissipation of motions described in the first section, and the consequent tendency towards a balanced distribution of forces. Each of these sensible motions, produced directly or indirectly by integration of those insensible motions communicated from the Sun, becomes divided and subdivided into motions less and less sensible : until by gradual or sudden arrest of each, and production of its equivalent in molecular motion, there is an escape of it into space. in the shape of thermal undulations. In their totality, these complex motions constitute a dependent moving equilibrium. As we before saw there is traceable throughout them an involved combination of rhythms. The unceasing circulation of water from the ocean to the land and from the land back to the ocean, is a type of these various compensating actions which, in the midst of all the inregularities produced by their mutual interferences, maintain an average. And in this, as in other equilibrations of the third order, we see that the energy ever in course of dissipation, is ever renewed from without : the rises and falls in the supply being

* While the effect of tidal friction is to decrease the rate of rotation, the still-continued contraction of the Earth has the effect of increasing it. How the difference between these conflicting effects is to be ascertained it is not easy to see.

† Until I recently consulted his Outlines of Astronomy on another question, I was not aware that so far back as 1603, Sir Jahn Herschel had pointed out that "the sum's rays are the ultimate source of admost every motion which takes place on the surface of the earth." He expressly includes geologic, meteorologic, and vital actions; as also these which we produce by the combustion of coal.

balanced by rises and falls in the expenditure; as witness the variations of meteorologic activity in northern zones caused by changes of the sensons. But the fact it chiefly concerns us to note is that this process must go on bringing things ever nearer to complete rest. These mechanical movements, meteorologic and geologic, which are continually being equilibrated, both temporarily by conster-movements and permanently by the dissipation of such movements and counter-movements, will slowly diminish as the quantity of force received from the Sun diminishes. As the insensible motions propagated to us from the centre of our system become feebler, the sensible motions here produced by them must decrease; and at that remote period when the solar heat has censed to be appreciable, there will no longer be any appreciable re-distributions of matter on the surface of our planet.

Thus, all terrestrial changes are incidents in the course of cosmical equilibration. It was before pointed out (§ 69), that of the increasent alterations which the Earth's crust and atmosphere undergo, those which are not doe to the action of the moon and to the still-progressing motion of the Earth's substance towards its centre of gravity, are due to the still-progressing motion of the Sun's substance towards its centre of gravity. Here it is to be remarked that this continuance of integration in the Earth and in the Sun is a continuance of that transformation of sensible motion into insensible motion which we have seen ends in equilibrium; and that the arrival in each case at the extreme of integration, is the arrival at a state in which no more sensible motion remains to be transformed into insensible motion—a state in which the forces producing integration and the forces opposing integration have become equal.

§ 173. Every living body exhibits, in a four-fold form, the process we are tracing out—exhibits it from moment to moment in the balancing of mechanical forces; from hour to hour in the balancing of functions; from year to year in the changes of state that compensate changes of conditions; and finally in the arrest of vital movements at death. Let us consider the facts under these heads.

The sensible motion constituting each visible action of an animal

is soon brought to a close by some opposing force within or without the unimal. When a man's arm is raised, the motion given to it is antagonized partly by gravity and partly by the internal resistances consequent on structure ; and its motion, thus suffering continual deduction, ends when the arm has reached a position at which the forces are equilibrated. The limits of each systole and diastole of the heart severally show us a momentary equilibrium between muscular strains that produce opposite movements; and each gush of blood has to be immediately followed by another, because the rapid dissipation of its momentum would otherwise soon bring the circulating mass to a stand. As much in the actions and reactions going on among the internal organs, as in the mechanical balancing of the whole body, there is at every instant a progressive equilibration of the motions at every instant. Viewed in their aggregate, and as forming a produced. series, the organic functions constitute a dependent moving equilibrium-a moving equilibrium of which the motive power is ever being dissipated through the special equilibrations just exemplified, and is ever being renewed by the taking in of additional motive power. The force stored up in food continually adds to the momentum of the vital actions, as much as is continually deducted from them by the forces overcome. All the functional movements thus maintained are rhythmical (§ 85); by their union compound rhythms of various lengths and complexities are produced ; and in these simple and compound rhythms, the process of equilibration, besides being exemplified at each extreme of every chythm, is seen in the habitual preservation of a constant mean, and in the re-establishment of that mean when accidental causes have produced divergence from it. When, for instance, there is a great expenditure of muscular energy, there arises a reactive demand on those stores of energy which are laid up in the form of consumable matter throughout the tissues ; increased respiration and increased circulation aid an extra genesis of force, that counterbalances the extra dissipation of force. This unusual transformation of molecular motion into sensible motion is presently followed by an unusual absorption of food-the source of molecular motion; and the prolonged draft on the spare capital in the tissues is followed by a prolonged rest, during which the abstracted

capital is replaced. If the deviation from the ordinary course of the functions has been so growt as to decange them, as when violent exertion produces loss of appetite and loss of sleep, an equilibration is still eventually effected. Providing the disturbance is not such as to destroy life (in which case complete equilibration is suddenly effected), the ordinary balance is by and by re-established : the returning appetite is keen in proportion as the waste has been large; while sleep, sound and prolonged, makes up for previous wakefulness. Not even when some extreme excess has wrought a derangement that is never wholly rectified is there an exception to the general law; for in such cases the cycle of the functions is, after a time, equilibrated about a new mean state, which thenceforth becomes the normal state of the individual. And this process exemplifies in a large way what physicians call the via The third form of equilibration displayed medicatrix natura. by organic bodies, is a sequence of that just illustrated. When, through a change of habit or circumstance, an organism is permanently subject to some new influence, or different amount of an old influence, there erises, after more or less disturbance of the organic rhythms, a balancing of them around the new average condition produced by this additional influence. If the quantity of motion to be habitually generated by a muscle becomes greater than before, its nutrition becomes greater than before. If the expenditure of the muscle bears to its autrition a greater ratio than expenditure hears to outrition in other parts of the system, the excess of nutrition becomes such that the muscle grows. And the essention of its growth is the establishment of a balance between the daily waste and the daily repair. The like is manifestly the case with all organic modifications consequent on changes of climate or food. If we see that a different mode of life is followed. after a period of derangement, by some altered condition of the system-if we see that this altered condition, becoming by and by established, continues without further change; we have no alternative but to say that the new forces brought to bear on the system, have been compensated by the opposing forces they have evoked. And this is the interpretation of the process called adaptation. Finally, each organism illustrates the law in the ensemble of its life. At the outset it daily absorbs, under the

40%

form of food, an amount of force greater than it daily expends; and the surplus is daily equilibrated by growth. As maturity is approached this surplus diminishes; and in the perfect organism the day's absorption of latent energy balances the day's expenditure of actual energy. That is to say, during adult life there is continuously exhibited an equilibrium of the third order. Eventually, the daily loss begins to outbalance the daily gain, and there results a diminishing amount of functional action; the organic rhythms extend loss and less widely on each side of the medium state; and there finally comes that complete equilibrium we call death.

The ultimate structural state accompanying that ultimate functional state towards which an organism tends may be deduced from one of the propositions set down in the opening section of this chapter. We saw that the limit of heterogeneity is reached when the equilibration of any aggregate becomes complete-that the re-distribution of matter can continue so long only as there continues some motion unbalanced. What is the implication in the case of organic aggregates? We have seen that to maintain the moving equilibrium of one, requires the habitual genesis of internal forces corresponding in number, directions, and amounts to the external incident forces-as many inner functions, single or combined, as there are single or combined outer actions to be met. But functions are the correlatives of organs ; amounts of functions are, other things equal, the correlatives of sizes of organs; and combinations of functions the correlatives of connexions of organs. Hence the structural complexity accompanying functional equilibrium is definable as one in which there are as many specialized parts as are capable, separately and jointly, of counteracting the separate and joint forces amid which the organism exists. And this is the limit of organic heterogeneity; to which Man has approached more nearly than any other creature,

Groups of organisms display this universal tendency towards a balance very obviously. In § S5, every species of plant and animal was shown to be perpetually undergoing a rhythmical variation in number---now from abundance of food or absence of enemies rising above its average; and then, by a consequent scarcity of food or abundance of enemies, being depressed below its average. And here we have to observe that there is thus maintained an equilibrium between the sum of those forces which result in the increase of each race, and the sum of those forces which result in its decrease. Either limit of variation is a point at which the one set of forces, before in excess of the other, is counterbalanced by it. And amid these oscillations, produced by their conflict, lies that average number of the species at which its expansive tendency is in equilibrium with surrounding repressive tendencies. Nor can it be questioned that this balancing of the preservative and destructive forces which we see going on in every race must necessarily go on. Increase of number cannot but continue until increase of mortality stops it; and decrease of number cannot but continue until it is either arrested by fertility or extinguishes the race entirely.

§ 174. The equilibrations of those nervous actions, which constitute the obverse face of mental life, may be classified in like manner with those which constitute what we distinguish as bodily life. We may deal with them in the same order.

Each pulse of nerve force from moment to moment generated, (and it was explained in § 86 that nerve currents are not continuous but rhythmical,) is met by counteracting forces, in overcoming which it is dispersed and equilibrated. Such part of it as does not work mental changes works bodily changes-contractions of the involuntary muscles, the voluntary muscles, or both ; as also some stimulation of secreting organs. That the movements thus initiated are ever being brought to a close by-the opposing forces they evoke, we have just seen; and here it is to be observed that the like holds with the cerebral changes thus initiated. The arousing of a thought or feeling involves the overcoming of a certain resistance: instance the fact that, where the association of mental states has not been frequent, a sensible effort is needed to call up the one after the other; instance the fact that during nervous prostration there is a comparative inability to think-the ideas will not follow one another with the ordinary rapidity; instance the converse fact that at times of unusual energy, natural or artificial, thinking is easy, and more aumerous, more remote, or more difficult connexions of ideas are

406

formed. That is to say, the wave of nervous energy each instant generated propagates itself throughout body and brain, along those channels which the passing conditions render lines of least resistance; and, spreading widely in proportion to its amount, ends only when it is equilibrated by the resistances it everywhere meets. If we contemplate mental actions as extending over hours and days, we discover equilibrations analogous to those hourly. and daily established among the bodily functions. This is seen in the daily alternation of mental activity and mental rest-the forces expended during the one being compensated by the forces acquired during the other. It is also seen in the recurring rise and fall of each desire. Each desire reaching a certain intensity is equilibrated either by expenditure of the energy it embodies in the desired actions, or, less completely, in the imagination of such actions: the process ending in that satiety, or that comparative quiescence, forming the opposite limit of the rhythm. And it is further manifest under a two-fold form on occasions of intense joy or grief. Each paroxysm, expressing itself in violent actions and loud sounds, presently reaches an extreme whence the counteracting forces produce return to a condition of moderate excitement : and the successive paroxysms, finally diminishing in intensity, end in a mental equilibrium either like that before existing, or having a partially different medium state. But the kind of mental equilibration to be especially noted, is that shown in the establishment of a correspondence between relations among our ideas and relations in the externel world. Each outer connexion of phenomena which we are capable of perceiving, generates, through accumulated experiences, an inner connexion of mental states; and the result towards which this process tends is the formation of a mental connexion having a relative strength that answers to the relative constancy of the physical connexion represented. In conformity with the general law that motion pursues the line of least resistance, and that, other things equal, a line once taken by motion is made a line which will be more readily taken by fature motion, we have seen that the case with which nervous impressions follow one another is, other things equal, great in proportion to the number of times they have been repeated. together in experience. Hence, corresponding to such an invariable

relation as that between the resistance of an object and some extension possessed by it, there arises an indissoluble connexion in consciousness; and this connexion, being as absolute internally as the answering one is externally, undergoes no further changethe inner relation is in perfect equilibrium with the outer relation. Conversely, it happens that, answering to such uncertain relations of phenomena as that between clouds and rain, there arise relations of ideas of like uncertainty; and if, under given aspects of the sky, the tendencies to infer fair or foul weather correspond to the frequencies with which fair or foul weather follows such aspects, the accumulation of experiences has balanced the mental sequences and the physical sequences. When it is remembered that between these extremes there are countless orders of external associations having different degrees of constancy, and that during the evolution of intelligence there arise answering internal associations having different degrees of cohesion; it will be seen that there is a progress towards equilibrium between the relations of thought and the relations of things. The like general truths are exhibited in the process of moral adaptation, which is a continual approach to equilibrium between the emotions and the kinds of conduct required by surrounding conditions. Just as repeating the association of two ideas facilitates the excitement of the one by the other, so does each discharge of feeling into action render the subsequent discharge of such feeling into such action more easy. Thus it happens that if an individual is placed permanently in conditions which demand more action of a special kind than has before been requisite, or than is natural to himif by every more frequent or more lengthened performance of it. under such pressure the resistance is somewhat diminished; then, clearly, there is an advance towards a balance between the demand for this kind of action and the supply of it. Either in himself. or in his descendants continuing to live under these conditions, enforced repetition must at length bring about a state in which this mode of directing the energies will be no more repugnant than the other modes previously natural to the race. Hence the limit towards which emotional modification perpetually tends, is a combination of desires that correspond to the various orders of activity which the circumstances of life call for. In acquired habits, and

in the moral differences of mores and nations that are produced by babits maintained through successive generations, we have illustrations of this progressive adaptation, which can cease only with the establishment of equilibrium between constitution and conditions.

§ 175. Each society displays the process of equilibration in the continuous adjustment of its population to its means of subsistence. A tribe of men living on wild animals and fruits, is manifestly, like every tribe of inferior creatures, always oscilliating from side to aide of that average number which the locality can support. Though, by artificial production unceasingly improved, a superior race continually alters the limit which external conditions put to population; yet there is ever a checking of population at the temporary limit reached. It is true that where the limit is being rapidly changed, as among ourselves, there is no actual stoppage : there is only a rhythmical variation in the rate of increase. But in noting the causes of this rhythmical variation-in watching how, during periods of abundance, the proportion of marriages increases, and how it decreases during periods of scarcity, it will be seen that the expansive force produces unusual advance whenever the repressive force diminishes, and vice versa; and thus there is as near a balancing of the two as the changing conditions permit.

The internal actions constituting social functions, exemplify the general principle no less clearly. Supply and demand are continually being adjusted throughout all industrial processes; and this equilibration is interpretable in the same way as preceding ones. The production and distribution of a commodity imply a certain aggregate of forces causing special kinds and amounts of motion. The price of this commodity is the measure of a certain other aggregate of forces expended in other kinds and amounts of motion. The price of forces expended in other kinds and amounts of motion by the labourer who purchases it. And the variations of price represent a rhythmical balancing of these forces. Every rise or fall in the value of a particular security, implies a conflict of forces in which some, becoming temporarily predominant, cause a movement that is presently arrested, or equilibrated, by the increased opposing forces; and emid these daily and hourly oscillations lies a more slowly-varying medium, into which the value ever

tends to settle, and would settle but for the constant addition of As in the individual organism so in the new influences. social organism, functional equilibrations generate structural equilibrations. When on the workers in any trade there comes an increased demand, and when in return for the increased supply they receive an amount of other commodities larger than beforewhen, consequently, the resistances overcome by them in sustaining life are less than the resistances overcome by other workers; there results a flow of other workers into this trade. This flow continues until the extra demand is met, and the wages so far fall that the total resistance overcome in obtaining a livelihood is as great in this newly-adopted occupation as in the occupations whence it drew secruits. The occurrence of motion along lines of least resistance was before shown to necessitate the growth of uopulation in those places where the labour required for selfmaintenance is the smallest; and here we further see that those engaged in any such advantageous locality must multiply till there arises an approximate balance between its population and that of others available by the same citizens.

These various industrial actions, and reactions constitute a dependent moving equilibrium like that maintained among the functions of an individual organism, and like it tends ever to become more complete. During early stages of social evolution, while the resources of the locality inhabited are unexplored and the arts of production undeveloped, there is never anything more than a temporary and partial balancing of such actions. But when a society approaches the maturity of that type on which it is organised, the various industrial activities settle down into a comparatively constant state. Moreover, advance in organisation, as well as advance in growth, is conducive to a better equilibrium of industrial functions. While the diffusion of mercantile information is slow and the means of transport deficient, the adjustment of supply to demand is very imperfect. Great over-production of a commodity is followed by great under-production, and there results a rhythin having extremes that depart widely from the mean state in which demand and supply are equilibrated. But when good roads are made and there is a moid diffusion of printed or written intelligence, and still more when railways and belegraphs come into existence-

when the periodical fairs of early days grow into weekly markets, and these into daily markets, there is gradually produced a better. balance of production and consumption ; the rapid oscillations of price within narrow limits on either side of a comparatively uniform mean indicate a near approach to equilibrium. Evidently this industrial progress has for its limit that which Mc. Mill has called "the stationary state." When population shall have become dense over all habitable parts of the globe ; when the resources of every region have been fully explored ; and when the productive arts admit of no further improvements; there must result an almost complete balance, both between the fertility and mortality in each society, and between its producing and consuming activities. Each society will exhibit only minor deviations from its average number, and the rhythm of its industrial functions will go on from day to day and year to year with comparatively insignificant perturbations,

One other kind of social equilibration has still to be considered :- that which results in the establishment of governmental institutions, and which becomes complete as these institutions fall into harmony with the desires of the people. Those aggressive impulses inherited from the pre-social state-those tendencies to seek self-satisfaction regardless of injury to other beings, which are essential to a predatory life, constitute an anti-social force tending ever to cause conflict and separation. Contrariwise, those desires which can be fulfilled only by co-operation and those which find satisfaction through intercourse with follow-men, as well as those resulting in what we call loyalty, are forces tending to keep the units of a society together. On the one hand, there is in each man more or less of resistance against restraints imposed on his actions by other men-a resistance which, tending ever to widen each man's sphere of action, and reciprocally to limit the spheres of action of other men, constitutes a repulsive force mutually exercised by the members of a social aggregate. On the other hand, the general sympathy of man for man and the more special sympathy of each variety of man for others of the same variety, together with allied feelings which the social state gratifies, act as an attractive force, tending ever to keep united those who have a rommon ancestry. And since the resistances to be overcome in

satisfying the totality of their desires when living separately, are greater than the resistances to be overcome in satisfying the totality of their desires when living together, there is a residuary force that prevents separation. Like other, opposing forces, these exerted by citizens on one another produce alternating movements which, at first extreme, undergo gradual diminution on the way to altimate equilibrium. In small undeveloped societies, marked rhything result from these conflicting tendencies. A tribe that has maintained its unity for a generation or two reaches a size at which it will no longer hold together; and, on the occurrence of some event cousing unusual antagonism among its members. divides. Each primitive nation exhibits wide oscillations between an extreme in which the subjects are under rigid restraint, and an extreme in which the restraint fails to prevent rebellion and disintegration. In more advanced nations of like type, we always. find violent actions and reactions of the same essential nature : "despotism tempered by assassination," characterizing a political state in which unbearable repression from time to time brings about a bursting of bonds. Among ourselves the conflicts between Conservation (which stands for the restraints of society over the individual) and Reform (which stands for the liberty of the individual against society) fall within slowly approximating limits; so that the lemporary predominance of either produces a less marked deviation from the medium state—a smaller disturbance of the moving equilibrium.

Of course in this case, as in preceding cases, there is involved a limit to the increase of heterogeneity. A few pages back, it was shown that an advance in mental evolution is the establishment of some further internal action corresponding to some further external action. We inferred that each such new function, involving some new modification of structure, implies an increase of heterogeneity; and that thus, increase of heterogeneity must go on while there remain any outer relations affecting the organism which are unbalanced by inner relations. Evidently the like must simultaneously take place with society. Each increment of heterogeneity in the individual implies, as cause or consequence, some increment of beterogeneity in the arrangements of the aggregate of individuals. And the limit to social complexity can be reached only with the establishment of the equilibrium, just described, between social and individual forces.

§ 176. Here presents itself a final question, which has probably been taking shape in the minds of many while reading this chapter. "If Evolution of every kind is an increase in complexity of structure and function that is incidental to the universal process of equilibration, and if equilibration must end in complete rest, what is the fate towards which all things tend? If the Solar System is slowly dissipating its energies—if the Sun is losing his heat at a rate which will tell in millions of years—if with decrease of the Sun's radiations there must go on a decrease in the activity of geologic and meteorologic processes as well as in the quantity of vegetal and animal life—if Man and Society are similarly dependent on this supply of energy which is gradually coming to an end; are we not manifestly progressing towards omnipresent death?"

That such a state must be the outcome of the changes everywhere going on seems beyond doubt. Whether any ulterior process may reverse these processes and initiate a new life is a question to be considered hereafter. For the present it must suffice that the end of all the transformations we have traced is quiescence. This admits of a priori proof. The law of equilibration, not less than the preceding general laws, is deducible from the ultimate datum of consciousness.

The forces of attraction and repulsion being, as shown in § 74, universally co-existent, it follows that all motion is motion under resistance: either that exercised on the moving body by other bodies, or that exercised by the medium traversed. There are two corollaries. The first is that deductions perpetually made by the communication of motion to that which resists cannot but bring the motion of the body to an end in a longer or shorter time. The second is that the motion of the body cannot cease until these deductions destroy it. In other words, movement must continue while equilibration is incomplete, and equilibration must eventually become complete. Both these are manifest deductions from the persistence of force. Hence this primordial truth is our warrant for the conclusions that the changes which Evolution presents cannot end until equilibrium is reached, and that equilibrium must at last be reached.

At the same time it follows that in every aggregate having compound motions, there results a comparatively early dissipation of the motions which are smaller and much resisted, followed by long continuance of the larger and less resisted motions; and that so there arise moving equilibria. Hence, also, may be inferred the tendency to conservation of such moving equilibria. For any new motion given to the parts of a moving equilibria. For any new motion given to the parts of a moving equilibrium by a disturbing force, must either be such that it cannot be dissipated before the pre-existing motions, in which case it brings the moving equilibrium to an end; or else it must be such that it can be dissipated before the pre-existing motions, in which case the moving equilibrium is re-established.

Thus from the persistence of force follow, not only the various direct and indirect equilibrations going on around, together with that cosmical equilibration which brings Evolution under all its forms to a close, but also those less manifest equilibrations shown in the re-adjustments of moving equilibria that have been disturbed. By this ultimate principle is provable the tendency of every organism, disordered by some unusual influence, to return to a balanced state. To it also may be traced the capacity, possessed in a slight degree by individuals and in a greater degree by species, of becoming adapted to new circumstances. And not less does it afford a basis for the inference that there is a gradual advance towards harmony between unan's mental nature and the conditions of his existence.

CHAPTER XXIII

DISSOLUTION

§ 177. WEEN, in Chapter XII, we glanced at the cycle of changes through which every existence passes, in a short time or in a time almost infinitely long—when the opposite re-distributions of matter and motion implied were severally distinguished as Evolution and Dissolution, the natures of the two, and the conditions under which they respectively occur, were specified in general terms. Since then, we have contemplated the phenomena of Evolution in detail, and have followed them out to those states of equilibrium in which they all end. To complete the argument we must now contemplate, somewhat more in detail than before, the complementary phenomena of Dissolution. Not, indeed, that we need dwell long on Dissolution, which has none of those various and interesting aspects which Evolution presents; but something more must be said than has yet been said.

It was shown that neither of these two antagonist processes goes on angualified by the other, and that a movement towards either is a differential result of the conflict between them. An evolving aggregate, while on the average losing motion and integrating, is always, in one way or other, receiving some motion and to that extent disintegrating; and after the integrative changes have ceased to predominate, the reception of motion, though perpetually checked by its dissipation, constantly tends to produce a reverse transformation, and eventually does produce it. When Evolution has run its course—when an aggregate has reached that equilibrium in which its changes end, it thereafter remains subject to all actions in its environment which may increase the quantity of motion it contains, and which in course of time are sure, either
slowly or suddenly, to give its parts such excess of motion as will cause disintegration. According as its size, its nature, and its conditions determine, its dissolution may come quickly or may be indefinitely delayed—may occur in a few days or may be postponed for billions of years. But exposed as it is to the contingencies not simply of its immediate neighbourhood but of a Universe everywhere in motion, the time must at last come when, either alone or in company with surrounding aggregates, it has its parts dispersed.

The process of dissolution so caused we have here to look at as it takes place in aggregates of different orders. The course of change being the reverse of that hitherto traced, we may properly take the illustrations of it in the reverse order—beginning with the most complex and ending with the most simple.

§ 178. Regarding the evolution of a society as at once an increase in the number of individuals integrated into a corporate body, an increase in the masses and varieties of the parts into which this corporate body divides, as well as of the actions called their functions, and an increase in the degree of combination among these massess and their functions; we shall bee that social dissolution conforms to the general law in being, materially considered, a disintegration, and, dynamically considered, a decrease in the movements of parts; while it further conforms to the general law in being caused by an excess of motion in some way or other received from without.

It is obvious that the social dissolution which follows the aggression of mother nation, and which, as history shows us, is apt to occur when social evolution has cuded and decay has begun, is, under its broadest aspect, the reception of a new external motion; and when, as sometimes happens, the conquered society is dispersed, or when its component divisions fall apart, its dissolution is literally a cessation of those corporate movements which the society, both in its army and in its industrial bodies, presented, and a lapse into individual or uncombined movements.

Again, social disorder, however caused, entails a decrease of integrated movements and an increase of disintegrated movements. As the disorder progresses the political actions previously combined become uncombined : there arise the antagonistic actions of

riot or revolt. Simultaneously, the industrial and commercial processes that were co-ordinated throughout the body politic are broken up; and only the local, or small, trading transactions continue. And each further disorganizing change diminishes the joint operations by which men satisfy their wants, and leaves them to satisfy their wants, as best they can, by separate Of the way in which such disintegrations are set. operations. up in a society that has evolved to the limit of its type, and reached a state of moving equilibrium, a good illustration is furnished by Japan. The finished fabric into which its people had organized themselves, maintained an almost constant state so long as it was preserved from fresh external forces. But as soon as it received an inpact from European civilization, partly by armed aggression, partly by commercial impulse, partly by the influence of ideas, this fabrie began to fall to pieces. There is now in progress a political dissolution." Probably a political reorganization will follow; but, be this as it may, the change thus far produced by an outer action is a change towards dissolution-a change from integrated motions to disintegrated motions,

Even where a society, that has developed into the highest form permitted by the characters of its units, begins to dwindle and decay, the progressive dissolution is still essentially of the same nature. Decline of numbers is, in such case, brought about partly by emigration ; for a society having the fixed structure in which evolution ends is one that will not yield and modify underpressure of population ; so long as its structure is plastic it is still evolving. Hence the surplus population is continually dispersed : the influences brought to bear on the citizens by other societies cause their detachment, and there is an increase of the uncombined motions of units instead of an increase of combined motions. Gradually as the society becomes still less capable of changing into the form required for successful competition with more plastic societies, the number of citizens who can live within its unyielding framework becomes positively smaller. Hence it dwindles both through continued emigration and through the diminished aultiplication that follows innutrition. And this further dwindling is similarly a decrease in the total quantity of combined

* This was written in 1867.

THE KNOWABLE

anotion and an increase in the quantity of uncombined motion—as we shall presently see when we come to deal with individual dissolution.

Considering, then, that social aggregates differ so much from aggregates of other kinds, formed, as they are, of units held together loosely and indirectly, in such variable ways by such complex forces, the process of dissolution among them conforms to the general low quite as clearly as could be expected.

§ 179. When from these super-organic aggregates we descend to organic aggregates, the truth that Dissolution is a disintegration of metter caused by the reception of additional motion from without becomes easily demonstrable. We will look first at the transformation and afterwards at its cause.

Death, or that final equilibration which precedes dissolution, is the bringing to a close all those many conspicuous integrated motions that arose during evolution. The impulsions of the body from place to place first cease; presently the limbs equal be stirred; later still the respiratory actions stop; finally the heart becomes stationary and, with it, the circulating doids. That is, the transformation of molecular motion into the motion of masses comes to an end. The process of decay involves an increase of insensible movements; since these are far greater in the gases generated than they are in the fluid-solid matters out of which the guess arise. Each of the complex chemical units composing an organic body possesses a rhythmic motion in which its many component units jointly partake. When decomposition breaks up these complex molecules, and their constituents assume gaseous torus, there is, besides that increase of motion implied by dillusion, a resolution of such motions as the complex molecules possessed into motions of their constituent molecules. So that in organic dissolution we have, first, an end put to that transformation of the motions of units into the motions of aggregates, which constitutes evolution dynamically considered; and we have afterwards, though in a subtler sense, a transformation of the motions of aggregates into the motions of units. Still it is not thus shown that organic dissolution answers to the general definition of dissolution- the absorption of motion and concomitant disintegration of matter. The disintegration of matter is, indeed, conspicuous enough; but the absorption of motion is not conspicuous. True, the fact that motion has been absorbed may be inferred from the fact that particles previously integrated into a solid mass, occupying a small space, have most of them moved away from one another and now occupy a great space; for the motion implied by this expansion must have been obtained from somewhere. But its source is not obvious. A little search, however, will bring us to its derivation.

At a temperature below the freezing point of water, decomposition of organic matter does not take place. Dead bodies kept at this temperature are prevented from decomposing for an indefinitely long period : witness the frozen carcases of mammoths (elephants of a species long ago extinct) that are found imbedded in the ice at the mouths of Siberian rivers; and which, though they have been there, for many thousands of years, have firsh so fresh that when at length exposed it is devoured by wolves. What, now, is the meaning of such exceptional preservations? A body kept below freezing point, is a body which receives very little heat by radiation or conduction; and the reception of but little heat is the reception of but little molecular motion. That is to say, in an environment which does not furnish it with molecular motion passing a certain amount, an organic body does not undergo Confirmatory evidence is yielded by the variadissolution. tions in rate of dissolution which accompany variations of temperature. All know that in cool weather the organic substances used in our households keep longer, as we say, than in hot weather. Equally certain, if less familiar, is the fact that in tropical climates decay proceeds much more rapidly than in temperate climates. Thus, dispersion of the dead body into gases is rapid in proportion as the molecular motion received from without is great. The still quicker decompositions produced by exposure to artificiallyraised temperatures afford further proofs : instance those which occur in cooking. The charred surfaces of parts much heated, show us that the molecular motion absorbed has served to dissipate in gaseous forms all the elements but the carbon.

The nature and causes of Dissolution are thus clearly displayed by the aggregates which so clearly display the nature and causes of Evalution. One of these aggregates, being made of that peculiar matter to which a large quantity of constitutional motion gives great plasticity and the ability to evolve into a highly complex form (§ 103); it results that after evolution has caused, a small amount of molecular motion added to that already contained in its peculiar matter suffices to cause dissolution. Though at death there is reached an equilibrium among the sensible masses, or organs, which make up the body; yet, as the insensible units or molecules of which these organs consist are chemically unstable, small incident forces suffice to overthrow them, and hence disintegration proceeds rapidly.

§ 180. Most inorganic aggregates, having arrived at dense forms in which comparatively little motion is retained, remain long without marked changes. Each has lost so much motion in passing from the unintegrated to the integrated state, that much motion must be given to it to cause resumption of the unintegrated state ; and an immense time may clapse before there occur in the environment changes great enough to communicate to it the requisite quantity of motion. We will look first at those few inorganic aggregates which retain much motion, and therefore readily undergo dissolution.

Among these are the liquids and volatile solids which dissipate under ordinary conditions-water that evaporates, campbor that wastes away by the dispersion of its molecules. In all such cases motion is absorbed; and always the dissolution is rapid in proportion as the quantity of heat or motion which the mass receives. from its environment is great. Next come the cases in which the molecules of a highly integrated or solid aggregate are dispersed among the molecules of a less integrated or liquid aggregate ; as in aqueous solutions. One evidence that this disintegration of matter has for its concomitant the absorption of motion is that soluble substances dissolve the more quickly the hotter the water : supposing always that no elective affinity comes into play. Another and still more muchasive evidence is that, when crystals of a given temperature are placed in water of the same temperature, the process of solution is accompanied by a fall of temperature -often a very great one. Omitting instances in which some

chemical action takes place between the salt and the water, it is a uniform law that the motion which disperses the molecules of the salt through the water is at the expense of the molecular motion possessed by the water. An allied and still better example is furnished by cases in which the dissolution of two solids results from mixing them, as happens with snow and salt. Here dissolution necessitates so great an absorption of molecular motion as greatly to lower the temperature of the liquid produced.

Masses of sediment accumulated into strata, afterwards compressed by many thousands of feet of superincombent strata, and reduced in course of time to a solid state, may remain for untold. millions of years unchanged ; but in subsequent millions of years they are inevitably exposed to disintegrating actions. Raised along with other such masses into a continent, denuded and exposed to rain, frost, and the grinding actions of glaciers, they have their particles gradually separated, carried away, and widely dispersed. Or when, as otherwise happens, the encroaching sea arrives, the undermised cliffs formed of them full from time to time; the waves, rolling about the small pieces, and in storms knocking together the larger blocks, reduce them to boulders and pebbles, and at last to sand and mud. Even if portions of the disintegrated strata accumulate into shingle banks which afterwards become solidified, the process of dissolution, arrested though it may be for some enormous geologic period, is finally resumed. As many a shore shows us, the conglomerate itself is sooner or later subject to the like processes; and its cemented masses of heterogeneous components are broken up and worn away by impact and attrition-that is, by communicated mechanical motion.

When not thus effected, the disintegration is effected by communicated molecular motion. A consolidated stratum in some area of subsidence, brought down nearer and nearer to the regions occupied by molten matter, comes eventually to have its particles brought to a plastic state by heat, or finally melted down into liquid. Whatever may be its subsequent transformations, the transformation then exhibited by it is an absorption of motion and disintegration of matter.

Thus be it simple or compound, small or large, a crystal or a mountain chain, every inorganic aggregate on the Earth undergoes, at some time or other, a reversal of those changes undergone during its evolution. Not that it usually passes back from the perceptible into the imperceptible, during any period in which it is or can be exposed to human observation. It does not become aëriform and invisible, as organic aggregates do in great part, though not wholly. But still its disintegration and dispersion carry it some distance on the way towards the imperceptible; and there are reasons for thinking that its arrival there is but delayed. At a period immeasurably remote, every such inorganic aggregates, along with all undissipated remnants of organic aggregates, must be reduced to a state of gascous diffusion, and so complete the cycle of its changes.

§ 181. For the Earth as a whole, when it has gone through the entire series of its ascending transformations, must remain exposed to the contingencies of its environment; and in the course of those ceaseless changes going on throughout a Universe of which all parts are in motion, must, at some period beyond the utmost stretch of imagination, he subject to energies sufficient to cause its complete disintegration. Let us glance at the energies competent to disintegrate it.

In his essay on "The Inter-action of Natural Forces," Prof. Helmholtz states the thermal equivalent of the Earth's movement through space, as calculated on the now received datum of Mr. loule. " If our Earth," he says, " were by a sudden shock brought to rest in her orbit-which is not to be feared in the existing arrangement of our system-by such a shock a quantity of heat would be generated equal to that produced by the combustion of fourteen such Earths of solid coal, Making the most unfuvourable assumption as to its capacity for hest, that is, placing it equal to that of water, the mass of the Earth would thereby be heated 112,000 degrees [Centigrade]; it would therefore be quite fused, and for the most part reduced to vapour. If then the Earth, after having been thus brought to rest, should fall into the Sun, which of course would be the case, the quantity of heat developed by the shock would be Now though this calculation seems to be 400 times greater." nothing to the purpose, since the Earth is not likely to be suddenly arrested in its orbit and not likely therefore suddenly to fall into

the Sun; yet, as before pointed out (§ 171), there is a force at work which it is held must at last bring the Earth into the Sun. This force is the resistance of the ethereal medium. From ethereal resistance is inferred a retardation of all moving bodies in the Solar System—a retardation which some astronomers contend even now shows its effects in the relative nearness to one another of the orbits of the older planets. If, then, retardation is going on, there must come a time, no matter how remote, when the slowly diminishing orbit of the Earth will end in the Sun 1 and, though the quantity of molar motion to be then transformed into molecular motion will not be so great as that which the calculation of Helmholtz supposes, it will be great enough to reduce the substance of the Earth to a gaseous state.

This dissolution of the Earth and, at intervals, of every other planet, is not, however, a dissolution of the Solar System. All the changes exhibited throughout the Solar System are incidents accompanying the integration of the entire matter composing it : the local integration of which each planet is the scene completing itself long before the general integration is complete. But each secondary mass having gone through its evolution and reached a state of equilibrium among its parts (supposing that the available time suffices, which in the cases of Jupiter and Saturn it may not), thereafter continues in its extinct state, until, by the still-progressing general integration, it is brought into the central mass. And though each such union of a secondary mass with the central mass, implying transformation of molar motion into molecular motion. causes partial diffusion of the total mass formed, and adds to the quantity of motion that has to be dispersed in the shape of light and heat; yet it does but postpone the period at which the total mass must become completely integrated, and its excess of contained motion radiated into space.

§ 182. Here we come to the question raised at the close of the last chapter—Does Evolution as a whole, like Evolution in detail, advance towards complete quiescence? Is that motionless state called death, which ends Evolution in organic bodies, typical of the universal death in which Evolution at large must end? And have we thus to contemplate as the outcome of things, a boundless space holding here and there extinct suns, fated to remain for everwithout further change.

To so speculative an inquiry none but a speculative answer is to be expected. Such answer as may be ventured must be taken less as a positive answer than as a demurrer to the conclusion that the proximate result must be the ultimate result. If, pushing to its extreme the argument that Evolution must come to a close in complete equilibrium or rest, the reader suggests that for aught which appears to the contrary there must result a Universal Death which will continue indefinitely, two replies may be made. The first is that the evidence presented in the heavens at large implies that while of the multitudinous aggregates of matter it presents, most are passing through those stages which must end in local rest, there are others which, having barely commenced the series of changes constituting Evolution, are on the way to become theatres of life. The second reply is that when we contemplate our Sidereal System as a whole, certain of the great facts which science. has established imply potential renewals of life, now in ore region. now in another: followed, possibly, at a period unimaginably remote by a more general renewal. This conclusion is suggested when we take into account a factor not yet mentioned.

For hitherto we have considered only that equilibration which is taking place within our Solar System and within similar systems : taking no note of that immeasurably greater equilibration which remains to take place : ending those motions through space which such systems possess. That the stars, in old times called fixed, are all in motion, has now become a familiar truth, and that they are moving with velocities ranging from say 10 miles per second up to some 70 rules per second (which last is the velocity of a "runaway star" supposed to be passing through our Sidercal System) is a truth deduced from observations by modern astronomers. To be joined with this is the fact that there are dying stars and probably dead stars. Beyond the evidence furnished by the various kinds of light they emit, of which the red indicates relatively advanced age, there is the evidence that in some cases bright stars have attendants which are dark or almost dark : the most conspicuous case being that of Sirius, round which revolves a body of about one-third its size but yielding only 1/89,000th

part of its light—a star, approaching to our Sun in size, which has gone out. The implication appears to be that beyond the luminous masses constituting the visible Sidereal System, there are non-luminous masses, perhaps fewer in number perhaps more numerous, which in common with the luminous ones are impelled by mutual gravitation. How then are to be equilibrated the motions of these vast masses, luminous and non-luminous, having high velocities?

This question may be divided into two, a major and a minor, of which the minor admits of something like an answer, while the major seems unanswerable.

§ 182a. Scattered through immensurable space, but more especially in and about the region of the Milky Way, are numerous star-clusters, varying in their characters from those which are hardly distinguishable from unusually, rich proportions of the heavens, to those which constitute condensed swarms of stars: kinds of which may be named, as at the one extreme, 34 Persei, 103 Cassiopela, and 32 Cygni, and at the other extreme, 15 Herculis and 2 Aquaril.* The varieties between these extremes were regarded by Sir William Herschel as implying progressive concentration; and in his opinion Sir. John Herschel apparently agreed. Pursuing the argument the latter wrote :—

"Among a crowd of solid bodies of whatever size, animated by independent and partially opposing impulses, motions opposite to each other *must* produce collision, destruction of velocity, and subsidence or near approach towards the centre of preponderant attraction; while those which conspire, or which remain outstanding after such conflicts, *must* ultimately give rise to circulation of a permanent character." (Outlines of Astronomy, 9th ed., p. 641.)

The problem, however, is here dealt with purely as a mechanical one: the assumption being that the untually arrested masses will continue as masses. Writing in 1849 Sir John Herschel did not take account of the results, reached and verified during the few

* The clusters here named are exhibited in Dr. Jacac Roberts's splendid socies of *Photographs of Starr, Star-Clusters, and Nebula* (two vola.), in which also will be found the references presently to be made.

preceding years by Mayer and Joule, respecting the quantitative equivalence between motion and heat. But accepting, as we must now do, the conclusion drawn by Helmholtz (§ 171) congruous with one previously drawn by Mayer, we are obliged to infer that stars moving at the high velocities sequired during concentration, will, by mutual arrest, he dissipated into gases of extreme tenuity, constituting what we conceive as nebulous matter. When we infer this the problem becomes different; and a different conclusion seems anavoidable. For the diffused matter produced by such conflicts must form a resisting medium, occupying that central region of the cluster through which its members from time to time pass in describing their orbits-a resisting medium which they cannot move through without having their velocities Every additional collision, by augmenting this diminished. resisting medium, and making the losses of velocity greater, must aid in preventing the establishment of that equilibrium which would else arise: and so must conspire to produce more frequent collisions. And the nebulous matter thus formed, presently enveloping the whole cluster, must, by continuing to shorten the gyrations of the moving masses, entail an increasingly active integration and reactive disintegration of them, until they are all dissipated."

Products of the kind implied are presented in the large, diffused, and irregular nebulæ, such as the one in Orion. Sir John Herschel describes them (p. 650) as " of very great extent," " irregular and supricious in their shapes," " no less so in the distribution of their light," and not having " any similarity of figure or aspect." And then he remarks that " they have one important character in common "—" they are all situated in, or very near, the borders of the Milky Way." That is to say, they are found in that region of

* I leave these three sentences as they stand in the revised edition of this work published in 1967, because evidence since obtained goes for to show that the process described is going on. In the photographs contained in the second volume of his Stars, Ster-Clusters, and Nebular, and by the accompanying description, Dr. Roberts shows that in some of them (as instance, M. 3 Clumm, Venaticorum) there is discinctly visible a nebulate described; and that he contained at early sloges of the process described; and that he contained at process to be taking place is proved by his remarks on page 178.

DISSOLUTION

the heavens in which star-clusters also are most abundant. Thus in their distribution and in their characters these nebulæ are congruous with the supposition that they have resulted from dissipation of clusters arising in the way described.

What may we say concerning the future of one of these vast irregular nebulæ? The first remark is that as, in conformity with the foregoing speculation, it contains the matter not of one star but of many stars, so in conformity with its aspect it is not a behalous mass of the kind out of which a single star or sun originates: being so large that it covers numerous interstellar spaces. The second remark is that when its widest diffusion has been reached concentration will commence, and the implication is that after an immense period a rotating nebula of one or other of the kinds so abundantly exemplified will result. That a spiral nebula is produced by concentration of one of these vast diffused masses, containing the matter of many stars, is an inference supported by the fact that in some spiral nebulæ many stars and nebulous stars embedded within the spiral structure have manifestly been formed or are forming while the general concentration is going on-instance 74 Piscium, 100 Comme and M. 51 Canum Venaticorum-and suggesting that a new concentrating cluster will eventually arise. If so, the implication appears to be that there will eventually again arise a process like that just suggested-collisions of concentrating masses and progressing diffusion antil the nebulous form is again preduced.

If in pursuance of this view we regard (1) the star-clusters variously condensed, (2) the diffused and irregular nebulæ, (3) the spiral and other nebulæ that are concentrating into star-systems, as exhibiting different stages of the same process, then the implication is that in many thousands of places throughout our Sidereal System there are going on alternations of Evolution and Dissolution. And this conception may be taken as a sufficient answer to the inference above drawn that equilibration must end in universal death—a speculative demotrer to a speculative conclusion.

§ 1825. There still presents itself the question which, unanswerable though it may be, we cannot ignore—What are we to think concerning the future of the visible Universe? To the conception of alternating evolutions and dissolutions taking place in multitudinous different parts of it, there must be joined the conception of it as either remaining in its present state or as changing; and that raises the question—Changing towards what other state? That its state must change is clear: the inregular distribution of it being such as to render even a temporary moving equilibrium impossible.

At the outset there arises the doubt whether our Sidereal System is an aggregate at all, in such sense as is implied by conformity to the law of Evolution and Dissolution-whether it does not transcend those limits implied by conformity to the law, When, reducing its stors and their distances to dimensions that may be imagined, we think of them as comparable to peas one hundred miles apart, the conception of them as forming a whole held together only by mutual gravitation seems somewhat strained. The assumed unity seems more questionable on observing the marks of independence in the dispersed parts. Besides multitudinous cases of the kind above described in which star-clusters apparently carry on their transformations irrespective, of the Sidereal System as a whole, there are some far larger local transformations that appear to be of kindred nature. I refer to those going on in the Magellapic clouds or nubeculæ, major and minor -two closely-packed agglomerations, not, indeed, of single stars only, but of single stars, of clusters regular and irregular, of nchulze, and of diffused nebulosity. That these have been formed by mutual gravitation of parts once widely scattered, there is evidence in the barrenness of the surrounding celestial spaces : the nuberula minor especially, being seated, as Humboldt says, in "a kind of starless desert." And since the traits of these chaotic aggregates are such as do not consist with any process of evolution, we must infer that they are passing through the counter-process of dissolution : the resulting nebulous matter having already enveloped large portions of their miscellaneous components : a conclusion receiving support from the fact that while the one lies in a space devoid of stars the other has around it numerous outlying nebulæ and star-clusters, which must in course of time he drawn into it. Thus there are considerable difficulties in the way of regarding our Sidercal System as a whole subject to the processes of evolution and dissolution.

Nevertheless sundry traits seem to imply that throughout a past so immense that the time occupied in the evolution of a solar or stellar system becomes by comparison utterly insignificant, there has been a gathering together of the matter of our Universe from a more dispersed state; and its disc-like form, or else annular form, indicated by the encircling appearance of the Milky Way, raises the thought that it has a combined motion within which all minor motions are included. Moreover the contrast between the galactic circle, with its closely packed millions of stars dotted with numerous star-clusters, and the regions about the galactic poles, in which the more regular nebule are chiefly congregated, yields further evidence that our Sidereal System has some kind of unity, and that during an immeasurable past it has undergone transformations due to general forces. If, then, we must contemplate the visible Universe as an aggregate, subject to processes of evolution and dissolution of the same essential nature as those traceable in minor aggregates, we cannot avoid asking what is likely to be its future.

In his Outlines of Astronomy (pp. 680-1), Sir John Herschel refers to speculation respecting the rotation of our Sidercal System in the plane of the galactic circle. Dismissing the hypothesis of Mädler that the centre of rotation is in the Pleades, he thinks that no opinion can reasonably be formed whether rotation exists or not, until after some thirty or farty years of observations of a special class. In any case, however, the irregularities of the Milky Way necessitate the conclusion that there is going on, and must continue to go on, a general change of structure. The greater massiveness of it in the northern than in the southern hemisphere, the cleft form, the breach of continuity, the branchings, the narrow connecting necks, and the parts that are almost or quite islanded, exclude the idea of equilibrium, whether the system as a whole be stationary or whether it be rotating. In § 150, when referring to the fate of nebulous rings, I cited the opinion of Sir John Herschel to the effect that a nebulous ring would not break at one place and collapse, but would break at many places and form separate masses. I joined with it the opinion of Sir G. B. Airy, to whom I put the question whether these would remain separate, and who agreed that the

masses thus formed, parting more widely at some one place, would eventually collapse into a single muss. Parallel conclusions respecting changes in the Milky Way seem legitimate, or rather, indeed, seem necessitated. Separation of it into parts-minor Sidereal Systems-is a result to which its present aspect points. That such minor sidereal systems could remain permanently independent is not to be supposed. Matual attraction would cause in some cases the formation of binary sidereal systems, and in other cases coalescence, according to the directions and amounts of their respective proper motions. The implication is that there may be repeated, on vaster scales, changes like those described as occurring in star-clusters : local concentrations taking place within these minor sidereal systems, with resulting evolutions and dissolutions, at the same time that the minor sidezeal systems theoriselves, progressively uniting, become more condensed, and consequently the scenes of more active changes of like kinds. If, giving imagination the rein, we suppose this process carried to its limit, and ultimately to present on an immensely larger scale the kind of change which the nubeculæ exhibit, there arises the thought of a progressing destruction of the molar motions possessed by the concentrating stars, and a simultaneous diffusion of their substances, which, as the process comes to a close, spreads the matter of the Sidereal System in its nebulous form throughout the whole of that space which it originally filled-a diffusion reversing the preceding concentration—a dissolution that prepares the way for a new evolution. Reduced to its abstract form, the argument is that the quantity of motion implied by dispersion must be as great as the quantity of motion implied by aggregation, or rather must be the same motion, taking now the molar form and now the molecular form ; and if we allow ourselves to conceive this as an ultimate result there arises the conception not only of local evolutions and dissolutions throughout our Sidereal System but of general evolutions and dissolutions alternating indefinitely.

But we cannot draw such a conclusion without tacitly assuming something beyond the limits of possible knowledge, namely, that the energy contained in our Sidereal System remains undiminished. Continuance of such alternations without end presupposes that the quantity of molecular motion radiated by each star in the course

DISSOLUTION

of its formation from diffused matter, shall either not escape from our Sidereal System or shall be compensated by an equal quantity of molecular motion radiated into it from other parts of space. If the other which fills the interspaces of our Sidereal System has a boundary somewhere beyond the outermost stars, it is inferable that motion is not lost by radiation beyond that boundary ; and if so the original degree of diffusion may be resumed. Or if, supposing that the other is unbounded, the temperature of space is the same within and without our Sidereal System, then it is inferable that the quantity of motion contained within our Sidereal System remaining undiminished, its alternate concentrations and diffusions may continue undiminished. But we shall never be able to say whether either condition is fulfilled.

We may indeed dismiss such questions as pressing the bounds of rational speculation. They have been touched upon for the purpose of showing that it is not inferable from the general progress towards equilibrium that a state of universal quiescence or death, will be reached; but that if a process of reasoning ends in that conclusion, a further process of reasoning points to renewals of activity and life.

Here, however, it is needless for the adequate presentation of the general doctrine that Evolution and Dissolution should be traced in either direction to their ends. In § 93 it was said that no actual philosophy can fill out the scheme of an ideal philosophy—cannot even of a small aggregate trace the entire history from its appearance to its disappearance, and must be immeasurably far from doing this with the all-comprehensive aggregate.

But unable though we must ever remain to give a complete account of the transformation of things, even in any of its minor parts, and still more in its totality, we are able to recognize throughout it the same general law; and may reasonably infer that it holds in those parts of the transformation which are beyond the reach of our intelligence as it does in those parts which are within its reach.

CHAPTER XXIV

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

§ 184. Ar the close of a work like this, it is more than usually needful to contemplate as a whole that which the successive chapters have presented in parts. A coherent knowledge implies something more than the establishment of connexions: we must not rest after seeing how each minor group of truths falls into its place within some major group, and how all the major groups fit together. It is requisite that we should retire a space, and, looking at the entire structure from a distance at which details are lost to view, observe its general character.

Something more than recapitulation—something more even than an organized re-statement, will come within the scope of the chapter. We shall find that in their *ensemble* the general truths reached exhibit, under certain aspects, a oneness not hitherto observed.

There is, too, a special reason for noting how the various divisions and subdivisions of the argument consolidate; namely, that the theory at large thereby obtains a final illustration. The reduction of the generalizations which have been set forth separately to a completely integrated state exemplifies once more the process of Evolution, and strengthens still further the general fabric of conclusions.

§ 185. Here, indeed, we find ourselves brought round unexpectedly to the truth with which we set out, and with which our re-survey must commence. For this integrated form of knowledge is the form which, apart from the doctrine of Evolution, we decided to be the highest form. When we inquired what constitutes Philosophy—when we compared men's various conceptions of Philosophy, so that, eliminating the elements in which they differed, we might see in what they agreed; we found in them all the tacit implication that Philosophy is completely unified knowledge. Apart from each scheme of unified knowledge, and apart from proposed methods by which unification is to be effected, we traced in every case s belief that unification is possible, and that the end of Philosophy is achievement of it.

After reaching this conclusion we considered the data with which Philosophy must set out. Fundamental propositions, or propositions not deducible from deeper ones, can be established only by showing the complete congruity of all the results reached through the assumption of them; and, premising that they were simply assumed till thus established, we took as our data those components of our intelligence without which there cannot go on the mental processes implied by philosophizing.

From, the specification of these we passed to certain primary truths—" The Indestructibility of Matter," " The Continuity of Motion," and " The Persistence of Force"; of which the last is ultimate and the others derivative. Having previously seen that our experiences of Matter and Motion are resolvable into experiences of Force, we further saw the truths that Matter and Motion are unchangeable in quantity to be implications of the truth that Force is unchangeable in quantity. This we concluded is the truth by derivation from which all other truths are to be proved.

The first of the truths which presented itself to be so proved, is "The Persistence of the Relations among Forces." This, which is ordinarily called Uniformity of Law, we found to be a necessary implication of the truth that Force can neither arise out of nothing nor lapse into nothing.

The next deduction was that forces which seem to be lost are transformed into their equivalents of other forces; or, conversely, that forces which become manifest do so by disappearance of preexisting equivalent forces. These truths we found illustrated by the motions of the heavenly bodies, by the changes going on over the Earth's surface, and by all organic and super-organic actions.

28

It was shown to be the same with the law that everything moves along the line of least resistance, or the line of greatest traction, or their resultant. Among movements of all orders, from those of stars down to those of nervous discharges and commercial currents, it was shown both that this is so, and that, given the Persistence of Force, it must be so.

So, too, we saw it to be with " 'fhe Rhythm of Motion." All motion alternates—be it the motion of planets in their orbits or ethereal molecules in their undulations—be it the cadences of speech or the rises and falls of prices ; and, as before, it became manifest that. Force being persistent, this perpetual reversal of Motion between limits is inevitable.

§ 186. These truths holding of existences at large, were recognized as of the kind required to constitute what we distinguish as Philosophy. But, on considering them, we perceived that as they stand they do not form a Philosophy: and that a Philosophy cannot be formed by any number of such truths separately known. Each expresses the law of some one factor by which phenomena, as we experience them, are produced; or, at most, expresses the law of ca-operation of some two factors. But knowing what are the elements of a process is not knowing how these elements combine to effect it. That which alone can unify knowledge must be the law of co-operation of the factors—a law expressing simultaneously the complex antecedents and the complex consequents which any phenomenon as a whole presents.

A further inference was that Philosophy, as we understand it, must not unify the changes displayed in separate concrete phenomena only; and must not stop short with unifying the changes displayed in separate classes of concrete phenomena; but must unify the changes displayed in all concrete phenomena. If the law of operation of each factor holds true throughout the Cosmos, so, too, must the law of their co-operation. And hence in comprehending the Cosmos as conforming to this law of co-operation, must consist that highest unification which Philosophy seeks.

Descending to a more concrete view, we saw that the law sought must be the law of the continuous re-distribution of Matter and Motion. The changes everywhere going on, from those which are slowly altering the structure of our galaxy down to those which constitute a chemical decomposition, are changes in the relative positions of component parts; and everywhere necessarily imply that along with a new armngement of Matter there has arisen a new arrangement of Motion. Hence it follows that there must be a law of the concomitant re-distribution of Matter and Motion which holds of every change, and which, by thus unifying all changes, must be the basis of a Philosophy.

In commencing our search for this universal law of re-distribution, we contemplated from another point of view the problem of Philosophy, and saw that its solution could not but be of the nature indicated. It was shown that an ideally complete Philosophy must formulate the whole series of changes passed through by existences separately and as a whole in passing from the imperceptible to the perceptible and again from the perceptible to the imperceptible. If it begins its explanations with existences that already have concrete forms, or leaves off while they still retain concrete forms, then, manifestly, they had preceding histories, or will have succeeding histories, or both, of which no account is given. Whence we saw it to follow that the formula sought, equally applicable to existences taken singly and in their totality, must be applicable to the whole history of each and to the whole history of all. This must be the ideal form of a Philosophy, however far short of it the reality may fall.

By these considerations we were brought within view of the formula. For if it had to express the entire progress from the imperceptible to the perceptible and from the perceptible to the imperceptible; and if it was also to express the continuous redistribution of Matter and Motion, then, obviously, it could be no other than one defining the opposite processes of concentration and diffusion in terms of Matter and Motion. And if so, it must be a statement of the truth that the concentration of Matter implies the dissipation of Motion, and that, conversely, the absorption of Motion implies the diffusion of Matter.

Such, in fact, we found to be the law of the entire cycle of changes passed through by every existence. Moreover we saw that besides applying to the whole history of each existence, it applies to each detail of the history. Both processes are going on at every instant; but always there is a differential result in favour of the first or the second. And every change, even though it be only a transposition of parts, inevitably advances the one process or the other.

Evolution and Dissolution, as we name time opposite transformations, though thus truly defined in their most general characters, are but incompletely defined; or rather, while the definition of Dissolution is sufficient, the definition of Evolution is extremely insufficient. Evolution is always an integration of Matter and dissipation of Motion; but it is in nearly all cases much more than this. The primary re-distribution of Matter and Motion is accompanied by secondary re-distributions.

Distinguishing the different kinds of Evolution thus produced as simple and compound, we went on to consider under what conditions the secondary re-distributions which make Evolution compound, take place. We found that a concentrating aggregate which loses its contained motion rapidly, or integrates quickly, exhibits only simple Evolution ; but in proportion as its lorgeness, or the peculiar constitution of its components, hinders the dissipation of its motion, its parts, while undergoing that primary re-distribution which results in integration, undergo secondary re-distributions producing more or less complexity.

§ 187. From this conception of Evolution and Dissolution as together making up the entire process through which things pass; and from this conception of Evolution as divided into simple and compound; we went on to consider the law of Evolution, as exhibited among all orders of existences, in general and in detail.

The integration of Matter and concomitant dissipation of Motion, was traced not in each whole only, but in the parts into which each whole divides. By the aggregate Solar System, as well as by each planet and satellite, progressive concentration has been, and is still being, exemplified. In each organism that general incorporation of dispersed materials which causes growth is accompanied by local incorporations, forming what we call organs. Every society, while it displays the aggregative process by its increasing mass of population, displays it also by the rise of dense masses in special parts of its area. And in all cases, along with these direct integrations there go the indirect integrations by which parts are made mutually dependent.

From this primary re-distribution we were led on to consider the secondary re-distributions, by inquiring how there came to be a formation of parts during the formation of a whole. It turned out that there is habitually a passage from homogeneity to heterogeneity, along with the passage from diffusion to concentration. While the matter composing the Solar System has been assuming a denser form, it has changed from unity to variety of distribution. Solidification of the Earth has been accompanied by a progress from comparative uniformity to extreme multiformity. In the course of its advance from a germ to a mass of relatively great bulk, every plant and animal also advances from simplicity to complexity. The increase of a society in numbers and cousolidation has for its concomitant an increased heterogeneity both of its political and its industrial organization. And the like holds of all super-organic products-Language, Science. Art, and Literature.

But we saw that these secondary re-distributions are not thus completely expressed. While the parts into which each whole is resolved become more unlike one another, they also become more sharply marked off. The result of the secondary re-distributions is therefore to change an indefinite homogeneity into a definite beterogeneity. This additional trait also we found in evolving aggregates of all orders. Further consideration, however, made it apparent that the increasing definiteness which goes along with increasing beterogeneity is not an independent trait, but that it results from the integration which progresses in each of the differentiating parts while it progresses in the whole they form.

Further, it was pointed out that in all evolutions, inarganic, organic, and super-organic, this change in the arrangement of Matter is accompanied by a parallel change in the arrangement of contained Motion: every increase in structural complexity involving a corresponding increase in functional complexity. It was shown that along with the integration of molecules into masses, there arises an integration of molecular motion into the motion of masses; and that as fast as there results variety in the

THE KNOWABLE

sizes and forms of aggregates and their relations to incident forces, there also results variety in their movements.

The transformation thus contemplated under separate aspects, being in itself but one transformation, it became needful to unite these separate aspects into a single conception—to regard the primary and secondary re-distributions as simultaneously working their various effects. Everywhere the change from a confused simplicity to a distinct complexity, in the distribution of both matter and motion, is incidental to the consolidation of the matter and the loss of its internal motion. Hence the re-distribution of the matter and of its retained motion is from a relatively diffused, uniform, and indeterminate arrangement, to a relatively concentrated, multiform, and determinate arrangement.

§ 185. We come now to one of the additions that may be made to the general argument while somming it up. Here is the fit occasion for observing a higher degree of unity in the foregoing inductions than we observed while making them.

The law of Evolution has been thus far contemplated as holding true of each order of existences, considered as a separate order. But the induction as so presented falls short of that completeness which it gains when we contemplate these several orders of existences as forming together one natural whole. While we think of Evolution as divided into astronomic, geologic, biologic, psychologic, sociologic, &c., it may seem to some extent a coincidence that the same law of metamorphosis holds throughout all its divisions. But when we recognize these divisions as mere conventional groupings, made to facilitate the arrangement and acquisition of knowledge-when we remember that the different existences with which they severally deal are component parts of one Cosmos; we see at suce that there are not several kinds of Evolution having certain traits in common, but one Evolution going on everywhere after the same manner. We have repeatedly observed that while say whole is evolving, there is always going on an evolution of the parts into which it divides itself; but we have not observed that this equally holds of the totality of things, which is made up of parts within parts from the greatest down to the smallest. We know that while a physically-cohering aggregate like the human

438

body is getting larger and taking on its general shape, each of its organs is doing the same; that while each organ is growing and becoming unlike others, there is going on a differentiation and integration of its component tissues and vessels; and that even the components of these components are severally increasing and passing into more definitely heterogeneous structures. But we have not duly remarked that while each individual is developing, the society of which he is an insignificant unit is developing too; that while the aggregate mass forming a society is integrating and hermning more definitely heterogeneous, so, too, that total aggregate, the Earth, is continuing to integrate and differentiate; that while the Earth, which in bulk is not a millionth of the Solar System, progresses towards its more concentrated structure, the Solar System similarly progresses.

So understood, Evolution becomes not one in principle only, but one in fact. There are not many metamorphoses similarly carried on, but there is a single metamorphosis universally progressing, wherever the reverse metamorphosis has not set in. In any locality, great or small, where the occupying matter acquires an appreciable individuality; or distinguishableness from other cutter, there Evolution goes on; or rather, the acquirement of this appreciable individuality is the commencement of Evolution. And this holds regardless of the size of the aggregate, and regardless of its inclusion in other aggregates.

§ 189. After making them, we saw that the inductions which, taken together, establish the law of Evolution, do not, so long as they remain inductions, form that whole rightly named Philosophy; nor does even the foregoing passage of these inductions from agreement into identity suffice to produce the unity songht. For, as was pointed out at the time, to unify the truths thus reached with other truths, they must be deduced from the Persistence of Force. Our next step, therefore, was to show why, Force being persistent, the transformation which Evolution shows as necessarily results.

The first conclusion was, that any finite homogeneous aggregate must lose its homogeneity, through the unequal exposures of its parts to incident forces, and that the imperfectly homogeneous must

lapse into the decidedly non-homogeneous. It was pointed out that the production of diversities of structure by diverse forces, and forces acting under diverse conditions, has been illustrated in astronomic evolution ; and that a like connexion of cause and effect is seen in the large and small modifications undergone by our The early changes of organic germs supplied further globe. evidence that unlikenesses of structure follow unlikenesses of relations to surrounding agencies-evidence enforced by the tendency of the differently-placed members of each species to diverge into varieties. And we found that the contrasts, political and industrial, which prise between the parts of societies, serve to illustrate the same principle. The instability of the relatively homogeneous thus everywhere exemplified, we saw also holds in each of the distinguishable parts into which any whole lapses; and that so the less hoterogeneous tends continually to become more heterogeneous.

A further step in the inquiry disclosed a secondary cause of increasing multiformity. Every differentiated part is not simply a seat of further differentiations, but also a parent of further differentiations; since in growing unlike other parts, it becomes a centre of unlike reactions on incident forces, and by so adding to the diversity of forces at work, adds to the diversity of effects produced. This multiplication of effects proved to be similarly traceable throughout all Nature—in the actions and reactions that go on throughout the Solar System, in the never-reasing geologic complications, in the involved changes produced in organisms by new influences, in the many thoughts and feelings generated by single impressions, and in the ever-ramifying results of each additional agency brought to bear on a society. To which was joined the corollary that the multiplication of effects advances in a geometrical progression along with advancing heterogeneity.

Completely to interpret the structural changes constituting Evolution, there remained to assign a reason for that increasinglydistinct demarcation of parts, which accompanies the production of differences among parts. This reason we discovered to be the segregation of mixed units under the action of forces capable of moving them. We saw that when unlike incident forces have made the parts of an aggregate unlike in the natures of their component units, there accessarily arises a tendency to separation of the dissimilar units from one another, and to a clustering of those units which are similar. This cause of the definitoness of the local integrations which accompany local differentiations turned out to be likewise exemplified by all kinds of Evolution—by the formation of celestial bodies, by the moulding of the Earth's crust, by organic modifications, by the establishment of mental distinctions, by the genesis of social divisions.

At length, to the query whether these processes have any limit, there came the answer that they must end in equilibrium. That continual division and subdivision of forces, which changes the uniform into the multiform and the multiform into the more multiform, is a process by which forces are perpetually dissipated; and dissipation of them, continuing as long as there remain any forces unbalanced by opposing forces, must end in rest. It was shown that when, as happens in aggregates of various orders, many movements go on together, the zerlier dispersion of the smaller and more resisted movements, establishes moving equilibria of different kinds; forming transitional stages on the way to complete equilibrium. And further inquiry made it apparent that for the same reason, these moving equilibria have certain self-conserving powers; shown in the neutralization of perturbations, and in the adjustment to new conditions. This general principle of equilibration, like the preceding general principles, was traced throughout all forms of Evolution-astronomic, geologic, biologic, mental, and social. And our concluding inference was, that the penultimate stage of equilibration in the organic world, in which the extremest multiformity and most complex moving equilibrium are established, must be one implying the highest state of humanity.

But the fact which here chiefly concerns us is that each of these laws of the re-distribution of Matter and Motion, was found to be a derivative law—a law deducible from the fundamental law. The Persistence of Force being granted, there follow as inevitable inferences "The Instability of the Homogeneous" and "The Multiplication of Effects": while "Segregation" and "Equilibration" also become corollaries. And on thus discovering that the processes of change grouped under these titles are so many different aspects of one transformation, determined by an ultimate necessity, we arrive at a complete unification of them—a synthesis in which Evolution in general and in detail becomes known as an implication of the law that transcends proof. Moreover, in becoming thus unified with one another the complex traths of Evolution become simultaneously unified with those simpler traths shown to have a like origin—the equivalence of transformed forces, the movement of every mass and molecule along its line of least resistance, and the limitation of its motion by rhythm. Which further unification brings us to a conception of the entire plexas of changes presented by each concrete phenomenon, and by the aggregate of concrete phenomenon, as a manifestation of one fundamental fact—a fact shown alike in the total change and in all the separate changes composing it.

§ 190. Finally we turned to contemplate, as exhibited throughout Nature, that process of Dissolution which forms the complement of Evolution, and which, at some time or other, undness what Evolution has done.

Quickly following the arrest of Evolution in aggregates that are unstable, and following it at periods often long delayed but reached at last in the stable aggregates around us," we saw that even to the vast aggregate of which all these are parts—even to the Earth as a whole—Dissolution must eventually come. Nay we even saw grounds for the belief that local assemblages of those far vaster masses we know as stars will eventually be dissipated: the question remaining unanswered whether our Sidereal System as a whole may not at a time beyond the reach of finite imagination share the same fate. While inferring that in many parts of, the visible universe dissolution will presently recommence, the question whether there is an alternation of evolution and dissolution in the totality of things is one which must be left unanswered as beyond the reach of human intelligence.

If, however, we leave to the belief that what bappens to the parts will eventually bappen to the whole, we are led to entertain the conception of Evolutions that have filled an immeasurable past and Evolutions that will fill an immeasurable future. We can no longer contemplate the visible creation as having a definite beginning or end, or as being isolated. It becomes unified with all existence before and after; and the Force which the Universe presents, falls into the same category with its Space and Time, as admitting of no limitation in thought.

§ 191. This conception is congruous with the conclusion mechan in Part L, where we dealt with the relation between the Knowable and the Unknowable.

It was there shown by analysis of both religious and scientific ideas, that while knowledge of the Cause which produces effects on consciousness is impossible, the existence of a Cause for these effects is a datum of consciousness. Belief in a Power which transcends knowledge is that fundamental element in Religion which survives all its changes of form. This inexpugnable belief proved to be likewise that on which all exact Science is based. And this is also the implication to which we are now led back by our completed synthesis. The recognition of a persistent Force, ever changing its manifestations but unchanged in quantity throughout all past, time and all future time, is that which we find alone makes possible each concrete interpretation, and at last unifes all concrete interpretations.

Towards some conclusion of this order, inquiry, scientific, metaphysical, and theological, has been, and still is, manifestly The coalescence of polytheistic conceptions into advancing. the monotheistic conception, and the reduction of the monotheistic conception to a more and more general form, in which personal superintendence becomes merged in universal immanence, clearly shows this advance. It is equally shown in the fading awhy of old theories about "essences," " potentialities," " occuit virtues," &c.; in the abandonment of such doctrines as those of "Platonic Idens," " Pre-established Harmonics," and the like; and in the tendency trovards the identification of Being as present. in consciousness with Being as otherwise conditioned beyond consciousness. Still more conspicuous is it in the progress of Science, which, from the beginning, has been grouping isolated facts under laws, uniting special laws under more general laws, and so reaching on to laws of higher and higher generality; until the conception of universal laws has become familiar to it.

Unification being thus the characteristic of developing thought

of all kinds, and eventual arrival at unity being fairly infeable, there arises yet a further support to our conclusion. Since, unless there is some other and higher unity, the unity we have reached most be that towards which developing thought tends.

Let no one suppose that any such implied degree of trustworthiness is alleged of the various minor propositions brought in illustration of the general argument. Such an assumption would be so manifestly absurd that it seems scarcely needful to disclaim it. But the truth of the doctrine as a whole, is unaffected by errors in the details of its presentation. If it can be shown that the Persistence of Force is not a datum of consciousness; or if it can be shown that the several laws of force above specified are not corollaries from it; or if it can be shown that, given these laws, the re-distribution of Matter and Motion does not necessarily proceed as described; then, indeed, it will be shown that the theory of Evolution has not the high warrant claimed for it. But nothing short of this can invalidate the general conclusions arrived at.

§ 193. If these conclusions he accepted—if it be agreed that the phenomena going on everywhere are parts of the general process of Evolution, save where they are parts of the reverse process of Dissolution; then we may infer that all phenomena receive their complete interpretation, only when recognised as parts of these processes. Whence it follows that the limit towards which Knowledge advances can be reached only when the formule of these processes are so applied as to yield interpretations of phenomena in general. But this is an ideal which the real must ever fall short of.

For, true though it may be that all phenomenal changes are direct or indirect results of the persistence of force, the proof that they are such can never be more than partially given. Scientific progress is pregress in that adjustment of thought to things which we saw is going on, and must continue to go on, but which can never arrive at anything like perfection. Still, though Science can never be reduced to this form, and though only at a far distant time can it be brought anywhere near it, a good deal may even now be done in the way of approximation. Of course, what may now be done cannot be done by any single individual. No one can possess that encyclopedic information required for rightly organizing even the truths already established. Nevertheless, as all organization, beginning in faint and blurred outlines, is completed by successive modifications and additions, advantage may accrue from an attempt, however rude, to reduce the facts now accumulated—or rather certain classes of them to something like co-ordination. Such must be the plea for the several volumes which are to succeed this : dealing with the respective divisions of what we distinguished at the outset as Special Philosophy.

§ 194. A few closing words must be said concerning the general bearings of the doctrines that are now to be further developed.

Though it is impossible to prevent misrepresentations, especially when the questions involved are of a kind that excite so much animus, yet to guard against them as far as may be, it will be well to make a succinct and emphatic re-statement of the Philosophico-Religious doctrine which pervades the foregoing pages.

Over and over again it has been shown in various ways, that the deepest truths we can reach are simply statements of the widest uniformities in our experiences of the relations of Matter, Motion, and Force ; and that Matter, Motion, and Force are but symbols of the Unknown Reality. A Power of which the nature remains for ever inconceivable, and to which no limits in Time or Space can be imagined, works in us certain effects. These effects have certain likenesses of kind, the most general of which we class together under the names of Matter, Motion, and Force; and between these effects there are likenesses of connexion, the most constant of which we class as laws of the highest certainty. Analysis reduces these several kinds of effect to one kind of effect : and these several kinds of uniformity to one kind of uni-And the highest achievement of Science is the formity. interpretation of all orders of phenomena, as differently conditioned manifestations of this one kind of effect, under differently-conditioned modes of this one kind of uniformity. But when Science has done this, it has done nothing more than systematize our experiences, and has in no degree extended the limits of our

experiences. We can say no more than before, whether the uniformities are as absolutely necessary as they have become to our thought relatively necessary. The utmost possibility for us is an interpretation of the process of things as it presents itself to our limited consciousness; but how this process is related to the actual process we are unable to conceive, much less to Similarly, it must be remembered that while the KSIDW. connexion between the phenomenal order and the antological order is for ever inscrutable; so is the connexion between the conditioned forms of being and the unconditioned form of being for ever inscrut-The interpretation of all phenomena in terms of Matter, able. Motion, and Force, is nothing more than the reduction of our complex symbols of thought to the simplest symbols; and when the equation has been brought to its lowest terms the symbols remain symbols still. Hence the reasonings contained in the foregoing pages afford no support to either of the antagonist hypotheses respecting the ultimate nature of things. As before implied, their implications are no more materialistic than they are spiritualistic; and no more spiritualistic than they are materialistic. The establishment of correlation and equivalence between the forces of the outer and the inner worlds, serves to assimilate either to the other, according as we set out with the one or the other term. But he who rightly interprets the doctrine contained in this work will see that notther of them can be taken as ultimate. He will see that though the relation of subject and object renders necessary to us these antithetical conceptions of Spirit and Matter ; the one is no less than the other to be regarded as but a sign of the Unknown Reality which underlies both.

THE ENSE

AFPENDICES



APPENDEX A

NOTE TO CHAPTERS XVII AND XIX

A concertion is certain to bear some marks of its genealogy. An instance is disclosed on tracing back the formula of Evolution to its incipient stages.

If without external influence it had developed from the germ contained in Social Matics, where emphasis was laid on the truth that organisms and societies are similar in this, that they at first consist of like parts performing like functions and afterwards consist. of unlike parts performing unlike functions (implying increase of multiformity), the conception perhaps eventually reached would have taken a shape in which the progressing division of labour would have been conspicuous. As it happened, its incipient shape was changed by the generalization of you Baer, that every individual organism in the course of its development advances from the homogeneous to the heterogeneous. Abstract as these words are, they presented the truth previously recognized, in a form which permitted extension of it from organic phenomena to inorganic phenomena. But they unawares carried with them certain implications that unduly affected the subsequent thoughts. The need for brevity had doubtless in part fixed you Baer's expression, and for his purpose qualification was unimportant: there was no need for saying that the homogeneity referred to is not absolute. Hence when adopting the word and extending its application from the physical to the psychical, and then to other forms of existence than the organic, there did not occur to me the necessity for excluding the thought of absoluteness. It is true that from time to time, as at the close of § 149 and in a note on page 265, and elsewhere, I indicated the relative sense in which the word was to be understood ;

but as it was habitually used without repeating this warning, the door was left open for misinterpretation. It has been assumed that I am committed to the idea of absolute homogeneity, though I have positively excluded the assumption. Evidently, in view of probable criticisms, the phrase "relative homogeneity" should have been used throughout.

Those further traits in the development of every embryo which were not recognized by von Baer as going along with the increasing beterogeneity—the increasing coherence and increasing definiteness—are of course to be understood as having this same relativity throughout their applications to the inorganic and the superorganic as well as the organic.

Thus the transformation we call Evolution must be regarded as falling between two ideal limits, neither of which is reached : is not to be thought of as beginning with the one and ending with the other. These must always be recognized, in the interpretation of its formula, that relativity which, as repeatedly shown, characterizes all our knowledge.

The way in which a further misapprehension is apt to be produced will best be shown by some analogies.

After sunset, Venus, becoming visible, quickly draws attention; but when, presently, stars cover the heavens, the eyes are not specially fixed by any one of them. In a room lined by a flowerpatterned paper, you observe no flower in particular; but if a flower be cut out and stuck on a whitewashed wall it will attract your gaze the moment you enter. A kindred effect is illustrated on contemplating the end of a line. Contrasted as this is with the empty space beyond, it impresses itself on consciousness in a greater degree than does any other portion of the line.

The psychological truth thus exemplified, whence results a fundamental principle of fine art (for artistic achievement of every kind mainly depends on due adjustment of contrasts), underlies also the art of exposition. Irrespective of their logical dependence, connected statements affect differently the minds of recipients according to the order among the impressions given : some of them gaining effectiveness by virtue of their positions. I perceive that, as a consequence, the title "The Instability of the Homogeneous" is liable to mislead. It refers to one end of a long series of phenomena, and its place, by giving it more impressiveness than other parts of the series have, makes possible a wrong conception. The chapter bearing this title aims to show why, throughout all orders of existences, there must go on a continual lapse into a more beterogeneous state, such as we had seen door go on. Of course to prove that all aggregates conform to this law, it was needful to begin with aggregates having no hoterogeneity. But this putting a state of homogeneity in the foreground, tends to produce the idea that it is more unstable than other states. Further, it leaves an opening for the idea that the validity of the argument depends on the existence of a state of homogeneity-the idea that if homogeneity powhere exists, or has existed, the argument lapses. Such ideas were not intended to be given, nor are they implied; and, as already pointed. out, they have been from time to time excluded. The aim was simply to show that go back as far as we may, even to a homogeneity which is unknown but only imaginable, the law necessarily holds,

Contemplated from a higher point of view, this law may be recognized as a corollary from the truth that change is universal and unceasing. From the centre of our system down to a microbe, each aggregate is subject to incident forces derived from other aggregates large or small; even the Sun being affected by the planets. Nowhere is there that sheltering from inner and outer influences which is implied by absolute rest.

In aggregates of some kinds incident forces produce changes that are evanescent. As was pointed out in § 102, aëriform masses and liquid masses, in which re-distributions have been set up by outer actions, show no subsequent effects: their components have not the required cohesion. But all other aggregates are liable to have their components permanently affected in arrangement, or form, or quality. If, now, instead of contemplating a modification produced in an aggregate at one time only, we contemplate modifications produced time after time, usually unlike as their causes are unlike, we see that there must result a perpetual superposing of modifications upon modifications. We see that the continual increase of heterogeneity is a necessary consequence—that the transformation
of the homogeneous into the heterogeneous, and of this into the more heterogeneous, is the necessary effect of these superpositions. Thus no special instability characterizes the homogeneous. It is simply that changes wrought in it are more conspicuous than are those wrought in anything already beterogeneous; and also, that, standing at one end of the series of metamorphoses, it gains more attention than the rest. This prominence has been caused by the needs of the exposition. To show the universality of this perpetual increase of structure, it was requisite to begin with the structureless.



AFFENDIX B

DEALING WITH CERTAIN CRITICISMS

One way of estimating the validity of a critic's judgments, is that of studying his mental peculiarities as generally displayed. If he betrays idioaynecasies of thought in his writings at large, it may be inferred that these idiosynemical possibly, if not probably, give a character to the variliets he passes upon the productions of others. I am led to make this remark by considering the probable connexion between Professor Tait's habit of mind as otherwise shown, and as shown in the opinion-the has theily expressed respecting the formula of Evolution.

Daily carrying on experimental researches, Professor Tail is profoundly impressed with the supreme value of the experimental method; and has reached the conviction that by it alone can any physical knowledge be gained. Though he calls the ultimate truths of physics "axioms," yet, not very consistently, he alleges that only by observation and experiment can these "axioms" be known as such. Passing over this Inconsistency, however, we have here to note the implied proposition that where no observation or experiment is possible, no physical trath can be established; and, indeed, that in the absence of any possibility of experiment or observation there is no basis for any physical belief at all. Now The Unacen Universe, a work witten by him in conjunction with Professor Balfour Stewart, contains an elaborate argument concerning the relations between the Universe which is visible to as and an invisible Universe. This argument, carried on in pursuance of physical laws established by converse with the Universe we know, extends them to the Universe we do not know: the law of the Conservation of Energy, for example, being regarded as common to the two, and the principle of Continuity, which is traced among perceptible phenomens, being assumed to hold likewise of the imperceptible. On the strength of these reasonings, conclusions are drawn which are considered as at least probable : support is found for certain theological beliefs. Now, clearly, the relation between the seen and the unseen Universes cannot be the subject of any observation or experiment; since, by the definition of it, one term of the relation is absent. If we have, then, no warrant for

asserting a physical axiom save as a generalization of results of experiments—if, consequently, where no observation or experiment is possible, reasoning after physical methods can have no place; then there can be no basis for any conclusion respecting the physical relations of the seen and the unseen Universes. Not so, however, concludes Professor Tait. He thinks that while no validity can be elaimed for our judgmente respecting perceived forces, save as experimentally justified, some validity can be claimed for our judgments respecting unperceived forces, where no experimental justification is possible.

The peculiarity thus exhibited in Professor Tait's general thinking, is exhibited also in some of his thinking on these special topics with which he is directly concerned as a Professor of Physica. An instance was given by Professor Clerk Maxwell when reviewing, in Nature for July 3, 1879, the new edition (1879) of Thomson and Tait's Treatise on Natural Philosophy. Professor Clerk-Maxwell writes 2-

" Again at p. 222, the capacity of the student is called upon to accept the following statement:-

"Matter has an innake power of resisting external influences, so that every body, as far as it can, remeins at rest or moves aniformly in a straight line."

Is it a fact that "matter" has any power, either induite or acquired, of mainting external industries ?"

And to Professor Clerk Maxwell's question thus put; the answer of one not having a like mental peculiarity with Professor Tait, must surely be—No.

But the most remarkable example of Professor Talk's mode of thought, as exhibited in his own department, is contained in a fecture which he gave at Glargow when the British Association last met there (see Nature, September 21, 1876)—a lecture given for the purpose of dispelling certain erroneous conceptions of force commonly entertained. Asking how the word force "is to be correctly used" he says :—

"Here we assume hut nonsult Newton. The sense in which he uses the word "force," and therefore the coase in which we must continue to use it if we desire to avoid intellectual confusion, will appear clearly from a brief consideration of his simple statement of the laws of motion. The first of these have its : Every body continues the its state of rest or gluceform motions in a statight line, surget in so for as it is compelled by improved forces to change that state,"

Thus Professor Tait quotes, and fully approves, that conception of force which regards it as something which changes the state of a body. Later on in the course of his lecture, after variously setting forth his views of how force is rightly to be conceived, he says "force is the rate at which an agent does work per unit of length." Now let us compare these two definitions of force. It is first, on the authority of Newton emphatically endorsed, said to be that which changes the state of a body. Then it is suid to be the rate at which an agent does work (doing work being equivalent to changing a body's state). In the one case, therefore, force itself is the agent which does the work or

changes the state; in the other case, force is the rate at which some other agent does the work or changes the state. How ere these statements to be reconciled? Otherwise put the difficulty stands. thus :-foreless that which changes the state of a body; force is a rate, and a rate is a relation (as between time and distance, interest and capital); therefore a relation changes the state of a body. A relation is no longer a name among phenomena, but becomes a producer of phenomena. Whether Professor Tait succeeded in dispelling " the wide-sprend ignorance as to some of the most important elementary principles of physics"-whether his audience went away with clear ideas of the "much abused and misunderstood term" force, the report does not tell us.

Let us pass now from these illustrations of Professor Tait's judgment as exhibited in his special department, to the consideration of his judgment on a wider question here before us-the formula of Evolution. In Nature for July 17, 1879, while reviewing Sir Edmund Beckett's Origin of the Laws of Noture and praising it, he says of the author :---

" He follows out in fact, in his own way, the hint given by a great mathematician (Kirkman) who made the following acquisite translation of a well-known definition ; -Reclution is a change from an indefinite, incoherent, homogeneity to a definite, echerent, "instances dir, through continuous differentiations and integrations." [77mmintee into place English. 7 Evolution is a shange from a nohowish, untelk-

abentable, all-allkouses, to a somehowish and in general-islksheatable not-all-alike noss, by continuous remeticingelestifications, and sticktogritherations."

Professor Talt, proceeding then to quote from Sir Edmund Beckett's book passages in which, as he thinks, there is a kindred tearing of of disguises from the expressions used by other authors, winds up by saying -" When the purposely vague statements of the materialists and agnostics are thus stripped of the tinsel of high-flown and unintelligible language, the eyes of the thoughtless who have accepted them on authority (f) are at last opened, and they are ready to exclaim with Titanin, "Methinks I was ensmoured of an ass."" And that Mr. Kirleman similarly believes that his travesty proves the formula of Evolution to be meaningless, is shown by the sentence which follows it.—" Can any man show that my translation is unfair?"

One would have thought that Mr. Kirkman and Professor Tait, however narmwly they limited themselves to their special lines of inquiry, could hardly have avoided observing that in proportion as scientific terms express wider generalities, they necessarily lose that

" A conscientious orbig usually someoils the latest edition of the work he criticizes, so that the author may have the benefit of any corrections or alterations he has made. Apparently Mr. Kirkman does not think such a presention needful. Publishing in 1876 his Philosophy without Assemptions, from which the abave parage is taken, he quotes from the first edition of Piest Principles published in 1602; though in the edition of 1867, and all subsequent once, the definition is, in expression, considerably modified-two of the leading words being no longer used.

vividness of suggestion which words of concrete meanings have; and therefore to the uninitiated seem rague, or even empty. If Professor Tait enunciated to a rustic the physical axiom, "action and reaction are equal and opposite," the rustic might not improbably "vil to form any corresponding idea. And he might, if his self-confidence were akin to that of Mr. Kirkman, conclude that where he saw no meaning there could be no meaning. Further, if, ofter the axiom had been brought partially within his comprehension by an example, he were to laugh at the learned words used and propose to say instead-"shoving and back-shoving are one as strong as the other;" it would possibly be held by Protessor Thit that this way of putting it is hardly satisfictory. If he thought it worth while to enlighten the castie, he might perhaps point out to him that his statement did not include all the facts-that not only showing and back-showing, but also pulling and back-pulling, are one as strong as the other. Supposing the rustic were not too conceiled, he might eventually be taught that the abstract, and to him seemingly vague, formula "action and reaction are equal and opposite," was chosen because by no words of a more specific kind could be expressed the truth in its entirety. Professor Tait however, and Mr. Kirkman, though the physical and mathematical terms they daily employ are so highly abstract as to prove meaningless to those who are unfamiliar with the concrete facts covered by them, seem not to have drawn any general inference from this habitant experience. For had they drue so, they most have been aware that a formula expressing all orders of changes. in their general course-astronomic, geologic, biologic, psychologic, sociologic-could not possibly he framed in any other than words of the highest abstractness. Perhaps there may come the rejoindar that they do not believe any such universal formula is possible. Perhaps they will say that the on-going of things as shown in our planetary system, has nothing in common with the on-going of things which has brought. the Earth's crust to its present state, and that this has nothing in common with the on-going of things which the growths and actions of living bodies show us; although, considering that the laws of molar motion and the laws of molecular action are proved to hold true of them all, it requires considerable courage to assert that the modes of co-operation of the physical forces in these several regians of phenomena present no traits in common. But unless they allege that there is one law for the re-distribution of matter and motion in the heavens, and another law for the re-distribution of matter and motion in the Earth's inorganic masses, and another law for its organic masses-unless they assert that the transformation everywhere in progress follows here one method and there another; they must admit that the proposition which expresses the general course of the transformation can do it only in terms remote in the extremest degree from words suggesting definite objects and actions.

After noting the unconsciousness thus betrayed by Mr. Kirkman and Professor Tait, that the expression of highly abstract truths necessitates highly abstract words, we may go on to note a scarcely less remarked.²² anomaly of thought shown by them. Mr. Kirkman appears to think, and Professor Talt apparently agrees with him in thinking, that when one of these abstract words coined from Greek or Latin roots is transformed into an uncouth-looking combination of equivalents of Saxon, or rather old English, arigin, what they regard as its misleading glamour is thereby dissipsted and its meaninglessness made manifest. We rany conveniently observe the nature of Mr. Kirkman's belief, by listening to an imaginary addition to that address before the Literary and Philosophical Society of Liverpool, in which he first set forth the leading idens of his volume; and we may fitly, in this imaginary addition, adopt the manner in which he delights.

"Observe, gentlemen," we may suppose him saying, "I have here the yolk of an egg. The evolutionists, using their jargon, say that one of its characters is 'homogeneity;' and if you do not examine your thoughts, perimps you may think that the word conveys some idea. But now if I translate it into plain English and say that one of the characters of this yolk is "all-alikeness," you at serce perceive how nonsensie I is their statement. You see that the substance of the volk is not all-alike, and that therefore all-alikeness cannot be one of its attributes. Similarly with the other preteatious term theterogeneity,' which, according to them, describes the state things are brought to by what they call evolution. It is mere empty sound, as is manifest if I do but transform it, as I did the other, and say instand 'not-all-alikeness.' For on showing you this chick into which the yolk of the egg turns, you will see that 'not-all-alibeness' is a character which cannot be claimed for it. How can any one say that the parts of the oblek are not-all-alike? Again, in their blatant language we are told that evolution is carried on by continuous "differentiations"; and they would have us believe that this word expresses some fact Bud'if we put instead of it 'somethingelseifications' the delusion they try to mactise on us becomes clear. How can they say that while the pasts have been forming themselves, the heart has been becoming something else than the stomach, and the leg something else than the wing, and the head something else than the tail ? The like manifestly happens when for "integrations" we read "sticktogetherations:" what sense the term might seem to have becomes obvious nonsense when the substituted word is used. For nobody dures assert that the parts of the chick stick together any more than do the parts of the yolk. need hardly show you that now when I take a portion of the yolk between my lingers and pull, and now when I take any part of the chick, as the leg, and pull, the first resists just as much as the lastthe last does not slick together any more than the first, so that there has been no progress in 'sticktogetherations.' And thus, gentlemen, you perceive that these big words which, to the disgence of the Royal Society, appear even in papers published by it, are more empty bladders which these would-be philosophers ust to buoy up their ridiculous doctrines."

There is a further carlous mental trait exhibited by Mr. Kirkmon. and which Professor Tait appears to have in common with him. Very truly it has been remarked that there is a great difference between disclosing the absordities contained in a thing and piling absordities woon it; and a remark to be added is that some minds appear incapable of distinguishing between intrinsic absordity and extrinsic absurdity. The case before as illustrates this remark ; and at the same time shows us how analytical faculties of one kind may be constantly exercised without strengthening analytical faculties of snother hind-how mathematical analysis may be daily practised without any skill in psychological analysis being acquired. For if these gentlemen had analyzed their own thoughts to any purpose, they would have known that incongruous justapositions may, by association. of kless, suggest characters that do not at all belong to the things juxtaposed. Did Mr. Kirkman ever observe the result of putting a bonnet on a nude statue? If he ever did, and if he then reasoned after the number exemplified above, he doubtless concluded that the obscene effect belonged intrinsically to the statue, and only required the addition of the boundt to make it conspicuous,... The alternative conclusion, however, which perhaps most will draw, is that not in the statue itself was there anything of an obscene suggestion, but that this effect was purely adventitions: the bonnet, connected in doily experience with living women, calling up the thought of a living woman with the head dressed but otherwise naked. Similarly though, hy elothing an idea in words which excite a feeling of the ludierous by their addity, any one may associate this feeling of the ludicrous with the idea itself, yet he does not thereby make the idea indicrous; and if he thinks he does, he shows that he has not practised introspection to asuch purpose.

By why of a lesson in mental discipline, it may be not uninstructive here to note a curious kinship of opinion between these two mathematicians and two litterateurs. At first sight it appears strange that men whose lives are peared in studiet so absolutely scientific as those which Professor Tait and Mr. Kirkman pursue, should, in their judgments on the formula of Evolution, be at one with two men of exclusively literary culture—a North American Reviewer and Mr. Matthew Amold. In the North American Review, vol. 190 page 202, a critic, after quoting the formula of Evolution, mays :—" This may be all true, but it seems at best rather the blank form for a universe than anything corresponding to the zetual world about us." On which the comment may be that one who had studied celestial mechanics as

much as the reviewer has studied the general course of transformations, might similarly have remarked that the formula-" bodies attract one another directly as their masses and inversely as the squares of their distances," we at best but a blank form for solar systems and sidereal clusters. With this parenthetical comment I pass to the lact above hinted, that Mr. Matthew Arnold obviously coincides with the reviewer's estimate of the formula. In Chapter V. of his work God and the Bible, when preparing the way for a criticism on German theologians as losing. themselves in words, he quotes a saying from Homer. This he introduces by remarking that it "is not at all a grand one. We are almost ashamed to quote it to readers who may have come fresh from the last number of the North American Review, and from the great sentence there quoted as summing up Mr. Herbert Spencer's theory of evolution :- 'Evolution is &c.' 'Homer's poor little saying comes not in such formidable shape. It is only this :- While is the range of words !. north may make this may or that may." And then he proceeds with his reflections upon German logomachies All of which makes it musifest that, going out of his way, as he does, to quote this formula from the North American Review, he intends tacitly to indicate his agreement in the reviewer's estimate of it.

That these two men of letters, like the two mathematicians, are unable to frame fideas answering to the words in which evolution at large is expressed, seems manifest. In all four the vertial symbols used call up either no images, or images of the vaguest kinds, which, grouped together, form but the most shadowy thoughts If, now, we ask what is the common trait in the education and pursuits of all four, we see it to be lack of familiarity with those complex processes of change which the concrete sciences bring before us. The men of letters, in their early days dieted on grammars and lexicons, and m their later days occupied with belies lettrer, Biography, and a History made up mainly of personalities, are by their education and course of life left almost without selentific ideas of a definite kind. The universality of physical consution—the interpretation of all things in terms of a never-reasing re-distribution of matter and motion, is naturally to them an idea atterly alien. The mothematician, too, and the muthematical physicist, accupied exclusively with the phenomenaof number, space, and time, or, in dealing with forces, dealing with them in the abstract, enery on their researches in such wave as may, and often do, leave them quite unconscious of the traits exhibited by the general transformations which things, individually and in their totality, undergo. In a chapter on "Discipline" in the Study of Sociology, I have commented upon the uses of the several groups of Sciences-Abstract, Abstract-Concrete, and Concrete-in cultivating different powers of mind; and have argued that while for complete preparation, the discipline of each group of sciences is indispensable, the discipline of any one group alone, or any two groups, leaves certain

defects of judgment. Especially have 1 contrasted the analytical habit of thought which study of the Abstract and Abstract-Concrete Sciences produces, with the synthetical habit of thought, produced by study of the Concrete Sciences. And I have excamplifeed by the defects of judgment to which the analytical habit, unqualified by the synthetical habit, leads. Here we meet with a striking illustration. Scientific culture of the analytical kind, almost as much as absence of scientific culture, leaves the mind have of those ideas with which the Concrete Sciences deal. Exclusive familiarity with the *formu* and *factors* of phenomena no more fits men for dealing with the products in their totalities, than does mere literary study.

An objection made to the formula of evolution by a sympathetic critic, dir. T. E. Cliffe Leslie, calls for notice. It is arged in a spirit widely different from that displayed by Mr. Kirkman and his applauder Professor Tait; and it has an apparent justification. Indeed many readers who before accepted the formula of Evolution in full, will, after reading bir, Cliffe Lealle's comments, agree with him in thinking that it is to be taken with the qualifications he points out. We shall find, however, that a clearer apprehension of the meanings of the words used, and a clearer apprehension of the formula in its totality, excludes the criticisms Mr. Leslie makes.

In the first place he dissociates from one another those trafts of Evolution which I have associated, and which I have alleged to be true only when associated. He quotes me as saying that a change from the homogeneous to the beterogeneous characterizes all evolution ; and he puts this at the 'outset' of his criticism as though I made this change the primary characteristic. But if he will refer to First Principles, Part II. chap. 14 (in the second and subsequent editions) he will find it shown that under its primary aspect, Evolution "is a change from a less coherent form to a more coherent form, consequent on the dissipation of motion and integration of matter." The next chapter contains proofs that the change from homogeneity to beterogengity is a secondary change, which, when conditions allow, accompanies the change from the incoherent to the coherent. At the beginning of the chapter after that, come the sentences-" But does this generalization express the whole truth? Does it include everything essentially characterizing Evolution and exclude everything else? . . . A critical examination of the facts will show that it does neither." And the chapter then goes on to show that the change is from an indefinite incoherent homogeneity to a definite coherent heterogeneity. Further qualifications contained in a succeeding chapter, bring the formula to this final form -- " Evolution is an integration of matter and concomitant dissipation of motion ; during which the matter passes from an indefinite, incoherent homogeneity to a definite, coherent heterogeneity; and during which the retained motion undergoes a parallel transformation."

Now if these various traits of the process of Evolution are kept simultaneously in view, it will be seen that most of Mr. Cliffe Leslie's objections fail to apply. He says :----

"The movement of language, law, and political and civil union, is for the must part in an opposite direction. In a savage country like Africa, speech is in a perpotual flux, and new dialects spring up with every smean from the parent bive. In the mivilized world the unification of language is rapidly proceeding."

Here two different ideas are involved—the evolution of a language considered singly, and the evolution of languages considered as an aggregate. Nothing which he says implies that any one language becomes, during its evolution, less heterogeneous. The disappearance of dialects is not a progress towards the homogeneity of a language, but is the final triumph of one variety of a language over the other varieties, and the extinction of them: the conquering variety meanwhile becoming within itself more heterogeneous. This, too, is the process which Mr. Leslie refers to as likely to end in an extinction of the Celtie. Advance towards homogeneity would be shown if the aliguages. various languages in Europe, having been previously unlike, were, while still existing, to become gradually more like. But the supplanting of one by another, or of some by others, no more implies any tendency of languages to become alike, than does the supplanting of species, genera, orders, and classes of animals, one by another, during the evolution of life, imply the tendency of organisms to assimilate in their natures. Even if the most heterogeneous creature, Man, should overrun the Earth and extirpate the greater part of its other inhabitants, it would not imply any tendency towards homogeneity in the proper sense. It would remain true that organisms tend perpetually towards beterogeneity, individually and as an assemblage. Of course if all kinds but one were destroyed, they could no longer display this tendency. Display of it would be limited to the remaining kind, which would continue, as now, to show it in the formation of local varieties, becoming gradually more divergent; and the like is true of languages.

by the next case Mr. Leslie identifies progressing unification with advance towards homogeneity. His words are .---

"Already Europe has nearly consolidated itself into a Hoptarchy, the number of states into which England itself was once divided; and the result of the American Was exemplifies the prevalence of the forces tanding to homogeneity over those tending to heterogeneity."

To this the reply is that these cases exemplify, rather, the prevalence of the forces which change the incoherent into the coherent—which effect integration. That is, they exemplify Evolution under its primary aspect. In the *Principles of Sociology*, Part II. chap. 5, Mr. Leslie will find numerous kindred cases brought in illustration of this law of Evolution. To which add that such integrations bring after them greater heterogeneity, not greater homogeneity. The divisions of the Hoptarchy were societies substantially like one another in their atractores and activities; but the parts of the nation which correspond to them have been differentiated into parts currying on varieties of occupations with cutalled unlikenesses of structures—here purely agricultural, there manufacturing; here predominantly given to cost mining and iron smelting, there to weaving; here distinguished by seattered villages, there by clusters of large hows.

Again, it is alleged that an increasing homogeneity is shown in fashion. "Once every rank, profession, and district had a distinctive garb; now all such distinctions, save with the priest and the soldier, have almost disappeared among men." But while for a reason to be presently pointed out, there has occurred a change which has abalished one order of differences, differences of another order, far more analitationous, have arisen. Nothing is more striking than the extreme heterogeneity of dress at the present day. As Mr. Leslie alleges, the dresses of those forming each class were once all alike; now no two dresses are alike. Within the vague limits of the current fashion, the degree of variety in women's costances is infinite; and even men's costances, though having average resemblances, diverge from one another in colours, insterials, and detailed forms in innumerable ways.

Other instances given by Mr. Leslie concern the organizations for corrying on mediation and distribution. He argues that—

'In the industrial worki a generation ago a constant movement towards a differentiation of employments and functions appoared ; now some marked tendencies to their studgemention have begun to disclose themselves. Joint Stock Companies have almost effected all real division of labour in the wide region of trade within their operation."

Here, as before, Mr. Leslie represents amalgamation as equivalent to increase of homogeneity; whereas analgapention is but another name for integration, which is the primary process in Evolution, and which may, and does, go along with increasing heterogeneity in the analgamated things. It cannot be said that a Joint Stock Banking Company, with its proprietury and directors in addition to its officers, contains fewer unlike parts than does a private Bunking establishment : the contrary must be said. A Railway Company has far more numerous functionaries with different duties, than had the one, or the many, coaching establishments it replaced. And then, apart from the fact that the larger aggregate of co-operators who, as a Company, carry on, say a process of manufacture, is more complex as well as more extensive; there is the fact, here chiefly to be noted, that the entire assemblage of industrial structures is, by the addition of these new structures, made more beterogeneous than before. Had all the smaller manufacturing establishments, carried on by individuals or firms, been destroyed, the contrary might have been alleged ; but

" Many of the things for sale in a village hackster's shop were formerly the subjects of distinct branches of backeess in a large town ; now the wores in which scores of different retailers dealt, are all to be had in great establishments in New York, Paris, and London, which screetimes buy direct from the producers, thus also climinating the wholesale dealer."

Replies akin to the preceding ones are readily made. The first is that wholesale dealers have not been at present eliminated; and cannot be so long as the ordinary shopkeepers survive, as they will certainly do. In the smaller places, forming the great majority of places, these vost establishments manut exist; and in them, shopkeepers carrying on business as at present, will continue to necessitate wholesale dealers. Even in large places the same thing will hold. It is only people of a certain class, able to pay ready money and willing to go great distances to purchase, who frequent these large establishments. Those who live from hand to mouth, and those who prefer to buy at adjacent places, will maintain a certain proportion of shops, and the wholesale distributing organization needed for them. Again, we have to note that one of these great stores, such as Whiteley's or Shoolberd's, does not within itself display any advance towards homogeneity or de-specialization; for it is made up of many separate departments, with their separate heads, carrying on business substantially separate—all superintended by one owner. It is nothing but an aggregate of shops under one roof instead of under the many rula covering the side of a street; and exhibits just as much heterogeneity as the shops do when arranged in line instead of massed together. That which it really illustrates is a new form of integration, which is the primary evolutionary process. And then, lastly, comes the fact that the distributing organization of the country, considered as a whole, is by the addition of these establishments made more heterogeneous than before. All the old types of trading concernscontinue to exist; and here are new types added, making the entire assemblage of them more varied.

From these objections made by Mr. Leslie which I have endeavoured to show result from misapprehensions, I pass to two others which are to be met by taking account of certain complicating facts liable to be everlooked. Mr. Leslie remarks that :---

"In the early stages of social progress, egain, a differentiation takes place, as Mr. Spencer has observed, between politikal and industrial functions, which fall to distinct classes; now a man is a merchant in the morning ond a legislator at algeb ; in mornantile business one year, and the next perhaps head of the Navy, like dir. Geschen or Mr. W. H. Smith."

Nothing contained in this volume explains the securing anomaly here exemplified; but anyone who turns to a chapter in the second part

of the Principles of Sociology, entitled "Social Metamorphoses," will there find a clue to the explanation of it; and will see that it is a phenomenon consequent on the progressing dissolution of one type and evolution of another. The doctrine of Evolution, carreatly regarded as referring only to the development of species, is errondously supposed to imply some intrinsic proclivity in every species bowards a higher form ; and, similarly, a majority of readers. make the erroneous assumption that the transformation which constitutes Evolution in its wider sense, implies an intrinsic tendency to go through those changes which the formula of Evolution expresses. But all who have fully grasped the argument of this work, will see that the process of Evolution is not necessary, but depends on conditions; and that the prevalence of it in the Universe around, is consequent on the prevalence of these conditions : the frequent occurrence of Dissolution showing us that where the conditions are not maintained, the reverse process is quite as readily gone through. Bearing in mind this trath, we shall be prepared to find that the progress of a social organism towards more heterogeneous and more definite structures of a certain type continues only as long as the actions which produce these effects continue in play. We shall expect that if these actions cease, the progressing transformation will cease. We shall infer that the particular structures which have been formed. by the activities carried on, will not grow more heterogeneous and more definite; and that if other orders of activities, implying other sets of forces, commence, answering structures of another kind will begin to make their appearance, to grow more beterogeneous and definite, and to replace the first. And it will be manifest that while the transition is going on-while the first structures are dissolving and the second evolving-there must be a mixture of structures causing apparent confusion of traits. Just as during the metamorphoses of an animal which, having during its earlier existence led one kind of life, has to develop structures fitting it for another kind of life, there must occur a blurring of the old organization while the new organization is becoming distinct, leading to transitory anomalies of structure; so, during the metamorphoses undergone by a society in which the militant activities and structures are dwindling while the industrial are growing, the old and new arrangements must be mingled in a perplexing way. On reading the chapter in the Principles of Sociology which I have named, Mr. Leslie will see that the above facts referred to by him are interpretable as consequent on the transition from that type of regulative organization proper to militant life, to that type of regulative organization proper to industrial life; and that so long as these two modes of life, utterly alien in their natures, have to be jointly carried on, there will continue this jumbling of the regulative systems they respectively require.

The second of the objections above noted, as needing to be other-

wise dealt with than by further explanation of the formula of Evolution, concerns the increase of likeness among developing systems of Civil Law; in proof of which increase of likeness Mr. Leslie quotes Sir Henry Maine to the effect that 'all laws, however dissimilar in their infancy, tend to resemble each other in their maturity: the implication to which Mr. Leslie draws attention, being that in respect of their laws societies becaue not more beterogeneous but more homogeneous. Now though in their details, systems of Law will, I think, be found to acquire as they evolve, an increasing number of differences from one another: yet to their cardinal traits it is prohably true that they usually approximate. How far this militates against the formula of Evolution, we shall best see by first considering the analogy furnished Low down in the animal kingdom there are by animal organisms. simple molluses with but rudimentary nervous systems-a gunglion or two and a few fibres. Diverging from this low type we have the great sub-kingdom constituted by the higher Mollasca and the still greater sub-kingdom constituted by the Vertebrata. As these two types evolve, their nervoos systems develop ; and though in the highest members of the two they remain otherwise unlike, yet they approximate in so far that each acquires great nervous centres: the large cephalopeds have clustered gauglia which simulate bruins. Compare, again, the Mollusca and the Articulats in respect of their vascular systems. Fundamentally unlike as these are originally, and remaining unlike as they do throughout many successive stages of ascent in these two sub-kingdoms, they nevertheless are made similar in the highest forms of both by each having a central propeiling organ-a heart. Now in these and in some cases which the external organs furnish, such as the remarkable resemblance Evolution has produced between the eves of the highest Mollusco and those of the Vertebrata, it may be said that there is implied a change towards homogeneity. No zoologist, however, would admit that these facts really conflict with the general law of Organic Evolution. As already explained, the tendency to progress from homogeneity to iseterogeneity is not intrinsic but estrinsic. Structures become unlike in consequence of unlike exposures. to incident forces. This is so with organisms as wholes, which, as they inultiply and spread, are ever falling into new sets of conditions; and it is so with the parts of each organism. These pass from printible likeness into unlikeness, as fast as the mode of life places them in different relations to actions-primarily external and secondarily internal; and with each seccessive change in mode of life new unlikenesses are superposed. One of the implications is that if in organisms otherwise different, there arise like sets of conditions to which certain parts are subject, such parts will tend towards likeness; and this is what happens with their nervous and vascelar systems. Duly to coordinate the actions of all parts of an active organism, there requires a controlling apparatus, and the conditions to be fulfilled for perfect

30

co-ordination, are conditions common to all active organisms. Hence, in proportion as fulfilisent approaches completeness in the highest organisms, however otherwise unlike their types are, this apparatus acquires in all of them certain common characters-especially extreme centralization. Similarly with the opparatus for distributing outriment, The relatively high activity accompanying superior organization, implies great waste; great waste implies active circulation of blood; active circulation of blood implies efficient propulsion; so that a heart becames a common need for highly evolved creatures, however, otherwise onlike their structures may be. Thus is it, too, with societies. As they evolve there arise certain conditions to be fulfilled for the maintenance of social life; and in proportion as the social life becomes high, these conditions need to be more effectually fulfilled. A logal code expresses one set of these conditions. It formulates certain regulative principles to which the conduct of citizens must conform that social activities may be harmoniously carried on. And these regulative principles being in essentials the same everywhere, it results that systems of Law acquire certain general similarities as the most developed social life is approached.

These special replies to Mr. Leslie's objections are, however, but introductory to the general reply; which would be, I think, adequate even in their absence. Mr. Leslie's method is that of taking detuched groups of social phenomena, as those of language, of fashion, of trade, and arguing (though as I have sought to show, not effectually) that their later transformations do not harmonize with the alleged general law of Evolution. But the real question is, not whether we find advance to a more definite coherent heterogeneity in these taken separately, but whether we find this advance in the structures and actions of the entire society. Even were it true that the law does not hald in certain orders of social processes and products, it would not follow that it does not hold of social processes and products in their totality. The law is a law of the transformation of aggregates ; and must be tested by the entire assemblages of phenomena which the aggregates present. Omitting societies in states of decay and dissolution, which exhibit the converse change, and contemplating only societies which are growing, Mr. Leslie will, I think, scarcely allege of any one of them that its structures and functions do not, taken altogether, exhibit increasing heterogeneity. And if, instead of taking each society as an aggregate, he takes the entire aggregate of societies which the Earth supports, from primitive hordes up to highly civilized nations, he will scoredy deny that this entire aggregate has been becoming more various in the forms of societies it includes, and is still becoming more various.

[Some little time after this appendix was published, Prof. Cliffe Leslie, with a candour extremely rare among writics, acknowledged that I had shown his objection to be invalid, and that the Law of Evolution is not traversed by the cases he instanced. I retain this appendix, however, because the objection originally made by him may very likely be again made by others.

There here followed two other appendices, one dealing with a book On Mr. Spencer's Fornoula of Evolution, by Malcolm Gathrie, and the other dealing with a book by Prof. Birks, Modern Physical Fatalism and the Destrine of Evolution, including an examination of Mr. H. Spencer's First Principles. As the criticisms contained in both works mere based on minorderstandings and mixepresentations, and at they were not made authoritative by the positions of their writers, I have thought it needless again to reproduce these appendices.]

APPENDIX C

DEALING WITH SOME CRITICISMS OF FROP. WARD

It is half instructive half amusing to observe what trivial difficulties, and even what imaginary difficulties, are urged by these who seek Such reasons for reasons for rejecting doctrines they dislike rejecting the doctrine of Evolution as set forth in this work are of course engerly sought by one who, resenting the conception of a fixed quantity of existence, or of force, under the forms of matter and naption, esponses the conception of Lotze that, "should the selfrealization of the Idea require It," "the working elements of the world " may be varied in number and intensity.

Frof. Ward tries to show that the doctrine of the instability of the homogeneous is invalid. Let me first state this doctrine in my own words

' The audition of humageneity is a coordition of unstable equilibrium. *

"It follows that not only must the homogeneous lagas into the non-bimogeneous, but that the more homogeneous must trud over to become less homogeneous [that is, more hoterogeneous).

"No densurver to the conclusions drawn can be based on the ground that perfect

"No demarter to the conclusions drawn can be based on the ground that perfect homogeneity newhere exists; since, whether that sinks with which we commence be on he not one of perfect homogeneity, the process must equally be towards a relative homogeneity." (§ 145.) "One stable tensorgeneity only is hypothetically possible. If control of force, shanlately uniform in their powers, were diffused with absolute aniformity through unlimited space, they would remain in equilibrium. This, however, though a verbally inselligible apposition, is one that exampt he represented in therefits; since unlimited space is inconcertable. But all finite forms of its homogeneous— all forms of it which we can know an concerte, must inevitably lapse indo-batereoneity [§ 165.] haterogeneliy " (§ 155.)

See now the comment of Prof. Ward on the view thus set forth and tims qualified.

"In worth, however, homogeneity is not necessarily instability. Quite otherwise. If the homogeneity be absolute, -- that of Lord Kelvin's primarial medium, any, --then the stability will be absolute too. In other words, if "the indefinite, incoherent homogeneity, is which, according to Mr. Spencer, some pastrongement must rerult,

be a state devoid of all qualitative divortity and without assignable bounds, then, as we saw in discussing mechanical ideals, sup 'rearrangement' can result only from external interference; it cannot begin from within."

"Thus, the very first step in Mr. Spencer's evolution second to necessitate a breach of continuity This fatal defact," etc. (Naturation and Agnosticiam, i, 202.)

In the first place, then, I am contradicted by having urged against me a truth which I myself distinctly affirmed a generation ago. In the second place it is alleged that as the law of the instability of the homogeneous does not extend to an infinite aggregate, which is aeither knowable nor conceivable, it is invalid. In the third place this is said to constitute a "brench of continuity," and, by "this fatal defect," my exposition of the doctrine as applying to all finite aggregates is vitiated. An analogy will best show the quality of this assertion.

"Here," says a mathematical lecturer, directing his class to a diagram, "is a curve called a parabola. It is an infinite curve, and some of its leading properties 1 will now explain to you." "But where is the infinite parabola?" inquires a listener: "I do not see it." "No, this parabols which I show you is not infinite, and no infinite parabola anywhere exists or has ever existed." "How, then," says the objector, "can you begin to tell us about the properties of an infinite parabola, if there is not, nor ever has been, nor can be imagined, any such thing?" And thereupon he characterizes the lecturer's propositions as so many delusions.

Besides seeking to force on me the conception of a homogeneity "without assignable bounds "-that is, infinite in extent-notwithstanding my repudiation of it, Prof. Ward seeks to force on me the implication that this homogeneity is absolute, though I have nowhere said or implied as much. He speaks of me as assuming a "pristine homogeneity," and he says "the proposal to start with complete homogeneity leads us to ask, &c." True, I have sold "the absolutely homogeneous must lose its equilibrium, and the relatively homogeneous apar lapse into the relatively less homogeneous." But by this statement I no more commit myself to the assertion that the absolutely homogeneous exists, or has existed, than the geometer commits himself to the assertion that there exists an infinite parabola when he points out the properties which an infinite parabola possesses. So far from implying my belief in an initial state of homogeneity, I have, in one of the passages quoted above, said that "no demureer to the conclusions drawa can be based on the ground that perfect homogeneity nowhere exists, since whether that state with which we commence be or benot one of perfect homogeneity, the process must equally be towards a relative heterogeneity," And then, to guard myself more fully against the supposition that absolute homogeneity is assumed, I have put a note saying that " the terms here used must be understood in a relative sense." But now observe that I am not allowed thus to

qualify the meanings of my words. On p. 227 Prof. Ward says that "spite of this Mr. Spencer, in an earlier foot-note, cuts away the ground from under his own feet by bargaining that 'the terms here used must be understood in a relative sense." So that I have thrust upon me an assumption which I have never made, and I am not permitted to say that I do not make this assumption !

Says Prof. Ward on p. 221 of Vol. 1 :- "We now find ourselves confronted, as the complete theory requires, by the whole universe in 'a diffused imperceptible state" (the tacit allegation being that a "diffused imperceptible state" implies homogeneity, which it does not). The nearest approach to any justification for this description is In § 250 (in past editions), where, anamaly as a speculation only, I have supposed the ariginal existence, not of a universal achillous. matter, but of a nebulous matter extending to the limits of our Sidereal System, or somewhat beyond, and have then proceeded to draw interences concerning the process of concentration. But at the close of the argument I have remarked-"We need not here, however, commit purselves to such far-reaching speculations," So that again, though I have set aside this hypothetical argument as being concerned. with a state of things beyond our knowledge, it is insisted that I shall "You shall not begin with such forms of the proximately inclade It. homogeneous as we know something about or may reason our way back. to, but you shall begin with an infinite and absolute homogeneity ": the obvious thought being-" My objections will fail onless you do."

To what a pitch Prof. Want's antagonism leads him may be judged from the following extract :---

"But so long as we look at things from a purely mechanical standpoint, as Mr. Spacer does, it is difficult to see what ground there is for assuming any increase of complexity ut all. Given a certain aggregate of mass-points regarded as a conservative system, and there will be a certain number of passible configurations through which it can pass; but on what grounds, I would ask, is one to be called more becompensate more betrageneous than another 1° (i, 225.)

Apparently, then, it is not proper to describe the yelk of an egg as more homogeneous than the chicken which evolves from it! Must we say that there is no structural difference between the two? or, if a difference be admitted, is it that the words homogeneous and heterogeneous do not express one of its characters? Or it is that this common-sense distinction must be excluded from higher ranges of thought? Must we accept Frof Ward's dictum respecting "the utterly unscientific and unphilosophical planae 'indefinite, incoherent homogeneity'" (p. 225)?

The above quoted passage sirikes me as somewhat impolitic, since, in a measure, it serves as a test of his reasoning at large, and shows that in pursuance of his also hie is prepared to ignore the meanings of words or else reject the words altogether.

Something must be said concerning one further matter. The

unwary reader, and even the critical reader (as a review article has shown me) if left with no guidance save that of Prof. Ward, will think that I have fallen, in one place at least, into an unquestionable inconsistency of serious kind. Prof. Ward writes :---

"He illustrates the well-known, but for his argument somewhat compalers, fast that is guard 'simple combinations can oxist at a higher comporture than complex ones,' in other words that chemical stability descenses as chemical complexity increases. . . Now as all penderable motion is in some chamlead state or other, and as the hell of our evolutionary formula relates to re-distribution of matter, this fact—that chemically the more bounderness matter is the more stable—surely entry a momentum can be determined in a the stability of the more stable. The strange that the state of the base of Mr. Spencer's tealto. I say the best, for here, as any min, the terms homogeneous and between and are strained at his philosophy. Note that chemical that when, in a subsequent volume of his philosophy. Not Spencer comes to treat of the evolution of organic life, this instability of the base of the angle of the strange straines are strained by the base of the base of the strangeneous and because and angle life, this instability of the base of the main start of argument." (i, 20t-2.)

Were I at a loss for a conclusive reply, I might mage that since the law of evolution, as everywhere represented by me, is a law of the re-distribution of matter and motion within scassible aggregates, and not as a law of re-distribution within their insensible molecules, it might suffec for its establishment were it proved applicable to the first without taking any note of the last. But I have no need to make any such qualification. There is a three-fold reply which disposes absolutely of his criticism.

First, he has ignored entirely the distinction between simple and compound evolution, though he had before him a chapter setting forth this distinction. It is there explained that evolution is primarily an integration of matter and dissipation of motion, and that under conditions which permit the process to go on rapidly, no other changes take place: the evolution is simple—as instance that of a crystal it is further explained that when, contrariwise, the matter is such, and the rate of integration is such, that there continues a partial mobility among the concentrating units, there arises that secondary re-distribution which constitutes the change of the homogeneous into the heterogeneous. Ignoring this fundamental distinction, Prof. Ward has assumed that chemical units are aggregates which can present this secondary. re-distribution ; whereas, as he knows, they are aggregates suddenly formed and, if considered as evolved, can exhibit only that simple evolution seen in the integration of matter and dissipation of motion : the contrast between homogeneity and heterogeneity cannot arise.

In the second place, he has confounded two utierly different meanings of the word "instability." It is not alleged by me that a homogeneous aggregate is, in virtue of its homogeneity, more likely to be overthrown or destroyed by some external force than any other aggregate. My allegation is that its component parts cannot maintain their relations to one another—are unstable in the sense that they must undergo re-arrangement—must lapse into a heterogeneous arrangement. Surely the multitudinous examples given make this clear External forces, when referred to, are contemplated as causes for change of structure and not as causes of destruction. But the chemical stability which Prof. Word names as characterizing the more homogeneous kinds of matter, and the chemical instability characterizing the more heterogeneous kinds, refer to their respective liabilities to be decomposed or dissipated by incident forces exceeding certain amounts.

And then, in the third place, Prof. Ward assumes that along with the assertion that the homogeneous is postable. I necessarily name the assertion that the heterogeneous is stable, or at any rate relatively stable. Nowhere have I said or implied any such thing ; but, contrariwise, have perpetually asserted and illustrated the truth that instability characterizes the heterogeneous as well. Already in the sentences quoted above from § 149 this is clearly shown, and it is again twice over shown in § 163, where it is said "that the homogeneous must lapse into the heterogeneous, and that the heterogeneous must become more beterogeneous," and where it is said of a force that it "turns the uniform into the multiform and the multiform into the more. multiform." Moreover at the opening of the chapter on "Equilibration," It is implied that the continuous lupse into greater beterogeneity can never cease until equilibrium is reached. I do not remember that I have anywhere expressed an opinion respecting the relative instabilities of the two states. But very many of the cases given, and very many of the incidental remarks, especially in the chapter on "The Multiplication of Effects," might he held to show that the original proclivity of the homogeneous towards the beterogeneous is equilled, if not exceeded, by the proclivity of the less heterogeneous towards the more heterogencous.

Thus Prof. Ward's triumphant criticism involves a triple mistake. Molecules of matter, if regarded as aggregates, are not aggregates capable of ondergoing that compound evolution which is in question. The instability which the doctrine contemplates is not the external instability to which he refers, but an internal instability. And it is nowhere alleged, as he takes for granted, that the heterogeneous is any more stable than the homogeneous: the simple fact being that to formulate and interpret that progressing complexity which all orders of existences display, it is needful to set out with simplicity, since an account of ever-complicating structure which did not begin with the structureless would manifestly be inadequate; and the result is that the structureless state comes into special prominence.

Those who wish further to examine Prof. Ward's criticisms will find sundry others dealt with in an article in the *Fortnightly Review* for December 1899. Were I to notice all of them at length, half a volume would be required; for to expose a mis-statement takes much more space than to make it. So far as I have observed, he has throughout followed the course which generally chameterizes controversy—that of setting up men of straw and knocking them down.

APPENDIX D

THE GENESIS OF GASEOUS NEBULAR

in an article on "The Nebular Hypothesis," published in The Westmouter Review for July, 1858, I concluded a somewhat during suggestion respecting the constitutions of the planets: argoing that the nucleus of each consists of gases reduced by pressure to the density of liquid. In part justification I cited experiments at that time recently made by M. Chignard de Latour, showing that such density had been produced. Some years later the researches of Prof. Andrews catabilshed the truth that each gas has a temperature-"the critical point"-above which no amount of pressure can liquefy it, but below which liquefaction can be effected. In the republished version of this article, now contained in Volume I of my Emans (where this passage is transferred to the Addenda along with others of a speculative kind), I have referred to the warrant afforded by his discovery, and have repeated my contention that a structure of the kind supposed, naturally arising in the course of concentration, would be in stable equilibrium. I am told that more recently Prof. August Ritter, of Aachen, has propounded a like view, and that two others have since done so; one being Lord Kelein.

This conception of planetary constitutions (and by implication the constitutions of colestial bodies at large) was specially dwelt upon because it makes comprehensible that explosion of a planet between Mars and Jupiter suggested by Olbers as having originated the planetoids; and 1 have, in the last-named place, enumerated the ever-accumulating evidence that some such catastrophe once occurred : the most recent planets, which intrudes, as Æthra does, upon the orbit of Mars. Here I refer to this hypothesis because of its bearing on a conclusion set forth in \S 182, 182a of this work, concerning the probable fate of star-clusters. It is there contended that when such clusters reach an advanced stage of concentration, and when, in conformity with Sir John Herschel's conclusion, collisions have happened among their circulating members, and when, as a consequence not recognized by him, there has been a production of gaseous or

nebulons matter spreading throughout the group (shown by Dr. Roberts to be in some cases discernible), as altimate catastrophe of a tremendous kind is to be inferred. The occurrence of such a catestrophe is suggested by the following comments on the appearance of the great nebula in Orion, and of the Sagittarius region in the Milky Way. They are extracted from Proctor's Old and New Astronomy.

"Some cast explosion seems to have taken place in the region from which all these sizuctures appear to spring," (P. 734.)

"The grouping of the great tree-like structures of the Orion Nebula econs to indicate that they have had their origin to a tremendous explosion or series of explosions in the neighbourhood of the transmission which has sont forth enformous streams of gaseous matter into a resisting mediant. If the stars of the trapezium are frequents of the colliding masses, they show no motion which lass as get bren denormal." (P. 735.)

"The Sagitaries region of the Milky Way referred to above and shown in Plate 27, contains utellar structures which seem to afford ovidence of the projection of matter into a resisting medium. As the translike forms in the great Orion Nelmin and the forms of the structures in the Corora bear witness to apploints on a colossal sale that have taken place below their bright bears, causing a stream of metter to be projected non-their original; cause by a resisting medium, so the translike forms of the translike forms and its branches deflected from their original; cause by a resisting medium, so the translike forms shown in figs, 452 and 453, as well as on Plate 27, afford evidences of the projection of metter into a resisting medium estending through that region of the Milky Way." (Pp. 787-8.)

Here, then, comes in the significance of the hypothesis respecting the constitutions of celestial bodies, referred to above. The first collisions of stars, such as Sir John Herschel inferred must happen In a concentrating cluster (perhaps preceded by collisions among their attendant planets), would, whatever the natures of the colliding masses, generate great volumes of gaseous matter. This, by continually impeding the stars' motions of translation, would negative the establishment of a moving equilibrium among them, at the same time. that it entailed a progressive approach to the common centre of gravity and increasing frequency of collisions. Of course if a celestial body has the structure above suggested, then the contained matter, liquid in density but gaseous in form, would produce an explosion. unhanginable in vastness and intensity ; outer and inner parts of the colliding stars being alike instantly transformed and projected with enormous velocity in all directions. While the component stars of the cluster were relatively far apart, one of these explosions might not seriously slucke its other members; but gradually, with increase of the nebulous medium in extent and density, increasing frequency of the collisions, and increasing closeness of the circulating stars, the tremendous impact received from an explosion would probably destroy the structural equilibrium of the nearer ones and cause them also to explode. If now we conceive these stars to be from half a million to a million niles in diameter, each subjecting its gaseous contents, above "critical point" in temperature, to the pressure caused by gravitation of its enormous mass—if we conceive the explosion of some two such to be propagated by impact to adjacent members of the cluster, we may dively imagine forces expable of producing a diffused nebola filling the interstellar spaces, and may understand why it should present the appearance of gaseous matter projected through a resisting medium.

Not a little hardihood seems implied by venturing the foregoing speculation. It is, however, to be regarded only as a speculation, purposely excluded from Chapter XXIII and relegated to this Appendix, so that the general argument of that chapter, already speculative I have enough, might not be rendered still more questionable. thought well to set it forth because the aspects of these diffused gascous nebulæ almost necessitate some such interpretation. If, as is inferred by observers, inconceivably vast explosions are implied, there can hardly be ignored the question-How came such explosions to be possible? There must have existed centres containing the required quantities of matter and the requisite forces. These must have been such relations between the forces and the matter as would explain the observed diffusion. And there must have been occasions for the liberation of these forces. If star-clusters are in course of concentration, and if stars have the internal constitutions described, then we have causes apparently sufficient to account for these tremendous catastrophes. Otherwise they seem inexplicable.

June 7, 1900.



INDEX

- A PRIDEI Goth, 189.
- Absolute, the : Manual quoted, 29-32, 35-57, 64-55, 30 ; Manuilton quoted,
- 51-55, 54-68. Abs&lute cause of changes inconceivable, 132.
- Accustics, ruy then in, 203,
- Adaptation : equilibration and organic, 401 ; and mesal, 408.
- Agglutination, 256-259.
- Aggregation, progressive, 263.
- Airy, Sir G. B., on the network hypothesis, 382, 429.
- Albertes Magaus, 50,
- Albumon, alūmats units in, \$34.
- Alimentary canal: development, 225; redistribution of motion, 214-215.
- Alimentation, rhythm in, 200.
- Amplgamation is integration, 482,
- America, Central, Approximation subsidauco, 356.
- Amaba, 536.
- Andrews, Post, Thus., 473.
- Andromeda, nebula 15, 331.
- Anger, and multiplication of effects, S64. Animala. See Biology.
- Autosling, moleculur motion iu, 235.
- Ammilesz, integration in, 251-252.
- Aştheopoinerphism, 91,
- Appearance: and reality.conflictbetween, 98-95; and phenomenon, mislouding associations, 128-124.
- Appendiz A (note to Chapters XVII. and XIX.), 449-452; B (dealing with certain criticians), 453-467; C (criticians of Prof. Ward), 468-477; D (the genesis of gaseous nebular), 478-475.
- Aptable forms of lauguage, 258-259,
- Archimades, 204,
- Architesture: writing, painting and sculpture-appendages of, 281; Assyrian, Egyptian, 288, 385; Greek, 288; Chilstion, 269-284, 285; action of cartiguake, 394.
- Aristotle, 50.

- Army, ecolution of an, 319-320,
- Aruold, Mutthews on the formula of evolution, 458-469; God and the Bible, 459.
- Arthropodu : progressive differentiation, 270; motion of, 318.
- Artificer, the great, 25.
- Arts : ribrithm of motion shown in music and datableg, 211 ; integration shown by indextrial and asthetic, 261-242 ; progressive holorogeneity, 281-290 ; destuiteness, 306.
- Assyria r muced decontions, 261 (their origin, 201 ; painting, 255 ; architecture and sculpture, 286 ; laws and habits mesiterable, 301.
- Astronomy : various beliefs as to the sna and planets, 76-77; planetary motion proof that energy cannot be destroyed, 147; transformation and equivalence of forces, 165-170; laws of motion, 185, 199; rhythm of mution, 204-206 ; integration of sidures] and polar 247-248; progressive agsystems, gregation, 268; progressive differentiation, 265; increased demuitoness and evolution of sour system, 296 ; midiatributions of motion in evolving solar systems, 372; Instability of the homegevenus illustrated, 339-882; the. multiplication of effects, \$52-355; argregation, 377-378 ; independent moving equilibrium of the solar system, 295 ; equilibration and nabular hypothesis, 866 ; and planetary movements, begebla ; 001-308 theat, 398-100 ; alleged improbability of nebular hypothesis, 808-397 : dissolution of the earth. 422-428 ; universal evolution and dissolution. 423-631; the Heeschele on stellar concentration, 425 ; star-clusters and the irregular nature, 429-427; the future of the visible universe, 128-451; the rolation of our sidered system, 428; summary of law of

avolution, 436, 438-441 ; and dissolation, 442; the genetia of gaseocanebulæ, 173-175.

- Atholem : universe as salf-existent, 22-23; unthickuble, 23, 26; its funda-mental verity, 32-34; and laws of the knovable, \$\$
- Attraction and repulsion, 132-184.
- Augustine, St., 10,
- Automatian, animal, Huxley on, 175-175.

ATECTORS, 50.

- BLETNET, J., the nabular hypothesis, 397. Bacon, Francis, 60,
- Baer, K. E. von, formula of development, 270, 448,
- Baln, Alex., Smothins and the Will, referred to, zv.
- Barter, introduction of, 555.
- Beckett, Sir R. [Land Grimtherps], Origin of the Lands of Nature, 454.
- Bees, sex of, 358,
- Bollefs : early, 4 | anaong diverse, something in common, 7, 9-12; antagoulam between religion and estance, 8 ; based on some ultimate fact, 9, 15-16 ; evolution, 11-12; all contain some truth, 33; evolution and theologico-metaphysical, 95-96. See also Buligion.
- Biology : relativity of knowledge and upture of life, 69-64, definition of life. 61-62 : trausformintion _ and equivalance of forces, 159-170 ; plant life dependent on the sun, 168; auturet life, 148-169; direction of motion in organic growths, 182-190 ; rhythm of motion, 208-250; a botauist's explanation of growing plant unphilosophical, 219; integration and disintegration, 226-223; motion and rearran gement of parts, 288-248; action of water and heat on plants. and assimals, 241-243; integration and interdependence of plants and animals, 249-254; progressive aggregation, 263; advance in multiaccompanies advance forgul av in integration, 268-270; Van Elser's formula, 270; evidence of palsontology, \$71-274; increasing definiteness of mammalian development, 297-298; progress from the indictornainsta to the determinate in flors and fauna, 299-300; molecular and molay motion, 310; radistribution of motion in the development of functions, 314-316; instability of the homogeneous, \$35-

339; the multiplication of effects, 368-368; hypothetical uphaavels in East Indian Architechage, 359-361; segregation, \$80-382: equilibration, 402-405; summary of wof evolution 436, 438-441 ; the general law, 465. Bird, bleas suggested by an unknown,

- 365-304.
- Birks, Prof. T. H., Moslern Physical Putation, 467.
- Blond, mental affacts shill sitpiply to brsin, 174.
- Bady, how distinguishable from space, 149, 182.
- Boschins, A. M. T. S., 50.
- Bones: Integration illustrated, 250 ; hecorogenalty, 275 ; increased dedniteness, 299; segregation, 580-989, Bascovich, R. J. : theory of matter, 99,
- 40, 14,
- Botany. See Biology.
- Brain : mental effects of supply of blood, 174; hubigration of growth, 250.
- Browster, Sir David, on the nebular bypor Leenis, 397.
- Brones implements, industrial effects of. \$56.
- Bruno, Giordano, 50,
- Buneau, O. C. J. von, 280.
- Barney, Dr. CL, arigin of music, 387. 258.
- CAIOMARD DE LA TOUR, Becce Charles, 173.

Cemponelle, T., 50.

- Candle : huming, explanation by chemist, unphilosophical, 219; vosted efforts of lighting, 150.
- Cases Fenalist, netally in, 321.
- Constchone, multiplied effects of use of, 368.
- Capacity and desire commonly associated, 805.
- Cargenter, Dr. W. B. : an incubation, 169 ; Principles of Comparative Physialogy, quoted, 252,
- Castration, effects of, 959.
- Catholiziam, 85.
- Catter. See First carso,
- Cause and effect, popular errors, 137,
- Cells, differentiations shown by number of, 337.
- Centipedes, motions of, 316.
- Chandeller and continuity of motion, 142 - 142
- Chonge: absolute cause inconceivable, 182; law of motion, 197-199; uni-Versellty of, 326-228, 457.

- Chemistry : action as measure of matter,
- 130 | trausformation into other modes of forms, 162-163 ; influence of liest on changes, 286; molecular motion and rentrangement of parts, 235-238; increasing dell'asteness of, 305, instability of the homogeneous illustrated, 327 ; chemical differentiations due to decreasing terrestrial heat, 233-334; segregation in analysis and crystolling. tion, 575-874; dissolution, 418.
- Chinese : hunguage incohorout, 258; conne gaotta, BHI.
- Christian act, primarily religious, 283.
- Church music, 288-289.
- Gilia, motions of, 816.
- Classificatory aciences, evolution of the, 264-241, 263.
- Charage serity, Samulian of, 387.
- Cognitions, cut, not absolute, 61-63.
- Cohornnee. See Integration.
- Cohl arrests distolution of organic matter, 419.
- Cobridge, S. T., desynemymisation, 3st. Colliced state of unitter, 230.
- Colonies, anican!, 253.
- Colours and persistence of force, 369.
- Commerces and direction of motion, 196-106; and rhythm of motion, 213; integration in England, 255; the instability of the homogeneous, \$42-844; the multiplication of efforts, \$55-308; segregation, 365; equilibration, 409-411 ; dissolution, 417 ; Club, Leslie on amalgamation, 452-463.
- Comprehension, and the inapplicable, 52-54.
- Courts, A. ; his Positive Philosophy, 101 ; on the actuance hyperthesis, 237,
- Concentration. See Integration.
- Conception ; real and symbolic, 13-21 ; this indufinite proceds a the definite, 244.
- Condusion and summary of this work, 432-440.
- Consciousness ; knowledge cannut nionopolize, 12; duration, 45-46; subscarce, 46-8 ; Manael and Sir W. Hausilton, 47-48, 55-58, 64-68; definite and indofinite forms, \$5, 70; belief in the actuality behied appearances, 59-70; a definite thought formed by the coalestones of a series, 71; must be assumed trustworthy, 110; experiences of face underlie, 139; convision changes, 132, See also Pepchology.
- Conservation of force, objection to term, 159.

Dougervations | function of theological.

- \$6-39; and millions, condict between, 412,
- Continuity of motion, 140-103, 217.
- Continuous re-distribution of matter and motium, law of, 220.
- Contradictories and correlatives, Hamilton an, 66,
- Correlativesa 6d contradictories, Hamilton 0u, 5A,
- Connez. See Universe. Consin, Y., Mamilton on, 86.
- Oray-fiels, integration in, 252.
- Creation, atheistic, theistic, and pantheistic hypothesis unthinkable, 22-26,
- Uniticiame, sepilles to, 93-56, (Appamélix B and C), 453-472
- Croil, Dr. J., On the Pranybrandia of Orapity (Phil. Map., Orb. 1970), quoted, 193.
- Crystelloid state of mutter, 234.
- Crystals : simple evolution, 237 ; segregation in evystallization, 376-376; and dissolution, 420.
- Cuviet, Baron G. F. D., 274.
- DANKSNO : Phythm of motion, 211 ; co-nullimate origin with music and postry, 286; progressive heterogeneity among Hebrews, Greeks, Romates, etc. . 286-282.
- Darwin, Charles Robort : Origin of Species, duto of publication, vii-vii, 862 : plants and insects, 258 ; natural adaction, 352; on divergence of charnoter, 363.
- Desth : are we not progressing towards. oranipresent 1, 413 ; she find equilibration preseding dissolution, all; does avalation as a whole advance towards.
- complete quiescence 1, 423-425, 431, Decomposition : an increase of holero-genelry, 292; process of, 418-410; arrested by cold, 410; assisted by but, 419,
- Deduction verified by induction, 246,
- Definiteress, increase in : exemplified from astronomy, 205; geslagy, 200; newnotalogy, 297; enderadogy, 297-298; agenies of funna and floro, 299-300; socialogy, 201-202; language, 900; mathematics, 204; chemistry, etc., 205; the arts, 306; literatury, etc., 205; the arts, 306; literatury, 366; a secondary phonomenon of gvulution, 307,
- Definition: by a process of suclusions, 91; difficulty of, 107.
- Descurtes, R., animal automotion, 176.

Desire, and line of least resistance, 198, 587.

Desynoaymization, 841.

Djamond, test of, 139.

- Differentiation, progressive, 265-290.
- Direction, the, of motion, 182-199.
- Disease : shythm in, 209 ; dissolution, 292-294 ; illustratos multiplication of effects, 357-358.
- Disintegration of matters and integrative, 290-328; is dissolution, 418.
- Dissolution : and evolution, 222-229 ; the absorption of mution and disinfogration of matter, 228-229 ; with evolution the history of every sensible existence, 244; marbid grawths, 292-294; not we progressing towards outlipresent death 1, 413; qualities evalu-tion, 415-416; illustrated by sociology, 416-415 ; bloslogy, 418-420 ; chemiatry, 420; geology, 421-462; milronnety, 122-423; dess evolution as a whole tend towards complete quiestence), 428-424, 481; sidereal availation and dissolution, 424-480; and evolution generally, 430-481, 480-488, 442, 444 ; 1 final coundement of evolution, 642.

Divise eight, 5,

Divisible and indivisible, 67.

Division of labour : evolution of, 278-278; instability of the homogeneous Hustrated by, \$43; and multiplication of effects, \$65-303; motion along line of least resistance, 387.

Brama, progressive beterogeneity, 290." Dross, prograusi ve hoterogeneity, 590, 662. Dubug, P. L., 163.

- HARTH, thus: conception symbolic, 18-18 ; motion, 45 ; vegetal and and and its derived from the ann, 168-569; inscenation of crust of, 200-240; blologian; history of, 271-278; thermal equivalent of movement, 422; East disentation, 429. She also Geology,
- Earthquakees illustrate lows of motion, 137; and slythm, 208; geologist's arplanction unphilosophical. 2E9 : effect on architecture, 294,
- East Indian Archipelage, hypothetical opheavals, 360-361.
- Escinemics ; and direction of motion, 195-195; and rhythm of mutica, 213; integration in England, 255; and differentiation, 278-279; the instability of the homomeneous, 343-344; the multiplication of affects, 365-369; segregalism, 385 ; equilibration, 409-

\$11; dissolution, 417; Gittle Lestie out anatigumation, 492-468.

Ellecta, uniltigilization of, 945-870, 440-418.

Eye and non-190, 120-121.

- Egypt : mural deconstitues, 263 ; their origin, 291; pieture writing, 282; architecture and acalptane, 283; and painting, SS5; dansing, 280; social divisions and customs, 301.
- Electricity : manuformation into other modes of force, 161, 163; rhythm of, 202 ; and integration, 250 ; segregative action of, 372-372,
- Embrywdogy : conneathau between physical and vital foreas, 169 ; and progress zive integration, 250 ; prograssive differentiation, 268–259 ; definiteness of unmutalian development, 207-298; instability of the homogeneous, 595-336; multiplication of effects, \$58; depermention of sev. 555; Kirkman's ericician, 467.
- Emigration and dissolution, 417.
- Emotions, " See Psychology,
- Energy a term furce used instead of, 148 netnal and potential, 148-149; and persistence of force, 159 g resolutions rs-distributions weampanying the of matter and motion, 323-324; in aidertal system, 130.
- Energy, conservation of See Permistance of force.

- Ragine. See Mechanica. Regiand : hounds to governmental action, d; shythm in polities, 214; economic integration, 255; sociological development, 902.
- English languages integration of, 257 ; sub-division of functions, 280; desynonymization, 341.
- English philosophy, Garnein eriticism, 101.
- Equilibration : universal tendency, 391-894 ; four differents orders. 394-396 ; shown by astronomy, 395-400; gechugy, 400-102; biology, 602; paychology, 400-408; souiology, 408-412; aro we progressing towards connipressint. death 1, 418, 428; and persistence of force, 414; death the final, 418; of sidereol system, 424; summisty, 441. Equilibrium, anstable, 226-527.

- Bysililoring mobile, 809.
- Equivalence of forces, the transformation and, 157-181.
- Bree, minor planet, discovery of, 473.
- Error, deduition of, 63.

Egarge, referred to, vii, 536, 474.

Essences, ald theories about, 443.

- Ether : hypothesical constitution of, 44 ; evolution of feeling in, 177 ; Harschel nn rotation of ethereud mediam, 398; is ft boumaness3, 431.
- Ethnology ; advance from the humogeneous to the interogeneous, 274-275; multiplication of officets, 362-363; sogregative effects of anvironment, 186-856.

Euglid, 804, 351,

Eudorina, 326.

- Europe; rules and ruled in mediavad, 6 : integration of mations in, 294 : al vance from homoganeous to heterogeneous in man, 274-275; all sarly Christian art religions, 263-284.
- Evolution : theory presented here seul by Euroin, vii-riii ; and dissolution, 222-225; passage from the imperceptible to the perceptible and from the preceptible to the importentiale, 222-221; inconceivable by philosophy, 234-225; formula must comprehend concentration and dispersion, 225 ; integration and disintegration, 226-228 ; and dissolution, 228 ; the interration of matter and dissipation of motion, 228-228, 244; torus levolution preferable, 229 ; simple and compound, 230-245, 264; quantity of contained motion, 231; melecular motion and rearrangement of parts. in innigenie aggregates, 233-238; in organic, 288-248; with dissolution the history of every sensible existence, 244 ; deduction verified by induction, 246; astronomic integration, 947 ; geologic, 245-249; organie, 249-259; super-organic, 354-256 ; philologie, 256-259 ; progressive aggregation, 268 ; progressive differentiation, 265-290 ; relative nature of terms of the definition, 265, 449–460 ; Huxley's address, 274; change from isonherent homogeneity to coherent beterogenoity acmanying dissipation of motion and Integration of matter, 291; from the indefinits to the definite, a secondary phenomenon, 807 : formula 807; redistribution of related motion, 308-821; final definition jund qualifying note); 321, 348, 449-450; interpretation of, \$22-325 ; persistenes of force underlies phenomena of, 323,345, 459-\$12 ; resolutions of force or energy which accumpuny the re-distributions

of matter and motion, 324; the instability of the homogeneous, 347; progreat factioneed by multiplication of effects, 351; deducible from persistence of force, 870 ; process of segregation, 373-393; equilibration, 391-414; considered universally with dessolution, 423-491; does it advance towards complete quinecence 1, 429-424; alternutions of dissolution and, 425-430, 430-435; summary and conclusion, 432-666; universal law, 435; and philosophy, 459-442; dissolution, the complement of, 142; restatement of doctrine, 445-446 ; gorm in Social Section, 449 ; formula criticized by Tuit, 468-458; by Kirkman, 455-452 ; Harth American Review, 458-459; M. Arnold, 185-459; Cliffe Leelit, 460-406; process depends on conditions, 404, 456; ordicisms of Prof. Ward, 468-472.

- Existence, cognition of, 95-48.
- Explanation brings us to the inexplicable, \$1-54.
- Eye, development of the, 340.

FARADAY, M., 165.

- Fashion : covillations in, 214 ; progressive heterogenuity of dreas, 290, 662.
- Fauna, See Biology, Fighte, J. G., ridicule of English philosophy, 101.

Fillan loyalty, 4,

- Fine arts : rhythm of motion shown in music and dancing, 211; integration, 251-258; progressive heterogeneity, 281-290 ; increase in dofiniteness, 305.
- Fluito, first cause bot, 27–28.
- First cause : infinite and alwalate, 27-42 , Manuel's Limits of Religious Thought, quored, 29-32, 56-57, di-68, 36; un Enowalda, 80-84, 443,
- First Principles : changes, r, vii-viii ; relation to Dermin's Origin of Species, visi ; prospector, x1 ; reply to criti-cismo, 93-95, 463-472 ; chapter recart, 148.
- Fishes, and warmth of water, 242.
- Flipt astronouts, crude, 80d,

- Flora. See Biology. Food ; supply and correlation of profid with physical foress, 179; inducates on detormination of sex, 358 ; equilibration of waste and repair, 103-404.
- Forbes, Edward, on the nuteralist of the old school, 368.
- Force: connexion with matter, 43; 31

hypotheses of Newton and Hoscovich, 66; incomprehensibility, 45, 49; the ultimate of eltimates, 132; and thu indestructibility of matur, 199 ; physicists and consciousness of, 147 ; persistance, 148-155, 217; energy, 150; intrineic and entrineic, 150; possistence of relation among various forms. of, 150-159 ; transformation and equivalance, 159-181; resolutions ac-companying the re-distributions of motion and motion, 323-324; heterogenevus effect of action on homogeneous mass, 545 ; and the multiplied effects, 349-351 ; Tuit's definition of, 454-455. Furce, persistence of, See Persistence.

- Furnes: the persistence of relations among, 156-168; of attraction and repulsion, only symbols, 183.
- Forges, the transformation and equivalence of : in electricity, magnetism, light, heat, chomical affinity, 156-103 ; shown in astronomy, 163-165; garlegy, 108-107; biology, 163-170; psycholcey and physiology, 179-177; soch-clogy, 178-180; caroliny from pensistence of force, 180-181.
- Formightfor Renders (Then, 1899) reply to criticisms, 472,

Frankland, Sit Edward; 240:

- GALILEO GALILE: 141; and the swinging chandelies, 1421
- Gas, temperature, 473. See also Chemistry.
- Bassons form of matter, 335.
- Gaseotas uebtilæ, genesis of, 178-476,
- Genesie of gaseonie bebulir (Apochdir D), 473-475.
- Goology : the transformation and equivalears of forces, 166-167; lows of motion, 187, 199; shythm of motion, 205 ; termestrial integration, 248-249 ; progressive deforeatiation, 206-268; and biological history of earth, 275-275 ; evidence of palmontology, 271-274 ; Musley's pre-geologic ets, 278 ; increased definiteness shown in bettertrial structure, 205-297; influence of molor and malerular mations, 309; redistribution of motion following evolution, 313; effects of the instahility of the homogeneous, 397, 389-884 ; multiplied effects from oveling of the earth, 854-356; from solar heat and aqueous and astronpherio action, 855-857; hypothetical upheavals of Rest Inding Archipologo, 359-361;

equenus and igneous segregative action. \$78-580 ; changes result from cosmical equilibration, 400-402; dissolution Diustrated, 421-442; torrestrial disintegration, 429-423; sugarary of lasof evolution, 488, 488-641,

German school of abilosophy, 191.

Gerson, J., 50.

- Ohost fliedry, primitive, \$3. Giase, molecular action is accessing, 238.
- tilyydodau, the, 262.
- God, the unknowable, 31.
- Gold, test of, 139.
- Governments development of openions, 4-3 ; readency towards European integration, 254; titles and releva, 276-277; evolution marked by differentigtion, 277; mural paintings, governmental appliances, 251; architecture, 1000000 approximation, and sculpture, 263; 283; pairing and sculpture, 263; postry, nucsie and durating, 287; dis-solution, 292-394, 416-477; increas-ing integration, hotorogeneity and definitences, 319-320; the instability of the homogeneous, 343; the multiplication effects, 307; republishmation, 417-419; dissolution, 416-417; Glaffe Leslie dz evolution of, 481.

(Trassi, G., 198.)

- Gravity (incomprehensibl 44, 77 ; continuity of motion illustrated by, 145 ; terrestrial affects of, 167; action to growth and vascular system, 189-190.
- Greeks : mythology, 85 ; philosophy, 99-100; theory of motion, [4]; architecture and soulsture, 288 ; music, dancing and poetry, 285-288.

Grimthorpe, Lord. See Beckett, Str B.

- Grove, Sir W. R. : on force, 150 ; no. incubation, 189; The Correlation of Physical Fores, 163.
- Growth : direction of motion illustrated, 188-189 ; also rhythm of motion, 302-269; Integration, 228; molecular and molar motions, 810, 814; excessive paripharal, 386.
- Guthrie, M., Mr. Spincer's Formula of Association, 467.
- HAMILTON, Sir W. : op comprisement, 48; reality bullind all appearances unknowable, 50; Philomphy of the Unconditioned, quoted, 59-63, 63-68; on V. Cousin, 65; consciousness to be presented treatworthy, 110.

Hart, 353.

Harvey, W.m., 270.

Havilned, 358.

fiends apirel form, 199; montal indunuces, 192,

- Heat; animals and the amount of mulegular change, 103; transformation into other modes of force, 160-163; Fouls's michanical equivalent, 163; effects of sular, 105-106; terrestrial offects of the sun, 167, 179-180 ; vegetal and animal life, 165-169; posiclogical effects, 179-190; undnistions, 202 ; integration and disintegra-tion, 226-228 ; chamical changes, 235-236 j simple and compound avalution illustrated, 261-243; instability of the homogeneous, 897 ; effects of the cooling of the carth, 332-334; action on Inorganic and organic matter, 333-534 ; inition on sphere, 345 ; varied affects of combussion, 850-851; from the sun, 355-356 ; segregative terrestrial action, 379 ; equilibration shown in asiar,
- 398-500; seeiste dissulution, 418-420. Hobows: languago, 259, 261; Morary development, 290; their poetry, music,
- und dancing, 286, Hogel, G. W. F., ridicule of English philosophy, 101.
- Helmholmy Free, H. L. F. von : on force, 159 ; diffusion of solar brat, 399, 125 ; on the introaction of unitiral forces (Phil. Mag., 4th, Ser., zi, supp.), quoted, 399, 422; effect of tidal wave, 400; thermal equivalent of motion of carth, 422-423.
- Heredity and the instability of the homegeneous, 939.
- Herechel, Sir John V. W. ; solar origin of steam force, 180; on the nobular hypothesis, 892, 420, 473, 474; on rotation of ethereal modium, 398 ; sun's myaultimate source of motion on parth, 401 ; Outlines of Astronomy, quoted, 425; on stellar concentration, 425; on irregular nebales, 425; on rotation of sidepeni system, 429.
- Herschel, Sir William, on stellar concentextion, 425.
- Reterogeneily of matter, progressive, 256-291.
- Heterogeneity of motion, See Motion
- Hieroglyphics, development of, 282.
- Histon, James, article in Med. Shirur, Review, Oct. 1858, quoted, 183-189.
- Nistory, complete, defined, 222-226.
- Manuar's 372; on words, 459.
- Homogeneous, justability of the, 325-948, Res also Instability.
- Hooke, R., experiments on motion, 141.

Horse, ancestor of, 274.

- Humanity. See Sneiology.
- Hume, David, 147. Humboldt, F. H. A. vone alligators revived by water, 241; foretalling of
- roln, 260; an stellar distribution, 428. Huzley, Prof. T. H.: the expression persistence of lores, 140; Locars on Animal Automatism, 175; Lochars on Persistent Types, 272; Address on Palaontology and the Destrine of Budution, 274; on agregation, 282; on the Hyptodon, 382.

IDEALISM and verbal fictions, 424-125.

- Ideas : ultimate religious, 18-54 ; ultimode scientific, 35-42; manifestations of the unknowable, 112; necessity of preliminory, 264.
- Insubation, connexion between physical and vital forces during, TOP.
- Industrastibility of matter, 134-188, 217; negation inconcolmula, 135, Indis: unchangeable institutions, 301;
- asgragative other of physical conditians, 236,
- Industion, deduction verified by, 266,
- Industries: and direction of motion, 100; differentiation, 278-279; the instability of the homogeneous, \$43-344; growth of organization, 365 - 368; argregation, 385; equilibration, 409-
- 411 ; dissolution, 417 ; Cliffe Ledis on amalgamation, 462-463.
- Infinite, the: Mansel quoted, 29-32, 56-57, 64-58, 80; Homilton quoted, 54-55, 64-69.
- Inorganic world, our knowledge chiefly Inferential, 224.,
- Insects, traceformation of forces and metamorphuses of, 170.
- Instability of the homogeneous: 226-348; aromatified in mechanics, 326; chem-Estry, 527, 388; geology, 328, 633; astronomy, \$29-331; meteorology, 286; organic life, \$35-389; psychology, 340-341 ; philology, 341 ; seclelogy, 342-. 344 : a corollary from the persistence of force, 245; und segregation, 373; summary, 440-441; possible misapprehension of the expression, 450-451 ; Ward on the doctrine, 468.
- Integration : astronomic, 247 ; genlagic, 248-240; acganie, 249-258; super-organie, 254-256; philologia, 256-239; scientific, 259-261; artistic, 261-262; literary, 262; Cliffs Lestle on energymation, 402-468.

Integration and disintegration of multer, 225-223. Integration of motion. See Motion. (estelliguages and relative character of 270.mowledge, 60-60; defined, 61-62. [gaspectation: 52; of evolution, 322-325. Investidenta, integration in, 250-251. Involution, term prefemble to evolution, 222 Iroa, molecular re-arrangement in, 233. JARAR, dissolving effect of Entropean civilization, \$17. Joule, J. P., mechanical equivalence of heat, 159, 168, 422, 426. Joy, rhythm in, 212, Jupiter, planet, 423. EAST, Imic. : 50; doctrine as to space and sime unthinkahlo, 35-37, Kolvin, Lords hypethesis of vertexstorns, 40; Clerk Maxwell on, 41; reisedution of comote and planets, 248; Treatise on Wateral Philasophy, 461; primordial medium, 448; ota blut zebalar hypothesis, 453, Bopiac, J., 77, 204, 222. Kerner, ApL, 336. Kings, primitive, reported as **新闻教授学** b'omaz, 4. Kirkman, T. P. : Philomphy without Assumptions, 455; on the formula of evaluation, 455-455 Knoweble, the, 97-149 ; relation to the unknowable, 463. Enowing, fact of, 58, ecience development of common, 13; limitations, dê-dê ; relativity, 50-72, 60, 59, 72; our cognitions not absolute, 51-63; product of thought, 61-54; its process, 64-60; relative character as deduced from asture of intelligence, 64-60, 63; of things in themselves impossible, 64; progress dual, 79; three elements of, 98; alleged assumption of term unknowshle, 99; of the lowest kind is anapified, 106; definition of complete, 224-225, 444.5 unification of developing, 44.8, LABORT division of See Division. Language, increase in definiteness, 263, See also Philology. Laphoe, Margula P. S. de, on neonious fing development, \$22, 378, Latham, Dr. R. G., quoted, 258.

Laughter : physiology of, 182 ; article to Marinellion's May, (March 1860), 192. Low : uniformity of, 118 ; universality of,

- Law; development of legislation, 802; and the formula of evolution, 485-466. Law of evalution, 215-821.
- Leaves, segregation in fallon, 570-371.
- Leibnitz, G. W. von, theory of conttor, 89.
- Lon Hobewas, 50. Letile, T. E. Cliffe, on the formula of
- evolution, 400-466 ; his care condour, 466.
- Lepidesiren, revived by water, 241.
- Liberty, growth of, 4-5.
- Life: and relativity of knowledge, 60-\$4; the continuous adjustment of internal to external relations, dl, 63; and rhythm of motion, 208-210. Sec. oko Blology.
- Light : trausformation into other modes of force, \$60-162; and heat consist of undrelations, 202; and rhythin of piotles, 202-208; and sound conform to itize lows, 260; segregation of term 06, 876-577.
- Limited, the, and unlimited, 66-67,
- Liquid form of sanster, 204.
- Literature : programine integration, 202 ; insteasing differentiation, 299; and treth of representation, 300.
- Liver, development, 208.
- Lotze, R. H., 168.
- Loyalty, evolution of, 4-6, 411.
- MACHINERY, progressive integration of, 261.
- Middler, J. H., rotation of siderest system, 429.
- Magallauie clouds, 428.
- Magnetism : transformation into other modes of force, 160-160; direction of motion, 195; rhythm of terrestrial magnetic variations, 206 ; and integration, 260; segregative action of, 372-873.
- Maiae, Sir H. S., quoted by Oliffe Leslie. 465.
- Majorities ; usually wrong, 5 ; but not entirely, 4.
- Mallet, R., earthquakes, 208.
- Mammals, Increasing definiteness of development, 257-298,
- Manmuoths, frozen, d19.
- Mon. See Biology, Ethnology, and Saclalogy.
- Manifestations, wirld and faint, 172-120. Monnets: evolution marked by differ-

Enowledge: transcended by thought, 12;

entiotion, 277; Manuers and Machine, quoted, 277.

- Mansel, Dean H. L.: Limits of Religious Thought, meeted, 25-32, 56-57, 64-69, 80; correctionatives of self, 47-48.
- Marchanica, 332.
- Marriages, equilibration and, 409.
- Marshquishia, integration in, 252.
- Martinezza, Dr. J., Nature and God (Mat. Res., Oct. 1860), pusted, 82-63.
- Materialistic, evolution not, 440.
- Mathamatics: monthl development and numbers, 136 : jusersand in dofinitioness, 804.
- Matter: divisibility, 38; solidity, 35-39 ; conception of Nowlan, 39, 40 ; cf. Bosonvicia, 39, 40; of Laidmitz, 30; Kelvin and vortex alons, 40 : ultimate natura lacomprehensilée, 40; capmaxion with force, 43 ; conception of, 199-190 ; relativity of our cognition, 180; indestructibility, 134-138, 217, 438 : creation and annihilation inconasivable, 135-135; preceptions of per-manence, 738-139; hav of continuous re-distribution, 220; integration' and disintegration, 226-228 ; evolution that integracion, etc., 226-229, 264; dissolution the disintegration, ste., 228-229 ; molecular motion and rearrangement of parts in inorganic aggregates, 233-238,; in organic, 208-243; lepuid. form, 254 ; guscous form, 285 ; chars-talloid and colloid solid states, 286 ; programive differentiation illustrated. by astronomy, 265-266; by geology, 200-267; networklogy, 267; organic life, 266-273; palcontology, 273; lt-stability of the boracgeneous, 229-239; effects of forten and mert, 365; publiplind adocts, 249-361; dissolution a disintegration of, 418.

- Max Millier, F., 280. Maxwell, J. Clork : on hypothesis of toplex atoms, 41; on Thomson and Tait's Trinsiste on Matural Philosophy. 454.
- Mayer, J. B. von, mechanical theory of heat, 159, 496.
- Stenaturement of matter, mating, and force, 151-153, 157.
- Mechanica: Integration shown in, 201; increase in definiteness of conceptions, 204, 200 ; instability of the homogeneons illustrated, \$26; steam englao and multiplied effects, 357 ; and equilibertion, 301.
- Madicina, uis mallicatria matuma, 494.

- Medico-Chirmegical Review, referred by X.11, XV.
- Melanethon, P., 50.
- Mental processes. See Psychology,
-)]emphysics : theologies metaphysical beliefe, 96 ; phenomonen and appearавее, 192-125.
- Mehrana, 337.
- Meteorology : laws of motion illustrated, 186 ; Mythus of mutice, 200-207 ; efforts of heat, 227; formeasts, 260; efforts of superficial terrestrial taragularity, 267; increasing definiteness of conditions, 297; inflaence of moler and molecular motions, 311; redistributton of motion caused by iscrettrial avolution, 313; isstability of the humogeneous, 354 ; multiplied effects of onfar lient, 285-856; elimate and segregation, 885; and equilibrication, 44.64
- Mericans, picture writing, 382.
- Microscopa, exactness of, 200.
- Miluo-Bowards, H., 250.
- Milley: Way : stellar distribution in the, 829; segregation, 877; concentration, of star clusters in the, 125 ; and situathen of irregular nebulas, 426; and the form of the sidereal universe, 128; chasip(s 16; 429-150; Sagettarina .orgion, 474.
- MIII, J. S., on the stationary state, 411.
- Mind. See Psychology.
- Meactheim, 28, 34,
- Monotrematic, integration in, 252.
- Moral : philosophy, 162; aquilibration shown in adaptation, 402.
- Morbid growths, 292-294.
- Sintion i absolute, unthinimitable, 41-42; relativity, 41; transfer, 42; charge to rest, 43; conception involves those of space, time and matter, 121 ; elsborated from experiences of force, 131-182; continuity of 143-145, 217; not adf-oyldant, 144; Newton's first low, 141, 454; of celestial bodies, 142; translation-element, 142-143; latent principle of activity, 143-145; space-element, 145 ; continuity known in terms of force, 146; quantity of energy constant, 140; transformed into heat, electricity, etc., 169; the direction of, 182-199: forces of attration and repulsion to be taken as symbols, 183-184; laws of direction of. 131-185; in salar system, 125; Rarth's atmosphere, 186 ; and crust, 187 ; epind ducenies, 188-189 ; ar-

ganio growths, 198-190; montal phonomeun, 100-198; social chauges and grouths, 103-197; and persist-open of force, 107-199; shythem of, 200-225; universal, 260-292, 215-216; generally compound, 203-204; pythra in achula, double stars and solar ayetem, 204-205; in terrestrial aimosphere and magnetic variations, 206-207; in earth's crust, 208; in the phenomena of life, past and prosent, 208, 299; in mental states and the arts, 213 ; social chithuna. 212-214 ; noiversol chithum a corollary from the persistence of force, 215-216, 434 ; huw of continuous re-distribution, 220; evalution the dissipation, etc., 228-229, 244 ; dissolution the absorption, etc., 225-220; production and quantity of, 281 ; melecular, and reastangement of parts in inorganic aggregates, 283-208; in organic, 258-248 ; re-distribution of rotained, accompanying evolution, 308-321; exemplified in goology, 309, \$13; motorology, \$10; astronomy, \$13; physiology, \$14-318; psychol-ogy, \$17; philology, \$17; sociology, 310 ; equilibration, 190-102 ; dissolution and realogular, 418-420; and malar, in relation to universal evolution and dissolution, 420-430; summany of laws of, 433-454; Tal: on Newton's first law, 454.

- Mountains : rhythm in cam caused by, 207 ; altitude and terrestrial cruss, 219, 207, 354.
- Multiplication of effects: progress of avolution furthered by, 954; illustunied from astronomy, 552-363; geology, 358-355; motorology, 355-357; physiology, 357; embryelogy, 358; development of farms and flore, 359-352; psychology, 553-353; soitology, 385-368; asience, 308; conditry from persistence of force, 359; summary, 369-370, 440-441.
- Manual decorations: progressivo hestogeneity, 281-282; sucrouse of definitoneer, 304.
- Muscles: correlation and equivalence of sensations and actions, 173-178; equilibration illustrated, 404.
- Music: shythm of, 211; progressive integration, 262; co-ordinate origin with poetry and duncing, 286; prograssive helerogeneity atmong Hebrewa, Greeks, Romans, etc., 286-289; Chrisrian Ghurch, 385-289.

- NATURAL selection: implies structural changes along lines of least resistance, 160; multiplication of effects, 362; and segregation, 382.
- Naturalist of the old school 368.
- Nature (Sept. 21, 1878), 464 ; (July 17, 1879), 455.
- Nature is made better by no mean, 92,
- Nebulæ : rotation results from concentration, 164; rhythum in the spiral arrangement of, 204; concentration of iddenal and color systems, 247; increase of definitences, 396; the integration of motion illustrated, 218; instability of the homogeneous, 329-337; the multiplication of effects, 352; segregation, 377-378; equilibration, 396; alleged improbability of metular hypothesis, 396-397; Recenter, Conto, Babinet on, 397; and Helmhultz, 369; evolution and dissolution, 425-430; genesis of gascour, 478-475.
- Nebular bypothesis, 164, 247, 301-982; artials in Westminuter Review (July 1998), 478.
- Necessary 17085, 136-137, 139.
- Nerres and monthl processes, 172-173. See also Phychology.
- Neamann, F. E., 163.
- Newgoort, G., 251,
- Newton, Sir 1, 1: 50; conception of matter, 59, 40, 44; on gravity, 44, 77; first law of motion, 141; Tait so, 454,
- Nilrogen : instability of combinations, 285 ; emount in plants and animals, 241.
- No.0-190 and 190, 120-121.
- North American Review, on the formula of synlation, 465–469,
- Nothing, production of matter out of, the cal myscory, 25.
- Nonmenon, the, antithesis to the phone-
- Numbers, mental development and, 136.

OBJECT and subject, 120-121.

- Geenit virtnes, old theories about, 442.
- Olbers, H. W. M., 473.
- Organie growths, progressive aggregation, 263. See also Siclogy.
- Grigin of Species, date of publication, vil-vill, 862.

Orim, nebula in, 420, 474,

- Octoology : Integration Electroted, 250 ; heterogeneity, 275 ; increased definitearea, 209 ; sogregation, 330-532.
- Ostrich, useous segregation in, 381,
- Owen, Prof. Str R., 273.

PAIN, chytlem in, 212.

- Painting: and sculpture, scanate with writing, 251; common origin with sculpture, etc., 284.
- Paleontology and rhythm of motion, 210; evidences of progressive differentiation, 206-208; Huxley's Paleontology and the Doctrine of Evolution, 274.

Pavilorina, 225.

Pantheism : onlyerse as self-created, 72-24; anthrinkable, 23, 26; its fundamental verity, 82-84; and laws of the knowable, 00.

Pagaiya forno, 148.

Pathology: rhythm in disease, 209; dissolution, 292-294; statistical method, 305; multiplication of effects illustrated by, 357-358.

Pawuee lasguage, 256.

- Passimilars : and continuity of motion, 142 ; revisition in rate of esciliation, 311,
- Persistence of ferces explanation of term, 149 ; its meaning, 154-155 ; an uncouditioned reality, 155; periotence of relations among forces, 156-158, 217; tion maily of law is a corollary from, 158, 180, 216; and transformation and equivalence of forces, 180; and direction of motion, 198-109; and chythen of motion, 215-216; univenal truth, 287; underlies phonemens of evolution, 523, 489-442; and instability of the homogeneous, 345-; multiplication of effects, 869-879; segregation, 388-390 ; equilibration, 41%-414; aummary, 453; the foundation of evaluation, 438-442; restrictment, 445-448.
- Permistence of relations unlong formes, 156-153, 217.
- Persistent force, recognition of a, 443.

Persistent types, Haxley on, 272.

Potit, A. T., 163.

- Phenomenon: antithesis to normonon, 65; and apportance, misleading accountions, 123-124.
- Philology: misinterpretation of words, 122; process of aggletization, 256-256; integration occentplified, 257-256; aptotic forms, 258-259; increase of heterogeneity in language, 270-252; English structurally superior, 280; written language counste with painting and applications, 281; increase in definiteness in language, 208; solvence of retained contion exemplified, 210-

\$19; desyncerymization, \$41; establishes racial community, \$02; verbal qubbles on formals of evolution, 455–459; Cliffe Leslie on evolution of language, 461.

- Philosophers and relativity of knowledge, 50.
- Philosophy : and thureliging sentiment, 8-12; the first cause and the absolute, 29-32, 54-55, 56-57, 66-68; 80; limits and obaraoter, 69; cationt and modern conceptions of, 100-102; Serman eriticism of Roglish, 101; Comic and positive, 101; divisions, 101-102; is knowledge of the highest degree of generality, 102-104; how it is constituted, 104; general and special 105, 145; data, 109-122; fundamental invaitions to be accepted as unquestionsable, 108-110; conscioneness of likeness or difference, 110-111; vivid and fuint manifestations, 112-120; object and subject, self and not self, 120-121 ; misinterpretations of words, 128; reality, 124-125; relation to science, 217-221; reconitulation, 217-219; law of the continuous re-distribution of matter and motion, 220 ; should show possege from the imperceptible to the perceptible, and from the perceptible to the imperceptible, 222-225 ; connect achieve this, 201-220, 481; formula compreheads concoutration and dispersion, 226 ; evolution verified by induction, 240; and universal integration, 2d1; desideratum, 322; summary and conclusion, 483-486 ; relation to evolution and dissolution, 435-442; to religion. and suisace, 443; restatement, 115-116.
- Phosphorus, properties in the brain, 174. Physics : necessary truths, 187; nature of faces, 147.
- Physiology: knowing illustrated, 53; transformation and equivalence of forces, 171-178; and the evolution of throught, 174; the direction of motion, 100; longhter, 192; chythm of motion, 208-208; evolution and, 249-258; dissolution, 292-254; advance from qualitative to quantitative pravision, 305; re-distribution of motion in the development of functions, 314-316; multiplication of effects illustrated, 358 - 359; fourfold equilibracian, 462-406.
- Physiology of Laughter (Marmillan's Mag., March 1869), 192.
Piano, conception of a, 71 Piezolomial, Fr., 60, Platy, current, 83. Florits. Les Biology. Plats, 24. Platonie ideas, doctrine of, 443. Pleasure, rhythm in, 21%. Planlorine, 207. Pastry: rhythm of, 211; co-ardinate origin with music and dancing, 280; progressive heterogeneity among Rebrows, Greeks, Romans, etc., 286-289. Political: philosophy, 102; progress, rhythm is, 214. Polytheism, 83. Population : equiliberation, 409, 411; dissolution shown by decrease, 417. Position philosophy, 101. Postseript to the anknowable, 98-96. Potential surgy, defined, 147-148. Potentializies, old theories about, 443. Prefners, i-zyli. Pressure, hypothesis of universal, 152. Pre-established harmonles, dechine of, 448, Principles of Molegy, prospectus, zil; quoted, 358. Principles of Morally, prespectus, sw-ITI. Principles of Psychology, prospectus, rill; quoted, ISP, 148; garm of fifth part, 191. Principles of Sociology, prospectus, willriv ; referred to, 461, 464. Printing, development of, 284. Proctor, R. A., Old and New Astronomy, Quotes, 474. Privarene: its Laws and Cause [West, Res., April, 1867), vii; and lew of ovaluation, 271, Projectiles ; and persistence of relations among furges, 157-158; and shythm of motion, 202, Prolagonas, 50. Protein, characteristics, 289-240. Protomogra, 386. Protestantism, 85. Protonlyste, 300, 335. Protoplasm, differentiation of, 239-240. Prodecase, 500, 806, Psychology a knowledge trauscended by throught, 12; actual and symbolic conceptions, 18-21; daration of consciousvens, 46-46; its substance, 46-48; consciousness of solf, \$7 ; our cosh litons not shoolule, 51-69; preduct of

throught, 51-54; He protess, 54-60, 107; Hamilton and Mansel on the absolute and infinite, \$4-57; intelli-genee and relativity of knowledge, \$4-60; definite and indefinite forms of consciousness, 55, 70; a thefinite thought formed by the coalescence of a series, 71; mental contrast between the absolute and relative, 71; conflict, between reality and appearance, PS-95; genecicuspess must be assumed trustworthy, 110; reality, 124-126; vision filesive, 125; we think in relations, 126-129; experiences of furme underflo modes of consciousness, 129, 139 ; recognitions of processary truths. 126-130; perceptions which illustrate the permanence of matter, 195-139; Principles of Psychology, quoted, 149; the nonsciousness and perception of force, 142-151; correlation and equiva-lence of physical and mental forces, 171-179; relation between mervous energies and mental states, 175; lows of motion in mental phenomena, 390-198 ; relution between emotions and actions, 193; thy thm of motion, 211-212, 405-408; advance of cetained mucion exemptified, 316-319; instrblifty of the homogeneous distrated, 340-341 ; also multiplication of effects, \$63-354 ; segregation and development of nervous structure, 383-385 ; equili-

- brations of nervous actions, 400-408. Pythagonas, conception of philosophy, 100.
- RAILWAR clearing-house, 255.
- Railways: chythin in trains, 201; multiplied effects of, 867.
- Rolu, forcest of, 260.
- Rathke, H. E., 252.
- Real, meaning of the word, 324.
- Reality : and appearance, couldet between, 93-95; interpretation of, 128-126.
- Reconciliation of religion with science, 73-92
- Reform and equilibration, 412.
- Relative and son relative, 67,
- Relativity of all knowledge, 50-72.
- Religion : and acience, 3-17; freedom of belief, 6; Givense religious beliefs have a common basis, 7-12; all based on some ultimate fact, 9, 15-16; synlation of, 11-12, 376-377; subject mutter presen the sphere of the fatelloct, 12; reconciliation with science, 15-17, 52-34, 78-92; altimate religious ideas, 18-33; the vital element

488

in all bellefs, 32-34; ultimate religious. ideas merely symbols, 50; basis of agreement, 75; development and purification, 74-78; forms of irreligion, 76, 77; farsta of insperfect developmeat, 78-79; necessary correlative to esience, \$0; imploty of the pieue, 82; ultimale cause unknowable, 80-86; authropamaephism, 85-87; cunation of theological conservatism, 99-89; toleration of bellofs, 89-90; the highest truth should be told, \$1-92; theory of the author and prevailing baliafa, 93-95; ibythen in, 214; evolution of, 276-277; origin of moral paintings, 281; orchitecture, 283; printing and sculpture, 284; poutry, massic and dauchug, 287 ; church music, 283-269; relation to philosophy and science, 448; fundamental element of, \$43; restalement of doctrino, 445-445.

- Roligions balief, freeduta of, 6.
- Rolligions Ideas, ultimate, merely symbols, 50.
- Replice to criticiana, 93-96, (Appendix, B and C), 452-472.
- Repulsion and attraction, 182-184.
- Respiration, interpretation, 52,
- Rest, change to motion inconservable, 43.
- Ehythms of mojim; universal, 200-202, 216-216; generally compound, 203-204 ; rhythim in nebulæ, solar system, etc., 204-203; In attraction and megnetic variations of the curth, 206-207; in crust of the earth, 208; in the phenomena of life, past and present, 208-210; in monthl states and the oria, 211; social riythma, 212-214; universal rhythm a corollary from the persistence of force, 215-216; summary, 424.
- Rissree Intiguage, 256.
- Riley, C. V., 358. Ritler, Prof. Aug., 473.
- Rivers and righlim of motion, 201, Roads, follow line of least resistance, 190-196.
- Roberts, Dr. Issaw, Photographs of Store, Star-clusters, and Medelar, quoted, 426, 426 (af. 474).
- Rocks : segregation in igneous, 379 ; process of dispolution, 421.
- Redentia, integration in, 252.
- Ruman Catholic, art and architecture, 283.
- Hotifare, revived by water, 241.
- Rulars, primitive beliefs as in origin and power al, 4-6.

- SACES, J., 336,
- Salutations, evolution of, 277.
- Soud, rhythm shown by ripples seting on, 203.
- Saturn, planet, 423. Scales, instability of the homogeneous illustrated by, 527.
- Scaliger, J. J., 60. Schulling, R. W. J., cidicale of English philosophy, 101.
- Sciences and religion, 3-17; definition, 12-14, 76; higher development of common knowledge, 19; reconciliation with religion, 15-17, 32-84, 73-92, 448 ; altimute scientific ideas, 85-49; they represent incomprehensible realities, 48; basis of agroement with religion, 73; purification of religion. by, 74-78; defined, 76; unaclentific obucuctoristics, 78; faults of imperfect development, 78-70; necessary eco-relative to religion, 80; partially unified knowledge, 104; and philcoughy, 217-221; law of the continuous re-distribution of matter and mutian, 220; progressive integration, 250-201; aggregation, 263; mates! help among divisions, 200; advance from the simple to the complex, 290 ; increasing definiteness, 302-804 ; contrast between qualitative still quantitative stage, 206 ; multiplied effects of progress of, 868; relation to religiou and philusiphy, 443; cannot actain perfection, 444; highout achievement of, 445; restatement of doctrines, 645-446; mestal discipline of, 459-460.
- Scielptures and pointing, connets with willing, 281, 284; Assyrian, Egyptian aad Greek; 263, 286 ; Christian, 263-284.
- Sea shore, and shythms of motion, 203.
- Segregation: instances from action of wind and water, 371-377 : illustrated from magnetism, 872-278; chomistry, 378, 375; light, 378; astronomy, 377-878; geology, 378-380; astrology, 350-382; formation and maintenance of species, \$82-853; psychulogy, 382-585; sociology, 335-388; deduced from persistence of force, 388-590; summer, 441.
- Séguia, A., 159,
- Self: reality of, 47; personality cannot he known, 48; and not-self, 120,
- Solf-creation withinkable, 23-24.
- Sonsation, term, 112,

- Sex, the determination of, \$25,
- Shakespeare, Was, quoted, 92, 185.
- Ship; and palazivity of motion, 41; and chythm of motion, 260-201 ; huilding az Ghagon, 285.
- Shops, integration displayed by, 403.
- Siberian generatorias, frozen, 419.
- Sidereal system : integration of, 247-248; evolution and dissolution in our, 424-427. See also Astronomy.
- See Munie. Singing.
- Strius, and tracompanies, 422-425.
- Sloep, chrohen in, 209.
- Smallpez, multiplied effects of, 357-**\$56**,
- Social Statics : and rom Baer's formals, 270 ; germ of ovolution in, 440,
- Sociology : transformation and \$20374lence of physical, vital and social forces, 178-181; laws of motion illustrates, 193-197 ; and thy then of motion, 212-214 ; progressive integration of sociolity and civiliations, 254-262; progressive aggregation, 263; increasing betterogeneity, 270-279; dissolution, 292-294 ; Increasing definitences, \$01-392. \$95 ; increasing integration, heterogeneity and definiteness in social movements, 319-320; the instability of the homogeneous, 342-344 ; multiplication of effects, 365-363; sepregation, 386-386; equilibration, 409-412; nocial disactution conforms to the general law of motion, 415-418; summary of law of evolution, 436, 435-441; articisma of Chills Lesdin, 460-466.
- Storates, philosophy of, 100.
- Sular system ; evigin of, 166 ; and direction of motica, 165-186; shythm of metion, 205-205; integration of, 247-248; progressivo aggregation, 263; progressive differentiation, 265. See also Astronomy.
- Sound and light conform to like have, 260.
- Space: limit onthiaksble, 11-12; two hypotheses, 35-86; Kantian doctrine denied, 37; wheely incompreheenible, 27, 49; inconseivability and relativity of knowledge, 69; consciousness purely relative, 120-127; she abstruct of a] co existences, 128 ; and experiences of force, 199 , how distinguishable from body, 149, 182.
- Species : Origin of date of publication, vil-vill, 262; shythm in increase and decrease, 208-210; recordes of pulmontologists, 210; increasing de-

finitopeas, 290-200; inserbility of the homogeneous illustrated, 539; and pagregation, 382-383; and equilibration, 405.

- Sphare; cell-clusters fore; hollow, 337 action of radiant beat on, \$45; and equilibration, 400.
- Spinesa, B., 50.
- Spiral form of growth, 188-189,
- Spirit and matter; antichetical conceptions of, 446.
- Spiritualistic, evolution not, 140.
- Sleam ougine : and multiplication of effects, 367 ; and equilibration, 394. Stewart, Prof. H., and Prof. P. G. Tali,
- The Dassan Universe, 458,
- Stnie philosophy, 100.
- Stealo, the term, 147.
- Study of Sociology, on discipline, 459-460.
- Subject and ubject, 120-121
- Substance, 2se Matter,
- Sugar, crystallatation in preserves, 234,
- Symmetry and consintion of this work. 432-4398
- Spm, that light and light, 44 : transformation and enalvalence of formes, 101-165 ; terrestrial effects contact by, 107 plant-life dependent on, 168 ; animal life, 168-160; correlation of annial and physical forces derived from, 179-180; re distribution of motion effected by, 309-316; equilibration and hast of, 398-309. See also Astronomy.
- Super-organic phonomeon, integration of, 264-262. See also Sociology.
- Supply and demand, 409-410.
- Symbolic conceptions, 18-21.
- Southetic Philosophy, System of East prospectus, 15-2%i, \$5.
- TAIT, Prof. P. G. 1 The Discon Universe, 450; on the formula of avolution, 453-458; Treatise on Nutural Philosophy, (54; legture on force, 454; roview of Rackett, 455.
- Telegraph, multiplied effect of, 35%.
- Tamperature, Sie Heat.
- Tension, hypothesis of a universal, 189.
- Theisma universe as created by external ageney, 24-20; unthiskuble, 26; its fundamental verity, 32-34; and laws of the knowable, 98.
- Theology, rational, fundamonial conceptious self-destructive, 31. See also Religion.

Thought. See Psychology.

- Thomson (Sir W.) [Lord Relvin] and Frof. P. G. Tolt, Treatise on Natural
- Philosophy, 464. Tickling and tennsformation and equivalence of forces, 172-173.
- Tides: and rhythm of motion, 203; equilibration illustrated, 400-401. Time: two hypotheses, 38-36; Kantian clockrine denied, 37; wholly incomprehousikile, 87, 49; inconceivability and relativity of knowledge, 69; the ab-stract of all sequences, 128.
- Toleration of beliefs, 89-90.
- Teels, progressive integration of, 261.
- Top, equilibration of apinning, 398.
- Trade, See Commerce,
- Trankondental Phyriology, Eessy, vil, 226.
- Transformation and equivalence of forces. 159-151.
- Trop teck, and instability of the hecuogeneoue, 327.
- Troughton, E., dividing-engine, 300.
- Truth 1 the sourcate correspondence of subjective to objective relations, 08; another definition, 109; necessary traths, 13s 139; d priori and necessucy, 139,
- Funing fork and rhythm of motion, 216.

Turbellaria, motion of, 385.

- Twonty questions, game of, 94,
- Trudail, Frof. John : all motion rhythmicsl, 202 : transistion of paper by Helmhöltz, 399.
- ULTIMATE religions ideas ; 18-34 ; origin. of universe, 22-27; nature of universe, 27-32; first cause, 27-32 ; Fundamental vority, 82-34; maraly symbols, 50.
- Ultimate scientific Mees: 35-49; incomprehensible, d8-49; merely symbols, 50.
- Uncoudificated, the, trebly usthinkable, 60.
- Understanding, explanation, and the laexplicable, 51-54.
- Uniformity of law, 158.
- Universe : three suppositions of origin, 22-27 ; whother coll-oxistent (atheistic theory), 22-23; self-ormated (paotheistic), 23-24; cracted by arternal aggreey (theistic), 24-25; all three muthinkable, 36; maters of, 27-32; first cause infinite and absolute,

27-32; prigin inserutable, 34, 48; future of the, 427-431; form of the, 428,

- Unknowable, the: 1-96, 449; altimate musais unknowable, 80-84; posteript (reply to criticism), 98-96; suthur's reason for writing part dealing with, 95; all things known to us manifestutions of, 112, 122 ; conception of space produced by some mode of, 120; and persistence of force, 155; manifestadona of the, 238; relation to the knowable, 648.
- Unknown reality, symbols of the, 445-440.

Unstable equilibrium, 326.

VACEUM, neturo's abhattenco, au unscientifio hypothesis, 76,

- Voecular system ; and direction of motion, 120; heterogeneity of its evolution, \$14; and multiplied effects, \$69.
- Vegetal life, See Birdogy.
- Velocity, intermediate degrees of, 43.
- Feil-draft; degrees of evalution, 242; integration in, 252; evidence 05 personatology, 272 ; mark of evolution among, 274; segregation in caseous system, 880-852.
- Visice, illusiveness of, 123, 125.
- Vical principle, an unscientific hypothesis, 75.
- Voleanceas illustrate laws of motion, 187; and rhythan, 208.
- Poleoz, 337-338.
- Vortez-atoms : Lord Kelvin's hypothesis, 40; held by Clerk Maxwell to be untenable, 41.
- WARE, Prof. James ; reply to oritécisme of, 408-472; Naturalian and Agnostirism, 469-47 L

Watch, theological simile, 62.

- Water : movements exemplify laws of motion, 187; causes rhythmic movements, 200-201, 203; organic+edistri-butions effected by, 241-242; segrega-tive action of, 372, 375, 378-379; equilibration illustrated, 391, 401; and dissolution, 420.
- Wenpotas and industrial progress, 365-356.
- Weighing and persistence of force, 152-153.
- Weight, misconneutions of tudies as to, 187.

- Winterinster Review (April 1867), article on progress, its law and cause, quated, 271; (July 1858), article on the nobular hypothesis, quoted, 478. Whewell, Dr. Witt, History of the In-duction Sciences and Instanceshy by the induction Sciences and Instanceshy by
- Judantino Eriones, Queted, 864. Whiteworth, Sir J., miarometer, 206. Wind, argregative action of, 372, 374. Wulle, K. T., 270,

Words and abstract truthe, 655-460.

Written language concate with painting and architecture, 281; and all three with stabilizature, 281, 284; soul mural decornitions, 282 984.

Zavo, injunctions of, 100. Zoology, subdivisions and study of, 259, See also Holngy.

PRISTED BY MELLI AND DO., LTD., EDINBURG.



